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Pieter Bleeker's first revision of the Order Cyprini is translated and completed by including the figures from the Atlas Ichthyologique that were intended to be published with this revision.

## Introduction

One and a half century ago, in 1860, Dr Pieter Bleeker published Volume II of his "Ichthyologiae Archipelagi Indici Prodromus", literally translated "a precursor of the Fishes of the Indian Archipelago". On the Dutch title page (see p. 9) no equivalent of the word "Prodromus" is present. Here the title reads: "De Visschen van den Indischen Archipel beschreven en toegelicht [Fishes of the Indian Archipelago described and elucidated].

Like Volume I, in which the catfishes were treated, Volume II on the Order Cyprini was both a compilation of all Bleeker's papers and a review of the then existing knowledge of the group. Bleeker's Order Cyprini is now considered to be a combination of the two unrelated orders Cypriniformes and Cyprinodontiformes.

In the present volume Bleeker gives extensive descriptions of 130 species. It is clear from the headings of these descriptions that Bleeker did not only possess coloured drawings of all of the species, but also that he had them arranged on 53 plates ready for printing. (Probably cut out and pasted onto larger sheets similar to the plates for his unpublished Atlas Volumes). Already in 1856 Bleeker had reached the conclusion that it would not be possible to publish a large illustrated work in a more or less reasonable way in the East Indies (Boeseman, 1973). Bleeker finished the manuscript of Volume II in October 1859 and had the text printed in Batavia before September of 1860, when he returned to the Netherlands.

Just like Volume I of Bleeker's Prodromus, Volume II was published as an issue of the Acta Societatis Scientiarum Indo-Neerlandicae (Vol. X) and as a separate book.

After his return to the Netherlands, Bleeker did not publish a separate Atlas with plates for the two Prodromus volumes. Instead Bleeker started a new series; the "Atlas Ichthyologique des Indes Orientales Néêrlandaises", for which he rewrote both publications, adapting the classification to the latest insights and reducing the number of plates. For the Cyprini the number of plates was reduced from 53 to 43 , while 3 new figures were added (Bleeker, 1862, 1863).

## Bleeker's Introduction

The content of the introduction with a list of collectors published in Bleeker's Siluri (Bleeker, 1858) also exactly fits his Cyprini. It may have been written as an introduction to both volumes. As Prodromus Volume I has already been translated by Oijen et al. (2009) and is available online at http://www.repository.naturalis.nl/document/169632, we decided not to include it in this paper.

## Bilingual papers

Like many papers that formed the basis of the revisions, both Prodromus Volumes were bilingual. The diagnostic keys and the descriptions of genera and species were written in Latin, the (waning?) scientific language in that period, whereas the taxonomic reviews of the families, the history of Bleeker's own systematic research, information on the specimens used for the description, the explanation of the scientific name, distinguishing characters, distribution of the species, and data on stomach content, fishery and fish consumption were in Dutch. As a consequence more than half of the text was not accessible to non-Dutch ichthyologists.

One may wonder why Bleeker did not write his papers in a language that was more widely readable. However, in the first place we should acknowledge the fact that Bleeker wrote at least part of his publications in Latin. The period when whole papers were written in Latin was over, at least in ichthyology, and many famous ichthyologists in those days published only in their native language (e.g. Cuvier \& Valenciennes, 18281849: Day, 1875-1878, Günther, 1859-1870; Richardson, 1846). Maybe patriotic feelings formed the basis for writing in the language of the mother country (or the country of employment in Günther's case), but probably Bleeker explicitly wanted to reach a Dutch audience. Bleeker owned most of his specimens to donations of his countrymen distributed all over the Indian archipelago (see list of collectors in Bleeker, 1858a, b). By writing in Dutch he could both publically show them his gratitude and stimulate them to continue sending him specimens.

In the Atlas Ichthyologique, printed in the Netherlands after Bleeker's return, the descriptions of the species were still in Latin, but for additional remarks French was used instead of Dutch. However, the French parts in the Atlas are much more condensed than the Dutch parts in the Prodromus volumes that preceded them.

The Dutch parts of the Prodromus volumes are unique as they contain valuable information on type specimens sometimes mentioned neither in the original species descriptions nor in the Atlas. In some of his early papers (e.g. Bleeker, 1846), Bleeker did not give any information at all on the number and size of specimens used for descriptions of new species, therefore additional information given in the Prodromus volumes is indispensable for designating specimens from the Bleeker collection as types.

## Notes on the translation

The translation was made from the book version of Vol. II (i.e. Bleeker, 1860b). In the translation the unnumbered page containing the errata has been deleted after the errata were applied.

Generally the layout of the book was followed. However, all parts that were originally in Latin have been given a slightly smaller corps than the parts that were originally in Dutch. The lay-out of the tables in a few places has been adjusted to make them more lucid.

The page numbers of the original publication have been incorporated in the text in grey squares. The index refers to the original page numbers.

Bleeker uses italics for species names rather haphazardly. In Bleeker's days it was not yet the official standard to write Latin scientific names in italics. The same holds for the parentheses enclosing the author's name when a species name is combined with a generic name other than the original one. In the translation we have followed Bleeker's "standards". Similarly no corrections were applied to Bleeker's inconsistencies in abbreviations of names of journals, the application of dieresis, etc. Only mistakes in the spelling of scientific names were corrected.

## Notes on Bleeker's measurements

Apparently Bleeker assumed that everyone was familiar with his measuring techniques and abbreviations defined in earlier publications. For example, in his species descriptions Bleeker (1858a, b, 1860a, b) behind the number of caudal fin ray uses the abbreviation "et lat. brev." without explanation. Earlier (a.o. in Bleeker, 1846) he used c. lat brev.", "cum later. brev." and "c lat." or "c later." Only once Bleeker gives the full text as "cum lateralibus brevioribus." (Bleeker, 1846: 150; 1847: 22), Translated literally this is "with the lateral ones shorter". As strictly speaking there are no lateral rays on the caudal fin we have translated this as "short flanking ones."

The same holds for some measurements. According to Bleeker (1846: 143) [translated] "With regard to the clarification of length and width measurements of the head, which occur in the diagnosis of most of the species, it must be pointed out that the length is taken from the tip of the upper jaw to the most posterior extension of the gill cover. Only in species of Clarias that length is taken from the upper jaw to the posteriormost part of the interparietal [= supraoccipital] bone. The head width in all species is taken over the gill covers. Wherever the length of the body is discussed it is the total length of the body, including the head and the caudal fin, unless the reverse is said." In the present paper Bleeker gives an explanation of several taxonomic characters terms used in his descriptions.

In his earliest paper in which the lengths of described specimens are mentioned (Bleeker, 1947) and in his first 12 publications in the Verhandelingen van het Bataafs Genootschap (Vols XXII \& XXIII, 1847-1849) Bleeker gives the length of his specimens in mm . In subsequent papers he always uses the notation '"', which gives the impression he does not use the metric system. However, in his first paper in the Natuurkundig Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsch Indie, Bleeker (1851: 8) states [translated]: "Of all species I have added in the diagnosis the length of the specimens used for the description expressed in millimetre measure." This measure is indicated as: '". A comparison of the size of Bleeker specimens and the measurements given in the descriptions makes clear that Bleeker always gives the total length expressed in mm. Only rarely another length measurement indicated as "absque pinna caudalis" [without caudal fin] is used.

## The figures

For the identification as well as for the relationships of the species, Bleeker attached much value to head and body shape, shape of bony elements, fins and fin spines, teeth and tooth patches. He often must have had problems when trying to express these shapes in words, especially in Latin. Bleeker realized that figures were indispensable for illuminating both morphology and coloration. In Prodromus volume II, apart from a left lateral habitus figure for many species ventral views and details of head and/or mouth are given.

Bleeker was very critical of the achievements of his artists (drawings for this volume were made by Ludwig Speigler and Chris Engel) and even wrote that the resulting figures should be considered more his own work than that of his artist (Bleeker, 1878; van Oijen, 2005).

It must be realized that a drawing represents a single individual and therefore only gives one example (and usually not even a modal one) of the morphological range within one species. In order to get a fair idea of the morphology of the species it is essential that the both the description and the figures are studied.

As in Bleeker's time facilities for publishing large coloured plates using chromolithography in Netherlands East India were limited, the printing had to be postponed till Bleeker's return to the Netherlands. For this reason the figures were published two years later than the text. In our translation these figures are published with the text. For an easy comparison the plates have been placed close to the description.

The figures were scanned from the Naturalis' copies of Volumes II and III of the Atlas Ichthyologique (Bleeker, 1862, 1863). In the Atlas the figures are life size unless specimens were larger than page size in which case they were reduced in size by the lithographer. The page size of the Zoologische Mededelingen forced us to reduce the size of many habitus figures to maximal page width. The original size of the figures in the Atlas is here given in the captions of the figures. Only in a few cases very small figures were slightly enlarged.

## Species names

After his return to the Netherlands, Bleeker continued his research on the Cypriniformes and Cyprinodontiformes of his collection and of the collection of 's Rijks Museum van Natuurlijke Historie in Leiden (especially those collected by Kuhl \& Van Hasselt). As a result of new research some descriptions and names had to be adapted. In the caption to the figures of the present paper the names of the species are given as they were published in the Atlas Ichthyologique.

## Bleeker's use of Latin

Bleeker's descriptions of fishes are written in the kind of technical Latin that was common amongst scientists of that period.

Characteristic of the extensive species descriptions (in contrast to the short descriptions in the keys) is the use of the ablativus. In Latin the ablative case is mainly used in
the function of an adverbial adjunct, i.e. a way of introducing additional information in a sentence. Translated literally Bleeker's descriptions say [a fish] with an elongate body, with a slightly depressed head, etc. Since the ablative also has other functions, which are used by Bleeker as well, - for instance the ablativus comparationis (in a comparison the object with which the comparison is made) is frequently used - this results in a text filled with nouns in the same case. This sometimes makes it hard to understand the meaning of the text, especially in longer sentences.

This use of the ablative case in descriptions was not restricted to Bleeker, but seems to have been widespread in scientific descriptions.

In his species descriptions, Bleeker clearly tries to be very precise when describing the shape of elements or the way that e.g. the length of one element differs from that of another. Terms he frequently uses are sub- (as in subtrigonus = nearly triangular), -iusculus (as in depressiusculus = slightly depressed), vix (= hardly), fere (= nearly), and раисит (a little).

In his introduction Bleeker states he disapproves of the comparative descriptions of preceding ichthyologists, as they are of limited use for ichthyologist like himself, who have no access to large museum collections, but occasionally he makes the same mistake.

In the descriptions of the coloration of the species the gradations are rendered equally carefully, with a variation in terms that sometimes is difficult to translate. Besides standard colours like flavus and flavidus (yellow), Bleeker uses varieties like flavicans and flavescens (yellowish, slightly yellow) and besides albus and albidus (white) also albescens and albicans (whitish).

In the colour descriptions Bleeker exhibits a kind of effusiveness as one also finds appreciative comments besides objective observations. Bleeker occasionally even states that a species has a beautiful (e.g. a beautifully purple) colour.

## Recommendation

As Bleeker in this work gives an overview of all his papers on Cyprinids and Cyprinodonts from 1846 through 1860, it provides an excellent account of his development from an amateur - to a respected ichthyologist. His changing view on this group of fishes is exemplary of his treatment of other groups. Therefore many parts of this work are of interest to a broader circle of ichthyologists. In this work on the Cyprinids of the Indian archipelago Bleeker expresses more than once his amazement about the peculiarities in the distribution of the group.

We hope this translation may contribute to the appreciation of Dr Pieter Bleeker as an ichthyologist and systematist and that it may stimulate further research on the fishes of the Indonesian Archipelago.
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" ( " ) Schwanefeldi Blkr. - Schwanefeld's Lalawak ..... 323
" ( " ) amblycephalus Blkr. - Round headed Lalawak ..... 324
" ( " ) erythropterus Blkr. -Red finned Lalawak. ..... 325
" ( " ) bramoides Blkr. - Bleak-like Lalawak. ..... 327
" ( " ) javanicus Blkr. -Javanese Lalawak. ..... 328
" ( " ) koilometopon Blkr. - Acute headed Lalawak. ..... 330
" ( " ) gonionotus Blkr. - Angular backed Lalawak. ..... 331
" ( " ) Huguenini Blkr. - Huguenin's Lalawak. ..... 332
" ( " ) hypselonotus Blkr. - High backed Lalawak. ..... 334
" ( " ) macrophthalmus Blkr. - Large eyed Lalawak. ..... 335
" ( " ) platysoma Blkr. - Flat bodied Lalawak. ..... 336
" ( " ) rubripinna Blkr. - Blue backed Lalawak. ..... 337
" ( " ) bunter Blkr. - Sundanese Lalawak. ..... 340
" ( " ) tetrazona Blkr. - Four banded Lalawak. ..... 341
" ( " ) lateristriga Blkr. - Lateral lined Lalawak. ..... 342
" ( " ) fasciatus Blkr. - Banded Lalawak. ..... 344
" ( " ) obtusirostris Blkr.- Blunt snouted Lalawak. ..... 345
" ( " ) maculatus Blkr. - Maculated Lalawak. ..... 346
" ( " ) goniosoma Blkr. - Angular Lalawak. ..... 349
" ( " ) marginatus Blkr. - Edged Lalawak. ..... 351
" ( " ) carassioides Blkr. - Doubtful Lalawak. ..... 352
" (Capoëta) padangensis Blkr. - Padangese Lalawak. ..... 353
" ( " ) sumatranus Blkr. - Sumatran Lalawak. ..... 354
" ( " ) brevis Blkr. - Short Lalawak ..... 355
" ( " ) leiacanthus Blkr. - Smooth spined Lalawak. ..... 356
" ( " ) oligolepis Blkr. - Large scaled Lalawak. ..... 357
" (Systomus) Waandersi Blkr. - Waanders' Lalawak. ..... 359
" ( " ) bulu Blkr. - Threadless Lalawak ..... 360
" ( " ) lawak Blkr. - Unbarbelled Lalawak. ..... 361
Cyclocheilichthys Blkr. - Circle lip Carp. ..... 362
Subgen. Cyclocheilichithys, Siaja and Anematichthys. Blkr. ..... 363
Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) enoplos Blkr. - Large spined
Circle lip Carp. ..... 366
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}" & ( & " \\ \text { ) macracanthus Blkr. - Long spined } \\ \text { Circle lip Carp. .......................... } 367\end{array}\right\}$
" (Siaja) microlepis Blkr. - Small scaled Circle lip Carp. ..... 371
" (Siaja) macropus Blkr. - Large feet Circle lip Carp. ..... 373
" (Siaja) siaja Blkr. - Two barbelled Circle lip Carp ..... 374
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(Siaja) heteronerna Blkr. - Fringe-barbelled Circle lip Carp ..... 377
(Anematichthys) apogon Blkr. - Beardless Circle lip Carp ..... 378
(Anematichthys) apogonides Blkr. - Javanese Circle lip Carp. ..... 379
(Anematichthys) janthochir Blkr. - Violet handed Circle lip Carp. ..... 381
Barbus Cuv. - Barbel. ..... 382
Labeobarbus Rüpp. - Lip Barbel ..... 383
Labeobarbus tambroides Blkr. - Tambra-like Lip Barbel. ..... 386
" tambra Blkr. - Royal Lip Barbel. ..... 389
" soro Blkr. - Soro Lip Barbel. ..... 390
" douronensis Blkr. - Semah-Lip Barbel. ..... 392
Hemibarbus Blkr. - False Barbel. ..... 394
Pseudophoxinus Blkr. - False Smelt. ..... 395
Rohteichthys Blkr. - Siriding Carp. ..... 395
Rohthichthys microlepis Blkr. - Small scaled Siriding Carp. ..... 396
Rolhee Syk. - Rohtee. ..... 391
Acanthobrama Heck. - Spine Bleak. ..... 899
Rhodeus Ag. - Bitterling. ..... 399
Chanodichthys Blkr. - Bandang Carp. ..... 400
Pseudoculter Blkr. - Spine knife Carp. ..... 401
Hemiculter Blkr. - Bone knife Carp. ..... 401
Aulopyge Heck. - Ukliva. ..... 402
Meda Gir. - Bare Carp. ..... 402
Chedra Swns. - Cel jaw Carp. ..... 408
Plargyrus Raf. - Bare chest Carp. ..... 433
Gatla Val. - Spoon jaw Carp. ..... 404
Hypophthalmichthys Blkr. - Low eyed Carp. ..... 405
Thynnichthys Blkr. - Tuna Carp. ..... 406
Thynnichthys polylepis Blkr. - Many scaled Tuna Carp. ..... 401
" tynnoides Blkr. - Slender Tuna Carp. ..... 408
Amblypharyngodon Blkr. - Blunt teeth Carp. ..... 409
Devario Heck. - Devari. ..... 410
Luciosoma Blkr. - Pike Carp. ..... 411
Subgen. Luciosomà and Trinematichthys Blkr. ..... 412
Luciosoma (Luciosoma) setigerum Blkr. - Four barbeled Pike Carp. ..... 413
" ( " ) spilopleura Blkr. - Spotted Pike Carp. ..... 415
" (Trinematichthys) trinema Blkr. - Three barbeled Pike Carp. ..... 416
Perilampus McCl - Brush Carp. ..... 417
Esomus Swns. - Nuria ..... 418
Tinca Rond. - Tench ..... 420
Argyreus Heck. - Nose Carp. ..... 421
Chrosomus Raf. - Colour Carp. ..... 422
Traroga Gir. - Traroga. ..... 422
Phoxinus Rond. - Minnow. ..... 423
Phoxinellus Heck. - Bare chest Carp. ..... 422
Cirrhina Cuv. - Snout barbel Carp. ..... 424
Gobio Cuv. - Gudgeon ..... 425
viII Sarcocheilichthys Blkr. - Meat lip Carp. ..... 426
Elopichthys Blkr. - Lizard Carp. ..... 427
Aspius Ag. - Asp. ..... 428
Gilla Baird Gir. - Gilla. ..... 429
Ptyclocheilus Ag. - Fold lip Carp. ..... 430
Opsarius McCl. - Shakra ..... 430
Subgen. Shacra Blkr, Bendilisis Blkr. and Opsarius McCl . ..... 431
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" sumatrana Blkr. - Sumatran Paraai. ..... 453
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Leuciscus Rond. - Chub. ..... 464
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Chela Buch. - Knife Carp. ..... 469
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Aplocheilus javanicus Blkr. - Javanese Impun. ..... 490
Orestiasini ..... 483
Orestias Val. ..... 483
Anablepini. ..... 483
Anableps Art. ..... 483
Postscript ..... 491

## ALPHABETIC INDEX OF SUBGENERIC AND GENERIC NAMES.

 (Generic names in italics are the ones currently used).Abramis Cuv. p. 6, 1, 10, 12, 15, 42, 236, 261, 264, 265, 272, 458.
Acanthobrama Heck. p. 12, 41, 236, 261, 262, 263, 268, 399.
Acanthophthalmus V. Hass. p. 1, 7, 29, 38, 51, 55, 56, 73.
Acanthopsis V. Hass. p. 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 29, 38, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 60, 65.
Acheilognathus Blkr. p. 16, 39, 102, 223, 224, 225.
Acra Blkr. Subgen. p. 230.
Abrostomus Smith, p. 10, 12, 39, 100, 102, 107, 207.
Acomus Gir. p. a, 40, 100, 236, 239, 239, 240, 243.
Acourus Swns. p. 10.
Acoura Swns. p. 52, 33, 54.
Acrocheilus Ag. p. 14, 109, 219.
Adinia Gir. p. 480, 482, 492.
Agosia Gir. p. 14, 270, 421.
Álbulichthys Blkr. p. 16, 30, 37, 40, 237, 265, 266, 305.

Algansea Gir. p. 14, 39, 102, 109, 222.
Alburnops Gir. p. 14, 42, 237, 264, 265, 273, 465.
Alburnus Rond. p. 12, 42, 100, 261, 264, 265, 273, 460.

Alburnellus Gir. p. 273, 460.
Alburnoides Gir. p. 14.
Algoma Gir. p. 14, 39, 102, 109, 220.
Amblypharyngodon Blkr. p. 41, 236, 263, 264, 269, 409.

Amblyrhynchichthys Blkr. p. 16, 80, 40, 237, 263, 265, 266, 302.
Anableps Art. p. 5, 6, 8, 14, 15, 43, 50, 479,483.
Anematichthys Blkr, Subg. p. 267, 363.
Aplocheilus McCl. p. 5, 9, 43, 479, 483, 490.
Árgyreus Heck. p. 12, 41, 236, 261, 262, 264, 265, 270, 421.
Aspidoparia Heck. p. 13, 89, 102, 223, 224, 229.
Aspius Ag. p. 9, 12, 41, 236, 261, 262, 264, 265, 172, 428.

Aulopyge Heck. p. 1, 12, 14, 41, 44, 100, 236, 261, 262, 263, 265, 268, 402 .
Balantiocheilos Blkr. p. 16, 80, 40, 45, 237, 263, 265, 266, 301.
Balitora Gr. p. 8, 84.
Ballerus Heck. p. 12, 261, 272.
Bangana Buch. p. 7.
Barbichthys Blkr. p. 16, 29, 37, 39, 102, 104, 108, 208.
Barbodus Blkr. Subgen. p. 267, 313.
Barbus Cuv. p. 6, 7, 10, 12, 15, 41, 46, 235, 236, 261,

262, 263, 265, 267, 382.
Barilius Buch. p. 7.
Bendilisis Blkr. Subg. p. 272, 431.
Blicca Heck. p. 12, 261, 272.
Bliccopsis Heck. p. 12, 261, 272.
Botia Gr. p. 51, 53.
Brama Klein. p. 5.
Bubalichthys Ag. p. 14, 40, 236, 238, 239, 240, 247.
Cabdio Buch. p. 7.
Canthophrys Swns. p. 10, 52, 53, 54.
Capoëta Val. Subgen. p. 11, 267, 813.
Campostoma Ag. p. 14, 39, 102, 108, 217, 238.
Carassius Nilss. p. 6, 9, 12, 40, 236, 248, 249, 255.
Carpio Heck. p. 12, 248, 250, 251.
Carpiodes Raf. p. 7, 40, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 245.
Catla Val. p. 11, 41, 237, 262, 264, 269, 404.
Catostomus Les. p. 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 40, 285, 287, 238, 239, 240, 244.
Cenisophius Bp. p. 13.
Cephalus Bp. p. 13.
Ceratichthys Baird. p.14, 42, 237, 264, 265, 278, 462.
XI Chanodichthys Blkr. p. 16, 41, 237, 263, 268, 400.
Chedrus Swns. p. 10, 41, 236, 263, 268, 403.
Cheilobarbus Smith. p. 10.
Chela Buch. p. 2, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 29, 36, 42, 236, 261, 262, 265, 274, 469.
Cheilonemus Baird. p. 14, 273, 462.
Cheonda Gir. p. 14, 272, 429.
Chondrostoma Ag. p. 9, 12, 13, 15, 39, 45, 101, 102, 223, 224, 228, 248.
Chondrochilus Heck. p. 12, 102, 223, 228, 248.
Chondrorhynchus Heck. p. 12, 102, 223, 228, 248.
Chrosomus Raf. p. 14, 41, 236, 264, 265, 270,422•
Chrysophekadion Blkr. p. 16, 107, 186.
Cirrhina Cuv. p. 7, 41, 236, 264, 270, 424.
Cirrhinus McCl. p. 9, 101.
Clinostomus Gir. p. 14, 272, 430.
Cliola Gir. p. 14, 39, 102, 109, 221.
Cobitichthys Blkr. p. 16, 38, 55, 56, 60, 81.
Cobitis Art. p. 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14, 29, 38, 45, 50, 51, 52, 55, 56, 60, 76.
Cochlognathus Baird Gir. p. 14, 39, 102, 108, 214.
Codoma Gir. p. 14, 42, 237, 264, 274, 466.
Crossocheilichthys Blkr. Subg. p. 122.
Crossocheilus K. v. H. p. 7, 29, 36, 38, 101, 104, 105, 106, 121.
Culter Bas. p. 14, 42, 237, 262, 274, 467.
Cycleptus Raf. p. 7, 40, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 246.

[^0]Cyclocheilicthys Blkr. p. 16, 29, 37, 41, 237, 262, 263, 265, 267, 363.
Cyprinella Gir. p. 42, 237, 264, 265, 274, 466.
Cyprinion Heck. p. 11, 12, 13, 39, 100, 102, 223, 224.

Cyprinodon Lac. p. 2, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 43, 479, 480, 481.
Cyprinopsis Fitz. p. 248.
Cyprinus Art. p. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 30, 40, 45, 235, 236, 248, 249, 251.
Cyrene Heck. p. 11, 12, 13.
Dangila Val. p. 7, 11, 29, 39, 102. 107, 191.
Danio Buch. p. 7.
Decactylus Raf. p. 7.
Devario Heck. p. 12, 41, 261, 264, 269, 410.
Diacantha Swns. p. 53, 54.
Diacanthus Swns. p. 10, 52.
Dillonia Heck. p. 13, 39, 102, 223, 224, 232.
Dionda Gir. p. 14, 39, 102, 109, 219.
Diplocheilichthys Blkr. p. 30, 37, 38, 101, 104, 107, 142.

Diplocheilos V. Hass. Subg. p. 7, 80, 101, 133.
Discognathichthys Blkr. p. 16, 38, 102, 105, 106.
Discognathus Heck. p. 12, 13, 38, 102, 105, 106, 120, 128.
Elopichthys Blkr. p. 16, 41, 100, 237, 264, 272, 427.
Enchelyopus Klein. p. 6.
Epalzeorhynchos Blkr. p. 16, 30, 37, 88, 100, 102, 104, 105, 106, 117.
Esomus Swns. p. 10, 12, 41, 100, 236, 261, 262, 264, 270, 418.
Eurystomus Raf. p. 7.
Exoglossum Raf. p. 7, 12, 89, 102, 108, 217, 238.
Fundulichthys Blkr. p. 17, 43, 480, 481.
Fundulus Lac. p. 6, 15, 43, 50, 479, 483.
Gambusia Poey. p. 14, 43, 480, 482.
Gardonus Rp. p. 13.
Garra Buch. p. 7, 83.
Gibelion Heck. p. 12, 269, 404.
Gila Baird Gir. p. 14, 41, 237, 264, 265, 272, 429.
Girardinichthys Blkr. p. 481.
Girardinus Poey. p. 14, 43, 480, 481.
Gnathopogon Blkr. p. 17, 41, 237, 264. 265. 271,434.
Gobio Cuv. p. 7, 12, 15, 41, 100, 101, 236, 261, 262, 264, 265, 271, 425.
Gobionichthys Blkr. Subg. p. 30, 145.
Gonoproktopterus Blkr. Subgen. p. 266, 311.
Gonorhynchus McCl. p. 9, 101.
Grundulus Val. p. 43, 479, 482.
Gymnostomus Heck. p. 12, 13, 39, 223, 224, 230.
Hampala K. v. H. p. 7, 29, 40, 236, 263, 265, 266, 307.

Hegeria Bp. p. 13.
Hemibarbus Blkr. P. 16, 41, 237, 263, 265, 267, 394.

Hemiculter Blkr. p. 16, 41, 237, 263, 268, 401.
Hemixiphophorus Blkr. p. 480, 482.
Heterandria Ag. p. 14, 43, 480, 481, 482.
Homalopsis V. Hass. p. 7, 488.
XII Homaloptera V. Hass. p. 1, 7, 8, 14, 15, 29, 38, 44, 80, 86.
Hudsonius Gir. p. 14, 273, 461.
Hybognathus Ag. p. 14, 39, 102, 100, 220.
Hybopsis Ag. p. 14, 42, 236, 264, 265, 273, 461.
Hyborhynchus Ag. p. 14, 39, 102, 109, 220.
Hydvargyra Lac. p. 5, 6, 15, 43, 479, 483.
Hylomyzon Ag. p. 14, 236, 238, 239, 240, 245.
Hymenophysa McCl. p. 9, 29, 38, 40, 55, 56, 60.
Hymenphysa McCl. p. 52, 53.
Hypentelium Raf. p. 7.
Hypophthalmichthys Blkr. p. 17, 41, 237, 264, 269, 405.

Hypsolepis Baird. p. 14, 269, 403.
Hypselobarbus Blkr. p. 16, 41, 237, 262, 263, 266, 311.
Ichthyobus Raf. p. 7, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 246.
Idus Heck. p. 12, 40, 261, 273.
lsocephalus Heck. p. 11, 12, 261, 424.
Labeo Cuv. p. 7, 10, 12, 13, 36, 38, 45, 101, 107, 132, 237.

Labeobarbus Rüpp. p. 8, 10, 12, 29, 36, 41, 45, 236, 261, 263, 265, 267, 383.
Labiobarbus V. Hass. p. 7, 101, 102.
Laubuca Blkr. p. 2, 17, 42, 237, 262, 274, 468.
Lavinia Gir. p. 14, 39, 102, 109, 219.
Lebias Cuv. p. 7, 479, 481.
Lepidocephalus Blkr. p. 1, 16, 29, 38, 44, 55, 56, 68.
Leptobarbus Blkr. p. 16, 30, 37, 41, 237, 264, 265, 271, 432.
Leptocephalus Bas. p. 237, 400.
Leucaspius Heck. Kner. p. 14, 273, 460.
Leuciscus Klein. p. 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 42, 100, 236, 237, 261, 264, 265, 273, 464.
Leucos Heck. p. 12, 13, 261, 273, 464.
Leucosomus Heck. p. 11, 12, 42, 236, 261, 264, 265, 273, 462.
Limia Poey. p. 14, 480, 482.
Lissorhynchos Blkr. p. 16, 38, 85, 86.
Lobocheilus K. v. H. p. 7, 29, 37, 38, 101, 103, 104, 107, 141.
Lucania Gir. p. 480, 481, 490.
Luciobarbus Heck. p. 11, 12, 261.
Luciosoma Blkr. p. 17, 29, 41, 237, 262, 264, 265, 269, 411, 412.
Luxilus Raf. p. 7, 11, 42, 236, 264, 265, 272, 459.
Macrochirichthys Blkr. p. 1, 2, 17, 29, 37, 42, 46, 237, $262,265,274,475$.
Meda Gir. p. 14, 41, 237, 262, 263, 265, 268, 402.
Microlepis Bp. p. 13.

Minomus Gir. p. 14, 40, 236, 238, 239, 240, 243.
Misgurnus Lac. p. 6, 51.
Mollienisia Les. p. 7, 8, 43, 479, 482.
Mola Heck p. 13, 236, 264, 269, 409.
Moniana Gir. p. 14, 466.
Morara Blkr. p. 16, 39, 102, 104, 108, 211.
Morulius Buch. p. 7, 16, 29, 39, 104, 107, 186.
Moxostoma Raf. p. 7, 40, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241.
Mrigala Blkr. p. 16, 39, 102, 223, 224, 231.
Mylocheilus Ag. p. 14, 39, 102, 104, 108, 216.
Mylopharodon Ayr. p. 14, 39, 102, 104, 108, 218.
Mystus Klein. p. 5.
Nemacheilus V. Hass. p. 20, 51.
Nocomis Gir. p. 14, 273.
Nuria Val. p. 10, 270, 418.
Odontopsis V. Hass. p. 7.
Opistocheilos Blkr. p. 16, 39, 102, 104, 108, 213, 223.
Opsaridium Pet. p. 41, 265.
Opsarius McCl. p. 9, 12, 42, 236, 261, 262, 264, 265, 272, 430, 431.
Oreinus McCl. p. 9, 11, 39, 223, 225, 233.
Orestias Val. p. 11, 14, 15, 43, 101, 479, 483.
Orthodon Gir. p. 14, 39, 102, 109, 221.
Osteobrama Heck. p. 11, 12, 261, 267, 397.
Oxygaster V. Hass. p. 8, 469.
Pachystomus Heck p. 12, 261, 268, 403.
Panchax Val. p. 7, 11, 29, 43, 479, 481, 488.
Pelecus Ag. p. 9, 12, 15, 261, 274, 469.
Perilampus McCl. p. 9, 12, 41, 236, 261, 264, 270, 417.
Pigus Bp. p. 13.
Phoxinellus Heck. p. 12, 41, 236, 261, 264, 265, 270, 423.
xIII Phoxinus Ag. p. 9, 12, 41, 236, 261, 262, 264, 265, 270, 422.
Pimephales Raf. p. 7, 39, 102, 108, 215.
Plargyrus Raf. p. 41, 236, 263, 265, 269, 403.
Platycara McCl. p. 9, 16, 38, 84, 105, 106, 129.
Poecilia Bl. Schn. p. 2, 6, 15, 43, 479, 483.
Pogonichthys Baird Gir. p. 14, 273, 462.
Pseudobarbus Smith. p. 10.
Pseudoculter Blkr. p. 16, 41, 237, 263, 268, 401.
Pseudogobio Blkr. p. 16, 39, 100, 102, 104, 108, 215.
Pseudophoxinus Blkr. p. 17, 41, 237, 263, 267, 395.
Pseudoxiphophorus Blkr. p. 17, 43, 480, 482.
Pseudorasbora Blkr. p. 41, 237, 264, 265, 271, 434.
Psilorhynchus McCl. p. 9, 38, 84, 85, 86.
Ptychocheilus Ag. p. 14, 42, 236, 264, 265, 270, 430.
Ptychostomus Ag. p. 14, 40, 236, 238, 239, 240, 244.
Puntius Buch. p. 7 .
Racoma McCl. p . 40, 236, 263, 265, 299.
Rasbora Blkr. p. 17, 29, 41, 237, 264, 265, 271, 435.
Rasborichthys Blkr. p. 17, 30, 37, 41, 237, 262, 264, 265, 271, 456.

Rhinichthys Ag. p. 13, 270, 421.
Rhodeus Ag. p. 9, 12, 41, 236, 261, 262, 263, 265, 268, 399.
Rhytidostomus Heck. p. 12, 238.
Richardsonius Gir. p. 14, 272, 459.
Rohita Val. p. 7, 11, 12, 13, 29, 39, 102, 107, 158.
Rohitichthys Blkr. p. 16, 39,102, 104, 107, 191, 265.
Rohitodes Blkr. Subgen. p. 159.
Rohtee Syk. p. 9, 11, 41, 236, 252, 263, 267, 397.
Rohteichthys Blkr. p. 30, 37, 41, 263, 267, 395.
Rutilus Raf. p. 7.
Salmophasia Swns. p. 10, 469.
Salmostoma Swns. p. 10.
Sarcocheilichthys Blkr. p.16, 41, 237, 264, 265, 271, 426.

Scaphiodon Heck. Subgen. p. 11, 12, 13, 102, 223, 233.

Scardinius Bp. p. 6, 10, 12, 13, 42, 237, 261, 264, 265, 273, 463.
Schismatorhynchos Blkr. p.16, 30, 38, 104, 106, 129.
Schistura McCl. p. 9, 52, 53.
Schizopyge Heck. p. 13, 39, 102, 223, 225, 234.
Schizothorax Heck. p. 9, 12, 40, 223, 236, 261, 263, 265, 300.
Sclerognathus Val. p. 11, 238.
Semiplotus Blkr. p. 39, 102, 104, 108, 212.
Semotilus Gir. p. 14, 42, 236, 264, 265, 278, 463.
Shacra Blkr. Subgen. p. 272, 431.
Siaja Blkr. Subg. p. 41, 267, 363.
Siboma Gir. p. 14, 39, 102, 109, 218.
Smiliogaster Blkr. p. 42, 237, 262, 274, 467.
Somileptes Swns. p. 10, 52, 54.
Squalius Bp. p. 10, 12, 261, 270, 464.
Stilbe De Kay. p. 10, 272, 459.
Systomus McCl. p. 9, 12, 29, 36, 41, 236, 261, 262, 263, 265, 267, 312, 313.
Tambra Blkr. Subg. p. 266, 311.
Telestes Bp. p. 10, 273, 464.
Tellia Gerv. p. 14, 43, 480, 481.
Teretulus Raf. p. 7.
Thynnichthys Blkr. p. 17, 30, 41, 237, 264, 265, 269, 406.

Tiaroga Gir. p. 14, 41, 237, 264, 265, 270, 422.
Tigoma Gir. p. 14, 272.
Tinca Cuv. p. 6, 7, 10, 12, 15, 41, 236, 261, 262, 264, 265, 270, 420.
Trachybrama Heck. p. 399.
Trinematichthys Blkr. Subgen. p. 30, 269, 412.
Tylognathus Heck. p. 12, 13, 38, 102, 107, 141.
Varicorhinus Rüpp. p. 8.
Xiphophorus Heck. p. 13, 43, 480, 482.
Zygonectes Ag. p. 14, 43, 480, 481, 482.

## 1 ORDER CYPRINI. CARPS.

Bony fishes. Gills free, simple. Soft finned. Body oblong or elongate, scaled or bare, never armoured. Subopercular bone not missing. Margin of the upper jaw formed by the intermaxillary bones, palatine bones toothless, lower pharyngeal bones not united, dentate. One rayed, free dorsal fin. Stomach without blind sac. No pyloric appendages.

Remark. In the large series of bony fishes the Cyprines are little less sharply characterized than the so strongly related Silures. The presence of the subopercle, the edge of the upper jaw being completely formed by the intermaxillary bones, the not being grown together of the lower pharyngeal bones, a free standing, single, rayed dorsal fin and toothless palatal bones are characters, which in combination, are not found in any of the remaining orders of soft finned fishes.

The definition of the order given by other authors, are not of absolute value. To those definitions I count such, which comprise the Cyprinoids and the Cyprinodontoids as a single family or order. In these one refers to characters, which are not found in all Cyprines and which therefore are only of relative value. Thus sometimes scales are lacking (Aulopyge Heck.) and the swimbladder (Homaloptera V. Hass., Lepidocephalus Blkr., Acanthophthalmus V. Hass.). The jaws are not always weak and the mouth cleft is not always small (Macrochirichthys Blkr, Hampala V. Hass.), and upper pharyngeal jaw teeth are found in the entire family of the Cyprinodontoids. The lower pharyngeal jaw teeth are not always large and strong (Cobitiformes, Homalopteraeformes, Catastomini and Cyprinodontoidei); the scales are not always smooth edged (species of Homaloptera) and the scales are not even steadily missing on the head (Lepidocephalus Blkr). The belly, which according to some writers is always rounded, in some genera is knifelike compressed, and sharp, although not spine-like 2 keeled (Chela Buch., Laubuca Blkr, Macrochirichthys Blkr), etc. However, there is no Cyprinid species, on which, according to the present state of science, the here [above] given characters do not fully fit and on the other side they exclude all other related orders.

The Cyprinids have made their appearance on earth rather late. Although they populated fresh waters already in prehistoric times, their origin does not reach further than the tertiary formation and in that era the Cyprinoids were even preceded by the Cyprinodontoids. Half a dozen species of Cyprinodon and Poecilia are known from the Molasse-period, whereas the number of species of the real Cyprinoids belonging to the genera of the Cobitiformes, Cyprinines and Barbines, thus far found in tertiary layers, amount to only 35 , so that nowadays in total only about 40 species of fossil Cyprines are known.

Although it is now likely, that further knowledge of more freshwater formations in the tertiary era will lead to the discovery of new fossil forms of the order, it is not to be expected, that its number will come anywhere near that of the species of Cyprines of the now living creation.

Indeed they are the most numerous order of fishes represented in the now existing order of things and the in the registers of science, described species are nowadays already more than 1100 in number, of which more than 1000 are Cyprinoids. They account
for more than one eighth part of the now known, still living fish species, and when one takes into account all fossil forms in this relation, their number accounts still for more than one tenth of the whole. This proportion is the more remarkable, when one keeps in mind that the Cyprinoids, which contain more than $91 \%$ of the total number of the now living Cyprines, are totally lacking in Australia, Polynesia and South America and in Africa are only present in a small number of species.

One century ago one did not yet know so many living species of Cyprines, as nowadays of fossils ones.

Artedi knew only 22 or 23 species, and at the end of last century hardly 50 species were written down in the registers of science.

Lacepède, in his Histoire naturelle des Poissons, and Schneider, in the Systema posthumum of Bloch, described not even 70 species. Since then, although principally in last two decades, the knowledge of this group increased with giant steps.
3 Asia, the Indian archipelago and North America have gradually delivered their rich contributions. South America revealed many forms of Cyprinodonts, and even Africa added a few tens of species to those of the other continents.

Nevertheless, less than 30 years ago, the number of known species did not account for a fourth part of the present number.

In 1843 the number of species had risen to about 500.
At the beginning of the present decade, one estimated it already at over 650, and the numerous new forms, that have become known in the youngest years from the Indian archipelago and East Asia and from North America, predict that the now reached number of more than in 1100 species by far not expresses the real number of species living on earth. Without any doubt hundreds of new forms will be placed in light in future times.

The most important recent discoveries have spread a new light on the geographic distribution of the Cyprines. It was believed earlier that they were restricted to the northern hemisphere. Mr Agassiz even still in 1850 (Lake Superior p. 352) wrote, "I am not aware that any of these fishes have ever been noticed in the waters of the southern hemisphere; nor do they extend anywhere far beyond the limits of the temperate zone, as it is well ascertained that they are most numerous in the rivers and lakes of Central Europe and Central Asia and northern America. Indeed, it is so much their natural home, that they do not seem to occur in the northernmost freshwater streams nor anywhere in the tropics, except in very high altitudes, were recently a few have been found in the Andes." Although this opinion of one of the greatest ichthyologists apparently was not founded on the state of knowledge in the said year, as it was already proven that the low countries of Bengal and Hindustan and Pegu [Birma] fed several Cyprinids, whereas also already South African and Javanese forms of the order, thus from the southern hemisphere, were described, the thesis, that principally the temperate areas of the northern hemisphere, where to be regarded as the cradle of the Cyprinids, remained funded. However, this thesis for the most part seems to have lost its value as well, since it appeared, that the equatorial areas of the Sunda Islands not only accommodate a certain number of Cyprinids, but that they are even so numerous there, that the species of Cyprinid account for more than 13 percent of the total number of now living known
species; a proportion, which, taking into account the relatively still few investigations of the freshwaters of the Sunda Islands, most certainly does not express by far the true richness in Cyprinoids of these waters. Which proportion moreover must appear very remarkably, when one realises that the investigations in Europe carried out for centuries 4 by numerous naturalists have led only to the recognition of an equal number of species of this order.

The limits of the Cyprinids therefore not only have to be taken more amply than has been done, but the distribution of the species within those limits does not seem to be as was presumed.

Sir J. Richardson went to the other extreme by stating, and this already in 1836 (Fauna Boreli-Americana III Fish. p. 109), that the Cyprinids "abound in the freshwaters of all quarters of the world" a statement, which neither was based on the then existing knowledge, nor that of Mr Agassiz in 1815, and which neither has been maintained a long time by the principal ichthyologist of the Australian waters.

As far as we know at present, the Cyprinids are excluded from Australia, and Polynesia. They inhabit all other continents.

In the northern hemisphere, they occur between the $60^{\text {th }}$ and $70^{\text {th }}$ degree of latitude, but they seem not to pass the northern polar circle. No species have become known from Greenland and Iceland. They are found generally on the continent of the northern hemisphere, both on that of the old and that of the new world, however, they also reach the islands that fringe both continents. Great Britain has its cyprinids just like the Japanese, Philippine and the Sunda Islands and Ceylon, and also on the Antilles they are not lacking. However, most species seem to occur in the middle part of South Asia and in the large drainage-areas of North America, whereas they seem to be sparsely represented in Africa.

In the southern hemisphere the Cyprinids seem to extend far less. In Africa, they extend further than the $30^{\text {th }}$, in South America, till the $35^{\text {th }}$ degree of latitude. They are still numerous on Java and the parts of Sumatra and Borneo situated south of the equator, however, Java (with Bali) and Borneo form also its southeastern border. In the eastern part of the southern hemisphere they do not occur beyond $9^{\circ}$ latitude and $118^{\circ}$ longitude from Greenwich. On the large island of Celebes they are already lacking, just as on Timor and on the Moluccas, and continuing in the eastern or south-eastern direction, one does not recover them, until one reaches South America, were however, the Cyprinoids are still totally lacking and make room for the Cyprinodontoids.

The borders of both families differ still more.
The Cyprinoids extend further to the north than the Cyprinodontoids.
In North America the Cyprinodontoids do not seem to cross the $45^{\text {th }}$ degree of latitude. Equally in Europe they do not extend further northwards and there they are restricted to Spain and Italy. In Asia it is not different and it even seems that they do not reach the $40^{\text {th }}$ degree of latitude as till now the northern most known 5 locality is Jedo [Tokyo], the capital of Japan, in the freshwaters of which one Aplocheilos is living.

In the southern hemisphere this relation is somewhat different. In Africa the Cyprinodontoids seem to go little less southwards than the Cyprinoids, as a Hydrargyra is reported from Qualimane in Mozambique. In the Indian archipelago the Cyprinodontoids, just like the Cyprinoids, have their southern and eastern limits in Borneo and Sumatra.

In the new world however, the limits are drawn entirely different. Whereas the Cyprinoids do not cross southern Mexico, and do not reach the Antilles, and so remain far northerly from the Equator, the Cyprinodontoids pass through Central America and the Antilles to South America, to find their limits only in Uruguay and La Plata. Although more species have become known from North America than from South America, it is to be expected, that the southern half of the New world does not feed less species than the northern half. Any way they possess the most curious forms, the Orestiasines and the Anablepines.

The Old world, relative to the New one, is poor in Cyprinodontoids, as nowadays from America already three times as much species are known as from the entire Eastern hemisphere.

As long as only a few species of Cyprinids were known, one could contend oneself with placing them in only very few genera.

Artedi accepted only three genera of Cyprinids, Cyprinus, Cobitis and Anableps, genera that answer to the subfamilies Cypriniformes and to the family of the Cyprinodontoids. His genus Cyprinus from the species known to him was excellently characterized by 3 branchiostegal rays, the swimbladder constricted in the middle, a toothless mouth and "ossa duo in faucibus inferioribus serrata et dura pro dentibus, quibus superne unicum os ovale seu mollius respondet" ["instead of teeth, two bones in the inferior part of the throat, serrated and hard, to which corresponds superiorly a single oval or softer bone"]. Artedi placed Cobitis next to Cyprinus, but the relationship of Anableps with both [genera] was noticed by him.

Linnaeus resolved the genus Anableps in Cobitis and spoiled the genus further, by including in it a Poecilia, as well as Houttuyn's Cobitis japonica. Moreover, Linnaeus placed Cobitis and Cyprinus in his division Abdominales, as far apart as possible, and changed the diagnosis of Cyprinus of Artedi without improving it.

In his "Historia piscium naturelle promovendae missus quintus" (1749), J.Th. Klein distinguished the genera Cyprinus, Brama, Mystus and Leuciscus. The first one, he restricted to the real Cyprinines, in which however he also included some -6 Pomacentroids. His Brama there contains Abramis Cuv., Carassius Nilss., Tinca Cuv. and Scardinus Bp. Mystus is synonymous with Barbus Cuv., and his Leuciscus contains the remaining species of Leuciscus Cuv. and moreover also Alausa Cuv. He thus indicated the basic principles for the splitting of the Artedian genus Cyprinus, on which only Cuvier continued to build. Klein placed the Cobitiformes known to him in his very complicated genus Enchylopus.
L.T. Gronovius in his Museum ichthyologicum, which was published in the year 1754, accepted the genera proposed by Artedi, although he placed Anableps far away from Cobitis and Cyprinus. He divided the species of Cyprinus in those with, and those without barbels. Later, in his Zoöphylacium (published in 1763) he placed Cobitis in his order Branchiostegi and Cyprinus and Anableps, separately, in his order Branchiales.

In the Systema ichthyologicum of Bloch and Schneider (1801) the Cyprines were enriched with the genus Poecilia. Anableps stands there separated by the genera Notacanthyus, Esox, Salmo, Clupea and a number of others removed from Cobitis and Cyprinus and Amia is placed between Cyprinus and Poecilia.

Lacépède in the fifth part (of XI) of his Histoire des Poissons already went a little further, and described 7 genera, Cobitis, Misgurnus, Anableps, Fundulus, Hydrargyra, Cyprinodon and Cyprinus. With the exception of Misgurnus, which genus was defined completely erroneously and later was in resolved in Acanthopsis, these generic names, partly however under a changed meaning, have been retained.

Lacépède however, no more than his predecessors, hit upon the idea to gather them together in one natural group, and he placed them very scattered in his system.

Mr C. Duméril in the year 1809, placed the Cyprines known to him for the first time in certain families; - Cobitis, Misgurnis, Anableps and Fundulus, with other very heterogenous genera in his family Cylindrosomen; - Hydrargyra and Cyprinus, similarly mixed with very divergent genera, in his family Gymnopomen. Neither of these families can be considered as even slightly natural, and the knowledge of the Cyprines did not improve.

Rafinesque was the first one, who, in his Indice d'Ittiologia Siciliana (1810) proposed the family name Ciprinidi, but he placed therein Mugil next to Cyprinus, by which the naturalness of the family got totally lost. In his work on nature, published in Paris in 1815, he proposed the Cyprinia as a family, in a still less natural way and split it in three subfamilies, one of which he named Gymnopomia, and which, just like his family Cylindrosomia, concur with the families with the same names of Mr Duméril.

The natural relationships of the Cyprines were understood for the first time by Georges Cuvier. He contained the Cyprinoids and the Cyprinodontoids in a single family, his Cyprinoides (which in my view 7 however, has a higher value) and in 1817 split the genus Cyprinus Art. in various genera, in Cyprinus, Barbus, Gobio, Tinca, Cirrhina, Abramis, Labeo and Leuciscus. Unjustly however, he also placed the genus Gonorhynchus Gron. in the same family. The genus Lebias that was proposed by him in the first edition of his Règne animal, has since been brought back to Cyprinodon Lac.

After Cuvier, more specifically than Klein, had paved the way for analysing of the genus Cyprinus Art., and after numerous new forms of Cyprinids had come to the light, one understood that the splitting had to be carried further.

In 1816 Lessueur founded the genus Catastomus, and in 1821 moreover the genus Mollienisia.

After having travelled through North-America, Rafinesque recognized with a sharp eye a number of new generic forms, partly insufficiently characterized and initially not included in the system, but in recent times, by the investigations of especially Mr Agassiz, valued as natural genera. These genera all seem to have been described in his Prodromus of 70 new genera (1818) and in his Ichthyologia Ohiensis (1820), which works are not available to me, but they are indicated in the newest ichthyological publications about North-America more precisely under the names Exoglossum, Pimephales, Moxostoma, Carpiodes, Cycleptus, Luxilus and Ichthyobus, which have received civil rights, and under the names Decactylus, Eurystomus, Hypentelium, Rutilus and Teretulus, which have not been accepted by recent ichthyologists.

Whereas Lesueur and Rafinesque described new generic names in North America, Buchanan Hamilton discovered still other genera in British India and Kuhl and Van Hasselt discovered new genera on Java.

Buchanan however, was not very lucky in the determination of genera. In his Account of Fishes found in the river Ganges and its branches, published in 1822 in Edinborough,
he has placed the Cypriniformes in nine groups, which he named Chela, Barilius, Bangana, Cyprinus, Puntius, Danio, Morulius, Cabdio and Garra, but he characterized them so incompletely and defective, that none of them has been retained as generic form, except for Chela.

Kuhl and Van Hasselt distinguished with keen insight the world of the Cyprines, which unfolded itself before them on Java, but they did not have the time to publish their observations in a sufficient way. Together they discovered the genera Hampala, Crossocheilos and Lobocheilos, while Van Hasselt moreover erected under his own name the genera Labiobarbus, (Rohita and Dangila Val.), Diplocheilus (subgenus of Labeo Val.), Acanthopthalmus, Acanthopsis, Homaloptera and Odontopsis or Homalopsis (Panchax Val.). Van Hasselt also 8 distinguished the genus Chela, without knowing the work of Buchanan and named it Oxygaster.

In the second edition of the Règne animal (1829) Cuvier has changed little in the generic division of the Cyprines, notwithstanding the fact that he was familiar with the work of Buchanan, and he must also not have been ignorant with regard to the research of Rafinesque and Kuhl and Van Hasselt. In the second edition he has only increased the genera of Cyprines with both ones proposed by Lesueur, i.e. Catostomus and Mollienisia.

Mr J. Van der Hoeven in 1833, in the first edition of his excellent "Handboek der Dierkunde" [Mannual of Zoology] gave a more detailed description of the genus Homaloptera V. Hass., which in the mean time was named Balitoria by Gray, and of Chela Buch., which he treats there as a subgenus. Mr Van der Hoeven reduced the Cyprines to five types, to Cobitis, Homaloptera, Anableps, Cyprinodon and Cyprinus, which he considers as genera. The then already known genera of Cyprinodotoids he places, with the exception of Anableps, as subgenera in Cyprinodon, and the genera of Cypriniformes, with the exception of Homaloptera, as subgenera in Cyprinus. - The types of Mr Van der Hoeven are very well chosen, but have a higher value than that of genera. Only Gonorhynchus, whose characters do not only fall outside the types but also outside the family, should be removed from it.

Whereas the intention of Mr Van der Hoeven apparently was a simplification of the splitting of the Cyprines and a reduction of the genera to the value Artedi had given them, other zoologists deemed it necessary to split the then accepted genera even further.

Indeed the necessity of that should become apparent with the increasing accumulation of building material, and it was not surprising that in a group of fishes, in which nature had used only relatively few characters to produce a hundredfold diversity, one considered those character of higher value, than one would in families, that are little rich in species, but well defined by a multitude of striking characters

After 1834 the investigations concerning the Cyprines increased on a more extensive scale and resulted in a rich booty of new generic forms.

In 1835 Mr Rüppell (Neuer Nachtrag von Beschreibungen und Abbildungen neuer Fische, im Nil entdeckt) proposed two new genera, with the names Labeobarbus and Varicorhinus.

Mr Agassiz was the first to propose to split the Cyprines in two families, and in imitation of this most ichthyologists nowadays use the families $\quad 9$ Cyprinoids and Cyprinodontoids. In the family of the Cyprinoids itself, he proposed already in 1835,
in the first part of the Mémoires de la Société des Sciences naturelles de Neuchatel, some new genera, i.e. Rhodeus, Phoxinus, Chondrostomus and Aspida. The then also by him from Cobitis and Leuciscus separated genera Acanthopsis and Pelecus have the same value as Acanthopsis of Van Hasselt and Chela of Buchanan. Mr Agassiz also was the first to make the Artedian character of the pharyngeal bones fruitful for the recognition of genera.

Nilson (in 1837?) separated the genus Carassius from Cyprinus.
In the year 1838 J . Heckel enriched the family of Cyprinoids with the genus Schizothorax, which however included two other natural genera, which only later were defined as such.

To colonel W.H. Sykes one owed the genus Rohtee, of which he made known a number of species in the year 1838 in his publication "On the Fishes of the Dukhun."

In the same year 1838, a third important work of Mr J. McClelland was published on the South Asiatic Cyprines, entitled "Indian Cyprinidae."

He conceived the Cyprines as a family, entirely in the spirit of Cuvier and split it in three subfamilies, which he named: Paeoniminae, Sarcoborinae and Apalopterinae. This splitting was less fortunate than the one proposed by Mr Agassiz. His Paeoniminae contain the Labeoines, the Catastomines, the Cyprinines and the Barbines, and therefore form part of my subfamily Cypriniformes. The Sarcoborinae comprise only a part of the Barbines. In the Apalopterinae however, one finds the Cyprinodontoids united not only with the Cobitiformes and Homalopteriformes, but even with the Labeonines.

Mr McClelland succeeded even less in a just definition of the genera, and he misunderstood the Cuvierian genera, because of which he for instance, unjustly brought a number of Indian species to Gonorhynchus Gron., Cirrhinus Cuv. and even to Catastomus Les.

Nevertheless his work has placed in light, numerous new generic forms, and although he as a rule has very insufficiently defined the new genera proposed by him, almost all of them can retain their place in the system when they are more carefully delimited. With regard to the Cyprinoids these genera are Cymenophysa, Psilorhynchus, Platycara, Oreinus, (Schizothorax Heck.), Systomus, Perilampus and Opsarius, and concerning the Cyprinodontoids, Aplocheilos. His genus Schistura completely overlaps with Cobitis Art.

The first proofs of a natural system of fishes of Charles Lucien Bonaparte, Prince of Canino, similarly originate from the fourth decade of this century. He accepted the families of Mr Agassiz, changing only the name Cyprinodontes in Poecilidae. The Cyprinoids properly he first split in three 10 subfamilies, Anableptini, Cyprinini and Leuciscini, however in 1839 he rightfully brought back the Anableptini to the Cyprinodontoids, so that he divided each family in two subfamilies, the Poecilidae namely in the Anablepini and the Poecilini. Still later just like Swainson, he isolated also the Cobitiformes from his Cyprinidae as a proper family under the name Cobitidae.

William Swainson, in 1839, in his "Natural History of Fishes, Amphibians and Reptiles or Monocardian animals", proposed a different division of the Cyprines. However, in this he was as little successful as with many other parts of his system.

Not only did he separate the Cobitiformes and the Homalopteraeformes (Balitorinae) from the Cyprinoids, but he brought the remaining Cyprinoids to the value of even less than a subfamily, as his Cyprinae are found there as a subfamily of the Salmonidae,
and Erythrinus and Arapaima J. Müll. figure as two of his five genera of the Cyprinae. Moreover, he elevates the Cobitidae to a proper family, and brings under it four subfamilies, his Cobitinae, Anablepinae, Poecilinae and Balitorinae, so that his Cobitidae is a mixture of Cypriniformes, Cobitiformes and Cyprinodontoids.

With regard to his genera Swainson equally followed a view that differed from that of his predecessors.

His Cyprinae without teeth answer almost entirely to the proper Cyprinoids and he accepts therein only the genera Cyprinus, Catastoma and Leuciscus. In Cyprinus he then brings as subgenera Cyprinus, Barbus, Labeobarbus and Salmostoma or Salmophasia (Chela Buch.); in Catastomus as subgenera Labeo, Catastomus and Chedrus; and in Leuciscus as subgenera once again Chela and moreover Esomus, Leuciscus, Tinca, Abramis and Gonorhynchus Gron.

The Cobitinae of Swainson contain two genera: Cobitis, with the subgenera Cobitis and Acouris; and Canthophrys (Acanthopsis V. Hass.) with the subgenera Canthophrys, Diacanthus and Somileptus, subgenera, which are based partly on the erroneous assumption that scales would be lacking. In the remaining subfamilies of the Cobitidae no new generic divisions are proposed.

Although Swainson's division are based on untainable grounds, two of his subgenera can be maintained as natural genera, i.e. Esomus, which a few years later, was described in more detail by Mr Valenciennes under the name Nuria, and Chedrus, which seems to me to be a natural genus as well.
A. Smith in his (1839-1845?) "Illustrations of the zoology of South Africa" erected a number of new types, which he named Abrostomus, Cheilobarbus and Pseudobarbus.

The Prince of Canino in 1841 added the genera Scardinius, Squalius and Telestes, which he described in the Fauna Italica.
J.E. De Kay erected in 1842, in his Zoology of New York, the genus Stilbe, which however does not differ from Luxillus Raf.
11 The most important contemporary works on Cyprines surely are those of Mr Valenciennes and of J. Heckel.

The three parts of the large Histoire naturelle de Poissons, dealing with Cyprines, date from 1842 till 1846, and Heckel's Fische Syriens and his "Nachtrage" [additions] to it, from the years 1843-1847.

Mr Valenciennes did not accept the families of Mr Agassiz, no more than many other genera that had already been introduced in science. Just like Cuvier, he kept considering the Cyprines as a single family, but he brought about a remarkable reform in the diagnosis of the genera, and added to the Cyprinoids the genera Dangila, Rohita, Capoëta, Catla and Sclerognathus, and to the Cyprinodontoids the remarkable genus Orestias, as well as the genus Panchax which had been placed by him outside the Cyprinoids and which Van Hasselt had already named, but not described, Homalopsis.

Heckel's work, in his Fische Syriens, as far as it deals with Cyprines in general, is of a more purely systematic nature, and is confined to the subfamily of the Cypriniformes.

Misters Agassiz and MacClelland had preceded Heckel in the appreciation of peculiarities of the dentition and of the relative length of the intestinal canal, for the determination of the genera, and their mutual relationships. However, Heckel went much further with regard to the dentition, and even tried to characterize the numerous genera accepted by him by the special form and arrangement of the pharyngeal teeth.

In the review, given by Heckel in 1843, he first separates the Cypriniformes in two main groups, the Macroentri and Brachyentri. Then he divides the Macroentri in two groups, in those with "dentes excavati" [scraping teeth] and those with "dentes masticatorii. [biting/chewing teeth]" Likewise, he divides the Brachyentri in those with "dentes uncinato-submolares" [hooked, submolariform teeth] and those with "dentes uncinatosubconici [hooked, subconical, teeth]." Thereafter he splits each of these subgroups further in smaller groups, primarily after the special form of the teeth, so that the whole is divided in 13 such smaller groups.

Although the pharyngeal teeth of the Cyprines in this way offer an excellent help for the identification of many genera, and even of their mutual relationships, Heckel went too far by basing various new genera almost exclusively on minor differences of the dentition and he has recognised this himself afterwards.

The number of new genera proposed by Heckel in 1843 is considerable. Even after subtraction of Osteobrama, Cyrene, Scaphiodon, Leucosomus and Glossodon, of which the four first mentioned already had been introduced in science by other scientists under the names Rohtee, Dangila, Oreinus and Luxilus, while the last falls entirely outside the order, the genera Cyprinodon, Luciobarbus, Isocephalus, 12 Tylognathus, Discognathus, Carpio, Aulopyge, Rhytidostomus, Chondrochylus, Chondrorhynchus, Phoxinellus, Leucos, Acanthobrama, Devario, Blicopsis, Blicca, Argyreus, Pachystomus and Idus" which he added to the already existing ones, remain. Not all of these genera could be retained and later have also partly been withdrawn by Heckel himself.

Apart from that, Heckel did not present his groups based on dentition characters as natural ones, and behind his synoptic table he even placed an arrangement of the 54 genera he accepted, in the way they would follow after each other in his opinion. An arrangement, which since then has experienced important changes.

In the same year and the same work Heckel presented even a third classification, for which he also took the mouth parts and fin structure as the basis. According to this classification the Cypriniformes are divided in ten tribes, which are not delimited precisely enough and have also remained unnamed. He placed the genera in these tribes as follows:
Tribus I. Cyprinus Cuv., Carpio Heck., Carassius Nilss., Gibelion Heck., Cyprinion Heck., Cyclurus Ag. = Amia L?
" II. Devario Heck., Rhodeus Ag,
" III. Systomus McCl., Barbus Cuv., Labeobarbus Rüpp., Luciobarbus Heck., Schizothorax Heck., Scaphiodon Heck., Aulopyge Heck., Abrostomus Smith.
" IV. Catostomus Les., Rhytidostomus Heck.?, Exoglossum Raf.
" V. Labeo Cuv., Cyrene Heck., Rohita Val., Tylognathus Heck., Discognathus Heck.
VI. Gobio Cuv., Tinca Cuv., Isocephalus Heck.
" VII. Gymnostomus Heck., Chondrostoma Ag., Chondrochylus Heck., Chondrorhynchus Heck.
" VIII. Abramis Cuv., Blicca Heck., Bliccopsis Heck., Acanthobrama Heck., Osteobrama Heck., Glossodon Heck., Ballerus Heck.
" IX. Chela Buch., Esomus Swns., Pelecus Ag., Perilampus McCl., Alburnus Rond., Aspius Ag.
" X. Scardinius Bp., Idus Heck., Leucos Heck., Pachystomus Heck., Leuciscus Klein, Phoxinellus Heck., Phoxinus Ag., Argyreus Heck., Squalius Bp., Leucosomus Heck., Opsarius McCl.
In the year 1847 Heckel reconsidered his classification, and adopted a different basis for the main division of the Cypriniformes. He found this in the structure of the mouth parts, parts which are just as much related to the way of living of the species involved as the pharyngeal teeth and the relative lengths of the intestines, but which have the advantage that they show this way of living in an external and easily recognisable character.
13 Herewith a large step was taken in the natural classification of the Cypriniformes.
Heckel placed all species in which the lower jaw turns into a thin cartilaginous edge, in his Temnochilae, and all remaining ones in his Pachychilae. The last mentioned he left unchanged, but he split his Temnochilae in two subdepartments, based on the presence or absence of lips. In the group in which lips are present he placed the genera Labeo Cuv., Rohita Val., Tylognathus Heck., Discognathus Heck. and Cyrene Heck. - in the group without lip (lower lip), the genera Cyprinion Heck., Dillonia Heck., Schizopyge Heck., Scaphiodon Heck., Gymnostomus Heck., Aspidoparia Heck. and Chondrostoma Ag., genera, which he still subclassified according to the presence or absence of a bony fin ray in the dorsal fin and according to the shape and tooth formula of the pharyngeal teeth.

Apart from the genera Dillonia, Schizopyge and Aspidoria, Heckel, in 1847, erected another new genus of the Pachychilae, which he stamped with the name Mola in the Addenda and Corrigenda.

The better knowledge of the Cypriniformes is largely due to Heckel's studies, and without any doubt his classification would have been elaborated in more details if he could have disposed over the many South Asiatic, Indian archipelagic and North American forms with a peculiar jaw and lip structure, which have only become known for the first time or better known after the publication of his work.

Between the years 1842 and 1846 Prince Charles Lucien Bonaparte erected the genus Gardonus with the subgenera Pigus, Gardonus and Cephalus and moreover, in the genus Leucos the subgenus Cenisophius, in the genus Scardinius the subgenus Hegerius and in the genus Leuciscus the subgenus Microlepis. None of those names have been accepted by later ichthyologists.

In the year 1848 Heckel enriched the Cyprinodontoids with the genus Xiphophorus, and in the same year Mr Agassiz proposed in the Cyprinoids his genus Rhinichthys.

In the present decade again great progress was made in the knowledge of the Cyprines.

Very numerous forms, especially from North America and the Indian archipelago, came to double the number of known ones and again numerous new genera were erected.

The ideas concerning the value of these genera diverged greatly, and whereas on the one hand one was inclined to reject almost all of the numerous new genera and at most dissolve them with the rank of subgenera in the thirty old genera, on the other hand one went to the other extreme, by finding generic characters in such unimportant details of the organisation, that it indeed threatened to become difficult to set other than specific borders to the number of genera to be erected.

14 Mr Van der Hoeven in the second edition (1850) of his "Handboek der Dierkunde" remained a supporter of the retaining of the Artedian genera. He only conferred generic value to two remarkable recent types that had remained unknown to Artedi, Aulopyge Heck. and Homaloptera V. Hass. According to him all Cobitiformes belong to Cobitis Art. as a genus, and all Cypriniformes, with the exception of Homaloptera and Aulopyge, belong to Cyprinus Art. as a genus. Similarly, in the Cyprinodontoids he accepts only three genera, Anableps, Cyprinodon and Orestias, whereas he recognises all remaining types of this family only as subgenera of Cyprinodon.

Most specialists in the knowledge of the Cyprinids, Heckel and Misters Agassiz, Baird and Girard, continued to attach new generic names to the many new types observed by them, and Misters Gervais, Ayres, Poey and Basilewski proposed new genera as well. As a result the number of genera of the Cyprinids was almost doubled.

In this decade the Cyprinodontoids received from Mr Poey (1851) the genera Gambusia, Limia and Girardinus; from Misters Baird and Girard the genus Heterandria; from Mr Agassiz the genus Zygonectes, and from Mr Gervais (1853) the genus Tellia.

The Cypinoids received even much richer additions.
The Catastomines already had been considered as a complex of different genera by Rafinesque. Mr Agassiz still added to this the genera Bubalichthys, Hylomyzon and Ptychostomus, and Mr Girard added the genera Minomus and Acomus.

As for the remaining Cypriniformes, the new proposed genera were much more numerous.

It was, just like for the Cyprinodontoids and Catostomines almost exclusively the New World that yielded these types.

Only Tellia formed an exception for this for the Cyprinodontoids, just like Leucaspius Heck. and Culter Bas. for the Cyprinoids.

The types of the New World, and especially of North America gave Mr Baird occasion to the erection of Ceratichthys, Cheilonemus and Hypsolepis; Misters Baird and Girard to those of Cochlognathus, Gila and Pogonichthys; Mr Agassiz to those of Acrocheilus, Campostoma, Hybognathus, Hybopsis, Hyborhynchus, Mylocheilus and Ptychocheilus; Mr Ayres to those of Mylopharodon, and at last still Mr Girard to not less than 23 genera, which he named Agosia, Alburnops, Alburnoides, Algoma, AIgansea, Cheonda, Chrosomus, Clinostomus, Cliola, Codoma, Cyprinella, Dionda, Hudsonius, Lavinia, Meda, Moniana, Nocomis, Orthodon, Richardsonius, Semotilus, Siboma, Tigoma and Tiaroga.
15 Judging from the indeed little sufficient descriptions given for most of these genera, one could expect that several of them would coincide with genera that were already known and that for many other ones the civil rights will be refused.

The genera that I myself have deemed to propose will be treated in more detail below.

Notwithstanding the doubling of the number of genera in the youngest decade, the natural classification of the Cyprines in the same period has not made the progress that one rightfully might expect after so numerous new forms had become known. In the meantime, many data to this end have been gathered, especially in the results of the last investigations of Mr Agassiz concerning the Catastomines and the North American Labeonines.

Mr C. Girard in 1856 split the Cypriniformes in the Cyprini, the Catastomi, the Chondrostomi and still in two more groups, which he indicated with the numbers IV and V .

His ideas of the groups named by him Cyprini and Chondrostomi however, differ remarkably from the usual ones, without being better. The natural classification of the Cypriniformes has gained nothing by it, just like his groups IV and V, which are based on the presence of dentes raptatorii and the presence or absence of barbels.

Half a century after the publication of his Zoölogie analytique, Mr Duméril reconsidered his old classification of the Cyprines, and in his new work gave a new classification, a classification that can boast more on originality than on naturalness.

In it the Cyprines are placed in his families Gymnopomes-Cyprinoides, Pogonophores and Lépidopomes. Of these families those of the Gymnopomes-Cyprinoides has the signification of the entire order in as much it contains the genera Cyprinus, Tinca, Abramis, Pelecus, Leuciscus, Chondrostoma, Catostoma, Cyprinodon, Poecilia, Fundulus and Hydrargyra.

Remarkably however, the genera Barbus, Gobio, Anableps and Orestias are excluded from this family. They are placed further on in the system. First the Clupeoiden (Gymnopomes-Clupéides Dum.) follow immediately after the Gymnopomes-Cyprinoides. Then the first four mentioned genera are placed in de family Pogonophores, in which also Trichomycterus, Eremophilus, Vandellia (all Siluroids) and Gonorhynchus are placed, the last mentioned, once again with Fundulus and Hydrargyra, after the family Opisthoptères, in de family Lépidopomes, which is a strange composition of Cyprinodontoids, Mugiloids, Polynemoids, Tetragonuriformes, Scombresocioids and Notopteroids.
16 Nothing more needs to be remarked about the classification of Mr Duméril, to make apparent how little it answers to a natural one.

The last proposed change in the classification of the Cyprinids know to me is that of Heckel and Mr R. Kner in their work "Die Susswasserfische der östreichischen Monarchie", which was published in 1858 in Vienna and on which I only recently set my eyes. However, it only concerns the separation of the Cobitines from the Cyprinoids and their elevation simultaneously with some real Siluroids, like Cetopsis, Pareiodon and Trichomyterus, to a proper family stamped with the name Acanthopsidea, a family that appears to me not to be acceptable on grounds that have to be further developed.

I believe the Cyprines consist of two families, the already by Mr Agassiz proposed Cyprinoids and Cyprinodontoids.

These families are, in my opinion, natural and moreover, sharply separated from each other, apart from the dentition also by the branchial apparatus.

Using the work of my predecessors, especially that of Heckel and of Mr Agassiz, I have tried to improve the subdivisions of the families, especially those of the Cyprinoids.

I split the Cyprinoids in three subfamilies, the Cobitiformes, Homalopteraeformes and Cypriniformes.

In the Cobitiformes I accept various well defined genera, two of which, Lepidocephalus and Cobitichthys have first been proposed by me.

The Cypriniformes comprise two major groups, the Phalocrognathines and Cheilognathines, already indicated by Heckel with the names Temnochilae and Pachychilae.

The Phalocrognathines comprise two smaller groups, the Labeonines and the Chondrostomines.

It was necessary to erect various new genera in the group of the Phalocrognathines. I have named these Epalzeorhynchos, Discognathichthys, Schismatorhynchos, Morulius, (formerly Chrysophekadion), Rohitichthys, Barbichthys, Morara, Opistocheilos, Pseudogobio, Acheilognathus and Mrigala.

The main group of the Cheilognathines contains three sharply characterized groups in the Catastomines, the Cyprinines and the Barbines.

Only in the Barbines, the group which contains the most numerous forms, I believed I should propose new genera. I named these Cylocheilichthys [Cyclocheilichthys], Balantioocheilos, Amblyrhynchichthys, Albulichthys, Hypselobarbus, Hemibarbus, Chanodichthys, Pseudoculter, Hemiculter, Elopichthys, Leptobarbus, Sarcocheilichthys, 17 Pseudophoxinus, Thynnichthys, Hypophthalmichthys, Gnathopogon, Rasbora,Pseudorasbora, Rasborichthys, Luciosoma, Laubuca and Macrochirichthys.

The family of the Cyprinodontoids by far does not contain the diversity of types of Cyprinoids.

One can properly class them in the four groups Cyprinodontines, Aplocheilines, Anablepines and Orestiasines.

Only in the Cyprinodontines I believe to have found new generic types in Fundulichthys and Pseudoxiphophorus.

All these subfamilies, main groups, groups and genera are treated in detail below.
I also have, as far as my resources admitted, critically examined all the numerous new genera proposed by the more recent writers.

Often I met with the incompleteness, yes, frequently even the total insufficiency of the data written down in existing descriptions and illustrations, and these have long retarded me in formulating the results of that research.

In the mean time I have dared to sift those numerous genera, to unite some, even to separate others, and generally to point out fixed characters for their proper separation.

I believe to have sufficiently succeeded in this for numerous genera, and I am also convinced, that further and more careful observation of nature will learn, that for other genera the data have been written down insufficiently, and partly incorrect by ichthyologists.

But this review has often led to such large changes or limitations of the existing diagnosis of the genera, that maybe it would have been better to use none of the names of those genera, and to design a series of entirely new names in order to avoid the increase of the already now existing confusion.

I have not done this, primarily out of respect of my predecessors, and also, because there would be no end to the name changes when the principle would be adopted, that a once given names should be changed, as soon as it appears that the diagnosis attached to it is insufficient or incorrect.

Every naturalist is liable to the incorrect formulating of a genus, as long as he does not know all species belonging to that genus. And it is exactly this knowledge, which can be expected only in a far future.

The Indian Archipelago only has Cyprinids in its western half. As indicated above, they do not pass further east than Borneo and Bali. If however, 18 one also includes the Philippines in the Indian Archipelago, its border can be brought a little further to the east. Luçon still has its Cyprines, whereas Celebes, which lies on the same latitude, seems to be completely devoid of them.

In the Sunda archipelago, the Cyprines are very numerous in species, and remarkable types. But this is only applies to the Cyprinoids, not to the Cyprinodontoids.

Of the Cyprinoids I know now 141 archipelagic species; of the Cyprinodontoids only 2.

The poverty of Cyprinodontoids therefore strongly contrasts to the richness in Cyprinoids, and the last ones will appear to be even more numerous in species, when the higher parts of the large river basins of Java, and especially of Sumatra and Borneo will be better investigated.

In the meantime, the already gathered knowledge is not to be reconsidered as unimportant, especially when one takes into account the small time space in which it was gathered.

One searches in vain for certain species of archipelagic Cyprinids in the works of the older authors.

In Bont and Niehof one only finds superficial indications of Carps. These writers comprised under Carps also certain sea fishes. Bont even indicated the Notopterus depicted by him as Tinca marina.

Even in first two decades of this century one does not find a trace of knowledge concerning this subject.

One has to proceed to the year 1822, the time when H. Kuhl and C.J. van Hasselt dedicated their sharp observations to Java's nature, to get to the first knowledge of the archipelagic Cyprinids.

Stricken by the unexpected wealth of Cyprinids in the Javanese rivers, unexpected because two centuries of European settlement in these provinces had not placed in light any of these species, Kuhl and Van Hasselt, but especially Van Hasselt, plunged themselves with apparent predilection on the observation of curious forms, which the Javanese Cyprinids offered. It did not escape their critical view, that those forms differ in so many aspects from those of the European Cyprinids, and without doubt a large part of the work of later ichthyologist would have been superfluous, if they, who nowadays still could have flowered in science, would not have been wrested from it in their youth.

In a letter in the last part of December 1822, addressed to C.J. Temminck, and included as an abstract in the first part of the volume of 1823 of the "Algemene 19 Konsten Letterbode", the first results of the observations of Kuhl and Van Hasselt concerning the Javanese Cyprinids have been written down.

They had also already noted that the rivers in their different parts, depending of the transparency of the water and vertical extension [depth], feed different species.

They indicated a number of species, partly made known in more detail by Mr Valenciennes, and which almost without any exception have been rediscovered by me.

These species are
Cobitis fasciata Val. = Nemacheilos fasciatus K. v. H.
Acanthopsis dialyzona V. Hass. = Cobitis macrorhynchos Blkr.

Acanthophthalmus fasciatus V. Hass. = Cobitis Kuhlii Val.
" javanicus V. Hass. = Cobitis oblonga Val.
Lepidocephalus Hasseltii Blkr. = Cobitis octocirrhus V. Hass. = Cobitis Hasseltii Val.
Homaloptera fasciata V. Hass. $=$ Homaloptera Wassinkii Blkr.
" javanica V. Hass. = Homaloptera Zollingeri Blkr.
Crossocheilos oblongus K. v. H. = Labeo oblongus Val.
Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus Blkr. = Diplocheilos erythropterus V. Hass.
Lobocheilos falcifer K. v. H. = Labeo falcifer Val.
Dangila leptocheila Val. = Labiobarbus leptocheilos V. Hass.
" lipocheila Val. = Labiobarbus lipocheilos V. Hass.
Cyprinus flavipinnis K. v. H. = Cyprinus floripenna (err. typogr.) V. Hass.
Labeobarbus tambra Blkr. = Barbus tambra K. v. H.
Systomus (Barbodes) hypselonotus Blkr. = Barbus hypselonotus V. Hass.
" ( " ) maculatus Blkr. = Barbus maculatus V. Hass. = Barbus binotatus Kuhl.
" ( " ) obtusirostris Blkr. = Barbus obtusirostris V. Hass.
" ( " ) rubripinnis Blkr. = Barbus rubripinnis V. Hass.
Barbus striatus V. Hass. (clearly an unknown species, or perhaps Rohita Hasseltii Val.?).
Hampala macrolepidota K. v. H.
Rasbora lateristriata Blkr. = Leuciscus lateristriatus K. v. H.
Chela anomalurus Blkr. = Clupea anomalura V. Hass. = Oxygaster anomalura V. Hass.
Panchax Buchanani Val. = Homalopsis javanica K. v. H. = Odontopsis armata V. Hass.?
Except for the above mentioned species Kuhl and Van Hasselt knew still a number of others like Homaloptera erythrorhina V. Hass., Homaloptera ocellata V. Hass., Rohita vittata Val. (Labiobarbus vittatus K. v. H.), Cirrhina breviceps Val. (Labiobarbus breviceps K. v. H.), Barbichthys laevi. Blkr. (Barbus nudicephalus K. v. H.), Cyclocheilichthys apogon Blkr. (Barbus apogon Kuhl) and Luciosoma setigerum Blkr, however the names of those species have not been made public by them.
20 The rich collections sent to the Natural History Museum in Leiden by Kuhl and Van Hasselt and their successors of the former Commission of Natural History, have contributed much to a more detailed knowledge of the Javanese Cyprinids. Mr Valenciennes was able to see the material gathered there and has written down the results of it in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons. I notice in the parts of the large work dealing with the Cyprinids 46 Javanese species of the order described, but the [actual] number of those species is less because various species have been introduced under different names two or three times as different species. After reduction of those species to their real significance, the remaining ones are the 38 species mentioned below.

Cobitis fasciata Val. = Cobitis chrysolaimos K. v. H., Val. = Nemacheilos fasciatus K. v. H. = Cobitis suborbitalis Val.

Acanthopthalmus fasciatus V. Hass. = Cobitis oblonga Val.
" javanicus V. Hass. = Cobitis oblonga Val.
Lepidocephalus Hasseltii Blkr. = Cobitis Hasseltii Val.

Homaloptera erythrorhina V. Hass. = Balitora erythrorhina Val.
" pavonina Blkr. = Balitora pavonina Val.
" Valenciennesi Blkr. = Balitora ocelIata Val.
Crossocheilos (Crossocheilos) oblongus V. Hass. = Labeo oblongus Val.
Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus Blkr. = Labeo erythropterus Val.
" ?( " ?) hispidus Blkr. = Labeo hispidus Val.
Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) falciler V. Hass. = Labeo falcifer Val.
" (Gobionichthys) lipocheilos Blkr. = Chondrostoma lipocheilos Val.
" ? or Rohita ?? = Cirrhina breviceps Val. = Labiobarbus breviceps K. v. H.
Rohita (Rohita) Hasseltii Val.
" ( " ) microcephalus Val.
" ( " ) vittata Val. = Labeobarbus vittatus K. v. H. = Rohita erythrura Val.
Dangila leptocheila Val. = Labiobarbus leptocheilus K. v. H. = Dangila Cuvieri Val.
" Kuhlii Val.
" lipocheila Val. = Labiobarbus lipocheilus K. v. H.
Barbichthys laevis Blkr. = Barbus laevis Val. = Barbus nudicephaIus K. v. H.
Cyprinus flavipinnis K. v. H., Val. = Cyprinus vittatus Val.
Labeobarbus douronensis Blkr. = Barbus douronensis Val.
" soro Blkr. = Barbus soro Val.
" tambra Blkr. = Barbus tambraVal.
Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) armatus Blkr. = Barbus armatus Val.
" (Anematichthys) apogon Blkr. = Barbus apogon Kuhl = Systomus apogon Val.
21 Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides Blkr. = Barbus bramoidea Val.
" ( " ) hypselonotusBlkr. = Barbus hypselonotus V. Hass., Val.
" ( " ) lateristriga Blkr. = Barbus lateristrigaVal.
" ( " ) maculatus Blkr. = Barbus maculatus V. Hass. =
Barbus binotatus Kuhl, Val.
" ( " ) marginatus Blkr. = Barbus marginatus Val.
" ( " ) obtusirostris Blkr. = Barbus obtusirostris V. Hass.
" ( " ) rubripinnis Blkr. = Barbus rubripinnis V. Hass. Val. = Barbus
orphoides Val. = Barbus gardonides Val. Javanese specimens
Hampala macrolepidota K. v. H. = Capoëta macrolepidota Val.
Luciosoma (Luciosoma) setigerum Blkr. = Barbus setigerus Val.
Chela anomalurus Blkr. = Oxygaster anomalurus V. Hass. = Leuciscus oxygaster Val.
Macrochirichthys? macrochir Blkr. = Clupea macrochira K. v. H. = Leuciscus macrochirus Val.
Panchax Buchanani Val. = Homalopsis javanica K. v. H. = Odontopsis armata V. Hass.
The knowledge of the Javanese Cyprinids was thereby increased with 20 species, making a total of 42 Cyprinids remaining to be inscribed in the registers of Java, only Barbus striatus V. Hass. excepted as totally uncertain species.

Almost simultaneously with Mr Valenciennes, Heckel, in his Fische Syriens, made public some archipelagic Cyprinids.

All species of Kuhl and Van Hasselt and Mr Valenciennes were exclusively from the western part of Java, whereas those of Heckel are all found outside Java, on Borneo and
the Philippines. With exception of the species for which I have not seen descriptions, they are briefly described in the aforementioned work. They are:

Systomus? (Barbodes) carassiodes Blkr. = Barbus carassiodes Heck., from Borneo.
Dangila festiva Blkr. = Cyrene festiva Heck., from Borneo.
" ocellata Blkr. = Cyrene ocellata Heck., from Borneo.
" cyanopareja Blkr. = Cyrene cyanopareja Heck., from the Philippines.
" philippinia Blkr. = Cyrene philippinia Heck., from the Philippines.
With these species the occurrence of Cyprinids on other islands of the Indian archipelago was proven for the first time.

In 1849 Mr Th . Cantor proved the occurrence of Cyprinids on the really more to the geographical area of Malacca belonging island Pinang, where he found the following species.

Hampala macrolepidota V. Hass. = Capoëta macrolepidota Val.
22 Rasbora rasbora Blkr? = Leuciscus rasbora Cuv., Cant.
Panchax Buchanani Val.
The knowledge of the Cyprinids had advanced this far when I started my investigations with regard to this order.

In total only 48 species were known for the entire archipelago, and the descriptions of these species for the greater part were to be considered as insufficient, which partly was to be expected as they had been made from specimens that had been preserved for a long time in spirit or as stuffed or dried objects.

If the knowledge concerning this of the largest island was not worth mentioning, apart from Java one knew virtually nothing about the remaining Sunda Islands.

The privilege befell to my share to supplement much of the lacking knowledge of the archipelagic Cyprinids, and to be the first to spread light over the Cyprinids of Sumatra, Bali, Biliton, Banka and Singapore.

Moreover, for numerous species I was the first to add them to science. And although various earlier erected species later, after penetrating deeper into this branch of research and after receiving a richer supply of materials, have appeared to belong to earlier known but insufficiently described species, after deducting of those species still 84 remain, which were described by me between 1859 [1849] and 1858 of which science earlier had no knowledge.

The descriptions of those species are spread over numerous contributions. I will show the names of these species in the order in which their descriptions were made public from 1849 to the present with the addition of newer names that differing insights in their generic relations have made necessary.
1849. Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus Blkr. = Barbus gonionotus Blkr.
" ( " ) erythropterus Blkr. = Barbus erythropterus Blkr.
Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) enoplos Blkr. = Barbus enoplos Blkr.
Morulius chrysophekadion Blkr. = Rohita chrysophekadion Blkr. = Rohita polyporos Blkr. = Rohita koilogeneion Blkr. = Rohita cyanomelas Blkr.
= Chrysophekadion polyporos Blkr.
Systomus (Capoëta) brevis Blkr. = Capoëta brevis Blkr.
Rasbora argyrotaenia Blkr. = Leuciscus argyrotaenia Blkr. = Leuciscus cyanotaenia Blkr. = Leuciscus Schwenkii Blkr.
1850. Balantiocheilos melanopterus Blkr. = Barbus melanopterus Blkr. $=$ Systomus melanopterus Blkr.
Rohteichthys microlepis Blkr. = Barbus microlepis Blkr. = Systomus microlepis Blkr. = Rohtee microlepis Blkr. 23 Amblyrhynchichthys truncatus Blkr. = Barbus truncatus Blkr. = Systomus truncatus Blkr.
Epalzeorhynchos kallopterus Blkr. = Barbus kalopterus Blkr.
Macrochirichthys uranoscopus Blkr. = Leuciscus uranoscopus Blkr.
Rasbora dusonensis Blkr. = Leuciscus dusonensis Blkr.
Dangila spilurus Blkr.
Rasbors kallochroma Blkr. = Leuciscus kallochroma Blkr.
1851. Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) microlepis Blkr. = Capoëta microlepis Blkr.

Systomus (Systomus) bulu Blkr.
Leptobarbus Hoevenii Blkr. = Barbus Hoevenii Blkr.
Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) siaja Blkr. = Capoëta enoplos Blkr. = Capoëta siaja Blkr.
Rohita (Rohita) Schlegeli Blkr.
Rasbora Einthoveni Blkr. = Leuciscus Einthovenii Blkr.
Cobitichthys barbatuloides Blkr. = Cobitis barbatuloides Blkr.
Rasbora cephalotaenia Blkr. = Leuciscus cephalotaenia Blkr.
1852. Rohita (Rohita) melanopleura Blkr.

Chela oxygastroides Blkr. = Leuciscus oxygastroides Blkr.
Systomus (Capoëta) padangensis Blkr. = Capoëta padangensis Blkr.
Hampala ampalong Blkr. = Capoëta ampalong Blkr.
Dangila sumatrana Blkr.
Rohita (Rohita) enneaporos Blkr.
" ( " ) triporos Blkr.
Thynnichthys thynnoides Blkr. = Leuciscus thynnoides Blkr.
Luciosoma (Trinematichthys) trinema Blkr. = Leuciscus trinema Blkr.
Rasbora sumatrana Blkr. = Leuciscus sumatranus Blkr.
Hymenophysa MacClellandi Blkr. = Cobitis hymenophysa Blkr.
" macracanthus Blkr. = Cobitis macracanthus Blkr.
Cobitis Jaklesi Blkr.
Rohita (Rohita) Waandersi Blkr.
Homaloptera ophiolepis Blkr.
" salasur Blkr.
" gymnogaster Blkr.
1853. Systomus (Barbodes) Huguenini Blkr. = Barbus Huguenini Blkr.

Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) repasson Blkr. = Barbus repasson Blkr.
Systomus (Capoëta) oligolepis Blkr. = Capoëta oligolepis Blkr.
Dangila fasciata Blkr.
Systomus (Barbodes) fasciatus Blkr. = Barbus fasciatus Blkr.
Rohita (Rohita) oligolepis Blkr.
Rasbora bankanensis Blkr. = Leuciscus bankanensis Blkr.
24 Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) heteronema Blkr. = Barbus heteronema Blkr.
" (Anematichthys) janthochir Blkr. = Systomus janthochir Blkr.
" (Cyclocheilichthys) macracanthus Blkr. = Barbus macracanthus Blkr.
Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi Blkr. = Barbus Schwanefeldii Blkr, partly.
Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) cobitis Blkr. = Lobocheilos cobitis Blkr.
Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) Schwanefeldi Blkr.
Schismatorhynchos heterorhynchos Blkr. = Lobocheilos heterorchynhos Blkr. = Schismatorhynchos lobocheilioides Blkr.
1854. Labeobarbus tambroides Blkr. = Barbus tambroides Blkr.

Rasbora lateristriata Blkr. = Leuciscus lateristriatus V. Hass. in ms.
Acanthopsis choirorhynchos Blkr. = Cobitis choirorhynchos Blkr.
Lepidocephalus macrochir Blkr. = Cobitis macrochir Blkr.
Aplocheilus javanicus Blkr.
Systomus (Barbodes) amblycephalus Blkr. = Barbus amblycephalus Blkr.
" (Capoëta) sumatranus Blkr. = Capoeta tetrazona Blkr.
1855. Luciosoma (Luciosoma) spilopleura Blkr.

Rohita (Rohita) brachynotopterus Blkr.
Diplocheilichthys pleurotaenia Blkr. = Lobocheilos pleurotaenia Blkr.
Rasbora leptosoma Blkr. = Leuciscus leptosoma Blkr.
Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus Blkr. = Barbus javanicus Blkr.
" ( " ) macrophthalmus Blkr. = Barbus macrophthalmus Blkr.
" ( " ) platysoma Blkr. = Barbus platysoma Blkr.
" (Systomus) lawak Blkr.
Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) apogonoides Blkr. = Systomus apogonoides Blkr.
" (Siaja) Deventeri Blkr. = Capoëta Deventeri Blkr.
Systomus (Capoëta) leiacanthus Blkr. = Capoëta javanica Blkr.
Albulichthys albuloides Blkr. = Systomus albuloides Blkr.
1856. Systomus(Barbodes) tetrazona Blkr. = Barbus tetrazona Blkr.

Rasborichthys Helfrichi Blkr. = Leuciscss Helfrichii Blkr.
Rohita (Rohita) borneënsis Blkr.
" ( " ) kahajanensis Blkr.
" ( " ) Kappenii Blkr.
1856. Systomus (Barbodes) koilometopon Blkr.
1857. " ( " ) bunter Blkr. = Barbus bunter Blkr.

Lobocheilos? (Lobocheilos?) Hasseltii Blkr. = Barbus Hasseltii Blkr.
" (Gobionichthys) microcephalus Blkr. = Gobio microcephalus Blkr.
Labeo (Diplocheilos) lucas Blkr. = Lobocheilos lucas Blkr.
" ( " ) rohitoides Blkr. = Lobocheilos rohitoides Blkr.
251858 . Systomus (Systomus) Waandersi Blkr.
Except for these 84 species my cabinet contains still some others, which have not been described before. I have named these:
Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) Langei.
Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) lehat.
Rohita (Rohita) Kuhli.
Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) macropus.
Systomus (Barbodes) belinka.
" ( " ) goniosoma.

Thynnichthys polylepis.
Rasbora borneënsis.
Chela hypophthalmus.
The last mentioned species will be made known for the first time in this work and will bring the number archipelagic species of Cyprinids discovered by myself to 95 , and that of the total observed species to 141.

Only two of those species belong to the family of the Cyprinodontoids and therein to the group of the Aplocheilines, which group is restricted to the Old world and therein to South and East Asia and the Japanese and Indian Islands.

Of the remaining 139 Cyprinoids 11 species belonged to the subfamily of the Cobitiformes, which subfamily is also restricted to the Old world, however stretches across the entire width of Asia and Europe, whereas it has no representatives in Africa.

The Homalopteraeformes have 9 species on the Sunda Islands. Other species of this subfamily are only known from South Asia.

The Cypriniformes, numbered 119, all except for two species, belong to the groups of the Labeoines and the Barbines.

The Catastomines, one neither finds in the Indian archipelago nor in Europe, Africa and almost all of Asia, of which part of the world till now, only eastern Siberia, has indicated the existence of Catastomines, and then only of a single species.

It can also be said of the Cyprinines that they do not occur naturally in the Indian archipelago, as Carassius auratus is only a cultured fish there, and Cyprinus flavus is that as well and has not spread further than the highlands of the western part of Java. The proper motherland of the Cyprinines is restricted to the temperate and colder areas of Asia and Europe, from where some species have been spread over the most divergent parts of the earth.

The archipelagic Labeoines, according to the present state of science, are 42 or 43 in number. This group is recovered in all continents, were ${ }^{26}$ Cyprinoids occur, however its species are so numerous in South and South-western Asia, and in North America, as they are sparse in Europe, South Africa and Eastern Asia, whereas they are totally lacking in North America and North Asia.

The Barbines in the Sunda archipelago are represented by the most numerous species. Those species are 74 in number, and therefore account for the largest half of the total of the archipelagic Cyprinoids. Moreover, the Barbines in general are the richest group in the entire family, as the total number of species, taken over the entire earth and the now living creation, accounts for more than $64 \%$ of all the now living species of the entire family. They are relatively the most numerous in South Asia and Europe, the rarest in North Asia and Africa.

The knowledge, gained by my observations, has already shed some light on the geographical distribution of the archipelagic Cyprines

When I started my research, on the map of the Indian Archipelago, Cyprines were only known from a part of western Java and one single spot on both Borneo and Luçon, namely, as indicated above, Luçon and Borneo each with 2, Java with 42 species, and Pinang, if one wants to include this island in the archipelago, with 3 species.

Those proportions nowadays are changed remarkably.

From Java I know 73, from Bali 2, from Sumatra 84, from Nias 1, from Singapore 3, from Banka 10, from Biliton 4, and from Borneo 52 Cyprines; figures that surely by far do not express the real proportions of the species occurring on the islands, but are still remarkable, because they show, on the one hand the earlier not expected wealth of representatives of the order on all larger Sunda Islands, and on the other hand, that especially Sumatra is very rich in Cyprinids, certainly much richer than Java, as it, although less well research than Java has at present already 11 species more. The figure of Borneo, most probably would also be much higher, if the carps that were sent to me from there, would not have sprung only from the lower parts of its large rivers. Surely a totally different world of Cyprinids is moving in the heart of this largest island of the world, than near its rapids, just like this is already proven for Java and Sumatra.

Only a short while ago there were many reasons to assume that the archipelagic Cyprines form a totally isolated fauna.

Not a single archipelagic Cyprinoid species was known from outside the archipelago, as Barbus gardonides Val. from Bengal which according to Mr Valenciennes also ${ }^{27}$ occurs on Java, is not found here, - and even if one wished to draw Pinang within the limits of the Archipelago, it still is doubtful whether the species described by Mr Cantor from here as Leuciscus rasbora, indeed is the same as Cyprinus rasbora of Buchanan.

Only of Panchax, thus a Cyprinodont, one knows that it occurs on the Sunda islands as well as in Bengal.

But also concerning this new light has been shed in the youngest time.
One owes this to the investigations of Count Francis de Castelnau. With great accuracy Mr De Castelnau, during his sojourn in Siam, at Bangkok, has recorded the physiognomy of the fishes he observed there in an album. This album, benevolently sent to me for inspection, with certainty has led to the recognition of a large number of archipelagic fish species amongst which various Cyprinoids. Through this it is proven, that in Siam's rivers also are living Morulius chrysophekadion, Rohita (Rohita) melanopleura, Rohita (Rohita) borneënsis, Systomus (Systomus) bulu, Systomus (Barbodes) rubripinnis, Amblyrhynchichthys truncatus, Balantiocheilos melanopterus, Rasbora dusonensis and Macrochirichthys uranoscopus. And since the same album has also learned that in various Labyrinth fishes, Rhynchobdelloids and Siluroids, which formerly were only known from the rivers of the Indian archipelago, occur also in freshwaters of Siam, the large relationships between the freshwater fish fauna of Siam, and that of the Sunda Islands is undeniable. That relationship is even in such a way, that, like I have expressed elsewhere, when I would not have been familiar with the origin of the figures of Mr De Castelnau, I would have thought that with regard to the freshwater fishes, they would represent the freshwater fauna of Borneo or Sumatra.

There is no doubt, that a continued research of the freshwater faunas of the aforementioned provinces, which are separated from each other by a rather wide sea, will bring to light many remarkable points with regard to the geographical distribution of the Cyprines, and that many geologists will find occasion for theories on the genetic coherence of those regions, and the origin of the Chinese and Malayan seas lying between them.

Another curious point is the division of various species of Cyprines over the various Sunda Islands. As was already shown for the plant world, for several classes of the ani-
mal kingdom, and in the class of fishes, particularly for the Silurids, that Sumatra and Borneo agree more with each other than one of both with Java; the same can now be proved with regard to the Cyprines. Numerous species are found equally on Borneo and Sumatra, which are lacking on Java, and numerous species are found on Java, which are lacking on Borneo and Sumatra. In as much one ${ }^{28}$ knows of the islands of Banka and Biliton, they are in a Cyprinologic respect similarly closer related to Borneo and Sumatra, than to Java.

According to the present state of knowledge the following proportions can be observed.
$1^{\circ}$. Species occurring on all three large Sunda Islands (Java, Sumatra, Borneo) are: Hymenophysa MacClellandi, Rohita Hasseltii, Rohita vittata, Dangila Cuvieri, Barbichthys laevis, Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) apogon (also on Banka), Systomus (Barbodes) lateristriga (also on Singapore, Banka and Biliton), Systomus (Barbodes) maculatus (also on Bali, Nias, Singapore, Banka and Biliton), Hampala macrolepidota (also on Pinang), Chela anomalurus, Chela oxygastroides and Panchax Buchanani (also on Pinang).
$2^{\circ}$. Java has in common with Sumatra, but not with Borneo; Cobitis fasciata, Acanthophthalmus javanicus, Acanthophthalmus fasciatus, Homaloptera fasciata, Homaloptera ocellata, Homaloptera ophiolepis, Homaloptera salusur, Crossocheilos (Crossocheilos) oblongus, Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) cobitis, Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) falcifer, Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) Schwanefeldi, Morulius chrysophekadion, Rohita microcephalus, Labeobarbus douronensis, Labeobarbus soro, Labeobarbus tambra, Labeobarbus tambroides, Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) armatus, Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus, Systomus (Barbodes) marginatus, Luciosoma (Luciosoma) setigerum, Rasbora argyrotaenia and Rasbora lateristriata.
$3^{\circ}$. Borneo has in common with Java but not with Sumatra: Acanthopsis dialyzona and Systomus (Barbus) erythopterus.
$4^{\circ}$. Borneo has in common with Sumatra but not with Java: Hymenophysa macracanthus, Epalzeorhynchos kallopterus, Rohita melanopleura, Rohita Schlegeli, Rohita triporos, Dangila fasciata, Dangila ocellata, Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) siaja, Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) microlepis, Balantiocheilos melanopterus, Systomus (Barbodes) fasciatus (also on Banka), Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi, Systomus (Systomus) bulu, Hampala ampalong, Albulichthys albuloides, Amblyrhynchichthys truncatus, Rohteichthys microlepis, Leptobarbus Hoevenii, Luciosoma (Trinematichthys) trinema, Thynnichthys polylepis, Rasbora dusonensis and Macrochirichthys uranoscopus.

When the higher parts of the river basins will be investigated for Cyprinids, it will also become evident that many species mentioned under $2^{\circ}$ also live in clear river water in Borneo, but I do not believe that future investigations will find many species on Java mentioned in this paragraph.
$5^{\circ}$. To Java belong: Lepidocephalus Hasseltii, Homaloptera erythrorhina, Homaloptera pavonina, Homaloptera Valenciennesi, Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus, Labeo (Diplocheilos) lucas, Labeo (Diplocheilos) rohitoides, Labeo? (Diplocheilos) hispidus, Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) lebat, Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) 29 javanicus, Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) microcephalus, Lobocheilos?? Hasseltii, Dangila Kuhli, Dangila lipocheila, Cirrhina breviceps Val., Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) enoplos, Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) Deventeri, Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) apo-
gonides, Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides, Systomus (Barbodes) bunter, Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus, Systomus (Barbodes) hypselonotus, Systomus (Barbodes) koilometopon, Systomus (Barbodes) macrophthalinus, Systomus (Barbodes) obtusirostris, Systomus (Barbodes) platysoma, Systomus (Capoëta) brevis, Systomus (Capoëta) leiacanthus, Systomus (Systomus) lawak, Systomus (Systomus) Waandersi, Macrochirichthys?? macrochirus and Aplocheilos javanicus.
$6^{\circ}$. To Sumatra belong: Cobitis Jaklesi, Acanthopsis choirorhynchos, Lepidocephalus macrochir, Homaloptera gymnogaster, Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) Langei, Schismatorhynchos heterorhynchos, Diplocheilichthys pleurotaenia, Rohita brachynotopterus, Rohita eneaporos, Rohita Kuhli, Dangila sumatrana, Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) macracanthus, Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) repasson, Systomus (Barbodes) belinka, Systomus (Barbodes) goniosoma, Systomus (Barbodes) Huguenini, Systomus (Capoëta) oligolepis, Systomus (Capoëta) padangensis, Systomus (Capoëta) sumatranus, Thynnichthys thynnoides, Rasbora leptosoma, Rasbora sumatrana and Chela hypophthalmus.
$7^{\circ}$. To Borneo belong: Cobitichthys barbatuloides, Rohita Kappenii, Dangila festiva, Dangila spilurus, Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) heteronema, Cyclocheilichtys (Siaja) macropus, Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) janthochir, Systomus (Barbodes) amblycephalus, Systomus (Barbodes) tetrazona and Rasbora borneënsis,
$8^{\circ}$. To Banka belong: Rohita oligolepis, Rohita Waandersi and Rasbora bankanensis.
$9^{\circ}$. Biliton till now does not have species that occur only there, just like Bali, Nias and Singapore, whereas from the remaining Sunda Islands not one species of this order has become known.
$10^{\circ}$. To the Philippines belong: Dangila cyanopareja and Dangila philippinia, the only two Cyprinid species thusfar reported from that island group.

With regard to the distribution of generic types over the Sunda Islands is apparent from the above:
$1^{\circ}$. That the three large Sunda Island have in common the genera Hymenophysa, Acanthopsis, Rohita, Dangila, Barbichthys, Cyclocheilichthys, Systomus, Hampala, Luciosoma, Rasbora, Chela, Macrochirichthys? and Panchax,
$2^{\circ}$. That Java has in common with Sumatra but not with Borneo: Cobitis, Acanthophthalmus, Lepidocephalus, Homaloptera, Crossocheilos, Lobocheilos, Morulius, Labeobarbus, and the subgenus Luciosoma,
$3^{\circ}$. That Borneo with Java has not in common a single genus, which not also occurs on Sumatra.
${ }_{30} 4^{\circ}$. That Borneo, on the contrary, has in common with Sumatra, but not also with Java, the genera Epalzeorhynchos, Balantiocheilos, Albulichthys, Amblyrhynchichthys, Rohteichthys, Leptobarbus, Thynnichthys and the subgenus Trinematichthys.
$5^{\circ}$. That in the archipelago exclusively on Java are found the subgenera Diplocheilos and Gobionichthys and the genus Cyprinus, of which however only Gobionichthys belongs to Java.
$6^{\circ}$. That to Sumatra belongs the genus Diplocheilichthys whereas the genus Schismatorhynchos is also represented in South Asia, but in the archipelago is only found on Sumatra.
$7^{\circ}$. That the genus Rasborichthys is only found on Borneo.
$8^{\circ}$. That the species that have become known from Bali, Nias, Biliton, Banka, Singapore and the Philipines all belong to the genera that also occur on other islands.

The abovementioned proportions in the statement following hereafter are represented in tabular form.

When one wants to have an idea of the wealth in species unfolded above, than it is only necessary to compare Java or Sumatra with any other large island, situated close to a continent rich in Cyprines.

With regard to this Great Britain stands in about the same relation to Europe, as Sumatra or Java to Asia.

England and Scotland taken together, in size hardly differing from Java, according to the "List of the specimens of British animals in the Collection of the British Museum" by Mr J.E. Gray, feed only 21 species of Cyprines, i.e. 2 Cobitiformes, 3 Cyprinines, and 16 Barbines, and of those species some were even brought over from the continent.

From Java on the contrary, one knows at present already 73 and from Sumatra 84 Cyprines and those figures certainly too many species do not express the real number occurring on them, whereas it is not to be expected that the figure for Great Britain will be remotely importantly changed by new observations.

If a comparison is made on a somewhat larger scale, e.g. of Java and Sumatra combined, with any large region rich in Cyprines and closely investigated by naturalists, for example Austria, like it was defined at the beginning of this year, with its large river basins of the Danube and the Po river, than it appears that Sumatra alone at present has more Cyprines than Austria as a whole, as in the recent work of Heckel and Mr R. Kner on the Austrian fishes in total 81 Cyprines are mentioned. And if one combines Java and Sumatra, to get ${ }^{31}$ a surface area that is more comparable to that of Austria, than it appears that one knows at present from both islands already 40 species more, than from the entire Austrian nation.

The whole of Europe possesses, according to our present state of knowledge, just as much Cyprines, as nowadays have been discovered from the Sunda Islands.

The Cyprines are distributed over the Indian Archipelago as follows.

## CYPRINORUM ARCHIPELAGICORUM DISTRIBUTIO GEOGRAPHICA.






${ }^{36}$ To these probably can be added Barbus balleroides Val. and Barbus carassoides Heck.

A summation, with the means available to me, of all Cyprines of the now living creation, has made me find a figure of 1144 species.

In this figure the archipelagic species are included. These species therefore comprise about $1 / 8$ or more than 0.12 of the total.

If one looks at this proportion in more details regarding the families, subfamilies and groups, one gets the following results.

Of the Cyprinodontoids 97 species are described, among which only 2 archipelagic ones. The proportion therefore $=1: 48.5$

The described Cyprinoids are 1047 in number, including 142 archipelagic ones. The proportion thus = 1:7.37.

The subfamily of the Cypriniformes, including 122 archipelagic species, numbers 959 species. The proportion therefore $=1: 7.87$.

Nowadays in science 72 species of Cobitines are mentioned, among which 11 archipelagic ones. From these numbers one gets the proportion $=1: 6.54$.

Of the 16 known Homalopteraeformes 9 are archipelagic $=1: 1.77$.
These proportions moreover for the groups of Cypriniformes are as follows.
For the Phalacrognathines $=1: 5.67$
" " Cheilognathines $=1: 9.05$
" " Labeonines $=1: 4.18$
" " Chondrostomines $=0: 64$
" " Catostomines $=0: 54$
" " Cyprines $=0: 33$ or taking both imported species
into account $=1$ : 16.5
" " Barbines $=1: 8.15$
In these proportions the fossil Cyprinids have been left out.
Although the Cyprines in the archipelago comprise such a remarkably large part of the total, it still is noticeable, not only that the Cyprinodontoids are hardly, and the Chondrostmines, Catostomines and Cyprininines not at all represented, but also that with the exception only of the Cobitiformes, so numerous genera of the remaining Cy prinoids are not found there. One does not recover there any American genus and of the genera occurring in Africa and Europe at most Labeobarbus, Systomus, Chela, Labeo and Crossochelus.
37 I have placed below a tabular review of the geographical distribution of all genera of Cyprines that I have accepted, and one will perceive from it, that of the 35 genera of the Labeoines not less than 26, and of the 69 genera of the Barbines not less than 53 are lacking in the archipelago.

As the outermost border land in the South East, the archipelago however has created the most curious and most complex shapes and feeds representatives of the genera Epalzeorhynchus, Diplocheilichthys, Lobocheilos, Barbichthys, Cyclocheilichthys, Albulichthys, Rohteichthys, Leptobarbus, Rasborichthys and Macrochirichthys, of which the large continents of the old and the new world till now have not yielded any species.
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## CYPRINORUM DISTRIBUTIO GEOGRAPHICA.

FAMILIA.I. CYPINROIDEI.


| GENERA． | SPECIES． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Asiaticae． |  |  |  |  |  |  | Americanae． |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { í } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { ث́ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : } \mathrm{U} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{4} \\ & \dot{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \dot{B} \\ & : \ddot{Z} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{4} \\ & \dot{4} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | － |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 訁̈ㅁ. } \\ & \text { 欠 } \\ & \text { gi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 志 } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ |  |
| Per transp． | 17 | 0 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| Rohita Val．．，．． | 14 | $»$ | 20 | ＂ | ， | ＂ | 20 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 32 （1） |
| Morulius Buch．．． | 1 | ＂ | 11 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 11 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 11 |
| Rohitichthys Blkr．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Dangila Val．．．．． | 10 | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 11 |
| Abrostomus Smith．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 |
| Barbichthys Blkr．．． | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Morara Blkr．．．． | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＊ | ＂ | 2 |
| Semiplotus Blkr．．． | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Opistocheilos Blkr：．． | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 |
| Cochlognathus B．Gir．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ， | n | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 1 |
| Pimephales Raf．．．－ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | 3 |
| Pseudogobio Blkr．． | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Mylocheilus Ag．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | 3 |
| Mylopharodon Ayr．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | 2 |
| Exoglossum Raf．．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | 2 |
| Campostoma Ag．．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | 4 |
| Siboma Gir．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | 2 |
| Lavinia Gir．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | $\cdots$ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | 4 |
| Dionda Gir．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | $\checkmark$ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 10 | ＂ | ＂ | 10 | 10 |
| Algoma Gir．．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | 2 |
| Hyborhynchus Ag． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 5 | ＂ | ＂ | 5 | 5 |
| Hybognathus Ag．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 7 | ＂ | ＂ | 7 | 7 |
| Orthodon Gir． | ＂ | n | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 1 |
| Cliola Gir．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | 3 |
| Algansea Gir．．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | 4 |
| Tot． | 43 | 1 | 65 | 9 | ＂ | ＂ | 73 | ＂ | 13 | 53 | ＂ | ＂ | 53 | 180 |
| Stirps 2 Chondrostom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chondrostoma Ag． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | 5 | ＂ | $"$ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 7 |
| Acheilognathus Blkr． | ＂ | 5 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 5 |
| Aspidoparia Heck．． | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Gymnostomus Heck．．． | ＂ | ＂ | 14 | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 15 | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 16 |
| Mrigala Blkr．．．．， | ＂ | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 |
| Dillonia Heck．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Cyprinion Heek． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 |
| Oreinus McCl ． | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | 13 | ＂ | ＂ | 16 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 16 |
| Schizopyge Heck．．．． | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 |
| Tot． | ＂ | 5 | 28 | 24 | ＂ | ＂ | 52 | 5 | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 64 |

（1）Spec． 1 incert．habit．
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| GENERA． |  | SPECIES． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Asiaticae． |  |  |  |  |  |  | Americanae． |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { 灾 } \\ & \text { 荘 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 谷 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 票 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | 苗 |  |
| Per transp． | ．． |  | 5 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Hypselobarbus Blkr．． | ．． | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 |
| Systomus McCl．．． | ．． | 31 | ＂ | 48 | 11 | ＂ | ＂ | 59 | ＂ | 10 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 98 |
| Cyclocheilichthys Blkr．． | ． | 12 | ＂ | $1{ }^{1}$ ） | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ， | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 12 |
| Barbus Cuv．．．． | －． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 7 | ＂ | ＂ | 7 | 5 | 5 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 17 |
| Labeobarbus Rüpp．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ． | 4 | ＂ | 9 | 4 | 2 | ＂ | 14 | 5 | 7 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 30 |
| Opsaridium Pet．（gen．？？） | ． | ＂ | ＂ | － | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | － | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Hemibarbus Blkr．． | －． | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Pseudophoxinus Blkr．． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Rohteichthys Blkr． | ． | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Rohtee Syk．： | －． | ＂ | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | 9 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 9 |
| Acanthobrama Heck． | ，： | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | 2 | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 |
| Rhodeus Ag．．． | －． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Chanodichthys Blkr． | ．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 |
| Pseudoculter Blkr． |  | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 |
| Hemiculter Blkr．，． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Aulopyge Heck．．． | －． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Meda Gir．．． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | ， | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 1 |
| Chedrus Swns． |  | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | n | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 |
| Plargyrus Raf． | ． | ＂ | $\checkmark$ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 7 | ＂ | ＂ | 7 | 7 |
| Catla Val．．．． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Hypophthalmichthys Blkr． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 |
| Thynnichthys Blkr．． | ． | 2 | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 6 |
| Amblypharyngodon Blkr． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 |
| Devario Heck． | － |  | ， | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 4 |
| Luciosoma Blkr．．． |  | 3 | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＊ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 |
| Perilampus McCl．． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 |
| Esomus Swns． |  | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 3 |
| Tinca Cuv．． | ，． | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | 1 | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 |
| Argyreus Heck．．． | －• | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 11 | ＂ | ＂ | 11 | 11 |
| Chrosomus Raf．．． | ．： | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 1 |
| Tiaroga Gir．．．． | ．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 1 |
| Phoxinus Ag．． | ．． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Phoxinellus Heck． | －． | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Cirrhina Cuv．． | － | ＂ | ， | 1 | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ， | 1 |
| Gobio Cuv．．． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 | 1 | ＂ | 2 | 4 | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ＂ | 4 | 10 |
| Sarcocheilichthys Blkr． | ． | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Leptobarbus Blkr，． | ． | 1 |  | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | n | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 1 |
| Gnathopogon Blkr． | ． | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 |
| Pseudorasbora Blkr． | ，． | ， | 2 | ， | ＂ | ${ }^{2}$ | ＂ | ， | ＂ | ＂ |  | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 |
| Rasbora Blkr．． | ． | 11 | ＂ | 11 | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | 15 | ＂ | ， |  | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 24 |
| Rasborichthys Blkr． | ． | 1 | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ |  |  | ＂ | ＂ |  | ＂ | ＂ | „ | 1 |
| Elopichthys Blkr．． | ． | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | ＂ |  | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | 2 |
| Aspius Ag．． |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | ＂ |  | ＂ | n | ＂ | 12 |


(1) I Spec. Maurit. (2) Cum specieb. dubiae affinitatis.
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# 4 FAMILY CYPRINOIDEI. Carp-like Fishes 

Cyprini with toothless jaws. Only the lower pharyngeal bones dentate, with the teeth in one to three rows, intermaxillary bones not united. Three rays in the branchiostegal membrane.

Remark. The Cyprinoids differ constantly from the Cyprinodontoids by smooth, toothless jaws, the presence of one to three rows of teeth only on the lower pharyngeal bone, and the presence of only three branchiostegal rays. The presence of scales on the body (Aulopyge) is no more constant than the lacking of scales on the head (Lepidocephalus), and the scales themselves are even not always smooth edged or cycloid (Homaloptera). Also other characters, presented by various authors as being characteristic for Cyprinoids, as indicated above, are not constantly found it all species and therefore also cannot serve for an absolute determination of the family.

Although richer in species than any other family of fishes, the Cyprinoids in no way offer a multitude of important and obvious characters corresponding to that wealth. In this respect, they highly contrast to the Siluroids, which by far do not comprise half as much species.

In the Cyprinoids nature has availed itself of the most simple alphabet to distinguish the notable species from one another and those characters are usually so little obvious, that it could appear a desperate undertaking, when one had the more than thousands known species spread out in front of oneself, to arrange those species in a remotely satisfactory way.

More than a century ago, when one did not even know thirty species, that difficulty did not exist, as it would seem satisfactory to class them in only a few genera. But the numerous discoveries that succeeded each other with rapid strides during the last tens of years, have made 45 a further splitting inevitable, and if there are authors nowadays who believe, that at most only a few species can be added to the Artedian genera Cobitis and Cyprinus, a judgement like that can only be explained by a too little penetrating research or a limited knowledge of the species.

The Artedian genera Cobitis and Cyprinus at present have a higher meaning and should be valued as subfamilies.

To these, the Homalopteraeformes can be added as a subfamily.
In as much the arrangements of the Cyprinoids only regard these subfamilies, it does not offer difficulties.

The Cobitiformes are always easy to distinguish from the normal Cypriniformes by their lower jaw barbels and the narrow vertical gill slit, extremely small scales that are sunken in the skin, and the complete absence of pseudobranchiae. And even if it becomes difficult to distinguish them by means of those characters from some Homalopteraeformes, the not being depressed of head and body and the not being flat and broad of the belly decides that they are no Homalopteraeformes.

Similarly, the splitting of the Cobitiformes in genera is still to be done on the basis of solid principles, which will be more apparent in the chapter on his subfamily.

The Homalopteraeformes are easy to recognise by the very flat head and belly, the horizontal, more or less disc shaped pectoral fins that posses several undivided rays
and are implanted on the belly line, the small vertical gill slit and the small inferior central mouth opening.

The problems in the subdivision of the Cypriniformes only begin after one has made some major groups.

Heckel has found an important character in the shape of the jaws and lips and with a small alteration in the definition of the Cypriniformes in Temnochilae and Pachychilae his division can be considered a very good one.

These departments fall almost entirely together with those which I have proposed under the names Phalacrognathines and Cheilognathines.

With these names I wanted to express that in one department the lower jaw, although it sometimes is very thick and not always, like Heckel expresses it "in aciem attenuata" [attenuated into an edge], protrudes free or naked, irrispective of the presence or absence of a lower lip, whereas in the other department the lower jaw is always coated with a lip, which, if it is very much developed as in some species of Labeobarbus and in Balantiocheilos, always envelops the lower jaw and hangs down before it.

The genera Labeo Cuv. and Chondrostoma Ag. have provided the types for two large groups of the Phalacrognathines.

Indeed the numerous forms can be placed in two groups, based on the presence or absence of the lower lip. These groups were already distinguished by Heckel 46 but not named. One can stamp them after the genera that were first erected in them with the names Labeonines and Chondrostomines.

Each of these groups contains numerous genera, for the grouping of which peculiarities have to be found especially in the dentition and in the structure of the jaws, lips and snout, whereas also in the composition and placing of the fins and squamation good characters can be found, which will be further explained below.

The Cheilognathines, to which the large majority of the Cyprinoids belongs, can be split into three natural groups. I name these after their major types Catostomini, Cyprinini and Barbini.

The Catostominines are recognized by their fleshy head, thick lips and especially by the very numerous pharyngeal teeth, from 40 to more than 60, which are implanted in a single row on each lower pharyngeal bone giving the appearance of a comb.

The Cyprinines, apart from their remaining natural characters, as a prominent and easily recognizable distinguishing character have a serrated anal fin spine, which is not found in any other group of the family.

The Barbines miss those anal fin spine serrations and have as a certain distinction from the Catostomines, never more than 12 (4-12) teeth on each lower pharyngeal bone. These teeth are placed in one to three rows.

The largest problem in the delimiting of natural genera and in determining their relationships one finds in the Barbines. Because, however sharply the extreme forms of this group seem to be opposed, like the genera Barbus and Macrochirichthys, the hundreds of intermediate forms offer such numerous and little noticeable transitions, that one, in an attempt to make subgroupings of the genera, one time and again runs up against the not entire validity or constancy of the used characters, and from this it is easy to explain, why various excellent ichthyologists have declared themselves against the erection of so many genera, which have been derived from the Barbines.

However, it is mainly the difficulty here to find the right characters and in the formation of genera one must not rely on a single but on a complex of characters. Carefully
consulting nature in this way one will be able to determine most genera with sufficient exactness, and work on a natural grouping of those genera with a better result than was done till now.

In this work I have attempted this grouping just like the sub arrangement of the Phalacrognathines, however, I often have had to restrict myself to make use of the data available in various ichthyological works, and therefore I often was exposed to the errors that can arise from the incompleteness or unjustness of those data.
47 In the mean time, including my Bengalese and Japanese specimens, I was able to investigate after nature 170 species, among which many that belong to the most peculiar genera.

As unfolded above, the Cyprinoids can be divided in three subfamilies, the Cobitiformes, Homalopteraeformes and Cypriniformes, whereas the last one can be split further in two major groups, Phalocrognathines and Cheilognathines, each of which contains two or three well characterized large groups.

One may easily characterize these main divisions as follows.
Family Cyprinoidei
Subfamily I. Cobitiformes. Scales very small more or less immerged in the mucus covering the smooth skin. Six to ten barbels. Gill opening vertical, narrow. Head and body not depressed. Fins spineless, pectoral fins with only one simple ray.
No pseudobranchiae. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row.
Subfamily II. Homalopteraeformes. Head and body depressed and flat at the underside.
Fins spineless, pectoral and ventral fins disc-like, horizontally inserted in the ventral margin pectoral fins with many simple rays. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row. Mouth inferior, small, central.
Subfamily III. Cypriniformes. Never more than 4 barbels, often none at all. Head and body compressed. Gill opening broad. Pectoral fins with only one simple ray. Pharyngeal teeth placed in one to three rows, showing various forms.
Cohors 1. Phalacrognathini. Lower jaw at the margin free and bare, not covered by the lower lip.
Stirps a. Labeonini. Lower part of lower lip constructed in various ways, back-folded behind the tip of the jaw.
Stirps b. Chondrostomini. Lower lip missing.
Cohors 2. Cheilognathini. Tip of the lower jaw covered by lower lip.
Stirps a. Catostomini. Head and lips fleshy. Forty to more than sixty teeth in lower pharyngeal bone on both sides, forming a comb.
Stirps b. Cyprinini. Body oblong. Dorsal fin with many rays and anal fin with few rays, each armed with a serrated spine.
Stirps c. Barbini. Anal fin without a serrated ray. Pharyngeal teeth sparse, placed in one to three rows on both sides, never more than 12.

Below, all these main divisions will be treated in more detail.
48 I have redescribed all archipelagic Cyprinoids of my cabinet.
According as the research of those species, which first successively came to enrich my collection, brought me to new insights concerning the characters that primarily have to receive attention during the description, I got the impression that my descrip-
tions from earlier years needed a complete revision in order to let them serve for a sufficient recognition of genera and species. I have started this revision more readily because many of those diagnoses had been made after a single specimen or only very few specimens, who moreover were not all in a desirable state of preservation or only represented a certain stage of life. Of many of those species I later received larger rows of specimens in an excellent state of preservation, and therefore I was able to improve and add a lot to my earlier descriptions.

Moreover, that revision was necessary, because earlier I followed a less good way for the determination of the relative size of the eyes, the thickness of the body and the scale formulas. The dimension of the eye, if they are not, as in many Cobitiformes, covered with skin of the head, can be determined better when one takes that of the orbit itself than when they are taken, like I myself did earlier, between the free edge of the membrane, which more or less covers the iris. The width of the body is best given when one measures it across the gill covers or the shoulder bones, and not behind the axils, where it sometimes is remarkably thicker, but also dependent of the condition of the weak parts, of fat or roe. Moreover, the number of scales in a longitudinal row as a rule is determined more accurately by counting the scales on which a lateral line tube is present (namely there where a lateral line is present) than by following them like I often did earlier, in a straight line from the gill opening to the middle of the caudal fin base. Also in the description of the dentition I have earlier, partly because I was less skilled, often worked less accurately than I later learned to be necessary for a good evaluation of the dentition.

With regard to the differences between my earlier and my recent descriptions, it has to be noted moreover, that the larger series of specimens that I could dispose of nowadays, naturally have caused changes in the earlier given height- and length proportions of body, head and eyes, because although these proportions are limited between certain borders, and therefore do not stop being of value for the determination of the species, they sometimes differ remarkably between those borders according as the specimens belong to different age groups.

With regard to this it holds as a rule that the head in relation 49 to the length of the body and the eyes in relation to the length of the head, become smaller when the size of the animal increases, whereas on the contrary the height of the body in relation to its length, increases, when the animal reaches the adult state.

With regard to the terms used by me, only a few elucidations are necessary. In this work is understand by Head length

The total length of the head, measured from a perpendicular, which is dropped from the tip of the snout, to the posterior margin of the gill cover.
Head width ........................ The width on the head, measured across the gill covers.
Free eyes ............................ Eyes without a membrane and totally covered with the, over the eye transparent, head skin.
Eye lids .............................. Eyelid membrane, an extension of the head skin inside the edge of the orbit and, after having covered in the shape of a circle a larger or smaller part of the iris, running back to the edge of the orbit.
Rostral barbels .................... Snout marbles, the same, which by other authors, unjustly, are called "cirri maxillares".

Supramaxillary barbels ... Upper jaw barbels, the same which by other ichthyologists less justly are stamped with the name "cirri labiales".
Chewing surface ................ The chewing surface in various shapes that one observes in many pharyngeal jaw teeth.
Multi rayed fins ................ A fin with more than 15 branched rays.
Many rayed fins ................. A fin with more than 10 but less than 15 branched rays.
Poorly rayed fins ................ A fin with less than 10 branched rays.
Central rictus
The mouth slit in the middle of the central surface of the head, removed from the lateral sides of the head.
Post-labial groove .............. The groove, which one finds in many Cyprinoids on the ventral side of the lower jaw in the skin on the chin, and which sometimes is single and transversely placed, sometimes double, one (sometimes even two) on each side of the chin and placed longitudinally.

## SUBFAMILY I. COBITIFORMES Loach-like fishes

Cyprinoidei with an elongate or oblong body, compressed or cylindrical, not depressed, covered by very small, cycloid scales, more or less immersed in the mucus covering the smooth skin. Head completely covered by skin; snout fleshy, mouth small, inferior, surrounded by 6 to 12 barbels; lips fleshy; lower jaw flat, protruding beyond lower lip. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row. No pseudobranchiae. Gill opening narrow, vertical. Fins spineless, dorsal and anal fin with few rays or with several rays, never with many rays, pectoral rays with only one simple ray.

Remark. When Artedi erected the genus Cobitis, he knew only the three common European species. His diagnosis was restricted to the following words: "Caput et corpus cathetoplatea. Pinnae dorsi et ventrales eadem a rostro distantia sitae. Cirri ad os corpus maculosum. [Head and body pipe-like and compressed. Origin of dorsal and ventral placed at an equal distance from the snout tip. Barbels near the mouth. Body spotted.]

Since 1738, the year in which Artedi's diagnosis was published, about 70 other species have become known that can be placed in Cobitis. However, on several of those species of the characters named by Artedi only that of the barbels can be applied, because there are species with cylindrical bodies, species in which the dorsal fin is placed far behind the ventral fins, and species in which the body does not show the least maculations.

Linnaeus took away from the genus Cobitis its original and natural meaning, by placing Cyprinodonts in it from the genera Anableps and Fundulus.

Cuvier who accepted the genus in the sense of Artedi, gave a redescription of it in 1817, however, this one also by far does not fit on all of its species. Because there are species, like my Cobitis macracanthus, in which the head cannot be called small, and the body is not elongate; other ones, like Cobitis oblonga Val., in which the dorsal fin is placed far behind the ventrals; and still others, like Cobitis dario Buch., in which a part of the swimbladder is situated outside the normal bony vertebral ${ }^{51}$ encasing and extends as an ample sack, till far behind the abdominal cavity.

Mr Valenciennes has improved the Cuverian diagnosis, which was made possible by the ca. 46 species of Cobitiformes, which were known at the time of the publication of the $18^{\text {th }}$ part of the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons. Although adopted with the rank of subfamily, the genus Cobitis Val. also fits all subsequently discovered species.

When one became familiar with the numerous species of Cobitis from outside Europe, one tried to split the large Artedian genus in various genera.

Attempts to do this were made by Kuhl and Van Hasselt, by Misters Gray, Agassiz and MacClelland and by William Swainson, but the bases on which all these splittings were founded, were partly inadequate, partly insufficiently explained, and thus all known species were incorrectly placed in to a single genus again by Mr Valenciennes.

Lacepède on the basis of Cobitis fossilis L., formed a proper genus, which he named Misgurnus, but he totally incorrectly credited it with teeth in the jaws.

In 1822 Kuhl and Van Hasselt proposed the genus Nemacheilus, to which the old meaning of Cobitis was given.

After the death of Kuhl, Van Hasselt still discovered the species on which he based the genera Acanthophthalmus and Acanthopsis. He was the first one, who separated the species of Cobitis with movable suborbital spines from the remaining species of

Cobitis, and he gave the generic name Acanthophthalmus to the species with a blunt snout, in which the spine is found under the eye, and the generic name Acanthopsis to the species with an acute, elongated snout, in which the spine is placed anterior to the eye.

The genus Botia Gray, equally based on the movable suborbital spine, contains as such the genera Acantophthalmus and Acanthopsis of van Hasselt, but the species depicted in the Illustrations of Indian Zoology with the name Botia grandis is a Hymenophysa, of which more will be said later.

Mr Agassiz accepted two genera of Cobitiformes.
In Cobitis, he placed the species without check spines, in Acanthopsis those with check spines. His genus Acanthopsis also has the same meaning as Botia Gray.

Ducrotay de Blainville seems to have been the first one who raised the genus Cobitis to a group of a higher level. At least in 1816, in the $83^{\text {rd }}$ part of the Journal de Physique his "Cobites" is placed in a group of his division Tétrapodes abdominaux, with the name Subenchéliosomes.

Swainson composed with the Cobitiformes a family under the name Cobitidae, but he included therein the Homalopteriformes and the Cyprinidontoids. However, his Cobitinae, which he erected as a subfamily of the Cobitidae, have the 52 same value as Cobitis Art. of my Cobitiformes. In the splitting of this subfamily Swainson went further than his predecessors. Indeed in essence he accepted the same genera as Mr Agassiz, when he stamped the genus Acanthopsis, with the name Canthophrys, however he erected subgenera for both genera. For Cobitis, he made the subgenera Cobitis and Acoura, for Cathophrys the subgenera Cathophrys, Diacanthus and Somileptis.

The subgenus Acoura would only differ from Cobitis by a generally bilobed caudal fin and a scaleless body, and the placed in it Cobitis savona Buch. (Acoura obscura Swns.), Cobitis turio Buch. (Acoura argentata Swns.) and Cobitis corica Buch. (Acoura cinerea Swns.).

The subgenus Canthophrys would be characterised by a rounded caudal fin and a scaleless body. Swainson placed in it Cobitis cucura Buch., Cobitis pangania Buch., Cobitis balgara Buch. and Cobitis guntea Buch., species Swainson took the liberty to rebabtize with the names Cantophrys albescens, Cantophrys rubiginosus, Cantophrys olivaceus and Cantophrys vittatus.

The subgenus Diacantha furthermore would be recognisable by its oval, scaless body, and forked caudal fin. Cobitis geta Buch. and Cobitis dario Buch., names Swainson changed as well in that of Diacantha zebra and Diacantha flavicauda, would belong to it.

The subgenus Somileptes finally, would be characterised by a lancet shaped, very much compressed, scaled body, large eyes, situated near the tip of the snout, and a rounded caudal fin. Swainson brought under it Cobitis gongota Buch. and Cobitis botia Buch., or, what is the same, his Somileptis bispinosa and Somilepsis unispinis.

Mr MacClelland, in his Indian Cyprinidae, initially accepted only two genera of Cobitiformes. He based those genera on the being bilobed or not bilobed of the caudal fin. Leaving under Cobitis the species with a rounded or truncated caudal fin, he placed the species with a bilobed caudal fin in his genus Scistura. Later in the same work, he proposed the generic name Hymenphysa for three Bengalese species, Cobitis Dario Buch., Cobitis geta Buch. and Botia granda Gr. on account of a free, in the abdominal cavity hanging, swimbladder, which is divided by a diaphragm into lobes.

Prince Charles Lucien Bonaparte did not propose a new generic division of the Cobitiformes, but raised them to the rank of a family, under the name Cobitidae.

In the large fish work the Cobitiformes have neither been accepted in the sense of a family, nor in that of a subfamily, nor even in that of a group. Mr Valenciennes has equally rejected all above-mentioned genera and subgenera.
${ }^{53}$ Indeed the generic value of the characters used for the splitting is partly disputable, partly completely unacceptable, whereas still other characters, like that of the swimbladder are not visible from the outside.

Nevertheless, in connection with other characters, after a renewed investigation of the now already so numerous species they can serve for the determination of generic groups, under which those species, according to my view, indeed will have to be brought.

The Cobitiformes in their organisation do offer so numerous and partly important differences, that when they were found in so many families that have larger species as representatives, one would not hesitate to attach generic value to them.

To those differences I believe can be counted the presence of a forked suborbital spine, which already has led to the erection of the genera Acanthopsis V. Hass. and Acanthophthalmus V. Hass. (Botia Gr., Acanthopsis Ag., Canthophrys Swns.).

Other peculiarities one finds in the construction of the swimbladder. In a few species, not a trace of a swimbladder is recognisable, whereas in numerous species it consists of a small vessicle of one or two chambers, contained in a bony box formed by a remarkable development of the anteriormost vertebrae. But some other species, like Cobitis Dario Buch., Cobitis macracanthus Blkr, Cobitis hymenophysa Blkr. etc. moreover possess a spacious swimbladder, which lies free in the abdominal cavity and which is connected by a shorter or longer tube to the anterior smaller bladder that is contained in the bony cavity of the vertebral processes. On this peculiarity rests the genus Hymenophysa McCl ., which indeed deserves to be accepted as a proper genus, as the mention character is connected with other peculiarities in the construction, which I will mention forthwith.

Less fortunate was the idea to determine genera on the shape of the caudal fin (Schistura McCl. ) and on the being scaled or not of the body (Acoura, Canthophrys, Diacantha Swns.) The caudal fin in its shape indeed offers the most numerous differences, from whole and rounded to little and deeply incised. In many species hat incision or emargination is so little, that the fin unless it is totally spread, appears to be truncate or rounded. This character was used by Swainson for his subgenera as well, whereas, moreover he added the character of the scalelessness to it. Till more detailed observations have proven the contrary, I believe, like Mr Valenciennes, that all species of Cobitiformes possess skin scales, although surely in many species they are so small that they will escape superficial observation. Of two species that are in my possession, Diacantha flavicauda 54 Swns. (Cobitis Dario Buch.) and Canthophrys vittatus Swns. (Cobitis guntea Buch.) I can state with certainty (see Verhand. Batav. Genootsch. XXV Nalez. ichthyol. van Bengalen p. 143), that the body is just as completely covered with scales as that of all my remaining species. Swainson in his determination of the being scaled or not of the Bengalese species, seems to have relied completely on the descriptions of Buchanan in his work on the fishes of the Ganges. The subgenera Acoura, Canthophrys and Diacantha, for the reasons developed above, cannot be maintained.

The subgenus Somileptes Swns. moreover coincides with Acanthopsis and was only separated from it on the basis of a very compressed body, large eyes and a rounded caudal fin.

The species of Cobitiformes available to me are only 16 in number, and in my research of the remaining species I am also restricted to their descriptions and illustrations.

The available species however, offer so many different peculiarities in the organization, that I do not hesitate to find cause for their grouping into in a number of genera, and to express my opinion that a more detailed study of the remaining species will result in placing them partly in those genera, partly possibly raising them to other proper genera.

A first particularity in the organization of the Cobitiformes that has been overlooked too much by the authors, is the eyes being covered or not with skin of the head. In many species the eyes are free, provided with an eye membrane, so that the head skin extends over the eye as a kind of eyelid and then folds back again forming a kind of conjunctiva.

To this group belong the species that I earlier have described under the names Cobitis macracanthus, Cobitis hymenophysa, Cobitis Dario, Cobitis fasciata and Cobitis Jaklesi.

In all my remaining species the eyes are totally covered with head skin.
Another particularity in the organization can be found in the placing of the barbels.
In all my species at least 6 , in a few 8 or more barbels are present. When there are more than 6 , the surplus belong to the lower lip, but the normal 6 constantly belong to the snout or the upper lip. The upper lip - or upper jaw barbels are implanted either only at the corner of the upper jaw, or, when more than one pair is present, also in the middle of each intermaxilla [premaxilla] branch. Thus, in some species there are 4 snout barbels and 2 upper jaw barbels, and in some others only 2 snout barbels and 4 upper jaw barbels.

When these differences coincide with other valuable differences, then it is the basis for erecting proper genera.
555 A third point in the organization of the Cobitiformes that deserves special attention, is the place of implantation of the dorsal fin above or entirely behind the pelvic fins. These characters maybe are only of generic value when they are accompanied by others of higher weight.

Very remarkable, at last, is the particularity that in a few Cobitiformes not only the body, but also the head is covered with small scales. Thus Cobitis macrochir Blkr. and Cobitis Hasseltii Val. have scales on the check and the opercle and the first species even on the crown and the subopercle. In all my remaining species the skin of the head is completely bare, which probably will be the case in most other known species, although I suspect, that among the Bengal species there will be some which have this character.

The characters described above, when considered in connection to each other, with those concerning the presence or absence of check spines and the general shape and habitus, have induced me to the acceptance in the subfamily Cobitiformes of the genera Hymenophysis, Cobitis, Lepidocephalus, Acanthopsis. Acanthophthalmus and Cobitichthys.

These genera can be easily recognized according to the following scheme.

I Suborbital spine forked.
a. Dorsal fin opposite ventral fins.
† Eyes free. Barbels 6 or 8, nasal barbels 4, maxillary barbels 2, sometimes
2 lower jaw barbels. Swimbladder for the greater part suspended freely in the ventral cavity.

## Hymenophysa McCl .

$\dagger \dagger$ Eyes covered by skin. Barbels 6, nasal barbels 2, maxillary barbels 4 .
Swimbladder completely enclosed in vertebral pyxis.

Acanthopsis V. Hass.
b. Dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin. Eyes covered by skin.

Body very compressed. No swimbladder. Six barbels.
† Nasal barbels 4, maxillary barbels 2. Head scaled.

Lepidocephalus Blkr.
†† Nasal barbels 2, maxillary barbels 4. Head scaleless.

Acanthophtalmus V. Hass.
II. No suborbital spine. Dorsal fin opposite ventral fins. Swimbladder completely encased in vertebral box.
a. Eyes free, Barbels 6, nasal barbels 4, maxillary barbels 2 .

## Cobitis Art.

b. Eyes covered by skin. Barbels 10 to 12, nasal-maxillary barbels $6-8$, lower jaw barbels 4 . Body strongly compressed. Caudal fin above and below the tail ending in a slightly adipose ridge.

## Cobitichthys

I consider these genera as natural and I possess of all of these genera 2 to 4 species.
For the rest the existing data are not sufficient to assign the remaining already known species with certainty a place in them. Maybe one will even find species among them, which will have to be raised to proper genera.

The Cobitiformes are restricted to Europe, Asia and the Indian archipelago and possess the largest number of species in southern and south-western Asia.

The genera Hymenophysa, Acanthophthalmus and Lepidocephalus do not seem to spread more westerly than Hindustan, but eastwards Hymenophysa extends till Java, Borneo and Japan, the remaining genera till Java.

Acanthopsis and Cobitis have the largest distribution. Acanthopsis is represented in England as well as in Japan.

Cobitichthys seems to belong exclusively to Eastern Asia, to China, Japan and Borneo.

The most familiar species of the subfamily are, in as much I have been able to ascertain, the following ones.

" guntea Blkr. = Cobitis guntea Buch. = Canthophrys guttatus Swns. .. Bengal, Assam.
" amnicolus Blkr. = Cobitis amnicola Val. ................................................... Bengal.
" montanus Blkr. = Schistura montana McCl . Cobitis montana Val. . Bengal.
" ? cucura Blkr. = Cobitis cucura Buch. = Canthophrys albescens Swns. Bengal.
" ? aculeatus Blkr. = Schistura aculeata McCl. = Cobitis aculeata Val. ..... Assam.
" ? gongota Blkr. = Cobitis gongota Buch. = Somileptes
bispinosa Swns. = Cobitis oculata McCl . ................................................ Bengal.
" ? botia Blkr. = Cobitis botia Buch. = Somileptes unispina Swns. =
Cobits mucronata McCl . ........................................................................... Bengal.
" dialyzona V. Hass. = Cobitis macrorhynchos Blkr. ............................... Java, Borneo.
" choirorhynchos Blkr. = Cobitis choirorhynchos Blkr. ............................. Sumatra.
Acanthophthalmus pangia Blkr. = Cobitis pangia Buch. = Canthophrys
rubiginosus Swns. $=$ Cobitis cinnamomea McCl . ......................... Bengal.
" ?? thermalis Blkr. = Cobitis thermalis Val. ................................... Ceylon.
" fasciatus V. Hass. = Cobitis Kuhlii Val. ........................................ Java, Sumatra.
" javanicus K. v. H. = Cobitis oblonga K. v. H., Val. ...................... Java.
Lepidocephalus ? balgara Blkr. = Cobitis balgara Buch. =
Canthophrys olivaceus Swns. $=$ Schistura bulgara McCl . .............. Bengal.
" Hasseltii Blkr. = Cobitis Hasseltii Val. =
Cobitis octocirrhus V. Hass.? ............................................................... Java.
" macrochir Blkr. = Cobitis macrochir Blkr. ......................................... Java.
Cobitichthys anguillicaudatus Blkr. = Cobitis anguillicaudata Cant. ......................... China.
" pectoralis Blkr. = Cobitis pectoralis McCl . .............................................. China.
59 Cobitichthys bifurcatus Blkr. = Cobitis bifurcatus McCl . ..................................... China.
" decemcirrosus Blkr. = Cobitis decemcirrosus Basil. ........................ China.
" ?? psammismus Blkr. = Cobitis psammismus Richds. ....................... China.
" rubripinnis Blkr. = Cobitis rubripinnis T. Schl. (not Blkr. earlier). Japan.
" maculatus Blkr. = Cobitis maculata T. Schl. .................................... Japan.
" enalios Blkr. = Cobitis rubripinnis Blkr. earlier (not T. Schl.)........ Japan.
" dichachrous Blkr. ................................................................................ Japan.
" polynema Blkr. .................................................................................... Japan.
" barbatuloides Blkr. = Cobitis barbatuloides Blkr. ........................... Borneo.
Fossil species

| Cobitis centrochir Ag. | Oeningen. |
| :---: | :---: |
| " cephalotes Ag. | Oeningen. |
| " longiceps Ag. | Mombach. |
| " | ? |
| Acanthopsis angustus | Oeningen |

The presence of the Cobitiformes on the Sunda Islands has been shown for the first time by Kuhl and Van Hasselt. - Van Hasselt knew five species from Java, Cobitis fasciatus, Acanthophthalmus javanicus, Acanthophthalmus fasciatus, Lepidocephalus Hasseltii and Acanthopsis dialyzona, species that I have all recovered.

After Kuhl and Van Hasselt, until my own investigations, not a single species was added to these five, as Cobitis chrysolaimos Val. and Cobitis suborbitalis Val. in my opinion can be brought back to Cobitis fasciatis.

On Java, I found apart from the five mentioned species, of which only four are mentioned in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons, moreover Lepidocephalus macrochir and Hymenophysa MacClellandi.

Sumatra, from which in earlier days not a single species of Cobitiformes was known, has yielded me of the Javanese species Hymenophysa MacClellandi, Cobitis fasciatus, Lepidocephalus macrochir, Acanthophthalmus javanicus, Acanthophthalmus fasciatus, and moreover still Hymenophysa macracanthus, Cobitis jaklesi and Acanthopsis choirorhynchus, thus in total eight species.

From Borneo, I received only four species, Hymenophysa macracanthus, Hymenophysa MacClellandi, Acanthopsis dialyzona, and Cobitichthys barbatuloides. The last mentioned species seems to be endemic to Borneo. 60 It deserves to be remarked, that of the Islands of Banka, Biliton and Singapore, not a single species belonging to this subfamily has become known.

The archipelagic Cobitiformes prefer clear, fast streaming rivers in mountainous areas. Near river mouths one does find specimens of Cobitis fasciatus, Acanthopsis dialyzona, Lepidocephalus Hasseltii and Acanthophthalmus fasciatus, but only rarely, and generally only when the rivers are high and fast streaming. They belong to the high stretches of the rivers, were a number of species like Cobitis fasciata and Lepidocephalus Hasseltii, at least on Java are often caught by the hundreds.

Hymenophysa McCl., Blkr.<br>Getjuban.

Body oblong, compressed, with small scales. Lower jaw with a thin edge, no tubercle. Head acute. Eyes not covered by skin. Barbels 6 or 8, nasal barbels 4, maxillary barbels 2. Head scaleless. Suborbital spine. Anterior nostrils open. Dorsal fin opposite ventral fins, caudal fin bilobed. Swimbladder in two parts, posterior part freely suspended in abdominal cavity. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row.

Remark. I consider the genus Hymenopysa as totally natural. The peculiar construction of the swimbladder induced MacClelland to believe that one could base a proper genus on it under the name of Hymenophysa, however, he did not put the idea into practice and placed all Cobitiformes in Cobitis and Schistura, whereas the species of Hymenophysa described by him followed immediately after Schistura.

Mr Valenciennes did not accept the genus Hymenophysa mainly because he was of the opinion that the character found in the swimbladder, was not accompanied by characters in other organs.

In the first place I have to remark here, that the swimbladder in Hymenophysa, is not as I myself earlier believed and described, single and that it does not consist only of the spacious, free in the abdominal cavity lying bladder, but that that bladder is only the posterior part of the entire swimbladder, which, by a shorter or longer tube, is united with the anterior apart, which, just like in the genera Cobitis, Cobitichthys and Acanthopsis is enclosed in a bony box, formed by the anterior vertebra, and in Hymenophysa becomes even relatively considerably larger than in the mentioned genera. I had not noticed this peculiarity, when I described and published the three species of the genus that were contained in my cabinet.
61 There are however other characters than those relating to the shape of the swimbladder, which justify the acceptance of Hymenophysa as a proper genus.

In the first place the habitus of the species belonging to genus is a very peculiar one and already sufficient to distinguish them from all other Cobitiformes.

They are all recognisable by a much shorter and thick-set body than one observes in the other Cobitiformes, a habitus that is moreover distinctive by the relatively high back. It is primarily on the basis of the body shape that I place Cobitis curta T. Schl. in Hymenophysa. This Japanese species also has other external characters of Hymenophysa.

In the second place Hymenophysa differs from Cobitis, sensu mihi, by the presence of a usually strongly developed suborbital spine, whereas it cannot be united to any of the remaining genera of Cobitiformes, because of its free, not with skin covered eyes.

Thus one can recognise the genus immediately, by the suborbital spines and the simultaneously free eyes, and that recognition is still made easier by the thickset body shape and the high back, as well as by the forked caudal fin and the more or less acute pig-like snout that all species have in common.

The now known species of Hymenophysa can be grouped in those with six and those with eight barbels. All of them however, have four snout barbels of which the basis are set close together, and two upper jaw barbels implanted at the mouth corner.

The lower jaw barbels are sometimes lacking and always very slightly developed. They are not connected to other characters of enough importance to give these groups a higher meaning.

To the eight barbeled species belong Hymenophysa macracanthus, Hymenophysa geto and Hymenophysa grandis, to the six barbled species Hymenophysa MacClellandi, Hymenophysa Dario and Hymenophysa curta.

Both archipelagic species moreover can be differentiated from their related species according to the following scheme.
I. Barbels 8. Body with 3 transverse, dark, wide bands, an ocular, dorso-ventral and dorso-anal band. D 3/8 or 3/9.

## Hymenophysa macracanthus Blkr.

II. Barbels 6. Body with about 15 transverse, bluish bands. D. 3/10 to 3/13.

Hymenophysa MacClellandi Blkr.
62 Hymenophysa macracanthus Blkr, Grootdoornige Getjoeban [Large Spined Getjuban]. Atl. Cypr. Tab 1.


#### Abstract

A Hymenophysa with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about 4 times in its length, width about 2 times in its depth. Head acute, convex, contained $33 / 4$ to 5 times in length of body; depth of head contained slightly over once to $1 \frac{1}{5}$ times in its length, width twice to $12 / 3$ times; rostro-dorsal profile convex; eyes not covered by skin, placed in the posterior half of the head, not reaching the rostro-frontal line, eye diameter contained 4 to 6 times in length of head, distance between the eyes $13 / 4$ to $23 / 4$ times the eye diameter, interorbital line strongly convex; nostrils perforated, placed in the middle of the snout, approximately halfway the tip of the snout and the orbit, a little anterior to the suborbital spine, very close together, the posterior ones larger than the anterior ones; anterior nostrils can be closed by means of a broad valve which is slightly tubular at the base; suborbital spine inserted rather far anterior to the eye, very robust, forked, lower branch more than two times as long as higher branch, ending below the posterior margin of the eye; snout slightly acute, convex, nearly twice as long or more than twice as long as the eye, fleshy, protruding beyond the mouth, upper jaw longer than lower jaw, ending far anterior to the eye; lower jaw thin, spoon-shaped, protruding rather far anterior to the down-folded lower lip, lips broad,




Fig. 1. Hymenophysa macracanthus Blkr. [Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. 1, Fig. 2 as Botia macracanthus. TL figure 227 mm.$]$
fleshy, the upper one in front prolonged into two oblong-round membraneous lobes, the lower one with a hanging, curved margin; barbels 8 , the 4 nasal barbels inserted on the tip of the snout with a common base, the top half articulated, antenna-like, the external barbels longer than the middle ones and the eye, the middle ones to a rather great height united by means of a membrane, the maxillary barbels fleshy at the base and compressed at the top, thin, not or hardly longer than the external nasal barbels, the lower jaw barbels closely together at the base, broad, shorter than the other barbels; 5 pharyngeal teeth placed in a single row on both sides, conical, acute, hardly curved, small. Gill opening nearly vertical; gill cover at the lower posterior side prolonged into an obtuse process; scales very small, visible with the naked eye; lateral line running nearly straight along the middle of the flanks, the anterior part tumid; swimbladder bipartite, the anterior part spherical, enclosed in a bony cavity, united with the posterior part by means of a short, rather wide tube, posterior part oblong, more than twice as large as anterior part, suspended freely in the ventral cavity. Dorsal fin in younger animals starting slightly anterior to, in old animals above the base of the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, acute or acutely rounded, not emarginate, higher than base length, lower than the body; pectoral fins in younger animals slightly acutely rounded, in old animals acute, not or hardly reaching ventral fins, shorter than the head; ventral fins acute or acutely rounded, shorter than pectoral fins; anal fin acute, not or hardly emarginate, about twice as high as base length, lower than dorsal fin; caudal fin with a deep incision, lobes very acute, contained $31 / 4$ to $33 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: body beautiful pink or yellow, 3 broad, blackish-dark transverse bands, bordered with safron-yellow, the ocular first band starting from the crown and surrounding the eye, tapering ventrally and not united with the band on the opposite side, the middle, dorso-ventral band very wide, starting anterior to the dorsal fin, the lower part tapering and united with the band on the opposite side on the belly anterior to the ventral fins, the third band, starting from the dorsal spine and starting on the back of the of the tail descending to the anal fin and surrounding nearly the whole tail, dorsal and anal fin nearly completely blackish-dark, anteriorly at the base and at the anterior margin and at the tip sometimes rosy-red, pectoral and ventral fins in juveniles bright red, in older fishes pink with a dark wide area in the middle, caudal fin beautiful red, iris golden, tinged with violet and dark.
B. 3. D. $3 / 8$ or $3 / 9$. P. $1 / 13$ to $1 / 15$. V. $1 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $8 / 17 / 8$ to $10 / 17 / 12$, short flanking ones included.
Syn. Cobitis macracanthus Blkr, Diagn. Beschr. Nieuwe vischs. Sumatra tient. I to IV, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 603.
Matjan Mal. Sum. Getjuban Lampong. Sum.

Hab. Sumatra (Pangabuang, Palembang, Djambi, Lahat, Lematang-Enim, Kwanten), in rivers. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Kahajan, Pontianak, Sintang), in rivers.
Length of 36 specimens $48^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $320^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. I described this species for the first time at the cited place, in the year 1852, after smaller specimens from Sumatra. Since then, I received numerous specimens not only from different places from Sumatra, but also from Borneo, among which were specimens with a length of about one foot. It does not seem to occur on Java.

The Large Spined Getjuban has eight barbels just like Hymenophysa grandis and Hymenophysa geto, but in the first mentioned species the body is irregularly maculated, and without bars, whereas in the last mentioned species there are 7 or 9 dark transversal bars, so that it can be distinguished from these at a first glance.

Curious in this species is the elongation of the upper lip in two rounded lobes and the antenna like segmentation of the snout barbels. I suspect it is the largest species of all known Cobitiformes.

> Hymenophysa MacClellandi Blkr. MacClelland's Getjuban. Atl. Cyprin. Tab. II fig. 6.

A Hymenophysa with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 5 times to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in its length, width nearly 2 to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head swine-like, acute, contained $42 / 5$ to nearly 5 times in length of body; depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $13 / 4$ times in its length, width $21 / 2$ to 3 times; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, nearly straight; eyes not covered, placed in the posterior half of the head, not reaching the rostro-frontal line, eye diameter contained 5 to 7 times in length of head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times their diameter, interorbital line convex; nostrils perforated, placed approximately halfway between the tip of the snout and the orbit, far anterior to the suborbital spine, very closely together, the posterior ones hole shaped; anterior nostrils can be closed by means of a large valve of which the basal half is tubular; suborbital spine inserted not far anterior to the eye, robust, forked, the lower branch about twice as long as the upper branch, ending approximately below the middle of the eye; snout acute, not or hardly convex, swine-like, in juveniles twice as long as the eye, in older animals more than twice as long as the eye, protruding beyond the mouth, fleshy; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, ending far anterior to the eye; hooked at the top, ending far anterior to the eye, lower jaw rather thin, spoon-shaped, protruding beyond the downfolded lip; lips fleshy, simple, not lobed; barbels 6, the 4 nasal barbels inserted on the tip of the snout in a common base, not articulated, the internal barbels inserted above the external barbels and much longer than these and than the eye, only lightly palmate at the base, the 2 maxillary barbels slightly fleshy at the base, not or not much shorter than nasal barbels; pharyngeal teeth in one row on both sides, conical, acute, hardly curved, small. Gill opening nearly vertical, gill opening at the posterior lower side lengthened into a blunt process; scales very small, well visible with the naked eye; lateral line running nearly straight over the middle of the flanks, the anterior part tumid; swimbladder bipartite, the anterior part spherical, encased in a bony cavity, united with the rather wide tubular posterior part, the oblong posterior part more than two times as large suspended freely in the ventral cavity. Dorsal fin starting above or just anterior to the ventral fins and ending a little anterior to the anal fin, slightly acute, not or slightly emarginate, lower than the body, in juveniles length hardly greater, in older animals much greater than depth; pectoral fins slightly acutely or slightly obtusely rounded, ending far anterior to ventral fins, much shorter but less than twice as short as the head; ventral fins slightly acutely or slightly obtusely rounded, shorter than pectoral fins; anal fin acutely or obtusely rounded, not or hardly emarginate, much less than twice as high as base length, slightly or not lower than dorsal fin; caudal fin with a deep incision, lobes acute or acutely rounded, contained $41 / 3$ to 5


Fig. 2. Hymenophysa MacClellandi Blkr. [Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. 1, fig. 3, as Botia hymenophysa. TL figure 184 mm ]
times in the length of the body. Colour of upper 64 part of the body beautiful rosy-green, lower part pearly; head on both sides with 2 longitudinal bands, the higher one rostro-frontal, the lower rostroocular; body in younger animals with 13 to 15 transverse, nearly equally wide and nearly equally distant bluish or on the back violetish bands anteriorly and posteriorly bordered with a deeply blue band or narrow band, not reaching the ventral line, in old animals with hardly or not visible bands but persisting blue narrow bands. Fins pink, dorsal fin with 4 or 5 oblique or nearly horizontal, vio-letish-blue bands and at the top generally with a black or dark violet spot, caudal fin at the basal half with 3 to 5 transverse blue bands; iris dark, tinged with gold and pink.
B. 3. D. $3 / 10$ to $3 / 13$. P. $2 / 10$ to $2 / 13$. V. $1 / 7$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $10 / 17 / 10$ to $15 / 17 / 15$, short flanking ones included.
Syn. Cobitis hymenophysa Blkr. Diagn. Beschrijv. Nieuwe vischs. Sumatr. Tient, I-IV,
Nat. Tijdschr. N. Ind. III p. 602.
Langli Lampong.
Hab. Java (Ngawi), in rivers.
Sumatra (Pangabuang, Palembang, Lematang-Enim, Lahat), in rivers.
Borneo (Kahajan, Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 24 specimens $64^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $187^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I discovered the species described here at the same time as the Large spined Getjuban and described it at the same place after a single specimen from Palembang. Since then I received rather numerous specimens from Sumatra and Borneo and also a few from Java. It differs from its archipelagic relatives by a more slender body, more numerous dorsal fin rays, more numerous, different coloured bands on the body and by the presence of only six barbels. The lastmentioned character is shared with Hymenophysa curta and Hymenophysa Dario. However, it is easily distinguished from both species, - from Hymenophysa curta by its transverse bars and remarkable more numerous dorsal fin rays, - and from Hymenophysa dario by its more acute profile, smaller eyes, more numerous dorsal fin rays and more numerous and obliquely placed transverse bars on the body.

I possess only 3 Javanese specimens of this species, which were caught in the area of the Solo river, near Ngáwi. These specimens all have only 13 transverse bars on the body, only $3 / 10$ or $3 / 11$ dorsal fin rays and the violet-purple dorsal fin bands very narrow and placed almost horizontally. Some of my specimens from Sumatra show the
same peculiarities and it would have induced me to describe all of them as a new species, if I had not been in the possession of two specimens, which with the presence of 15 transverse bars on the body and a very oblique position of the dorsal fin bands like I observe in most of my specimens, have only $3 / 10$ to $3 / 11$ dorsal fin rays. As moreover the habitus of all specimens is similar, it can only be explained by a variation due to the climate.

## Acanthopsis V. Hass. Mud creeper

Body elongate, compressed, with small scales. Lower jaw with a thin edge, no tubercle. Eyes covered. Barbels 6 to 10, nasal barbels 2, maxillary barbels 4 . Head compressed, scaleless. Suborbital spine. Anterior nostrils open, not tubular. Dorsal fin opposite ventral fins. Small swimbladder enclosed in bony vertebral cavity. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row.

Remark. The generic name Acanthopsis was not proposed first by Mr Agassiz, as one generally seems to accept and would be inclined to conclude from the Nomenclator of Mr Agassiz, but dates already from the year 1823 in which year an extract from a letter of Van Hasselt on the fishes of Java, was included in the Algemene Konst- en Letterbode and from there in translated form included in the Bulletin of De Férussac of 1824.

Van Hasselt based his genus Acanthopsis on a peculiar species from Java, which he gave the name Acanthopsis dialyzona, and took as generic character the acutely elongated snout and the position of the suborbital spine before and not under the eye. The name Acanthopsis later was applied to all species of Cobitiformes that possess a suborbital spine, irrespective whether it is placed before or below the eye. In both cases the definition of the genus leaves to be desired. Not all species of Cobitiformes with suborbital spines can be placed in one genus, and on the other hand those spines in all species are not placed before the eye, whereas the snout is elongated in only a few species.

Therefore I have drafted a new diagnosis of the genus, but I must note that I have seen only three species with my own eyes, and that consequently with regard to all remaining species I am restricted to what the existing descriptions and figures show. I once possessed an Acanthopsis (Cobitis guntea Buch.) from Bengal, but this species was lost during the many movings of my cabinet, while in its description, in my Nieuwe Nalezingen op de ichthyologie van Bengalen, I have paid no attention to the nature of the eye membrane and the nostrils. For that reason I now can only check both archipelagic species from my cabinet.

In the mean time these species belong to a group of their own in the genus, and are peculiar by their pig-like heads and the suborbital spine being implanted far in front of the eyes. By this already they can be separated from all remaining species.
66 The genus Acanthopsis as described above, can easily be distinguished from the remaining genera of Cobitiformes. It differs from Cobitis and Cobitichthys already by its suborbital spine. It cannot be confused with Cobitis and Hymenophysa because of its covered eyes. It differs from Lepidocephalus by its two snout barbels, four upper jaw barbels and scaleless head. And it cannot be confused with Acanthopthalmus by the placement of the dorsal fin above the ventral fins.

Both archipelagic species can be characterized as follows.

1. Barbels 8. Head swine-like, acute, more than three times as long as the snout. Suborbital spine inserted far anterior to the eye. Caudal fin emarginate.
A. Head contained $42 / 3$ times to slightly over 5 times in length of body. Head, back and flanks with round or polymorphous violet-dark spots. A. $2 / 5$ or $2 / 6$.

## Acanthopsis choirochynchos Blkr.

B. Head contained 5 to $51 / 3$ times in length of body. No dark spots on head and back. A. $2 / 6$ or 2/7.

Acanthopsis dialyzona. V. Hass.

> Acanthopsis choirorhynchos Blkr, Varkensachtige Modderkruiper [Pig-like Mud creeper]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. II fig. 5 .

An Acanthopsis with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $91 / 2$ to nearly 11 times in its length, width contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth. Head very acute, swine-like, contained slightly over 5 to $42 / 3$ times in length of body; depth of head contained about $2^{1 / 3}$ times in its length, width about $31 / 2$ times; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, straight; eyes completely covered by skin, placed in the posterior third of the head, touching the frontal line, eye diameter contained about $61 / 3$ times in length of head, distance between the eyes less than $1 / 2$ times their diameter, interorbital line not convex; nostrils open, placed approximately halfway between the tip of the snout and the orbit, slightly anterior to the base of the suborbital spine, very close together, the anterior ones slightly tubular, posterior ones foramenshaped; suborbital spine inserted far anterior to the eye, medium sized, shorter than the eye, ending far anterior to the eye, forked, lower branch less than twice as long as upper branch; snout acute, swinelike, more than three times as long as the eye, conical, slightly compressed, its tip protruding beyond the mouth, fleshy, upper jaw longer than lower jaw, not hooked at the tip, ending far anterior to the eye, lower jaw rather thin, spoon-shaped, protruding beyond the down-folded lip; lips fleshy, simple, not lobed; barbels 8, thin, the 2 nasal barbels inserted on the tip of the snout close to the base, not or not much shorter than the eye, the 4 maxillary barbels equal or nearly equal in length to the eye, the anterior barbels inserted in the central branch of the intermaxillary bone, the posterior ones inserted in the angle of the intermaxillary bone, longer than the anterior barbels, the lower jaw barbels inserted in the front of the lower lip, shorter than the other barbels; pharyngeal teeth about 14 in one row on both sides, conical, acute, hardly curved, small, unequal. Gill opening nearly vertical, gill cover concave at the upper margin, tip rounded, strongly curved at the lower margin, suboperculum protruding beyond gill cover; scales very small, visible with the naked eye; lateral line well visible, nearly 67 straight, running along the middle of the flanks; swimbladder encased in bony vertebral cavity, small, no accessory posterior part freely suspended in the cavity of the belly. Dorsal fin for the second of its four parts opposite the ventral fins and ending a distance of about its total length anterior to the anal fin, slightly higher


Fig. 3. Acanthopsis choirorhynchos Blkr. [Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. 1, Fig. 1. TL figure 170 mm .]
than the body, length nearly equal to depth, acute, slightly emarginate; pectoral fins acute, ending far anterior to the ventral fins, much shorter but less than twice as short as the head; ventral fins acute or acutely rounded, shorter than pectoral fins, ending a distance less to more than their length anterior to anal fin; anal fin acute or slightly acutely rounded, not or hardly emarginate, slightly higher than base length, lower than the body; caudal fin oblique, slightly emarginate, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained $53 / 4$ to $63 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour of upper part of the body violetishor rosy-green, silver on the flanks, lower part white, with dark-violet or green-violet spots and rivulets; spots on cheeks small, polymorphous, on snout and forehead on the middle line 4 to 6 transverse, oblong bands, on the middle line of the back 13 to 14 nearly similar oblong, short transverse bands, on the flanks in the lateral line 10 to 12 nearly round, large spots, small spots on lower part of flanks sometimes united into a longitudinal, more or less interrupted, slightly undulating band. Fins orange- or pinkhyaline, rays pink or darkish; caudal fin for the total middle part of the membrane pearly, rays orange, each with 4 to 5 small spots forming transverse bands in the same way, upper part of the base with a small, black, roundish spot.
B. 3. D. $2 / 10$ or $2 / 11$. P. $1 / 9$. V. $1 / 6$. A. $2 / 5$ or $2 / 6$. C. $7 / 14 / 5$ or $6 / 14 / 4$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cobitis choirorhynchos Blkr, Overz. Icht. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. VII p. 95.
Hab. Sumatra (Region of Palembang where the rivers Lematang and Enim flow together, Lahat).
Length of 7 specimens $101^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $178^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. This species grows remarkably larger than its Javanese congeneric relative of which it moreover primarily differs by its colour markings and relatively larger head. On Sumatra it seems to replace Acanthopsis dialyzona V. Hass.

Acanthopsis dialyzona V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 133, Bullet. Férussac 1824 p. 377. Spitssnuitige Modderkruiper [Acute snouted Mud creeper]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. II fig. 8.

An Acanthopsis with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $91 / 2$ to $101 / 2$ times in its length, width contained about $1 / 1 / 2$ times in its depth. Head very acute, swine-like, contained 5 to $51 / 3$ times in length of body; depth of head contained $2 \frac{1}{3}$ to $21 / 4$ times in its length, width about 3 times; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, nearly straight or slightly convex; eyes completely covered by skin, placed in the posterior third of the head, touching the frontal line, eye diameter contained 6 to 8 times in length of head, distance between the eyes less than $1 / 2$ times their diameter, interorbital line not or hardly convex; nostrils perforated, placed approximately halfway between the tip of the snout and the orbit, slightly anterior to the base of the suborbital spine, very small, foramen-shaped; suborbital spine inserted far anterior to the eye, medium sized, shorter than the eye, ending far anterior to the eye, forked, the lower branch less than twice as long as the upper branch; snout acute, swine-like,


Fig. 4. Acanthopsis dialyzona V. Hass. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. II, Fig. 8. TL figure 107 mm .
more than three times as long as the eye, conical, slightly compressed, its tip protruding beyond the mouth; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, not hooked at the tip, ending far anterior to the eye, lower jaw with fleshy, simple lips, not lobed; barbels 8 , thin, the 2 nasal barbels inserted on the tip of the snout, close to the base, shorter than the eye, maxillary barbels 4 , the anterior ones inserted in the middle branch of the intermaxillary bone, slightly longer 68 than the eye, the posterior ones inserted in the angle of the intermaxillary bone, shorter than the anterior barbels; lower jaw barbels inserted in the anterior part of the lower lip, little conspicuous, shorter than the other barbels; pharyngeal teeth in one row, conical, acute, hardly curved, small. Gill opening nearly vertical, gill cover rounded posteriorly, strongly curved at the lower margin; suboperculum protruding behind the gill cover; scales very small, hardly visible with the naked eye; lateral line nearly straight along the middle of the flanks; swimbladder enclosed in vertebral cavity, small, no accessory posterior part suspended freely in the ventral cavity. Dorsal fin with the second fourth part opposite the ventral fins and ending nearly the distance of its complete length anterior to the anal fin, higher than the body, nearly equally long as high, acute, emarginate; pectoral fins acute, ending far anterior to the ventral fins, much shorter but less than twice as short as the head, ending about their length anterior to the anal fin, higher than the body, length nearly equal to depth, acute, emarginate; anal fin acute or slightly acutely rounded, hardly or not emarginate, slightly higher than base length, lower than the body; caudal fin emarginate, slightly crescent-shaped, lobes acute, lower lobe slightly longer than upper lobe, contained $51 / 2$ to 6 times in the length of the body. Colour of upper part of the body olive-hyaline, lower part shiny-pearly, flanks shiny golden-green with irregular diffuse, violetish spots arranged in a longitudinal row or more or less united into a band. Fins pink-hyaline, caudal fin at the upper part of the base with a small, black-violet spot and at the base and in the middle with 3 or 4 softly darkish-violet bands; iris yellow or orange.
B. 3. D. $2 / 10$ or $2 / 11$. P. $1 / 9$. V. $1 / 6$. A. $2 / 6$ or $2 / 7$. C. $8 / 14 / 6$ to $6 / 14 / 4$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cobitis macrorynchos Blkr. Overz. Ichth. Sumatra, Nat. Tijdschr. N. Ind. VII p. 96. Act. Soc. Scient. Ind. Neerl. II $10^{e}$ Bijdr. Ichth. Borneo p. 20.
Hab. Java (Batavia), in rivers.
Borneo (Kahajan), in rivers.
Length of 9 specimens $70^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $113^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The species in question is the same one, for which Van Hasselt proposed the name that is heading this article. I became certain about this not only as I rediscovered this species in Batavia, where it is the only representative of its genus, but also, because I possess a copy of the figure that Van Hasselt had made of it. That copy has a length of $136^{\prime \prime \prime}$, so that the species grows larger than the largest of the specimens in my possession. It is rare in Batavia. I did not yet obtain it from other places on Java.

## Lepidocephalus Blkr. <br> Scale head.

Body elongate, strongly compressed, with small scales. Lower jaw with a thin edge, no tubercle. Head strongly compressed, scaled, snout convex. Eyes covered. Barbels 6 or 8 , nasal barbels 4 , maxillary barbels 2. Suborbital spine. Anterior nostrils tubular. Dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin. No visible swimbladder. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row.

69 Remark. The genus Lepidocephalus is easily discernable from the remaining genera of the Cobitiformes by the scales on the suborbital - and opercular area. Its squamation, which in one of the species even extends to over the entire operculum and the crown, in the family of the Cyprinoids, as far as I know, is an independent character and not known of any other genus.

Already for this reason it seems that a generic value ought to be attached to this character. Apart from that Lepidocephalus differs from Cobitis and Hymenophysis by its covered eyes, its dorsal fin placed between the ventral fins and the anal fin, and the absence of a swimbladder; and from Cobitis moreover by its suborbital spine. It is more related to the genera Cobitichthys, Acanthopsis and Acanthopthalmus. With these it has the covered eyes in common and moreover with Acanthopsis and Acanthopthalmus the movable suborbital spine. However, it is sufficiently distinguishable from all of them. Cobitichthys lacks the suborbital spine, has 2 snout - and 4 upper jaw barbels, a small swimbladder and the dorsal fin opposite the ventral fins. Acanthopsis does have a suborbital spine, but apart from that, it has barbels, a swimbladder and a dorsal fin as in Cobitichthys. The most related genus however, is Acanthophthalmus, but even this is easy to separate from Lepidocephalus, not only as its head is completely scaleless, but also because only 2 snout barbels and on the other hand 4 upper jaw barbels are present.

I know only 2 species from the genus Lepidocephalus from personal observation. One of these has been known in science for a long time under the name Cobitis Hasseltii Val., whereas the other a few years ago was discovered by myself and was made public under the name Cobitis macrochir. I am no stranger to the idea that still other species will be found among the Cobitiformes of South Asia that may be placed in Lepidocephalus, and although the existing descriptions and figures are not informative enough, I believe I can identify Cobitis balgara as a species of this genus although it possesses 8 barbels.

Both species of my collection possess the following characters.
I. Barbels 6 .
A. Crown scaled. Dorsal fins placed in between ventral fins and anal fin, ventral fins placed in the posterior half of the body. Body and fins without spots or bands.

70 Lepidocephalus macrochir Blkr.
B. Crown scaleless. Fins, dorsal fin nearer to the ventral fins than to the anal fin, ventral fins placed in the anterior half of the body. Body variegated with spots and bands.

Lepidocephalus Hasseltii Blkr.

Lepidocephalus macrochir Blkr.
Large-headed Scale head.
Atl. Cypr. Tab. II fig. 10.
A Lepidocephalus with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $61 / 2$ to 7 times in its length, width $21 / 2$ to 3 times in its depth. Head obtuse, convex, contained 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width $21 / 2$ to 3 times; rostro-dorsal profile convex; eyes completely covered by skin, placed posteriorly in the anterior half of the head, far from the frontal line, eye diameter contained 11 to 14 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes more than once their diameter, interorbital line convex; nostrils nearer to the eyes than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hole shaped, anterior nostrils tubular; suborbital spine inserted slightly anterior to the eye, robust, longer than the eye, ending behind the eye, forked, lower branch more than twice as long as upper branch; snout obtuse, convex, elevated, fleshy, protruding beyond


Fig. 5. Lepidocephalus macrochir Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. II, Fig. 6. TL figure 87 mm .
the mouth; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, not hooked at the tip, ending slightly anterior to the eye, lower jaw spoon-formed, protruding beyond the down-folded lip; lips fleshy, simple, not lobed; barbels 6 , fleshy, the 4 nasal barbels inserted on the tip of the snout, the external ones slightly longer than the internal ones, slightly more than twice as shorter as the head, maxillary barbels inserted in the angle of the intermaxillary bone, the nasal barbels slightly shorter than the external barbels; vertex and posterior part of cheeks and gill covers scaled, with very small scales, hardly visible with the naked eye. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, conical, acute, hardly curved, small. Gill cover rounded at the posterior side, concave at the lower margin; suboperculum not protruding behind the gill cover; gill opening nearly vertical; scales on the body very small, visible with the naked eye; lateral line nearly straight, running along the middle of the flanks; no swimbladder. Dorsal fin placed in between ventral fins and anal fin, obtuse, convex, about twice as low as the body, about equally high as base length; pectoral fins acute, slightly longer than the head, ending a distance of their complete length or more than their complete length anterior to the ventral fins; ventral fins obtuse, rounded, more than twice as short as pectoral fins, inserted in the posterior half of the body, ending a distance of their complete length or more than their complete length anterior to the anal fin; anal fin obtuse, rounded, not lower than dorsal fin, higher than base length; caudal fin elongate, slightly truncate, rounded at the angles, contained $7 \frac{1}{2}$ to $81 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour of body and fins darkish-orange or dark, no spots or bands on body or fins.
B. 3. D. $1 / 8$ or $1 / 9$. P. $1 / 8$. V.1/5 A. $1 / 5$ or $1 / 6$. C. $12 / 14 / 10$ to $10 / 14 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cobitis macrochir Blkr, Overz. Ichth. Faun. Sumatr. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. VII p. 97.
Hab. Java (Surakarta), in the river Pepeh.
Sumatra (Palembang), where the rivers Lematang and Enim flow together.
Length of 5 specimens $64^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $91^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. In the species in question, which I already discovered in the year 1846, but only made public in the year 1854, I observed for the first time, that contrary to 71 all other Cyprinoids, the head for the most part is covered with small scales. Similar small scales on the head I found since then also in Lepidocephalus Hasseltii, but in this species they are only present on the check and the upper parts of the gill covers, whereas in Lepidocephalus macrochir they extend to all over the gill cover and over the entire crown of the head.

In general shape this species more resembles the species of Acanthophthalmus by the far posterior implantation of the dorsal and ventral fins, whereas the shape of its generic relative approaches more to that of the species of Acanthopsis.

Till now only the two abovementioned catch localities of Lepidocephalus macrochir have become known to me, so that it seems to be rather rare and restricted to those parts of the area of larger rivers that are more distant from the sea.

## Lepidocephalus Hasseltii Blkr.

 Van Hasselt's Schubkop [Van Hasselt's Scale head]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. II fig. 2.A Lepidocephalus with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 6 to 7 times in its length, width contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 2$ times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, convex, contained $52 / 3$ to 6 times in the length of the body; depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $1^{2} / 5$ time in its length, width $2^{1 / 4}$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times; ros-tro-dorsal profile convex; cheeks, post-ocular part and upper part of gill cover scaled, scales very small, well visible only with the help of a lens; eyes completely covered, placed posteriorly in the anterior half of the head, very close to the frontal line, eye diameter contained 6 to 7 times in length of head, distance between the eyes about once their diameter, interorbital line convex; nostrils nearer to the eye than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hole-shaped, anterior nostrils tubular; suborbital spine inserted slightly anterior to the eye, rather robust, not or hardly longer than the eye, forked, lower branch less than twice as long as upper branch; snout obtuse, convex, elevated, fleshy, protruding beyond the mouth; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, not hooked at the tip, ending slightly anterior to the eye, lower jaw spoon-shaped, protruding anterior to down-folded lower lip; lips fleshy, simple, lower lip with two lobes; barbels 6 , fleshy, the 4 nasal barbels inserted in the periphery of the tip of the snout, external ones slightly longer than internal ones, hardly reaching the eye; upper jaw barbels inserted in the angle of the intermaxillary bone, reaching the eye. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, conical, acute, hardly curved, very small. Gill opening nearly vertical; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, at the lower margin hardly concave; suboperculum hardly or not protruding behind the gill cover; gill opening nearly vertical; scales on the body very small, visible with the naked eye; lateral line nearly straight, running along the middle of the flanks; no swimbladder? Dorsal fin placed in between ventral fins and anal fin, much closer to the ventral fins than to the anal fin, obtuse, rounded, not or hardly higher than the body, higher than base length; pectoral fins slightly acutely rounded, hardly or slightly shorter than the head, ending a distance of about their total length anterior to the ventral fins; ventral fins slightly obtusely or acutely rounded, inserted in the front half of the body, slightly shorter than pectoral fins, ending less than their length anterior to the anal fin; anal fin obtuse, rounded, lower than dorsal fin, higher than base length; caudal fin elongate, slightly convex or hardly emarginate, slightly obtuse at the angles, rounded, contained 5 to $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour of upper part of body green, lower part pearly, middle of flanks with 10 to 12 greenish-dark, slightly rounded spots, set in a single row, traversed by a bluish-violet head-tail band, oculo-maxillary band bluish- 72 violet, upper part of the body and upper part of the flanks variegated with small, irregular spots and darkish- green points; iris bluish, fins yellowish or pink-hyaline, pectoral, ventral and anal fins dotted more rarely and sparsely, dorsal and caudal fin always and densely on the rays variegated with very small darkish-green spots, caudal fin at the upper part of the base sometimes marked by a deeper green-dark spot.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $3 / 7$. P. $1 / 7$. V. $1 / 6$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$ or $2 / 6$. C. $10 / 14 / 10$ to $8 / 14 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cobitis octocirrhus v. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterbode 1823 II p. 133, Bulletin de Férussac 1824.
Cobitis Hasseltii Val., Poiss. XVIII p. 56, Blkr. Descr. Pisc. Javan. nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 365.

Loche de Hasselt, Val. Poiss. XVIII p. 56.


Fig. 6. Lepidocephalichthys Hasseltii Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. II, Fig. 2. TL figure 42 mm .

```
    Sereni Javan.
    Serowot, Serowot, Djeler Sundan. Mal.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Buitenzorg, Tjilankahan, Perdana, Bandung, Garut, Purworedjo) in rivers.
Length of 55 specimens \(32^{\prime \prime \prime}\) to \(48^{\prime \prime \prime}\)
```

Remark. Since I described this species at the abovementioned place after less well preserved specimens, I came in the possession of about 40 new, partly larger, perfectly preserved specimens. A closer investigation of these, revealed that the lower jaw barbels are not present, as I earlier had described, but that I must have mistaken the lobes of the lower lip for these, which can easily happen with the tender lips of small specimens, when one pulls them a little with a pair of tweezers.

The species is equally remarkable by its squamation of the head as Lepidocephalus macrochir, but it has the peculiarity, that the gill cover is only scaled on the upper part and the crown is not scaled. Moreover it differs from Lepidocephalus macrochir as in this species body and fins are entirely without band or spot markings, the ventral fins are implanted behind the anterior half of the total body, the dorsal fin starts posterior to the ventral fins, etc.

The size of Lepidocephalus Hasseltii remains very small. It does not seem to grow larger than my largest specimens.

Lepidocephalus Hasseltii was first introduced in science by Mr Valenciennes with the name Cobitis Hasseltii. It seems that the short description in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons was only taken from a figure by van Hasselt. I am in the possession of a copy of the drawing of this species left by van Hasselt, on which only 6 barbels are indicated, which answers to nature, but apparently also van Hasselt appears to have counted 8 barbels, which is apparent from the name Cobitis octocirrhus, which he proposed for this species.

## 73 Acanthophthalmus V. Hass., Blkr, Serowot.

Body elongate, strongly compressed, with small scales. Snout obtuse. Lower jaw with a thin edge, no tubercle. Eyes covered. Barbels 6 or 8, nasal barbels 2, maxillary barbels 4 . Head compressed, scaleless. Suborbital spine. Anterior nostrils tubular. Dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin. No visible swimbladder. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row.

Remark. I retain here a genus proposed by Van Hasselt, which he however characterized less sharply, as he comprised therein the species of Cobitis in which the suborbital spine is implanted below the eye and the snout is blunt.

According to this diagnosis Acanthopthalmus would not be distinguishable from the many species of Acanthopsis, for the Javanese species of which Van Hasselt first proposed the name Acanthopsis.

In my opinion Acanthopthalmus is a very natural genus, when, as is done above, it is delimited more precisely. It belongs to the Cobitiformes with covered eyes, and distinguishes itself from the other genera primarily by the presence of only 2 snout barbels and of 4 upper jaw barbels, while at the same time the dorsal fin is placed far posterior to the ventral fins.

It differs from Cobitichthys by the abovementioned position of the dorsal fin, and by its suborbital spine. It has this spine in common with Acanthopsis and Lepidocephalus,
however Lepidocephalus has the head scaled and 4 snout barbels and 2 upper jaw barbels, whereas in Acanthopsis the dorsal fin is opposed to the anterior to the middle of the body implanted ventral fins, and a small swimbladder is present, which is enclosed in a bony box. In habitus Acanthophthalmus otherwise resembles Lepidocephalus most.

As far as the existing knowledge extends, the genus Acanthophthalmus in the Indian Archipelago is only represented by two species, both of which were already known to Van Hasselt and indicated by him with the names Acanthophthalmus javanicus and Acanthophthalmus fasciatus. With rather large certainty one can bring Buchanan's Cobitis pangia to the same genus, and I also suspect that Cobitis thermalis Val. from Ceylon could be placed in it. Both of those species have 8 barbels, so two more than the archipelagic ones.

I recovered both species of Van Hasselt on Java and one of them I also received from Sumatra. They can be characterised by the following scheme.
I. Barbels 6
A. Body with 12 to 15 wide, transverse bands. Barbels three times or more than three times as short as the head.

Acanthophthalmus fasciatus V. Hass.
B. Body without any bands or spots. Barbels twice as short or slightly more than twice as short as the head.

Acanthophthalmus javanicus. V. Hass.

Acanthophthalmus fasciatus. V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 133, Bullet. Férussac 1824 p. 377.<br>Gebande Serowot [Banded Serowot].<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. II, fig. 4.

An Acanthophthalmus with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 8 to 10 times in its length, width contained 2 to 3 times in its depth. Head obtuse, convex, contained 8 to 9 times in the length of the body; depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $12 / 3$ times in its length, width about 3 times; rostro-dorsal profile convex; eyes completely covered, placed in the anterior half of the head, near the frontal line, eye diameter contained 7 to 10 times in length of head, distance between the eyes more than once their diameter, interorbital line convex; nostrils nearer to the eyes than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hole-shaped, anterior nostrils tubular; suborbital spine inserted slightly anterior to the eye, robust, longer than the eye, ending behind the eye, forked, lower branch more than twice as long as upper branch; snout obtuse, convex, elevated, fleshy, protruding beyond the mouth; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, not hooked at the tip, ending rather far anterior to the eye, lower jaw spoon-shaped, thin, protruding beyond down-folded lower lip; lips fleshy, simple, lower lip bilobed; barbels 6, fleshy, nearly of the same length, three times or more than three times as short as the head, the 2 nasal barbels inserted on the tip of


Fig. 7. Acanthopthalmus fasciatus. V. Hass. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. II, Fig. 4. TL figure 75 mm .
the snout, very close together, maxillary barbels 4, the anterior ones inserted in the middle branch, the posterior ones in the angle of the intermaxillary bone; head completely scaleless. Pharyngeal teeth in one row; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, at the lower margin hardly concave. Suboperculum not protruding behind the gill cover; gill opening nearly vertical, scales on the body very small, hardly visible with the naked eye; lateral line nearly straight, running along the middle of the flanks; no swimbladder. Dorsal fin much closer to anal fin than to ventral fins, ending slightly anterior to the anal fin, obtuse, rounded, much lower than the body, hardly or not higher than base length; pectoral fins rounded, slightly to much shorter than the head, ending a distance of more than three times their length anterior to the ventral fins; ventral fins inserted in the posterior half of the body, rounded, shorter than pectoral fins, ending a distance of about twice their length anterior to the anal fin; anal fin obtuse, rounded, not lower than dorsal fin, hardly higher than base length; caudal fin elongate, truncate or hardly emarginate, at the angles acute or rounded, contained $81 / 2$ to 9 times in the length of the body. Colour body beautiful pink with 12 to 15 wide, transverse, dark bands, 3 anterior cephalic bands, the rest dorso-ventral and caudal, all those on the back very wide and closely together, the middle or lower bands tapering or slightly forked, on the lower part of the tail sometimes united with the bands on the opposite side; fins beautiful pink, caudal fin for the basal part mostly dark, iris dark or blue.
75 B. 3. D. $2 / 6$ or $2 / 7$. P. $1 / 8$. V.1/5. A. $1 / 5$ or $1 / 6$ or $2 / 5$ or $2 / 6$. C. $10 / 14 / 9$ to $6 / 14 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cobitis Kuhlii Val. Poiss. XVIII p. 58; Blkr, Descr. spec. pisc. Javan. nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 364.
Loche de Kuhl Val., Poiss. XVIII p. 58. Serowot Sundan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Buitenzorg, Penawangan), in rivers. Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 20 specimens $72^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $80^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. This beautiful species is very easy to recognize because of its broad, closely together placed, oblique, brown bars on the body, which sharply contrast with a beautiful pink background. But this is also the main character by which it differs from the unbanded Serowot.

The remaining differences are of little importance and principally concern the greater length of the barbels and the dorsal fin that is placed more closely to the anal fin.

In Batavia it is rare, as they preferably stay in parts of the rivers that are further away.

> Acanthophthalmus javanicus V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 133, Bullet. Férussac 1624 p. 377.
> Ongebande Serowot [Unbanded Serowot]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. II, fig. 3.

An Acanthophthalmus with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 9 to 11 times in its length, width about 2 times in its depth. Head obtuse, convex, contained $7 \frac{1}{4}$ to $73 / 4$ times in the length of


Fig. 8. Acanthophthalmus javanicus V. Hass. Atl. Icht. Cypr. Tab II, Fig. 3. TL figure 78 mm .
the body; depth of head contained $13 / 4$ to $11 / 2$ times in its length, width $21 / 4$ to $21 / 2$ times in its length; ros-tro-dorsal profile convex; eyes completely covered, placed posteriorly in the anterior half of the head, near the frontal line, eye diameter contained about 10 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes more than once their diameter, interorbital line convex; nostrils nearer to the eyes than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hole shaped, anterior nostrils tubular; suborbital spine inserted slightly anterior to the eye, robust, slightly longer than the eye, ending slightly behind the eye, forked, lower branch more than twice as long as upper branch; snout obtuse, convex, elevated, fleshy, protruding beyond the mouth; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, not hooked at the tip, ending rather far anterior to lower jaw, lower jaw spoon-shaped, thin, protruding anterior to down-folded lower lip; lips fleshy, thin, lower lip with two lobes; barbels 6, fleshy, nearly of the same length, two times or more than two times as short as the head, the 2 nasal barbels inserted on the tip of the snout, very closely together, maxillary barbels 4, the anterior ones inserted in the middle branch, the posterior ones in the angle of the intermaxillary bone; head completely scaleless. Pharyngeal teeth conical, acute, hardly curved; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, at the lower margin hardly concave. Suboperculum not protruding behind the gill cover; gill opening nearly vertical, scales on the body very small, hardly visible with the naked eye; lateral line nearly straight, running along the middle of the flanks; no swimbladder. Dorsal fin much closer to anal fin than to the ventral fins, ending a distance of nearly its total length anterior to the anal fin, obtuse, rounded, slightly to much lower than the body, hardly or not higher than base length; pectoral fins rounded, much shorter but less than twice as short as the head, ending a distance of three times or more than three times their length anterior to ventral fins; 76 ventral fins inserted in the posterior half of the body, rounded, not or hardly shorter than pectoral fins, ending a distance of twice or less than twice their length anterior to the anal fin; anal fin obtuse, rounded, not or hardly lower than dorsal fin, generally higher than base length; caudal fin expanded, truncate or hardly emarginate, at the angles acute or rounded, contained $83 / 4$ to $101 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: body back and flanks dark, belly less strongly coloured; fins orange, pink or darkish; basal half of caudal fin nearly completely dark, iris blue.
B. 3. D. $2 / 6$ or $2 / 7$. P. $1 / 8$ or $1 / 9$. V. $1 / 6$. A. $2 / 5$ or $2 / 6$. C. $9 / 14 / 8$ to $7 / 14 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cobitis oblonga K. v. H., Val., Poiss. XVIII p. 58, Blkr, Act. Soc. Scient. Ind. Neerl. II Zesde Bijdr. Vischf. Sumatra p. 48.
Loche oblongue Val. Poiss. XVIII p. 58. Sisi-samping, Serowot Sundan.
Hab. Java (Buitenzorg, Tjampea), in rivers.
Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 23 specimens $60^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $81^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Very closely related to Acanthophthalmus fasciatus V. Hass., Acanthophthalmus javanicus is easily distinguishable from it by its not banded and not maculated body, longer barbels and moreover its dorsal fin which is ending more anterior to the anal fin. In habitus and colouration it is close to Lepidocephalus macrochir Blkr, but a confusion is not possible when one observes the generic characters, whereas moreover in the last mentioned species the body is less slender, the dorsal fin is placed closer to the anal fin, the pectoral fins are acute and longer than the head, etc.

> Cobitis Art., Blkr, Loach.

Body elongate, fusiform-compressed, with small scales. Lower jaw with a thin edge, no tubercle. Head rounded, scaleless. Eyes not covered. Barbels 6 to 8, nasal barbels 4, maxillary barbels 2. No suborbital spine. Anterior nostrils tubular. Dorsal fin inserted opposite ventral fins, ventral fins inserted anterior to the middle of the body. Swimbladder small, completely enclosed in bony vertebral cavity. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row.

Remark. I propose to retain the old generic name Cobitis for those Cobitiformes, which have in common with Cobitis barbatula L. a free eye membrane and the absence of suborbital spines. Wherever both these characters are found united, they seem to be accompanied by the others mentioned in the diagnosis, and they represent a very natural genus, whose numerous and usually very much resembling species are less easy to distinguish from each other 77 just because in habitus and colour pattern they indicate such a large relationship, which makes them belong to a natural genus. When they are characterized as above there is no confusion possible with any other genus.

Except for Cobitis only Hymenophysa has free eyes, however this genus has strongly developed suborbital spines and a totally different habitus of the body, etc.

Cobitichthys lacks the suborbital spine just like Cobitis, however it has the eyes totally covered by the head skin and apart from 6 to 8 barbels on snout and upper jaw, moreover it has 4 on the lower lip.

The genera Lepidocephalus, Acanthopsis and Acanthophthalmus differ from Cobitis, both by covered eyes, as by the suborbital spine, apart from still other characters, partly concerning the position of the barbels and of the dorsal and ventral fins, partly regarding the squamation of the head and the presence or absence of the swimbladder.

It is difficult however, to convert the existing descriptions and figures to the defined generic characters, as they in general have hardly been noticed. Two archipelagic species from my cabinet certainly belong to it, as well as all West Asiatic species which have been made known by Heckel and Mr Valenciennes and that have been placed in the genus Cobitis in the foregoing review. Less certainty in this matter exists with regard to the there presented Cobitis species of Buchanan and Mr MacClelland, and it even would not surprise me that among these some species, when they will become known better, will appear to belong to either Cobitichthys or types of genera of their own. I suspect this at least for the 4 -barbeled species described by MacClelland, of Cobitis pavona McCl ., Cobitis monoceros McCl ., etc. Whether there are otherwise Cobitiformes with only four barbels, in my opinion still has to be confirmed by further research.

Of my archipelagic species, Cobitis fasciata was already known to Van Hasselt.
Van Hasselt's genus Nemacheilus is no other than Cobitis. Nemacheilus fasciatus V. Hass. has all generic characters of Cobitis barbatula L., so the generic name proposed by Van Hasselt is not acceptable. The second species occurs on Sumatra and was described by myself already a long time ago under the name of its discoverer, Mr P. Jakles. Both species are very closely related.

They can be distinguished from all other known species and from each other according to the following scheme.
I. Barbels 6. Caudal fin deeply emarginate, bilobed. Dorsal fin with the anterior rays 78 placed opposite the ventral fins. Body with slightly dark or deeply green transverse bands. Barbels less than twice as short as the head.
A. Head contained 6 to nearly $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, less than twice as long as deep. 13 to 20 transverse bands, often double.

Cobitis Jaklesi Blkr.
B. Head contained $51 / 2$ to $53 / 4$ times in the length of the body, twice as long as deep. 11 or 12 transverse bands.

Cobitis fasciata Val.,<br>Poiss. XVIII p. 18, Blkr, Overz. ichthyol. Fauna v. Sumatra, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. VII p. 96. Gebande Meerslang [Banded Loach]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. II, fig. 7.

A Cobitis with an elongate body, anteriorly cylindrical, posteriorly compressed, depth of body contained $71 / 2$ to $81 / 2$ times in its length. Head slightly obtusely convex, contained nearly 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body; depth of head contained $13 / 5$ to $14 / 5$ times in its length, width $13 / 4$ to nearly 2 times; rostrodorsal profile convex; eyes not covered, placed approximately halfway the length of the head or largely in the anterior half of the head, very close to the frontal line, eye diameter contained 5 to $52 / 3$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes more than once their diameter, interorbital line convex; nostrils nearer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, anterior nostrils slightly tubular; no conspicuous suborbital spine; snout obtuse, convex, less than twice as long as the eye, fleshy tip protruding in front of the mouth; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, not hooked, ending slightly anterior to the eye, lower jaw rather broad, spoon-shaped, protruding in front of the down-folded lower lip; lips fleshy, simple, not lobed; barbels 6, fleshy, the 4 nasal barbels inserted in periphery of the tip of the snout, not united at the base, the external ones longer than the internal ones, surpassing the eye or reaching the posterior margin of the eye; the 2 maxillary barbels inserted in the angle of the premaxillary bone, surpassing the eye. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, small, conical, acute, hardly curved, 5 or 6 on each side; gill opening nearly vertical, ending below the base of the pectoral fins; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, lower margin concave. Suboperculum not or hardly protruding behind the gill cover; scales very small, well visible with the naked eye; lateral line nearly straight, running along the middle of the flanks; swimbladder very small, completely enclosed in bony vertebral cavity, no accessory, free, abdominal part. Dorsal fin with the anterior rays placed opposite the ventral fins, obtuse or slightly acute, not or slightly emarginate, not or not much higher than the body, hardly or not lower than base length, ending a distance of about half its length anterior to the anal fin; pectoral fins rounded, about equal in length to the head, ending a distance of less than their length anterior to the ventral fins; ventral fins inserted in the front half of the body, rounded, slightly shorter than pectoral fins, ending less than their length anterior to the anal fin; anal fin acutely or slightly acutely rounded, not or hardly emarginate, not or hardly lower than the body, higher than base length; caudal fin profoundly emarginate or crescent-shaped-emarginate, lobes acute or slightly obtuse, nearly equal, contained $4 \frac{1}{2}$ to 5 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body pink-green, flanks shiny green, lower part pink-hyaline or pearly-hyaline, back and 79 flanks with 13 to 20 wide, transverse, deeply green bands, often double or irregular, sometimes at the underside united into a longitudinal band; pectoral fins pink, other fins greenish-hyaline, dorsal fin often with some green spots on the rays, caudal fin at the base with an oblong, transverse deeply or blackish green spot, iris slightly violet or blue with an golden pupil ring; nasal barbels red, premaxillary barbels greenish.
B. 3. D. $3 / 9$ or $3 / 10$. P. $1 / 9$ to $1 / 11$. V. $1 / 7$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $10 / 17 / 8$ to $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.


Fig. 9. Nemacheilus fasciata Val. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. II, Fig. 7. TL figure 87 mm .

Syn. Naemacheilus [Noemacheilus] fasciatus K. v. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 133, Bull. Férussac 1824 p. 376.
Loche à bandes Val. Poiss. ibid. p. 19.
Cobitis suborbitalis Val. Poiss. ibid. p. 19.
Loche à sousorbitaires Val. ib. p. 19.
Cobitis chrysolaimos K. v. H., Val. ibid. p. 20 fig. 521.
Loche aux barbes d'or Val., Poiss. ibid. 20.
Cobitis Pfeifferi Blkr, Diagn. Beschr. nieuw. vischs. Sumatra, Tient. V to X, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IV p. 298.
Djeler Mal. Sund.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Garut, Kuningan, Ambarawa, Malang, Ngantang, Lesti), in rivers.
Sumatra (Meninju, Lahat), in rivers and lakes.
Batu or Nias??
Length of more than 100 specimens $45^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $85^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Like I have said elsewhere, I believe, that Cobitis chrysolaimos K. v. H. is the same species as Cobitis fasciata Val., that the figure of Cobitis chrysolaimos in the large fishwork really concerns Cobitis fasciata CV and in no way answers to the description of Cobitis chrysolaimos, in which it is said that "le corps et les nageoires n'offrent aucune taches ni stries" [on body and fins neither spots nor stripes are present], while the figure shows the bars and dorsal fin blots of Cobitis fasciata Val. This description was probably taken from a discoloured specimen. In various specimens of my collection, which have been preserved already for a long time, the bar and blotch markings similarly have been lost entirely.

In numerous specimens the suborbital bone is single and below the eye bulges with a blunt process through the skin, whereas in as numerous other specimens the chain of the suborbital bones ventrally entirely encircles the eye. The character found in these bones, for this reason is to be considered of a very subordinate importance.

Cobitis suborbitalis Val. from Java to me appears to differ specifically from Cobitis fasciatis no more than Cobitis chrysolaimos K. v. H., Val. The chain of suborbital bones in the specimens is once complete and then again intermittent, without showing a specific character. The described blotches of Cobitis suborbitalis Val. answer very well to those of many of my specimens, which are in a less well state of preservation. I now also place Cobitis Pfeifferi, 80 which I earlier took for a proper species, in Cobitis fasciata.

Cobitis fasciata on Java is the most common species of the Cobitiformes and inhabits both the lower as the higher areas of the river drainages. In Batavia it is not rare, but is not caught in sufficient quantities to contribute in any way to the feeding of the people.

> Cobitis Jaklesi Blkr,
> Diagnost. Beschrijv. Nieuw vischsoort. Sumatra, Tient. 1 to 4 , Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. III p. 604.
> Jakles Meerslang [Jakles' Loach].
> Atl. Cypr. Tab. II fig. 9.

A Cobitis with an elongate body, anteriorly cylindrical, posteriorly compressed, depth of body contained 8 to $81 / 2$ times in its length. Head slightly obtuse, convex, completely scaleless, contained $51 / 2$ to $53 / 4$ times


Fig. 10. Nemacheilus Jaklesi Blkr. Atl. Ichth.Tab. II, Fig. 5. TL figure 97 mm .
in the length of the body; depth and width of the head contained about 2 times in its length; rostrodorsal profile convex; eyes not covered, placed approximately halfway the head, or largely in the anterior half of the head, very close to the frontal line, eye diameter contained $43 / 4$ to 5 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes more than once their diameter, interorbital line slightly convex; nostrils nearer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils wide open, anterior nostrils slightly tubular; no conspicuous suborbital spine; snout obtuse, convex, less than twice as long as the eye, tip fleshy, protruding anterior to the mouth; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, not hooked at the tip, ending rather far anterior to the eye, lower jaw rather wide, spoon-shaped, protruding in front of the downfolded lower lip; lips fleshy, simple, not lobed; barbels 6, fleshy, the 4 nasal barbels inserted in the periphery of the tip of the snout, not united at the base, external ones slightly longer than internal ones reaching or surpassing the eye; the 2 maxillary barbels inserted on the angle of the premaxillary bone, surpassing the eye. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, small, conical, acute, hardly curved; gill opening nearly vertical, ending below the base of the pectoral fins; gill cover angular at the posterior side with a rounded angle, lower margin slightly concave. Suboperculum not or hardly protruding behind the gill cover; scales very small, well visible with the naked eye; lateral line nearly straight, running along the middle of the flanks; swimbladder very small, completely enclosed in bony vertebral cavity, no accessory, free, abdominal part. Dorsal fin with the anterior rays placed opposite the ventral fins, slightly acute, not or slightly emarginate, deeper than the body, length about equal to depth, ending a distance of about half its length anterior to the anal fin; pectoral fins rounded, in length about equal to the head, generally ending a distance of less than half their length anterior to the anal fin; anal fin slightly obtuse or slightly acute, convex, or hardly emarginate, not or hardly lower than the body, deeper than base length; caudal fin deeply emarginated or crescent-shaped to emarginate, lobes acute, contained $41 / 2$ to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: body pink-green or darkish, nebulated with deeper dark-green or with 11 or 12 wide, transverse, darkish bands; fins darkish-hyaline, dorsal and caudal fins on the rays variegated with a darker green; caudal fin at the base with an oblong, transverse green or dark spot; iris violetish-blue.
B. 3. D. $2 / 10$ to $3 / 12$. P. $1 / 10$ or $1 / 11$. V. $1 / 7$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $11 / 17 / 9$ to $9 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Pajakombo, Solok, Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 8 specimens $56^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $91^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Cobitis jaklesi is very closely related to Cobitis fasciata Val. and resembles it in nearly all points. Even the higher [?] brownish-red colour 81 to which I earlier ascribed the main difference between Cobitis Jaklesi and Cobitis fasciata, after the receipt of better preserved specimens from Solok and Lahat, now rather seems to be attributable to the less well preservation in rice wine. During a detailed comparison of all my specimens of both species I perceive only as constant characters, the differences in the relative height and length of the head, as they are, in the species in question in specimens of a different age, relatively longer and larger than in Cobitis fasciatus. The small number of transverse bands also seems to give a specific character.

Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XVI p. 304

Body elongate, compressed, with small scales. Head compressed, scaleless. Snout convex. Eyes covered by head skin. Nasal and maxillary barbels 6 to 9 (8), lower jaw barbels 4. No suborbital spine. Anterior nostrils tubular. Dorsal fin opposite ventral fins. Caudal fin entire, above and under the tail prolonged into an adipose ridge. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, conical. Small swimbladder, completely enclosed in bony vertebral cavity.

Remark. The genus Cobitichthys comprises all those species of Cobitiformes, which just like the genus Cobitis as restricted by me, lack the suborbital spine, but differ from those primarily by covered eyes and numerous barbels. It seems the genus belongs in East Asia and the East Asiatic archipelago, as till now only species of it have become known from China, Japan and Borneo.

Those species are more numerous than was suspected earlier. Mr Schlegel gave the description and figures of the two Japanese species known to him (Cobitis rubripinnis Schl. and Cobitis maculata Schl.). Mr MacClelland made known two species of Cobitis from China under the names Cobitis pectoralis (Calc. Journ. Nat. Hist. IV p. 400 tab. 23 fig. 3) and Cobitis bifurcata (ibid. fig. 1). Sir J. Richardson in the Zoology of the journey of the Sulphur gave a description and figure of Cobitis anguillicaudata of Mr Cantor, which is a Cobitichthys as well, whereas in his Report on the Fishes of China, he described another species based on an illustration, under the name Cobitis psammismus. Cobitis decemcirrosus Basil., from northern China similarly is a Cobitichthys, and at last my earlier Cobitis barbatuloides from Borneo can be placed in Cobitichthys. None of these species has been described in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons, the $19^{\text {th }}$ part of which, dealing with the Cobitiformes, as a matter of fact was already published in the year 1840.
${ }^{82}$ In my opinion, according to the present state of knowledge, the eleven species of Cobitichthys that are present in the list in the heading of this subfamily can be recognized.

The only known archipelagic species can be distinguished by the following characters.
A. Depth of body contained nearly 7 times in its length. Head contained about $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Pectoral fins hardly shorter than the head, ventral fins inserted anterior to the middle of the body.

Cobitichthys barbatuloides Blkr.
Cobitichthys barbatuloides Blkr, Meerslangachtige Cobitichthys [Loach-like Cobitichthys], Atl. Cypr. Tab. II fig. 1.

A Cobitichthys with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 7 times in its length, width contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth; Head acute, completely scaleless, contained about $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body, depth of head contained $1^{2 / 3}$ times in its length; eyes covered by skin, eye diameter contained about 5 times in the length of the head, placed halfway the length of the head, very close to the frontal line; snout acute, convex; no conspicuous suborbital spine; 6? nasal-maxillary


Fig. 11. Misgurus barbatuloides Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. II, Fig. 1. TL figure 44 mm .
barbels; gill opening nearly vertical; scales on the body very small, hardly visible with the naked eye; dorsal fin with the anterior rays placed opposite the ventral fins, ending a distance of its total or more than its total length anterior to the anal fin, obtuse, rounded, slightly lower than the body; pectoral fins acute, hardly shorter than the head, ending far anterior to the ventral fins; ventral fins ending slightly anterior to the middle of the length of the body, shorter than the pectoral fins, ending far anterior to the anal fin; anal fin obtuse, rounded, lower than the body; caudal fin entire, posterior margin slightly convex, contained 5 times in the length of the body; body dark, with deeper dark spots: fins pink-green; rays with dense or sparse dark spots; caudal fin at the upper part of the base with a rather large black spot, surrounded by a reddish ring.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 6$ or $1 / 7$. V. $1 / 6$. A. $2 / 5$ or $2 / 6$. C. 15 , short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cobitis barbatuloides Blkr. Vijfde Bijdr. ichth, Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. II p. 435.
Hab. Borneo (Sambas), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $46^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Owing to the poor state of preservation of my single specimen, I could add only few substantial data to my earlier, description of this species cited above. I cannot determine with certainty the number of barbels, but there must be at least six, two of which are implanted at the tip of the snout and four on the upper jaw. It is difficult for me to explain how I in the above mentioned description could have mentioned the presence of a suborbital spine. While dissecting the suborbital skin I must have mistaken the edge of the suborbital bone of my otherwise very small and poorly preserved specimen, for spinous, which a later detailed investigation showed to be wrong. The species deserves to be described more detailed after specimens that are well preserved.

## SUBFAMILY II HOMALOPTERAEFORMES.

Cyprinoidei with an elongate, depressed body, scaled, belly broad, flat. Head depressed, covered everywhere by glandular skin, lower part broad and flat, scaleless, snout protruding in front of the mouth, mouth small, inferior, transverse, central (at some distance from the sides of the head), lips fleshy, lower jaw flat, protruding in front of the lower lip. Pharyngeal teeth conical, in one row. No pseudobranchia. Gill opening vertical, narrow. Fins spineless, dorsal and anal fin with few rays, pectoral and ventral fins horizontal, nearly disc-shaped, pectoral fins with several simple rays. No swimbladder.

Remark. The Homalopteraeformes are sharply characterized in the large family of carp-like fishes by the completely horizontal position of the paired fins, by the numerous undivided pectoral fin rays, the flat wide ventral side of head and belly, the small, inferior, transverse mouth opening that does not reach the side of the head, free jaws that are not covered by lips, and a single row of pharyngeal teeth. They are among the

Cyprinoids, what the Glyptosterna are among the Siluroids and what the Platypteraeformes are amongst the Gobioids. Just like these they are built for resisting the current in shallow, fast flowing mountain streams with a stony bottom by clinging or clutching, and it is therefore in the rivers of the mountains where one preferably finds the species of Platyptera, Glyptosternum and Homaloptera. With the Platypteraeformes, the Homalopteraeformes have the habitus and the scale arrangement in common, but the teeth -, gill -, and fin arrangement place the Platypteraeformes far from the [sub] family in question.

The Homalopteraeformes have first become known in science from Bengal. Buchanan, in his work on the fishes of the Ganges, described two species under the names Cyprinus sucatio and Cyprinus balitora. However, he did not recognize the natural relationship of these species and placed them in his subgenus Garra, which he described as comprising the "Cyprini absque ulla ad aliud genus affiniate, corpore parvo, vix compressiusculo, absque maculis, vittis, notave colorum alia insigni" [Cyprini not related to any other genus, with a small body, only very slightly compressed, without spots, bands or any other remarkable colour characteristic], Cyprini, in which also the species of Crossocheilos and Discognathus have been placed.
84 Mr MacClelland was the first one who placed the Homaloptaereformes of Buchanan in a genus of their own and described and figured them in more detail as Psilorhynchos sucatio and Psilorhynchos variegates. He rightly placed Psilorhynchos next to Homaloptera, by which he appeared to have recognized the large relationship between both genera, which cannot be said of Mr Valenciennes who suspects (Poiss. XVI p. 345), that both mentioned Buchananian species belong to the group of Leuciscus phoxinus Cuv. or the genus Phoxinus Ag.

In the same year (1822) when Buchanan's Gangetic Fishes was published, two Javanese species of Homalopteraeformes were described by Van Hasselt, who proposed the generic name Homaloptera for them.

Mr Gray, not familiar with the discovery of Van Hasselt, and finding among the figures that have served for the composition of the "Illustrations of Indian zoology" two species which belonged to Van Hasselt's Homaloptera, also placed them in a genus of their own, which he named Balitoria. Mr. Valenciennes accepted this name because he incorrectly believed that the name Homaloptera of Van Hasselt had not been published.

In the year 1833 Mr J. van der Hoeven in his excellent "Handboek der Dierkunde" gave a figure of a new species of Homaloptera, under the name of Homaloptera ocellata V. Hass., from which can be deduced that Van Hasselt also already knew this species. Mr Van der Hoeven very righly has accepted the generic name proposed by Van Hasselt.

Mr MacClelland published figures of Balitoria Brucei and Balitoria macula from the Illustrations of Indian Zoology again in his Indian Cyprinidae accompanied by short descriptions, however, he placed them under a new generic name, so his genus Platycara and Balitora Gr. have the same meaning as Homaloptera V. Hass.

But Mr MacClelland discovered moreover three new species, which he placed in Platycara. However, one of these, Platycara nasuta, as was already suspected by Mr Valenciennes, represents the type of a proper genus, which however does not belong to the Homalopteraeformes.

Mr Valenciennes later made known some Homalopterans from Java and Cochinchina and my own investigation have also led to the knowledge of some new species.

In my opinion three genera can be distinguished in the Homalopteraeformes, which could be named Homaloptera, Platycara [Psilorhynchus!] and Lissorhynchos.

Homaloptera has six short fleshy barbels and no mental sucking disc.
Psilorhynchus McCl. has the habitus and snout of Homaloptera, but the barbels are lacking (according to testimony of both Buchanan and Mr MacClelland), just like the mental sucking plate.
${ }_{85}$ Lissorhynchus, a genus which I base on Platycara lissorhynchos McCl ., has a mental sucking disc and, according to the figure of Mr MacClelland, four barbels.

The now known species of Homalopteraeformes are no more than 16 in number. They seem to belong to South Asia and the Sunda archipelago. They occur especially in the mountainous areas of Java, Sumatra and Bengal, and presumably also those of Siam and Cochin-China. Some species sometimes leave the mountainous areas, but surely not voluntarily and only carried away by the current. Of two Javanese species I have found specimens up to the rivers of the capital Batavia.

The now known species of the subfamily are those mentioned below.

## The Homalopteraeform species known till now.

| loptera |  |  | Java, Sumatra. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| " fa |  |  | va, Sumatra. |
| " sal | usur Blkr. |  | Java, Sumatra. |
| " op | hiolepis Blk | " | Java, Sumatra. |
| " gy | gymnogaster Blk | " | Sumatra. |
| " ery | erythrorhina K. v. H. = Balitora erythrorhina Val. |  | Java. |
| " Val | Valenciennesi Blkr. = Balitora ocellata Val. | " | Java. |
| " par | pavonina Blkr. = Balitora pavonina Val | " | Java. |
| " | lineolata Blkr. = Balitora lineolata Val |  | Cochin-China. |
|  | Brucei Blkr. = Ballitora Brucei Gr. = Platy | " | Bengal |
| " | culata Blkr. = Balitora maculata Gr. = Platycara maculata |  | utan |
| " | anisurus Blkr. = Platycara anisurus McCl . .................................. |  | Mount Kasyah. |
| Psilorhynchu | s sucatio McCl. $=$ Stolephorus sukati Buch. = |  |  |
|  | Cyprinus sucatio Buch. | " | Bengal. |
| " | balitora Blkr. $=$ Cyprinus balitora Buch. $=$ |  |  |
|  | Stolephorus balitora Buch. = Psilorhynchus variega | " | eng., Ass |
| issorhynch | McClellandi Blkr. = Platycara lissorhynchus McCl . |  | Mount Kasyah. |

I do not know the genera Lissorhynchus and Psilorhynchus from nature, and the descriptions and figures of the species belonging to them leave much to be desired.
${ }^{86}$ Psilorhynchos must be very closely related to Homaloptera and seems to differ from it only by the absence of barbels, while the more vertical position of the eyes also has a generic value. However, both species with regard to the mouth parts and the dentition still have to be completely investigated, and even with regard to the absence of the barbels, which in the Homalopteraeformes are always very short, a closer investigation still seems to be desirable in every respect. As far as the genus is now known, one could give it the following diagnosis.

# Psilorhynchus McCl., Indian Cyprinid. Asiat. Research. XIX p. 300. 

Body elongate, depressed. Eyes placed nearly vertically. No barbels. Chin without sucking disc. Scales on the body large. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin.

The genus Lissorhynchus also still has entirely the habitus of Homaloptera.
It is already more closely related to the Labeonines of the genus Discognathus, because of its sucking disc on the chin and apparently forms a transition of the Homalopteraeformes to the genera Platycara, Discognathus, Discognathichthys, Crossocheilos and Epalzeorhynchos, which are closely related to each other. According to the known data it can be characterized as follows.

## Lissorhynchus Blkr

Head elongate, depressed. Eyes placed nearly horziontally. Barbels 4, nasal and maxillary barbels. Chin with sucking disc. Scales on the body large. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin.

Homaloptera V. Hasselt, Algemeene Konst- en Letterbode. 1823 II p. 133 = Balitora Gray. - Salusur.

Body elongate, depressed. Barbels 6 fleshy, nasal barbels 4, maxillary barbels 2. Eyes placed nearly horizontally. Gape more or less parallelogram-shaped. Jaws free at the margin, thin, the lower one flat without tubular symphysis. Upper lip hanging anterior to lower lip. Lower lip broad, slightly backfolded, entire, united with upper lip. 87 One postlabial groove on both sides, short, oblique. Grooves separated by the broad isthmus. Chin without sucking disc. Dorsal starting anterior to or behind ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin. No swimbladder. Pharyngeal teeth conical, acute, in one row.

Remark. As was already remarked above, the genus Homaloptera was proposed by Van Hasselt and briefly introduced as mainly distinguishing itself amongst the carp like fishes by the entire horizontal position of the pectoral and pelvic fins, a definition that now can be extended to the entire subfamily. The species named by Van Hasselt and by Misters Gray and MacClelland have already been mentioned above as well.

The $18^{\text {th }}$ part of the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons, in which the Homalopterans are dealt with, appeared only in 1846 and thus after the works of the aforementioned zoologists. Mr Valenciennes described therein under the generic name proposed by Mr Gray, apart from Homaloptera Brucei and Homaloptera maculata, four species, by then still unknown to science, i.e. Homaloptera erythrorhina V. Hass., Balitora ocellata Val. (which is not the same as Homaloptera ocellata V. Hass., V.d. Hoeven), Balitoria pavonia Val. and Balitoria lineolata Val.

In 1852 I myself described six species of this genus in an article entitled: "Over eenige nieuwe soorten van Homaloptera V. Hass. van Java en Sumatra" included in the fourth volume of the Natuurkundig Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsch Indië.

For most of these species I since received new and better preserved specimens, after which I have submitted them to a new investigation. From that it appeared that three of
those six species could be reduced to species already described by Van Hasselt. I now consider my Homaloptera polylepis not specifically different from Van Hasselt's Homaloptera ocellata. My Homaloptera Zollingeri with a rather large certainty now can be determined to be the same as Van Hasselt's Homaloptera javanica, and my Homaloptera Waninki is the same as Homaloptera fasciata V. Hass. Although both these species, prior to me, were described by no one, I have thought, in deference to the memory of the excellent Van Hasselt, to put the names accepted by him in place of my names.

The three remaining ones described in the aforementioned contribution, i.e. Homaloptera ophiolepis, Homaloptera salasur and Homaloptera gymnogaster, seem not to have been known to Van Hasselt and they can neither be placed in the species described by Mr Valenciennes.
${ }^{188}$ The nine Sundanese species can be separated from the remaining species and from each other as follows.
A. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins.
a. 45 to 50 scales in a longitudinal row, ridged.

* Pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins. Vent near to the base of the ventral fins. Belly scaled from vent to base of pectoral fins.
§ Scales not dentate at free margin.
Homaloptera javanica v. Hass.
§ Scales dentate at free margin.
Homaloptera ophiolepis Blkr.
b. 65 scales in a longitudinal row, ridged, dentate at free margin (ridge surpassing free margin).
* Belly scaleless up to the vent. Dark spots on the back surrounded by a lighter ring.

Homaloptera pavonina Blkr.
c. 70 to 80 scales in a longitudinal row.

* Scales toothless. Belly scaleless anterior to ventral fins. Vent placed in the posterior half of the body. § Pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins.
$\dagger$ Scales undulate at free margin. Width of head contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $1 \frac{1}{5}$ times in its length.


## Homaloptera ocellata V. Hass., v.d. Hoev.

$\dagger$ Scales not undulate at free margin. Width of head contained $12 / 5$ to $13 / 5$ times in its length.

Homaloptera salusur Blkr. § Pectoral fins reaching ventral fins. Black opercular-caudal band.

Homaloptera Valenciennesi Blkr. = Balitora ocellata Val.

* Scales dentate at free margin, 80 in a longitudinal row.


## Homaloptera erythrorhina V. Hass.

B. Dorsal fin starting behind the beginning of ventral fins. Scales not ridged, toothless.
a. Belly scaleless anterior to ventral fins.

* Approximately 45 scales in a longitudinal row. Pectoral fins reaching ventral fins.

Homaloptera fasciata V. Hass.

* Approximately 70 scales in a longitudinal row. Pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins.

Homaloptera gymnogaster Blkr.

Homaloptera javanica V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterbode. 1823 II. p. 133, Javaanse Saloesoer [Javanese Salusur].

$$
\text { Atl. Cypr. tab. III. fig. } 5 .
$$

A Homaloptera with an elongate, depressed body, compressed only at the tail, depth of body contained 8 to $81 / 2$ times in its length, width about equal to depth. Head depressed, convex, frontal line rounded, slightly crescent-shaped, head contained 6 to $61 / 3$ times in the length of the body; width of head contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to slightly over once in its length, depth $11 / 2$ to $12 / 3$ times, crown, snout and cheeks glandular; eyes not covered by skin, placed largely in the posterior half of the head, eye diameter contained $41 / 2$ to slightly over 5 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes less than twice their diameter; nostrils nearer to the eye than to the tip of the snout, the large, oblong posterior nostrils can be closed by means of a valve, anterior nostrils much smaller than posterior nostrils, perforated in the base of the valve of the posterior nostrils; snout convex, width slightly greater than length at the base; barbels nearly equally long, shorter than the eye, thin; lower jaw flat, edge protruding in front of down-folded lower lip; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave.


Fig. 12. Homaloptera javanica V. Hass. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab III, Fig. 5. TL figure 89 mm .

Pharyngeal teeth in one row, small, conical, acute, slightly curved, about 10. Vent in the anterior half of the body opening much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the anal fin. Lateral line nearly straight, each scale marked by a simple tube, curved upwards at the base of the caudal fin, anterior part of belly scaled, scaleless only in between ventral fins and hardly scaled behind the base of the ventral fins; scales at the free margin glabrous, not dentate and, except for the ventral and post-anal scales very conspicuously uniridged, on the flanks about 45 scales in a longitudinal row, 5 in a transverse row between the $1^{\text {st }}$ dorsal ray and the lateral line, about 15 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the total belly up to the vent and postaxillary scales conspicuously smaller than scales on the rest of the body; dorsal fin starting slightly anterior to the ventral fins, acute, not emarginate, considerably higher than the body, length less than height; pectoral and ventral fins rounded anteriorly, angular at the tip, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute or slightly obtuse, not emarginate, not or slightly lower than the body; caudal fin rather deeply emarginate, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe; contained $43 / 4$ to slightly over 5 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body orange-olive or dark olive; lower part orange-pink; glands on the head orange; 6 or 7 broad, diffuse dark transverse bands on the body, composed from merging spots, iris violetish-blue, margin of pupil golden; fins orange-pink or red; middle and lower part of caudal fin largely deeply dark or black, upper part with 2 or 3 transverse dark bands; other fins with 2 or 3 longitudinal dorsal and anal bands, pectoral and ventral fins with transverse, dark not always visible bands.
B. 3. D. $2 / 8$ or $2 / 9$. P. $4 / 9 / 1$ or $4 / 10 / 1$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $2 / 5$ or $2 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 5$ or $5 / 17 / 4$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Homaloptera Zollingeri Blkr. Over eenige nieuwe soorten van Homaloptera. Nat. Tijdschr. N. Ind. IV. P. 158.

Salusur Sundan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Bandung), in rivers.
Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 7 specimens $78^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $99^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I described this species in the year 1852 after three smaller specimens from Batavia and Bandung, which during the often repeated movings of my cabinet have been lost in my various houses. ${ }^{1}$ Since then I received some larger specimens from Lahat (in the interior of Palembang), by which I could improve and extend my earlier descriptions.

A more detailed investigation of my specimens and a comparison of these with copies of drawings of the two species observed on Java by Van Hasselt, which he gave the names Homaloptera javanica and Homaloptera fasciata, certainly brought me to the opinion that my Homaloptera Zollergingii can be reduced to Homaloptera javanica.

[^1]The species is easy to recognize by its ca 45 keeled but not serrated scales in a longitudinal series. With regard to the small number of scales it is related to Homaloptera ophiolepis, but it differs from it by numerous characters, as in the lastmentioned species the body is remarkably more slender, the scales much stronger keeled and on the hind edge, by the elongation of the keel armed with one to seven teeth, the scales on the belly remarkably smaller, and the body not marked with oblique bars but with smaller round spots, of which some are placed on the middle line of the back, anterior and posterior to the dorsal fin, just like in Homaloptera ocellata and still other species.

> Homaloptera ophiolepis Blkr, Over eenige nieuwe soorten van Homaloptera, Nat. T. N. Ind. IV p. 160. Slangenschubbige Saloesoer [Snake-scaled Salusur]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. III fig. 3 .

A Homaloptera with an elongate, depressed body, tail compressed only at the back, depth of body contained $101 / 4$ to $11^{11 / 2}$ times in its length, width slightly greater than depth. Head depressed, convex, frontal line acutely rounded, head contained 6 to 7 times in the length of the body; width of head contained $11 / 4$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in its length, depth of head nearly twice to slightly over twice in its length, crown, snout and cheeks glandular; eyes not covered by skin, placed anteriorly in the posterior half of the 91 head, eye diameter contained $41 / 2$ to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes less than twice their diameter; nostrils much closer to the eye than to the tip of the snout, the large, oblong posterior nostrils can be closed by means of a valve, anterior nostrils much smaller than posterior nostrils, opening in the base of the valve of posterior nostrils; snout convex, at the base width slightly greater than


Fig. 13. Homaloptera ophiolepis V. Hass. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab III, Fig. 3. TL figure 128 mm .
length; barbels nearly equally long, not or slightly longer than the eye, compressed, broad at the base; lower jaw flat, edge protruding in front of down-folded lower lip; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, lower margin slightly convex. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, small, conical, slightly curved. Vent in the anterior half of the body, opening much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the anal fin. Lateral line nearly straight, each scale marked by a single tube, curved upwards at the base of the caudal fin; anterior part of belly scaled, scaleless between pectoral fins only; scales on back and flanks very conspicuously uni-ridged, on the nape partly pluri-ridged, ventral scales not ridged, scales on nape and flanks at the free margin dentate with 3 to 7 teeth, ventral scales not dentate, the others conspicuously unidentate; 45 to 48 scales on the flanks in the lateral line, 6 in a transverse row between the $1^{\text {st }}$ dorsal ray and the lateral line, about 15 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the entire belly up to the vent very small, scales on the anterior part of the back and flanks smaller than caudal and post-anal scales; dorsal fin starting slightly anterior to the insertion of the ventral fins, acute, not or hardly emarginate, much higher than the body, length slightly less than depth; pectoral fins rounded anteriorly and posteriorly, angular at the tip, not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins rounded anteriorly, acute at the tip, hardly shorter than pectoral fins, not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, not or hardly emarginate, not or slightly higher than the body, considerably higher than base length; caudal fin deeply emarginate, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained $41 / 2$ to 5 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body orange-olive, lower part orange- pink; glands on the head orange; middle line of the back with 7 large, round, dark spots, of which 4 behind the dorsal fin; upper part of the flanks with large, dark, generally round spots, unequal in size; fins orange-pink or red, decorated with dark bands, pectoral and ventral fins generally with 3 bands, caudal fin generally with 5 transverse bands, dorsal and anal fin generally with 3 longitudinal bands; caudal bands frequently merging.
B. 3. D. $3 / 8$ or $3 / 9$. P. $5 / 9$ to $4 / 10$ to $4 / 11 / 1$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $2 / 5$ or $2 / 6$. C. $4 / 17 / 4$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Salusur Sund.
Hab. Java (Parongkalong, Bandung), in rivers.
Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 7 specimens $83^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $124^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Homaloptera ophiolepis is the most slender Homaloptera species known to me. Apart from that it is easily recognisable by its relatively little numerous and large, strongly keeled, and at the free edge ctenoid scales. The scales on the ventral side of the body are divided into two sharply separated groups. Those that are placed anterior to the vent and completely cover the belly till close to the pectoral fins basis are very small, not keeled and not ctenoid. Earlier I had not even noticed these scales, however with a lens they are easily discernable. On the contrary, the scales, which lie between the anal opening near the basis of the pelvic fins, and the anal fin, in size do not yield to the lateral scales between the pectoral fins and the anal fin and are keeled as well.

On Java this species lives in the drainage area of the Tjitaroem. I did not receive it from other rivers on Java. From Sumatra I only got it from the drainage area of the Moesi or river of Palembang.
$\frac{92}{}$ Homaloptera pavonia Blkr,
Over eenige soorten van Homaloptera, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IV p. 158,
Paauwoogige Saloesoer [Peacock-eyed Salusur].
[Not figured in Atlas.]

A Homoloptera with a thinner body, head more acute and thinner, eyes larger, anal fin more square, caudal fin more emarginate and its lower lobe longer than in Homaloptera Valenciennesi: pectoral fins short, trapezoid; ventral fins rounded; belly up to the vent scaleless; scales 65 in a longitudinal row, the ridges surpassing the free margin, slightly dentate, dorsal and lateral scales small, slightly thick, imbri-
cate. Colour: upper part of the body blackish; back anterior to dorsal fin with round, black dots, behind the dorsal fin with 5 large, round, black spots surrounded by a white ring, no longitudinal band on the body, fins with black spots.
D. 10. P. 18. V. 9. A. 6. C. 22.

Syn. Balitora pavonina Val., Poiss. XVIII p. 74.
Balitore pavonin Val., ibid.
Hab. Java (Buitenzorg), in rivers.
Length 4 French inches.
Remark. Homaloptera pavonia seems to be related to Homaloptera ophiolepis Blkr, but this last one cannot be united with this, as it has only 45 to 48 scales in a longitudinal row, and the belly from the vent to very closely to the pectoral fin basis is covered with scales be it very small ones.

I do not know this species from nature and give the above mentioned description only translated from that of Mr Valenciennes.

Homaloptera ocellata V. Hass., J. van der Hoev., Handb. Dierk. ed. $1^{\text {a }}$ Tom. II p. 211 tab. 13 fig. 2. Geoogde Saloesoer [Eyed Salusur].<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. III fig. 4.

A Homaloptera with an elongate, depressed body, tail compressed, depth of body contained slightly over 7 to $81 / 2$ times in its length, width slightly greater than depth. Head depressed, convex, frontal line slightly acutely rounded or rounded in the form of a crescent, head contained slightly over 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body; width of head contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 5$ times in its length, depth $12 / 3$ to slightly more than 2 times; crown, snout and cheeks glandular; eyes not covered by skin, placed anteriorly in the


Fig. 14. Homaloptera ocellata V. Hass. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab III, Fig. 4. TL figure 123 mm .
posterior half of the head, eye diameter contained $52 / 3$ to $62 / 3$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes about twice their diameter; nostrils much closer to the eye than to the tip of the snout, the large, oblong posterior nostrils can be closed by means of a valve, anterior nostrils much smaller than posterior nostrils, opening in the base of the valve of the posterior nostrils; snout convex, at the base width greater than length; barbels nearly equally long, not longer than the eye, conical-compressed, broad at the base; lower jaw flat, edge protruding anterior to down-folded lower lip; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, lower margin concave. Pharyngeal teeth about 10, in one row, conical, acute, slightly curved, middle teeth longer than teeth at the sides. Vent in the posterior half of the body, perforated, closer to anal fin than to the base of the ventral fins. Lateral line nearly straight, each scale marked by a simple tube; belly scaleless up to a point slightly anterior to ventral fins; scales on body lightly uniridged, at the free margin spineless, undulate; 70 to 75 scales on the flanks in a longitudinal row, 8 or 9 in a transverse row between the $1^{\text {st }}$ dorsal ray and the lateral line, 22 or 23 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales in the post-axillary region, on the lower part of the flanks, interventral scales and scales in the gastro-anal 93 region smaller than scales on the rest of the body. Dorsal fin starting slightly anterior to the insertion of the ventral fins, acute, lightly emarginate, higher than the body, length smaller than depth; pectoral fins rounded anteriorly and posteriorly, angular at the tip, not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins rounded anteriorly, angular at the tip, a little shorter than pectoral fins, not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, not or slightly lower than the body, much higher than base length; caudal fin deeply emarginate in the shape of a crescent, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained $41 / 3$ to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body darkisholive, lower part slightly olive-orange; back and flanks nebulated with dark; 6 or 7 large, round, deeply dark spots, with an orange ring, on the middle line of the back, the posterior 2 on the tail; fins beautiful pink, pectoral and ventral fins generally with 2 transverse bands, dorsal and anal fin generally with one longitudinal, dark-violet band; lower half of caudal fin largely, upper half for a smaller part with 2 vio-let-dark transverse bands, iris bluish, margin of pupil golden.
B. 3. D. 3/8. P. 7/8/1 to 7/10/1. V. 2/7. A. 3/5 or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 5$ or $5 / 17 / 4$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Homaloptera polylepis Blkr. Over eenige soort. van Homalopt. Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IV p. 162.

Salusur Sundan.
Hab. Java (Buitenzorg, Tjipanas, Bandung), in rivers.
Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 26 specimens $76^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $132^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Since I described this species under the name Homaloptera polylepis after two specimens, on which the colours had suffered much, I have come in possession of twenty four partly larger and almost all very well preserved specimens, with few exceptions all caught in the river Tjidani, in the neighbourhood of Buitenzorg. As a result of this I was able to improve my earlier description, especially with regard to the colouration.

It is my present opinion that the species depicted by Mr J. van der Hoeven in the first edition of his Handboek der Dierkunde, is the same as my Homaloptera polylepis, and although that figure leaves much to be desired, and the species is not described in more detail by Mr Van der Hoeven, I have accepted the name "ocellata" as it has the right of priority, whereas Van Hasselt surely wanted to indicate with it the light ringed dots on the back, which in this species in the fresh condition are sharply marked, but slightly disappear after preservation in spirit of wine.

Balitora ocellata Val. is a species different from the one described above, which I do not know. According to Mr Valenciennes the pectoral fin in this species would reach the ventral fins, which is not the case in any of my specimens, young or old. In none of my specimens there is any sign of the black spots on the head or of a black longitudinal body stripe, which is said to be present in Balitoria ocellata Val. As the species name
"ocellata" is already given to the species depicted by Mr Van der Hoeven, that of Balitoria ocellata Val. will have to be changed. I therefore propose to connect to it the name of the famous ichthyologist who first described it.
194 Balitoria ocellata V. Hass. in the hills of West Java without any doubt is the most common species, but still it is difficult to get from the native people as it does not form part of their food and even by offering relatively substantial rewards I could not persuade them to collect specimens of the Salusur, which is the common Sundanese name for Homaloptera species. From Sumatra I received till now only one specimen.

Balitoria maculata Gr. and Platycara anisura McCl . seem to be related to Homaloptera ocellata.

Homaloptera salusur Blkr,<br>Over eenige n. soort. v. Homalopt. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IV p. 161. Gladschubbige Saloesoer [Smooth scaled Salusur]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. III fig. 2.

A Homaloptera with an elongate, slightly depressed body, compressed posteriorly, depth of body contained 8 to 9 times in its length, width not greater than depth. Head depressed, convex, frontal line acutely rounded, head contained $52 / 3$ to 6 times in the length of the body, width of head contained $12 / 5$ to $13 / 5$ times in its length, depth about 2 times; crown, snout and cheeks with little conspicuous glands; eyes not covered by skin, placed anteriorly in the posterior half of the head, eye diameter contained 6 to 7 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes about twice their diameter; nostrils much closer to the eye than to the tip of the snout, the large, oblong posterior nostrils can be closed by means of a valve, anterior nostrils much smaller than posterior nostrils, opening in the base of the valve of the posterior nostrils; snout convex, at the base width not or hardly greater than length; barbels nearly equally long, not or hardly longer than the eye, compressed at the base, slightly broad; lower jaw flat, edge protruding anterior to down-folded lower lip; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, lower margin concave. Pharyngeal teeth about 10, in one row, conical, acute, slightly curved. Vent in the posterior half of the body, opening closer to anal fin than to the base of the ventral fins. Lateral line nearly straight,


Fig. 15. Homaloptera salasur Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab III, Fig. 2. TL figure 84 mm .
each scale marked by a simple tube; belly up to a point between the ventral fins scaleless; scales on body lightly uniridged, at the free margin spineless, entire; on the flanks about 70 scales in the lateral line, about 8 in a transverse row between the $1^{\text {st }}$ dorsal ray and the lateral line, about 22 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, nuchal, post-axillar and gastro-anal scales smaller than scales on the rest of the body; dorsal fin starting a little anterior to the insertion of the ventral fins, acute, lightly emarginate, higher than the body, length slightly smaller than depth; pectoral fins rounded anteriorly and posteriorly, angular at the tip, not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins rounded anteriorly, angular at the tip, slightly shorter than pectoral fins, not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, not or slightly lower than the body, higher than base length; caudal fin deeply emarginate, lobes acute, lower lobe generally longer than upper lobe, contained nearly 5 to $5^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body darkish or orange-olive, lower part faintly pink; back with 4 or 5 wide, diffuse, dark bands; iris bluish, pupil with a broad, golden margin, fins pink, dorsal, pectoral and ventral fins for anterior half, caudal fin for the lower lobe nearly completely dark.
B. 3. D. $3 / 8$ or $3 / 9$. P. $5 / 8 / 1$ or $5 / 9 / 1$ to $7 / 10 / 1$. V. $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Salusur Sundan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Tjampea, Ngantang), in rivers. Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 8 specimens $55^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $90^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
05 Remark. Homaloptera salusur differs only very little from Homaloptera ocellata Van Hass. One may however recognize it by its more slender head, which width goes $1^{2 / 5}$ to $13 / 5$ in its length, whereas that width in Homaloptera ocellata goes only $11 / 4$ to $11 / 5$ times in the length of the head. Moreover the scales in the species in question are entirely smooth edged, whereas the body has only transverse bars, at least in my specimens, and not the sharply drawn and yellow ringed round brown spots on the back of Homaloptera ocellata. The difference in the width of the head is very obvious in specimens of both species and also expresses itself in a more slender snout, that seems to be longer, as its width at the base hardly or not exceeds its length.

> Homaloptera Valenciennesi Blkr, Valenciennesche Saloesoer [Valenciennes' Salusur]. [Not figured in Atlas.]

A Homaloptera with a shorter body, shorter head, more obtuse snout, smaller and more widely spaced eyes, equal, more rounded fins, deeper and more rounded anal fin than in Homaloptera erythrorina, pectoral fins elliptical, reaching ventral fins; caudal fin emarginate, scales 70 in a longitudinal row; belly scaleless; lateral line very conspicuous, straight. Colour: body reddish; back behind dorsal fin with 5 round black spots and anterior to dorsal fin with 3 black, cloud-shaped spots, crown with black dots; black operculo-caudal band; fins with black spots or bands; pectoral fins and caudal fin tinged with orange.
D. 9. P. 17. V. 9. A. 6. C. 23.

Syn. Balitora ocellata Val., Poiss. XVIII p. 73. Blkr. Over eenige soort. van Homalopt. Nat. T. N. Ind. IV p. 157.
Balitore ocellé Val., 1.c.
Hab. Java (Buitenzorg), in rivers.
Length of described specimen 2 French inches, 8 lines.
Remark. The species described by Mr Valenciennes with the name Balitora ocellata, is not the same as the one Van Hasselt indicated with that name. It differs from that
species not only by the longitudinal body stripe, but also because the pectoral fins reach up to the pelvics. As Van der Hoeven already published a figure of Homaloptera ocellata V. Hass., I have chosen for the species described here the name of Mr Valenciennes who made her known first and of whose description the one given above is a short translation.

I believe Balitoria Brucei is the most closely related species of Homaloptera Valenciennesi.

> O6 Homaloptera erythrorhina V. Hass., Blkr, Over eenig. soort. v. Homalopt. Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IV p. 157.
> Roodneuzige Salasoer [Red-nosed Salusur].
> [Not figured in Atlas.]


#### Abstract

A Homaloptera with an elongate, depressed body, width equal to depth, depth contained 6 times in its length; snout acute, rounded anteriorly; head contained more than 6 times in the length of the body; eye diameter contained 6 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes 3 times their diameter, nostrils very close to the eye; barbels 6 , nasal barbels shorter than maxillary barbels; pharyngeal teeth in one row, about 5; fins elongate, rhomboid-rounded, first ray more robust than the other rays; dorsal fin emarginate, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins opposite dorsal fin; length of anal fin contained twice in its depth; caudal fin deeply emarginate with acute, equal lobes; scales 80 in a longitudinal row, ridged, the ridges surpassing the free margin, making the scales more or less dentate. Colour: body reddish; membrane of nostrils red, fins with blackish bands or spots resembling bands.


B. 3. D. 10. P. 15. V. 9. A. 6. C. 25.

Syn. Balitora erythrorhina Val., Poiss. XVIII p. 70 fig. 524. Balitore a museau rouge Val., ibid.
Hab. Java (Buitenzorg), in rivers.
Length 4 French inches, 8 lines.
Remark. The description given above is compressed and translated from the quoted description of the species by Mr Valenciennes. He adds to it some anatomical peculiarities, which I recovered in all my specimens. The stomach is a large thin membraneous sac, which passes into a little elongated intestinal canal. The liver is very small. The ovaries on the contrary are very large, which is also the case in the species I have in my possession. The swimbladder is lacking in all archipelagic species and probably also in the South Asiatic ones.

All pains I took during my numerous excursions to the Buitenzorg area to obtain this species, have turned out fruitless. It seems to be most closely related to Homaloptera ocellata V. Hass. (Homaloptera polylepis Blkr), which is the most common species in the neighbourhood of Buitenzorg, but she cannot be identified as that species.

> Homaloptera fasciata V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 130.

> Gebande Soelasoer [Banded Salusur]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. III fig. 3.

[^2]

Fig. 16. Homaloptera fasciata V. Hass. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab III, Fig. 1. TL figure 60 mm .
head contained slightly over once in its length, depth nearly twice to twice in its length; crown, snout and cheeks with not or hardly visible glands; eyes not covered with skin, placed largely in the posterior half of the head, eye diameter contained $42 / 3$ to nearly 6 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes less than twice their diameter; nostrils much closer to the eye than to the tip of the snout, the large, oblong posterior nostrils can be closed by means of a valve, anterior nostrils much smaller than posterior nostrils, opening in the base of the valve of the posterior nostrils; snout convex, at the base width rather much greater than length; barbels thin, 97 fleshy, middle nasal barbels shorter than the other nasal barbels, maxillary barbels slightly longer than other barbels, shorter than the eye; lower jaw flat, edge united with lower lip; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, small, conical, slightly curved, about 8 . Vent in the posterior half of the body, opening closer to anal fin than to the base of the ventral fins. Lateral line nearly straight, each scale marked by a simple tube, not curved upwards at the base of the caudal fin; belly scaleless anterior to ventral fins; scales on body not ridged, at the free margin not dentate, entire; about 45 scales on the flanks in a longitudinal row, 5 in a transverse row between the first dorsal ray and the lateral line, 21 or 22 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales between the ventral fins and scales on the anterior part of the body conspicuously smaller than caudal scales. Dorsal fin placed completely or nearly completely behind the base of the ventral fins, acute or slightly acute, not or slightly emarginate, not or only slightly higher than the body, length smaller than depth; pectoral and ventral fins obliquely obtusely rounded, pectoral fins larger than ventral fins, reaching the base of the base of the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching anal fins; anal fin acute, not emarginate, lower than the body, higher than base length; caudal fin emarginate in crescent-shape, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained $42 / 3$ to $5^{2} / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body orange-olive, lower part orange-pink or pearly-pink; iris violetish-blue, margin of pupil golden; about 5 or 6 dark, wide, transverse, diffuse bands on the body, closely together; fins orange-pink or red, dorsal and anal fins with 2 or 3 longitudinal bands, pectoral, ventral and caudal fin with 2 or 3 transverse dark, diffuse bands.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $6 / 10 / 1$ or $6 / 9 / 1$. V. 2/7. A. $2 / 5$. C. $7 / 17 / 6$ or $6 / 17 / 5$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Homaloptera Wassinkii Blkr, Over eenige nieuwe soort. van Homaloptera, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IV p. 163.
Salusur Sundan.
Habit. Java (Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Kediri), in rivers. Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 14 specimens $40^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $57^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. In only two Homaloptera species of my collection the dorsal fin is implanted posterior to the ventral fins, i.e. in Homaloptera fasciata and Homaloptera gymnogaster. Both these species also have in common with each other, that the belly is entirely scaleless between the ventral fins, and that the body scales are not dented. Moreover, both species differ considerably by the size of the scales and the pectoral fins, and Homaloptera fasciata is completely well recognizable by the fact that it has only 45 scales in a longitudinal row and that the pectoral fins reach the basis of the pelvic fins.

As it appeared to me from a drawing left by Van Hasselt that he knew this species and that it is the same as that which he indicated as Homaloptera fasciata at the above mentioned place, I was of the opinion that, although the species was first described by myself, I ought to restore the species name proposed by Van Hasselt. Earlier I had named her after Mr Dr G. Wassink, now chief of the medical service in the Netherlands Indies, by whose kindness I came in the possession of the first specimens that I saw of the species.
${ }^{98}$ In Platycara lissorhynchos McCl . the dorsal fin also seems to start a little posterior to the ventral fins and the figure of this species shows only 36 scales in a longitudinal row. She differs however from Homaloptera fasciata by a peculiar sucking apparatus on the ventral surface of the head behind the mouth opening, by which it can even be placed in a genus differing from Homaloptera.

Homaloptera gymnogaster Blkr, Over eenige nieuwe soorten van Homaloptera, Nat. T. N. Ind. IV p. 163. Kaalbuikige Salasoer [Bare bellied Salusur]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. III fig. 6.


#### Abstract

A Homaloptera with an elongate, depressed body, only the tail compressed, depth of body contained about $81 / 2$ times in its length, width greater than depth; head depressed, convex, frontal line slightly obtusely rounded, contained about 6 times in the length of the body; width of the head contained about $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times in its length, depth about 2 times; crown, snout and cheeks without visible glands; eyes not covered with skin, placed largely in the posterior half of the head, eye diameter contained 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes less than twice their diameter; nostrils much closer to the eye than to the tip of the snout, the large, oblong posterior nostrils can be closed by means of a valve, anterior nostrils much smaller than posterior nostrils, opening in the base of the valve of the posterior nostrils; snout convex, width at the base considerably greater than length; barbels thin, nearly equal in length, slightly shorter than the eye; lower jaw flat, edge united with lower lip; gill cover rounded at the posterior side, lower margin concave. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, small, conical, acute, slightly curved, about 8 . Vent in the posterior half of the body, opening very close to anal fin. Lateral line nearly straight, each scale marked by a simple tube, not curved upwards at the base of the caudal fin; belly scaleless in front and behind ventral fins; scales not ridged, at the free margin not dentate, entire; on the flanks about 70 scales in a longitudinal row, 5 or 6 in a transverse row between the $1^{\text {st }}$ dorsal ray and the lateral line, about 28 ? in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the nape and scales on the anterior part of the flanks conspicuously smaller than caudal scales. Dorsal fin for its total length placed slightly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, slightly emarginate, higher than the body, length smaller than height; pectoral and ventral fins obliquely obtusely rounded, nearly equal in length, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, not or slightly emarginate, not lower than the body, higher than base length; caudal fin emarginate in crescent-shape, lobes acute, lower lobe hardly longer than upper lobe, contained about 5 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body orange-olive or darkish-olive, lower part orange- or pearly-pink; iris violetish-blue, margin of pupil golden; 5 or 6




Fig. 17. Homaloptera gymnogaster Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab III, Fig. 6. TL figure 74 mm .
dark, broad, transverse, diffuse bands on the body, close to each other; fins orange-pink or pink, caudal fin with diffuse, transverse dark bands in the middle.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. 5/9/1 or $5 / 10 / 1$. V. 2/7. A. $2 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $6 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Meninju), in the lake.
Length of sole specimen $75^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Till now I have only received this species from Sumatra in a single specimen originating from Lake Meninju, and donated to me by the famous traveller the late Ida Pfeifer. The species is very easy recognizable by its posterior implantation of the dorsal fin and the numerous scales in a longitudinal row.

## SUBFAMILY III CYPRINIFORMES CARPS

Cyprinoidei with an oblong or elongate, compressed body, generally scaled, with cycloid scales. Head more or less compressed, scaleless. Barbels: never more than 4 , often none. Gape reaching or nearly reaching the sides of the head. Pharyngeal teeth taking various shapes, in one to three-rows. Pseudobranchia comb-shaped or gland-shaped. Gill opening wide. Pectoral and ventral fins never disc-shaped, pectoral fins with a simple upper ray only. Swimbladder bipartite.

Remark. The Cypriniformes are the carp-like fishes by excellence. What is written by most writers on Cyprinoids, exclusively or almost exclusively concerns this subfamily and therefore the sketches of the development of the knowledge of the Cyprines given in the introduction of this part also mainly concern the Cypriniformes. They do comprise more than nine and a half hundred known species, whereas of both other subfamilies together not even a hundred species are known.

Whereas the Cobitiformes and Homalopteraeformes are restricted to the continent of the eastern hemisphere, one sees the Cypriniformes not only distributed all over

Africa, but they also inhabit almost the whole of North America and this even in very numerous species.

The study of the geographical distribution of the Cyprinoids yields the most important results with regard to the Cypriniformes.

Even to a lesser extent than the freshwater Silurids, the Cyprines, in general, were able to cross the borders set to them by nature. Among them are no species that voluntarily go out of the water or can live long outside water. They are not built for this, and even if many Cobitiformes like Silures, although less easily, can move themselves out of the water in a certain direction, their respiration apparatus is not adapted to contain and hold the amount of water necessary for a somewhat extended moistening of the gills.
100 Nevertheless, the geographic distribution of some species is very large, even of those for which no artificial transposition can be suspected, and consequently one must believe in a simultaneous creation of the species in the drainages in which they are still living now. On the other hand it also holds for the Cypriniformes, that not a single species of the Old world also occurs in the New world, and that in both hemispheres the borders of the various species and genera sometimes are very sharp. Even the genera almost without exception are different in both hemispheres.

Only of Acomus, Leuciscus, Alburnus and Gobio one finds species both in the Old as in the New world.

Every large region moreover, not only has its own endemic species, but also genera that do not occur elsewhere. Thus one finds, to mention just a few more specifically from the numerous examples, which one can chose from the forthcoming general outline of the species, Epalzeorhynchus only in the Indian archipelago, Abrostomus only at Cape of Good Hope, Cyprion only in Persia and Syria, Pseudogobio only in Japan, Aulopyge only in south-eastern Europe, Elopichthys only in China, Esomus only in Bengal and Hindustan etc.

A thorough revision of the hundreds of species of Cypriniformes and of the genera formed by them is deemed necessary. It is a difficult task, the performance of which may be impossible for some time to come, because the material is spread over various museums in Europe, America and Asia.

I have accomplished that revision for all species that I possess myself, however, as there is not any other ichthyological cabinet in these provinces, I have not been able to extend it further.

In the mean time, the research on these species has led me to study the available literature and by this I have come to the conviction, that, just like the archipelagic Cypriniformes belong to numerous genera that can be characterized with a large certainty, many of the extra-archipelagic genera erected in recent times by various ichthyologists also indeed have to be regarded as natural genera. And thus, even after rejecting of numerous less well defined ones, in my opinion still more than 100 genera of Cypriniformes are to be maintained.

Like already said above, those numerous genera can be placed into two large groups, in that of the Phalacrognathines and that of the Cheilognathines. The genera of the Cheilognathines in numerousness win by far from those of the Phalacrognathines, just as they are more numerous in species.

# 101 COHORS 1 Phalacrognathini. 

Bare jawed fishes

Cypriniformes with a lower jaw that is bare at the free margin, not covered by a lower lip, protected by a sheath or by a deciduous bony plate.

After Mr Agassiz in 1837 had given the example to separate the genus Chondrostoma from the remaining Cypriniformes on the basis of the peculiar structure of the mouth parts, one also tried to place numerous extra-European Cypriniformes in various genera on the basis of the structure of the mouth parts.

Cuvier in 1817 indeed had already erected the genus Labeo, but his characterization concerning the construction of the mouth parts was restricted to the statement that the lips are fleshy and curiously thick, to which diagnosis in 1823 was added that the lips are often crenate.

Both greatest ichthyologists of the newer age without being aware of this, in Labeo and Chondrostoma had found the types, not, as they supposed, of two genera, but of two groups, rich in species, in which more than 200 species would come to arrange themselves.

Kuhl \& Van Hasselt had already noticed some of those genera, during their investigation of the curious Javanese forms of the Phalacrognathines. They already felt the importance of the shape of the mouth parts for the division of the genera, however, their early death has obstructed them, to further develop their view in this matter. Their genera Crossocheilos, Lobocheilos, Diplocheilos and Labiobarbus are nothing else than types obtained by analyzing of the basic type of Cuvier's Labeo.

Mr MacClelland with the erection of several of his genera also paid attention to the shape of the mouth parts, without however, determining the particularities of that shape in detail. His genera Cirrhinus (with Labeo as subgenus), Oreinus, Gobio and Gonorhynchus comprise exclusively Phalacrognathines; Cirrhinus, Gobio and Gonorhynchus (all with a totally different meaning than in Cuvier) all species of the basic type of Labeo, Oreinus species of the basic type Chondrostoma.
102 A. Smith in Abrostomus found a new subtype of Labeo.
Dangila and Rohita, also derived from the basic type of Labeo and erected in the year 1842 by Mr Valenciennes, are part of the genus Labiobarbus, as understood by Kuhl \& Van Hasselt.

In 1842 Heckel proposed his genera Cyprinion, Scaphiodon, Gymnostomus, Chrondrochyclus and Chondrorhynchus, all subtypes of Chondrostoma; as well as Tylognathus and Discognathus, which are subtypes of Labeo. In 1847 he added to these the genera Dillonia, Schizopyge and Aspidoparia, which are derived as well from the basic type of Chondrostoma, whereas he reduced his genera Chondrochilus and Chondrorhynchus to the original genus Chondrostoma.

In North America too a type was already discovered in 1818, stamped with the name Exoglossum by Rafinesque, which is related to the basic type of Labeo. The genus Pimephales of Rafinesque seems to be related to it as well.

Numerous other North American types, erected in the last decades, with regard to the jaw- and lip-shape also seem to belong to the basic type of Labeo, e.g. Hyborhynchus, Hybognathus and Campostoma of Mr Agassiz; Lavinia, Diona, Algoma, Ortho-
don, Alganesea, Siboma and Cliola of Mr Girard; Cochlognathus of Misters Baird and Girard; and Mylocheilus and Mylopharodon of Mr Ayres.

All these genera, much as they are related to Labeo by the shape of the mouth parts, by their dentition approach more the basic type of Chondrostoma and several of them were even presented as Chondrostomines by misters Agassiz and Girard, although the essence of that group is not the same as that which is attached to it in this work.

Heckel in the "Nachtrag zur Charaktistik and Classifikation der Cyprineën-Gattungen" has very well distinguished the mentioned basic types of Labeo and Chondrostoma, but he has not named them.

For those who wish to recognize in the genera summed up here only the genera Labeo Cuv. and Chondrostoma Ag., group A of Heckel's Temnochilae would represent Labeo, group B of the Temnochilae would represent Chondrostoma.

I consider these groups as natural groups and name them after their basic types Labeoines and Chondrostomines.

But moreover I recognize in these groups still other generic types, which had partly remained unknown to other ichthyologists, partly were also overlooked by them. These types are, for the Labeoines the genera Epalzeorhynchus, Discognathichthys, Diplocheilichthys, Schismatorhynchos, Rohithichthys, Barbichthys, Morara, Opistochelos, Pseudogobio, Semiplotus; - and for the Chondrostomines the genera Mrigala and Acheilognathus.
108 All these genera will be treated in more detail below.
I have to remark here that, although my Phalacrognathines answer to Heckel's Temnochilae, Heckel's naming just like his diagnosis thereof "maxilla inferiore in aciem cartilagineam attenuata" [lower jaw getting thinner so as to form a cartilaginous edge] are less correct, as the lower jaw in some genera, like Lobocheilos, instead of ending in a sharp edge, is extremely thick and bluntly edged.

104 STIRPS I Labeonini.
Lip carps

Cypriniformes with bare jaws, lower lip constructed in various ways, down-folded.
Remark. The Labeonines comprise all Phalacrognathines in which a lower lip is present, which, although they may have a different shape always have in common that they do not reach the free edge of the lower jaw and is bent away or turned down from the ventral side of the lower jaw.

Department A of the Temnocheilae of Heckel only comprises the genera with three rows of pavement-like pharyngeal teeth, and therefore has a more restricted significance than the Labeonini, to which here also all North American Phalacrognathines are brought, which in their dentition differ from all Labeonines of the Old world, except for Japan.

On the basis of the dentition the Labeonines can be divided in two groups. All genera of the Old world, with the exception only of Pseudogobio of Japan, have "dentes aggregati triseriati" [three rows of aggregated teeth] and two to four barbels, whereas in the American Labeonines the pavement-like arrangement of the teeth is constantly lacking and the teeth themselves are placed in only a single or double row (possibly
only with the exception of Mylopharodon Ayr. and Mylocheilus Ag., which are said to have a defective third row).

Of the Labeonines of the Old world I was able to investigate the genera Epalzeorhynchus, Crossocheilos, Diplocheilichthys, Lobocheilos, Schismatorhynchos, Morulius, Barbichthys and Morara from nature, and have thereafter become convinced of the high value of the shape of the lips and jaws for the generic classification.

A revision of the remaining genera of the Labeonines, from the data that have been published about them, induced me to test their more correct definition and by this I deemed it necessary to separate some species from some of these genera, and to place them in their own generic types. To these belong the genera Rohithichthys, Opistocheilos, Semiplotus and Pseudogobio.
105 Whereas the more detailed knowledge of so many species of Labeonines has allowed for the more detailed description of several generic types, that knowledge has also shed light on many species, especially the ones made known by Mr MacClelland from Bengal whose descriptions are too short or whose figures are too insufficient to determine their generic relationships only after these. I have tried to bring these species back to their correct genera, and although the data for many species were insufficient for this, I believe I have given back to many Buchananese and MacClellandese species their true meaning.

Among the Cypriniformes, the Labeonines are closest related to the Homalopteraeformes and Cobitiformes. Judging from the shape of the lower jaw and lips these subfamilies would belong to the Labeonines, if not characters of a higher order would place them in groups of higher value.

The genera, which are most closely related to the aforementioned subfamilies, are Epalzeorhynchos, Crossocheilos, Platycara, Discognathus and Discognathichthys.

The species and genera of the Labeonines are much more numerous than those of the Chondrostomines. They account for about 75 percent of all Phalacrognathines.

They are relatively the most numerous on the Sunda Islands, from which already 43 species are known. Continental Asia feeds more than 70 known species and also North America can boost still more than 50 species. In Africa however, they are, according to our present knowledge, much more rare and only 13 in number, whereas they are completely lacking in Europe.

A more detailed definition of the genera of the Labeonines of the Old world is not very difficult with the present knowledge.

Excellent characters can be found in the shape of the posterior undivided dorsal fin ray, in its ossification or lack of ossification, in its being serrated or not.

Other first-rate characters are found in the being notched or not notched of the free edge of the snout; the snout grooves and lateral appendages; the being united or not united of the upper lip with the lower lip and the way they are united; in the shape and direction of the posterior lip groove or grooves; in the shape and the nature of the jaws proper; in the mental sucking disc; in the shape of the lips and their being fringed or not; in the nature of the anal scales etc. In the Labeonine genera of the Old world the barbels and the peculiarities of the dentition are only of secondary importance.

More difficult, anyway according to the present knowledge, seems to be the correct definition of the genera of North American Labeonines. It is also the question, whether they all 106 can be retained, and when I present them all below, it is more
because data to give a final judgement about their value are lacking. Judging from the present data many of those genera are based on characters the generic value of which is very doubtful, however it is possible that other more substantial characters have been overlooked, just like it has happened with many genera of Labeonines from the eastern hemisphere.

From the excellent naturalists, who have made public these genera and are still living in North America, a more detailed investigation of the numerous species discovered by them certainly may be awaited.

The genera of the Labeonines can be surveyed as follows.
I. Pharyngeal teeth in three rows. Body scaled.
a. Dorsal fin spineless.

1. Snout crenate at free margin. Gape parallelogram-shaped. Barbels 2 to 4 . Scales large. aa. Lower lip united with upper lip.

* Snout entire, on both sides equipped with a mobile, conical process. Chin without sucking disc.


## Epalzeorhynchos Blkr.

* Snout with a transverse bipartite groove, no lateral processes. Chin with sucking disc.

Discognathus Heck. (partly).
bb. Lower lip not united with upper lip. Snout entire without processes. Chin without sucking disc.

## Crossocheilos V. Hass., Blkr.

2. Snout not crenate at free margin. aa. Chin with sucking disc. Snout entire. Lower lip united with upper lip. Scales large.

## Discognatichthys Blkr.

bb. Lower lip with some kind of lobe, not forming a sucking disc. Scales large.

* Snout divided into two parts by deep longitudinal groove.

Platycara McCl .

* Snout without longitudinal groove. Lower jaw thickened, fleshy-cartilaginous.
§ Lower lip not united with upper lip. Snout divided into two parts by a transverse groove. Barbels 4.


## Schismatorhynchos Blkr.

§' Lower lip united with upper lip, confluent. Barbels 2 to 4 .
107 ô Upper lip confluent with anterior margin of lower lip.

* Snout equipped with a lobe on both sides. Anterior suborbital
bone placed rather far anterior to orbit.

Labeo Cuv., Blkr.
** Snout not lobed.
$\dagger$ Snout divided into two parts by a transverse groove. Lower lip crenulate.

## Tylognathus Heck.

†' Snout entire. Lower lip not crenulate. Suborbital bone close to the anterior part of the orbit.

## Diplocheilichthys Blkr.

ô Upper lip inserted on the upper surface of lower lip inside the margin.

## Lobocheilos V. Hass., Blkr.

cc. Lower lip simply back-folded, not lobed or disc-shaped. Scales large or medium-sized.

* Lips fimbriate or crenulate-papillose. Barbels 4 to 2.
§ Upper and lower lips fimbriate. Gape oval when mouth is open.
ô Two longitudinal postlabial grooves separated by the wide isthmus. Suborbital bone close to the anterior part of the orbit.


## Rohita Val.

ô' One crescent-shaped transverse postlabial groove. Anterior suborbital bone placed rather far anterior to orbit.

Morulius Buch., Blkr. Chrysophekadion Blkr.
§' Lower lip fimbriate only. Anterior suborbital bone placed rather far anterior to orbit.

## Rohitichthys Blkr.

§" Upper lip papillose only. Gape nearly parallelogram-shaped when mouth is open. Lower jaw with thin free edge.

Dangila Val.
*' Lips neither fimbriate nor papillose.
§ Upper lip thick, fleshy. Barbels 4. Scales small. Mouth parallelogram-shaped.

Abrostomus Smith.
§' Upper lip very thin, membraneous, hanging anterior to upper lip. Scales large.
ô Gape angular. Anterio suborbital bone slightly resembling a horse foot. Barbels 4.

* Gape crescent-shaped. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal. No barbels.

Morara Blkr.
b. Dorsal fin with a simple, posterior, bony ray.

1. Dorsal spine toothless. Scales large, anal scales not larger than other scales. Dorsal fin with many rays. No barbels.

Semiplotus Blkr.
2. Dorsal spine serrated posteriorly. Scales small, anal scales much larger than other scales. Dorsal fin with few rays. Barbels 4.

## Opistocheilos Blkr.

II. Pharyngeal teeth in two rows or in one row.
a. Dorsal spine bony. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like $4 / 4$. No barbels. Scales large.

1. Jaws spoon-shaped, acute at the free margin.

Cochlognathus Baird Gir.
2. Jaws not spoon-shaped.

Pimephales Raf.
b. No dorsal spine.

1. Maxillary barbels 2.
aa. Pharyngeal teeth thin, acute, in one row, 5/5. Lower lip back-folded, three-lobed. Thoraco-gular region scaleless. Vent close to ventral fins.

## Pseudogobio Blkr.

bb. Pharyngeal teeth molar, permanent, in two rows, 2.5/5.2 or 2.4/4.2. Gape nearly terminal, horizontal.

Mylocheilos Ag.
2. No barbels.
aa. Pharyngeal teeth molar, permanent, in two rows, 2.5/5.2 or 2.4/4.2. Gape large.

## Mylopharidon Ayr.

bb. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like.

* Lower lip bilobed. Teeth in two rows 1.4/4.1.


## Exoglossum Raf.

* Lower lip not lobed.
§ Pharyngeal teeth in two rows.
$\hat{o} \quad$ Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins. Gape curved. Teeth 1.4/4.1, with an elongate, thin chewing surface. Scales medium-sized.


## Campostoma Ag.

$109 \hat{o}^{\prime}$ Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins. Mouth small, terminal. Teeth 1.4/5.2. without chewing surface. Scales large.

## Siboma Gir.

§' Pharyngeal teeth in one row.
$\hat{o}$ Mouth inferior. Scales large or medium-sized.
$\dagger$ Dorsal fin ending above or hardly anterior to anal fin. Gape transverse when mouth is closed. Teeth in one row $5 / 5$. Lower jaw truncate at the edge.

Lavinia Gir. $=$ Acrocheilos Ag.
$\dagger$ Dorsal fin ending anterior to anal fin. Teeth 4/4.
$\hat{o}$ Teeth not hooked at the tip. Lower jaw rounded at the edge. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins.

Dionda Gir.
ô Teeth with nearly linear chewing surface. Gape small. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins. Head slightly truncate. Body elongate?

Algoma Gir.
ô' Teeth with linear chewing surface. Gape small, horizontal. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins. Lower jaw with widely rounded edge. Snout gibbous, truncate. Body oblong.

## Hyborynchus Ag.

ô" Mouth terminal. Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins. Teeth $4 / 4$ or $5 / 5$.
$\dagger$ Teeth hardly or not hooked $4 / 4$ with a linear chewing surface. Lower jaw equipped with a tubercle at the symphysis. Scales large. Body elongate, compressed.

## Hybognathus Ag.

$\dagger^{\prime}$ Teeth lanceolate, nearly straight $5 / 5$. Lower jaw equipped with a tubular symphysis. Scales small. Body nearly fusiform.

Orthodon Gir.
t' $^{\prime \prime}$ Teeth predatory, hooked 4/4, without chewing surface. Gape ample. Snout rounded. Scales large. Body elongate, compressed.

Cliola Gir.
$\dagger^{\prime \prime \prime}$ Teeth $4 / 4$ or $5 / 5$. Gape medium-sized, oblique. Snout slightly acute. Scales large or medium-sized. Body oblong, compressed.

Algansea Gir.

## 110 Lip carp species know at present

Habit.
Epalzeorhynchos kallopterus Blkr. = Barbus kalopterus Blkr. .................. Sumatra, Borneo.
Discognathus crenulatus Heck.
Persia.
" fusiformis Heck. ...................................................................... India.
" obtusus Heck. .......................................................................... Syria.
" rurus Heck. .............................................................................. Syria.
" ? bimaculatus Heck. = Gonorhynchus bimaculatus McCl. Bengal.
" ? caudatus Heck. = Gonorhynchus caudatus McCl. ......... [ ? ]
Crossocheilos (Crossocheilos) oblongus V. Hass. = Labeo oblongus Val. . Java, Sumatra.

| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | latius Blkr. $=$ Cyprinus latius Buch. $=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gonorhynchus macrosomus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ |
|  |  | Cirrhina latius Val. = |
|  |  | Rohita macrosomus Heck. $\qquad$ Bengal. gobioides Blkr. = Cyprinus mosario Buch.? = |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ? ) | Gonorhynchus gobioides $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ |
|  |  | Rohita gobioides Heck. = |
|  |  | Cyprin heriliva Val. $\qquad$ Bengal. gohama Blkr. = Cyprinus gohama Buch. = |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | gohama Blkr. = Cyprinus gohama Buch. = |
|  |  | Cyprinus dyangra Buch. = Gonorhynhus gohama McCl . = Gonorhynchus brevis |
|  |  | $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Cirrhina gohama Val. $=$ |
|  |  | Rohita brevis Heck. .................................. Bengal. |

" (Crossocheilichthys) cobititis Blkr. = Lobocheilos cobitis Blkr. Java, Sumatra.
" ( " ) Langei Blkr. ............................................ Sumatra.
" ( ") diplocheilos Blkr. = Barbus diplocheilos Heck. = Tylognathus barbatulus Heck. ............ Cashmir.

" ( " ) | nanus Blkr. = Tylognathus |
| :--- | :--- |
| nanus Heck. ........................................... Syria. |

" ?( " ? ) | porcellus Blkr. = Tylognathus |
| :--- |
| porcellus Heck. ..................................... India. |

( ") hirticeps Blkr. = Gobio hirticeps Rüpp. = ? Discognathus hirticeps Heck. = Dangila? hirticeps Val. .......................... Abyssinia. quadrimaculatus Blkr. = Gobio quadrimaculatus Rüpp. = ? Discognathus quadrimaculatus Heck. = Dangila? quadrimaculata Val. Abyssinia.
111 Discognathichthys variabilis Blkr. = Discognathus variabilis Heck. Syria.
" brachypterus Blkr. = Gonorhynchus brachypterus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ ? Discognathus brachypterus Heck. ..... India.
, petrophilus Blkr. = Gonorhynchus petrophilus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ ? Discognathus petrophilus Heck. ........ Bengal.
, rupeculus Blkr. = Gonorhynchus rupeculus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ ? Discognathus rupeculus Heck ............. Bengal.
" lamta Blkr. = Cyprinus lamta Buch. = Cyprinus godiyava Buch. = Gonorhynchus lamta $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Barbus lamta Val. $=$ Tylognathus lamta Heck. ... Bengal.
Platycara nasuta McCl = Balitora nasuta Val. ............................................. Kasyah.

* Schismatorhynchos heterorhynchos Blkr. = Lobocheilos heterorhynchos Blkr. = Schismatorhynchos lobocheiloides Blkr. ... Sumatra.

```
    ricnorhynchos Blkr. = Gobio ricnorhynchos
    McCl. = Labeo ricnorhynchos Heck. = Cyprin
    ricnorhynque Val.
        Bengal, Assam.
        gotyla Blkr. = Cyprinus gotyla Gr. = Gonorhynchus
        gotyla McCl. = Barbus gotyla Val. = Discognathus
        cotyla Heck
        . Bengal.
        falcatus Blkr. = Cyprinus (Bangana) falcata Gr. =
        Gobio malacostomus McCl. = Labeo malacostomus
        Val. = Isocephalus falcatus Heck. = Lobocheilos?
        falcatus Blkr.
        India.
* Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus Blkr. = Diplocheilos erythropterus
            V. Hass. = Labeo erythropterus Val. ..................... Java.
    " ( ") lucas Blkr. = Lobocheilos lucas Blkr. ..................... Java.
    " (") rohitoides Blkr. = Lobocheilos rohitoides Blkr. .. Java.
    " (") boga Blkr. = Cyprinus boga Buch. = Gobio boga
        McCl. = Cyprinus arhiza Buch. = Cyprinus
        pangusia Buch. = Gobio pangusia McCl. (As.
        Res. XIX tab. }42\textrm{f. 1) = Isocephalus boga Heck. =
        Gymnostomus arhiza Heck. = Leuciscus
        pangusia Val. = Leuciscus arhiza Val. ................. Bengal.
    " (") pangusia Blkr. = Cyprinus pangusia Buch. var. =
        Gobio pangusia McCl. (As. Res. XIX tab. }4
        fig. 1, b.) ................................................................ Bengal.
    , („)(?) isurus Blkr. = Gobio isurus McCl. =
    Leuciscus }112\mathrm{ isurus Val.
    Assam.
Labeo (Diplocheilos?) dero McCl. = Cirrhina dero Val. =
                    Isocephalus dero Heck.
```

$\qquad$

``` Bengal.
" ("?) pausio Blkr. = Cyprinus pausio Buch. = Cirrhinus
        pausio McCl. = Isocephalus pausio Heck. ........... Bengal.
        breviceps Blkr. = Cirrhina breviceps Val. =
        Isocephallus breviceps Heck.
            Java.
    (Labeo) niloticus Val. = Cyprinus niloticus Forsk. =
        Labeo coubi Rüpp. ......................................................... Nile.
        (") Forskalii Rüpp., or and Val? =
        Cyprinus niloticus var. b. Forsk. ..................................... Nilus.
        ( ") vulgaris Heck. = Cyprinus niloticus Géoffr. =
        Chondrostoma dembensis Val. (nec Rüpp.). .................. Nile.
        ( ") horie Heck. ......................................................................Nile.
        ( " ) selti Val. = Labeo sellii Heck. ........................................... Nile.
        ?( " ?) rufescens Heck. = Cyprinus rufescens Hass. .................. Palestina.
        ?( " ) rostratus Heck. = Cyprinus rostratus Tiles. ..................... India.
        ?( "?) angra Blkr. = Cyprinus angra Buch. = Cyprinus (Bangana)
        Hamiltonii Gr. = Gobio angra McCl. = Isocephalus
        Hamiltonii Heck. = Gobio anera Val.
        Bengal.
"? (") curmuca Blkr. = Cyprinus curmuca Buch. =
        Gobio curmuca McCl. = Isocephalus curmuca Heck. ..... Hindustan.
" (") dyocheilos McCl. = Catostomus? dyocheilos McCl
        Cyprin goréa Val. = Tylognathus dyochylos Heck. ......... Assam.
" altivelis Pet. (only known to me by name). ................................... Africa (Mossamb).
" congoro Pet.( " " " " " " ).
" cylindricus Pet. (" " " " " " ). .............................. " "
```



```
        ( ") tincoides Val. ............................................................ ?
        (") Rouxii Val.
        Hindustan.
    (Rohitodes) cephalus Blkr. = Labeo cephalus Val. ..................... Pegu.
    " (") Valenciennesi Blkr. = Labeo Dussumieri Val. ......... Bengal.
    ( ") Reynaudi Blkr. = Labeo Reynauldi Val. ................. Pegu.
    ( ") microlepidota Blkr. = Labeo microlepidotus Val. . Pegu.
    (") bengalensis Blkr. = Labeo fimbriatus Val. .............. Bengal.
* Morulius rohita Blkr. = Cyprinus rohita Buch. = Barbus rohita Cuv. =
        Rohita Buchanani Val. = Rohita rohita Heck. =
        Cirrhinus rohita McCl. Bengal.
* " chalybeatus Blkr. = Rohita chalybeata Val. ........................... Bengal, Pegu.
* " Belangeri Blkr. = Rohita Belangeri Val. =
        Cirrhina micropogon Val. ..................................................... Bengal.
* " calbosu Blkr. = Cyprinus calbosu Buch. = Barbus calbasu
        Cuv. = Rohita calbasu Val. = Rohita kalbosu Val. .................. Bengal.
    " velatus Blkr. = Labeo velatus Val. (Règn. an. ed. 3a Poiss.
        tab. }93\mathrm{ fig. 3).
        India?
    " Reynaudi Blkr. = Rohita Reynauldi Val. =
        Rohita Reynoldi Heck. ......................................................... Pegu.
    " morula Blkr. = Cyprinus morula Buch. = Barbus morula
        Cuv. = Cirrhinus morula McCl. = Rohita moralius Val =
        Cyprinus morala Gr.
        Bengal.
    " pausius Blkr. = Cyprinus pausius Buch. ................................ Bengal.
    " joalius Blkr. = Cyprinus joalius Buch. = Cirrhinus joalius
        McCl. = Rohita jaolius Val.
        Bengal.
    " ? musiha Blkr. = Cyprinus musiha Buch. =
        Rohita muscha Heck.
        Bengal.
* " chrysophekadion Blkr. = Chrysophekadion polyporos Blkr. =
        Rohita chrysophekadion Blkr. = Rohita polyporos Blkr. =
        Rohita koilogeneion Blkr. = Rohita cyanomelas Blkr. ........... Java, Sumatra, Siam.
Rohitichthus senegalensis Blkr. = Labeo senegalensis Val. ....................... Senegal.
* Dangila leptocheilos Val. = Labeobarbus leptocheilus K. v. H. =
    Dangila leptocheila Val. = Dangila Cuvieri Val. =
            115 Cyrene Cuvieri Heck
        Java, Sumatra, Borneo.
Dangila cyanopareja Blkr. = Cyrene cyanopareja Heck. ........................... Philippines
* " fasciata Blkr. ............................................................................... Sumatra, Borneo.
* " Kuhlii VaL = Cyrene Kuhlii Heck. ............................................. Java.
* " festiva Blkr. = Cyrene festiva Heck. ............................................ Borneo.
* " ocellata Blkr. = Cyrene ocellata Heck. =
        Dangila microlepis Blkr.
        Sumatra, Borneo.
* " spilurus Blkr. .............................................................................. Borneo.
* " sumatrana Blkr. .......................................................................... Sumatra.
    " lipocheila Val. = Cyrene lipocheilos Heck. ................................. Java.
    " Leschenaultii Val. = Cyrene Leschenaultii Heck. ....................... Hindustan.
    " philippinia Blkr. = Cyrene philippinia Heck. ................................. Philipp.
Abrostomus capensis Smith. ...................................................................... Cape Good Hope.
        " umbratus Smith. ................................................................. Cape Good Hope.
* Barbichthys laevis Blkr. = Barbus nudicephalus V. Hass. = Barbus laevis Val. =
            Barbus gobioides Blkr. = Barbus taeniopterus Blkr. .......... Java, Sumatra, Borneo.
* Morara morar Blkr. = Cyprinus morar Buch. = Pachystomus morar Heck. =
            Labeo morur Val. = Leuciscus morar Blkr. ................................ Bengal.
        " ? margarodes Blkr. = Cyprinus jaya Buch.? = Leuciscus
        margarodes McCl. = Pachystomus margarodes Heck.
            Bengal.
```




Epalzeorhynchos Blkr,<br>Nalez. Vischfaun. Van Sumatra, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IX (1855) p. 270. Snuithoornkarper [Snout-horn carp].

Body elongate, slightly fusiform-compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4, nasal barbels and maxillary barbels. Snout fleshy, entire, protruding far in front of mouth, the skin in front below the upper lip hanging, the lower part crenulate, anteriorly and on both sides with a conical, cartilaginous skin. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, not papillose or crenulate. Gape parallelogramshaped with the edges of the jaws truncate anteriorly. Lower jaw equipped with a small tube at the posterior part of the symphysis. Lower lip broad, fleshy, back-folded, entire, united with upper lip. One postlabial sulcus on both sides, directed versus the margin of the mouth, not reaching the free margin of the lip, separated from the postlabial groove of the opposite side by the broad skin of the chin (the isthmus). 118 Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated, 2.4.5/5.4.2, obliquely truncate on the chewing surface.

Remark. The genus Epalzeorhynchos among all other Cyprinoids is easily recognizable by the cone-shaped, cartilaginous, movable protuberance, which is situated on both sides anterior on the snout. This protuberance lies in a groove under the anterior part of the anterior suborbital bone and can be moved horizontally almost in an angle of $90^{\circ}$ away from the snout, as a result of which the head gets a horned appearance.

The genus indeed is related to the genera Crossocheilos Blkr, Discognathus Heck. and Discognathichthys Blkr, in general habitus, thin jaws, parallelogram-shaped entirely inferior mouth cleft, etc. However, it is easily distinguishable from all of these, besides by the snout protuberances, - from Discognathus and Discognathichthys by the absence of the mental sucking disc, and from Crossocheilos by the single posterior lip groove and the being united of the upper lip with the lower lip.

I described the genus Epalzeorhynchos for the first time in the year 1855, however, I have determined its characters more detailed above. The closer investigation of the mouth parts has since taught me that herein as well very firm characters can be found
which differentiate the genus from Crossocheilos, characters of a higher value than those present in the barbels.

Till now in Epalzeorhynchos only one species can be placed, whose discovery dates from 1850.

> Epalzeorhynchos kallopterus Blkr, Index descript. specier. pisc., Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIV p. $477 .-$ Fraaivinnige Snuithoornkarper [Pretty finned Snout-horn Carp]. $$
\text { Atl. Cypr. Tab. IV fig. } 5 .
$$

An Epalzeorhynchos with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $51 / 2$ to $61 / 2$ times in its length, width $11 / 2$ to $11 / 3$ times in its depth. Head acute, convex, contained slightly over 5 to nearly $61 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, slightly over 4 to 5 times in body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to $13 / 4$ times in its length, width $12 / 5$ to $13 / 5$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained 3 to nearly $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering a large part of the eye, opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile convex everywhere, interorbital line 119 convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, to be closed with a valve; anterior nostrils with a hardly elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout fleshy, in juveniles not or hardly longer than the eye, in old animals much longer than the eye, convex, conical, protruding far in front of the mouth, set with numerous hardly visible pores, towards the tip on both sides equipped with a conical, thick, rigid process twice or more than twice as short as the eye, pointing backward at the tip, its lower part triangular, flat, sloping backward, its front part porous, the premaxillary membrane hiding the upper lip, slightly curved at the free margin, covered with squarish papillae, in one row, lightly crenulate, densely together, in older animals very conspicuous; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular, length slightly greater or not greater than depth, $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblongquadrangular, length twice or less than twice as great as depth, the anterior part higher than the posterior part, about three times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone much broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, three times or more than three times as thin as the eye diameter; barbels fleshy, nearly equal in length, slightly shorter to hardly longer than the eye, maxillary barbels thicker towards the tip of the snout, inserted under the base of the rostral lateral process; gape inferior, parallelogram-shaped when the mouth is open, forming a transverse fissure, slightly curved forward, very much shorter than the width of the head when the mouth is open; upper lip thin, hanging anterior to upper jaw, its margin


Fig. 18. Epalzeorhynchos kallopterus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. IV, Fig. 5. TL figure 152 mm .
not crenulate or papillose; upper jaw with a slightly curved cartilaginous edge, moderately downward protrusable; lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical, little conspicuous tubercle, anterior to the symphysis broadly cartilaginous, with a truncate or lightly curved edge; lower lip backfolded, entire, united with upper lip, the lower part with one superficial groove on both sides, directed towards the margin of the mouth, not or hardly shorter than the eye, not reaching the free margin of the lip and separated from the groove on the opposite side by the very broad isthmus; width of gill cover contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth, slightly smaller than eye diameter, at the lower margin straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2. obliquely truncate on the chewing surface, elevated at the margins, not lobed, front of teeth in anterior row not sulcate; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex much higher than slightly convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins nearly flat, not ridged; scales vertical, slightly larger on the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and generally also the basal half striped with longitudinal stripes or slightly ray-like stripes, 35 or 36 scales in the lateral line, 15 in transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $5^{1 ⁄ 2}$ (6) above the lateral line, about 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in five longitudinal rows, growing gradually in size posteriorly, those in the medial row hardly larger than those in flanks rows, lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not or hardly closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, every scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, not or slightly higher than base length, pectoral and ventral fins nearly equal in length, acute or slightly acute, contained $53 / 4$ to $63 / 4$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fin not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, not much lower but about twice as short as the dorsal fin, twice or nearly twice as deep as base length, with the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, the upper lobe generally a little longer than the lower lobe, contained $41 / 3$ to $41 / 5$ times in the length of the body; upper part of the body marked by 3 longitudinal, contiguous bands, the upper band rostro-caudal, dark-violet, surrounding the upper part of the head and back sometimes missing at the head, the middle band golden-red, thin, supraocular-caudal, reaching the upper part of the base of the tail, the lower band rostro-caudal, wider, dark-violet, sometimes missing on the head, nearly completely running above the lateral line, entering the middle of the base of the tail and ending at the posterior margin of the tail, at the underside bordered with a 120 thin orange band; flanks below lateral line pink; belly more faintly pink or pearly; in specimens bands missing on the head, the upper part violet-olive, flanks and underside pink or silver ; iris yellow or pink; fins beautiful pink or red, dorsal and anal fins with a very broad, oblique, blackish-violet band; dorsal fin often blackish-violet on top and below; ventral fins with a very large black spot covering nearly the total fin, often diffuse, blackish-violet.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $8 / 17 / 8$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus kalopterus Blkr, Bijdr. kenn. ichth. Faun. Borneo., Nat. T. Ned. Ind. I p. 13. Epalzeorhynchos kalopterus Blkr, Nalez. vischfaun. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. ind. IX p. 270.
Hab. Sumatra (Palembang, Lahat), in rivers.
Borneo (Bandjermasin, Kahajan, Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 13 specimens $63^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $160^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The first specimen of this species that came into my possession, I received from Bandjermasin, in South East Borneo. Since then I received several larger and better preserved specimens from the same locality, as well as from Kahajan, similarly in South East Borneo, and from Pontianak, in western Borneo from the Kapuas river. Eastern Sumatra provided me with some specimens as well, caught in the area of the Moessi, near Lahat and Palembang. I suspect that the species does not become much larger than my largest specimens.

> Discognathus Heck.,
> Fisch. Syriens p. 37, 182. Kinschijfkarper [Chin disc carp].

Body slightly elongate, compressed, covered by large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4, nasal and maxillary barbels. Snout fleshy, split by a transverse groove, protruding anterior to the mouth, skin in front below the upper jaw hanging, lower part crenulate. Upper jaw fleshy. Lower jaw with a disc-shaped fold in the chin, calloused in the middle. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2.

Remark. I apprehend the genus Discognathus somewhat different than Heckel and place in it only the species with a transverse grooved snout, a nipple free snout skin edge and four barbels. Restricted in this way, the genus comprises only four species described by Heckel, i.e. his Discognathus rufus, Discognathus obtusus, Discognathus crenulatus and Discognathus fusiformis. - The remaining 10 species, placed by Heckel in Discognathus, although for a large part with a question mark, can only partly be arranged in it, though they ${ }^{121}$ are mostly still too little known to determine this with certainty. Gonorhynchus bimaculatus McCl . and Gonorhynchus caudatus McCl . justly seem to belong to it, although the last mentioned is said not to possess cirri, which however needs to be confirmed. Five other species, indicated in more detail below, belong to a separate genus, which I have named Discognathichthys because of its close relationship with Discognathus.

The remaining species, arranged by Heckel under his genus Discognathus, are Cyprinus cotyla Gr., Gobio quadrimaculatus Rüpp., Gobio hirticeps Rüpp. and Platycara nasuta McCl .

Concerning Cyprinus cotyla, this is a Schismatorhynchos, which genus with Discognathus does have in common the transverse split snout, however in the shape of the mouth and chin parts it highly differs from Discognathus.

It is difficult to point out to which genus both Gobio species of Mr Rüppell belong. A sucking disc on the chin is not mentioned in their description and the snout is not transversely split. Gobio hirticeps because of its nippled lips might even be placed in Rohita, but otherwise its description and figure make no conclusion possible about the organization of the mouth parts. Provisionally I consider both species to belong to the genus Crossocheilos, which they also resemble most in habitus.

Finally, Platycara nasuta belongs to a genus of its own, easily recognizable by its lengthwise in two parts divided snout.

> Crossocheilos Van Hass.,
> Algemeene Konst- en Letterbode 1823 II p. 132; Blkr, Nieuw.
> Tientall. Beschrijv. Vischs. v. Sumatra, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. V. (1853) p. 525.
> DJedjet.

Body elongate, slightly fusiform-compressed, covered by large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4 or 2, nasal
and maxillary barbels, or only nasal barbels. Snout fleshy, protruding far in front of the mouth, not
lobed at the sides, skin hanging in front below the upper lip, not crenulate or papillose. Mouth parallel-
ogram-shaped, the edges of the jaw truncate anteriorly. Lower jaw posterior to the symphysis provided
with a tubercle. Lower lip broad, fleshy, drawn back, not united with upper lip. Two postlabial grooves
on both sides directed towards the margin of the mouth, separated by an intermediate, thin, fleshy frenum, which is united with upper lip, internal grooves separated 122 by the very broad isthmus, continuing in incision between lip and the jaw.
Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, on the chewing surface obliquely truncate.

Subgenus Crossocheilichthys Blkr. 4 barbels, on snout and upper lip.
Subgenus Crossocheilos Blkr. 2 barbels, only on snout.
Remark. Van Hasselt first proposed this genus, however his description of it was so indefinite, one might apply it to very diverse genera with an inferior parallelogramshaped mouth opening. However, it can be determined with certainty that he erected the genus for a Javanese species, which he named Crossocheilus oblongus and which has become known in more detail under that name and under the name Labeo oblongus which was given to it by Mr Valenciennes. Van Hasselt indeed neither had a correct idea of its relationship, as he said it was related to Leuciscus.

Mr Valenciennes did not accept the genus of Van Hasselt and incorporated it in the genus Labeo. In the way the genus Labeo was defined by Mr Valenciennes, it comprises a group of very different species, which surely belong to various natural genera.

Heckel described in 1838 a new species of this genus in his Fische aus Kashmir, under the name Barbus diplochilus, a name he later changed in Tylognathus diplocheilus and Tylognathus barbatulus. That species apparently belongs to Crossocheilos, and indeed to the species of it with snout barbels and upper jaw barbels, the last mentioned lacking in Crossocheilos oblongus.

The generic name Tylognathus dates from 1842 and therefore long after that of Van Hasselt was proposed, but it can be retained for the species, which Heckel first named Varicorhinus diplostomus and later Tylognatus Valenciennnesii and belongs to a genus different from Crossocheilos.

Since then other Crossocheilos species with four barbels have become known. Heckel described one from Syria under the name Tylognathus nanus and I myself discovered two more, one from Java and Sumatra, which I described earlier under the name Lobocheilos cobitia, and the other one from Sumatra, the description of which is made public here for the first time.

The genus Crossocheilos is very closely related to Epalzeorhynchos. However, it lacks the cone-shaped snout projections and moreover the under lip lobe (or mental lobe) is not entirely free and not confluent with the upper lip as in Epalzeorhynchos. On each side one can observe parallel grooves behind the lower jaw, which are separated from each other by a 123 small fleshy bridle, a bridle which is not connected to the lower lip, but with the upper lip.

I place the species of Crossocheilos in two subgenera, which I name Crossocheilos and Crossocheilichthys. In the first of these subgenera can be placed those species that possess only snout barbels and no upper jaw barbels, whereas in those of the last mentioned subgenus both snout barbels and upper jaw barbels are present. Moreover in my species of Chrossocheilos the upper lip is covered with a row of small nipples, whereas the upper lip in my species of Crossocheilichthys are smooth edged.

Of the subgenus Crossocheilos with certainty no other than the Javanese species has become known, however, I consider as belonging to it Cyprinus latius Buch. and

Cyprinus gohama Buch. from Bengal, whereas Gonorhynchus gobioides McCl . also might be placed in the same subgenus or a subgenus without barbels of the same genus.

Of the subgenus Crossocheilichthys on the contrary, we know now the abovementioned 4 species, whereas Tylognathus porcellus Heck. from "India", which species is only known to me by name, and Gobio quadrimaculatus Rüpp. and Gobio hirticeps Rüpp. possibly also can be placed in it.

The species of my collection can be distinguished according to the following scheme.
A. Rostral barbels only. Upper lip crenulate.

## Crossocheilos (Crossocheilos) oblongus V. Hass.

B. Rostral and maxillary barbels. Upper lip not crenulate.
$\dagger$ Eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head. Scales 33 to 35 in a longitudinal row.
$\S$ Depth of head contained $11 / 2$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times in its length. Rostral barbels much shorter than eye diameter. Broad silver head-tail band run through by a thin blue band.

Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) cobitis Blkr.
$\S \quad$ Depth of head contained $11 / 2$ times in its length. Rostral barbels a little shorter than the eye. Operculo-caudal band broad, dark.

Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) Langei Blkr.

1124 Crossocheilos (Crossocheilos) oblongus V. Hass., Algemeene Konst- en Letterbode 1823 II p. 132; Blkr, Nieuwe Tientall. diagn. beschr. vischs. v. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 525. Langwerpige Djetjet [Oblong Djedjet]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. IV fig. 3.

A Crossocheilos (Crossocheilos) with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 7 to $51 / 2$ times in its length, width contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 2$ times in its depth; head acute, convex, contained 6 to slightly over 7 times in length of body with caudal fin, $43 / 4$ to about $5^{2 / 5}$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth and width contained $11 / 2$ to $12 / 5$ times in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained 3 to 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $12 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to 2 times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering a large part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile convex everywhere, interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular; snout fleshy, in younger animals not or hardly longer than the eye, in old animals much longer than the eye, convex, conical, protruding far anterior to the mouth, set with numerous little conspicuous pores, not lobed at the sides, its lower part triangular, flat, nearly horizontal, porous, the premaxillary membrane hiding the upper lip, slightly curved at the free margin, covered with square papillae, densely together, in one row, in older animals very conspicuous; anterior suborbital bone triangular, width greater than depth, tip rounded, pointing forward, basal posterior margin nearly vertical, emarginate or angular, $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, length twice to much less than twice as great as depth, more than twice to less than twice as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone many times broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, more than twice to less than twice as thin as the eye diameter; barbels fleshy, inserted towards the tip of the snout below the tip of the anterior suborbital bone, shorter than the eye; gape inferior, parallelogram-shaped when the


Fig. 19. Crossocheilos (Crossocheilos) oblongus V. Hass. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. IV, Fig. 3. TL figure 152 mm .
mouth is open, forming a transverse fissure, slightly curved forward, very much shorter than the width of the head when the mouth is closed; upper lip thin, hanging anterior to upper jaw, its margin lightly crenulate with conical, slightly acute, short in one row papillae ; upper jaw with a slightly curved cartilaginous edge, moderately downward protrusable; lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical, tubercle slightly hooked at the tip, anterior to the symphysis broadly cartilaginous with a truncate or lightly curved edge; lower lip back-folded, entire, not united with upper lip, longitudinal grooves in the lower lip on both sides directed towards the margin of the mouth, separated by a thin, fleshy frenum shorter than the eye diameter, anterior part of frenum merging with upper lip, external groove hardly wider and hardly deeper than internal groove; width of gill cover contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $11 / 3$ times in its depth, slightly thinner to slightly broader than eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, slightly compressed towards the tip, very obtusely ridged on the masticatory surface, elevated at the margins, more or less lobed at the tip, teeth in anterior row for the front upper half traversed by a wide, longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex much higher than slightly convex or nearly straight ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins slightly obtusely ridged; scales vertical, slightly larger on anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and generally also the basal half with longitudinal or slightly ray-like stripes, 33 or 34 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $41 / 2(5)$ above the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three to five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, those in the medial row larger than those in flanking rows, lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, considerably closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the 125 ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, slightly to hardly higher than the body and considerably higher than base length, pectoral fins acute or slightly acute, slightly longer than ventral fins, contained slightly over 5 to $61 / 4$ times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins acute or slightly acute, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, not much lower than but about twice as short as the dorsal fin, about twice as deep as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, deeply emarginate, lobes acute, nearly equal, upper lobe generally slightly longer than lower lobe, contained 4 to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive or bluish-green, lower part silver or pearly; iris yellowish or pink; wide more or less conspicuous violet-dark head-tail band; fins pink-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 6$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$ short flanking ones included.

Syn. Labeo oblongus Val., Poiss. XVI p. 273. Labéo oblong Val., ibid. Lukas Mal. Bat, Djetjet Sundan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Buitenzorg, Tjampea, Lebak, Tjiandjur, Parongkalong, Surabaya, Ngantang), in rivers. Sumatra (Palembang, Lahat-Elim, Padang), in rivers.
Length of 19 specimens $62^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $160^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. The Djedjet on Java is not rare in the higher parts of the drainages areas, but is seldom caught in Batavia and then always in juvenile specimens, during high river levels, when the smaller fishes are carried away from their habitats in the higher parts of the rivers.

> Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) cobitis Blkr. Meerslang-achtige Djedjet [Loach-like Djedjet], Atl. Cypr. Tab. IV fig. 2 .

A Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 5 to 6 times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to $11 / 2$ times in its depth; head acute, convex, contained $51 / 2$ to about $53 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $41 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $12 / 5$ times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to $12 / 5$ times in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained 3 to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $11 / 3$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering a large part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile convex all over, interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout fleshy, in juveniles not longer than the eye, in older animals slightly longer than the eye, convex, conical, protruding far in front of the mouth, set with numerous little conspicuous pores, not lobed on the sides, its lower part triangular, flat, nearly horizontal, porous, the premaxillary membrane hiding the upper lip, at the free margin slightly curved, covered with conical, short papillae, densely together, in one row, very conspicuous; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular, length greater than depth, tip rounded, pointing forward, basal posterior margin nearly vertical, emarginate or angular, $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length more than twice as great as depth, the anterior part much deeper than the posterior part, three to four times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, many times lower than the eye diameter; nasal barbels longer and thicker than maxillary barbels, 126 much shorter than eye diameter; gape inferior, parallelogramshaped when the mouth is open, forming a transverse fissure, slightly curved forward, much shorter


Fig. 20. Crossocheilos (Crossocheilos) cobitis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. IV, Fig. 2. TL figure 67 mm .
than the width of the head when the mouth is closed; upper lip thin, hanging anterior to upper jaw, entire, not papillose or crenulate; upper jaw with a slightly curved cartilaginous edge, moderately downward protrusable; lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical short tube, anterior to the symphysis broadly cartilaginous with a truncate or lightly curved edge; lower lip broad, back-folded, fleshy, slightly villose, entire, not united with upper lip, on both sides two longitudinal grooves in the lower lip directed towards the margin of the mouth, separated by a thin, fleshy frenum shorter than the eye diameter, anterior part of frenum merging with upper lip, external groove longer than internal groove; width of gill cover contained $11 / 3$ to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its depth, slightly to hardly narrower than eye diameter, lower margin slightly convex or nearly straight; gill opening ending below gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, compressed towards the tip, obliquely truncate on the chewing surface, elevated at the margins, not lobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than slightly convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins nearly flat, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, slightly larger on anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and generally also the basal half with longitudinal stripes, 33 or 34 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $4 \frac{1}{2}(5)$ above the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three to five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, those in the medial row slightly larger than those in flanking rows, lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally surpassing the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, not or slightly higher than the body and considerably higher than base length, pectoral fins acute, slightly longer than acute ventral fins, contained $53 / 4$ to slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, considerably lower than and about twice as short as the dorsal fin, about twice as deep as base length, the simple third ray thin, completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, nearly equal, contained about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part pearly; snout and barbels olive or faintly green; iris pink or yellow; wide silver head-tail band, frequently for its total length traversed by a thin, darkish or bluish small band; fins pink or yellowish-hyaline, caudal fin with dark spot on the middle of the base.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

> Syn. Lobocheilos cobitis Blkr, Nieuwe Tientall. diagn. beschrijv. nieuwe Vischs. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V. p. 523. Lukas Mal. Bat.
> Hab. Java (Batavia, Surabaya), in rivers. Sumatra (Padang), in rivers.
> Length of 70 specimens $32^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $67^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. After I had described the species in question correctly as a new species, but incorrectly in the genus Lobocheilos, I mentioned it in my Enumeratio piscium Javanensium (Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XV p. 427), by I do not know what oversight, as a synonym of Crossocheilos oblongus, from which it however differs except for the indicated characters of the barbels and the lip shape, by a less slender body, more convex back, etc.

> 127 Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) Langei Blkr. Lange's Djedjet. Atl. Cypr. Tab. IV fig. 1.

A Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained about 6 times in its length, width contained about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in its depth; head acute, convex, contained


Fig. 21. Crossocheilos (Crossocheilichthys) Langei Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. IV, Fig. 1. TL figure 75 mm .
$52 / 3$ to $53 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $42 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained about $11 / 2$ times in its length, width about $13 / 5$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained about $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $11 / 3$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering a large part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile convex everywhere, interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout fleshy, slightly longer than the eye, convex, conical, protruding far in front of the mouth, set with numerous little conspicuous pores, not lobed on the sides, its lower part triangular, flat, nearly horizontal, porous, the premaxillary membrane hiding the upper lip, at the free margin slightly curved, covered with short, conical papillae, densely together, in one row, very conspicuous; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular, length greater than depth, tip rounded, pointing forward, basal posterior margin nearly vertical, emarginate or angular; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length twice or more than twice as great as depth, the anterior part much higher than the posterior part, about three times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, about four times as low as the eye diameter; nasal barbels longer and thicker than maxillary barbels, slightly shorter than eye diameter; gape inferior, parallelogram-shaped when the mouth is open, forming a transverse fissure, slightly curved forward, very much shorter than the width of the head when the mouth is closed; upper lip thin, hanging anterior to upper jaw, margin entire, not papillose or crenulate ; upper jaw with a slightly curved cartilaginous edge, lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical, short tube, anterior to the symphysis broadly cartilaginous with a truncate or lightly curved edge; lower lip broad, back-folded, fleshy, slightly villose, entire, not united with upper lip, on both sides two longitudinal grooves in the lower lip directed towards the margin of the mouth, separated by a thin, fleshy frenum much shorter than the eye diameter, anterior part of frenum merging with upper lip, external groove longer than internal groove; width of gill cover contained about $1 / 1 / 2$ times in its depth, hardly narrower than eye diameter, the lower margin slightly convex or nearly straight; gill opening ending below gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, compressed towards the tip, obliquely truncate on the chewing surface, elevated at the margins, not lobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than slightly convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins nearly flat, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, scales on the anterior part of the flanks slightly larger than on the rest of the body, free half and basal half with longitudinal stripes, 34 or 35 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $41 / 2$ (5) above the lateral line, 10 or 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three to five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, those in the medial row slightly larger than those in the flanking rows, lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, closer to the base of the ventral fins
than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, slightly higher than the body and rather much higher than base length, pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equally long, contained about $63 / 4$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, rather much lower than and nearly twice as short as the dorsal fin, about twice as high as base length, the simple 128 third ray thin, completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe hardly longer than lower lobe, contained about $41 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part silver or pearly; upper part of the snout and nasal barbels olive -violetish; iris yellow or pink, upper part dark; a wide more or less diffuse, dark head-tail band, starting on the gill cover and changing into a large black spot on the base of the caudal fin; a blackish-dark round spot between the vent and the anal fin; fins pink-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. V. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $81^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I dedicate this species to my colleague Mr E.A. Lange, acting Health officer and Hospital inspector, to whom I owe its forwarding.

It differs from Crossocheilichthys cobitis primarily by a more slender head and body, by its violet snout and snout barbels, the last mentioned of which are also remarkably more developed, and moreover by the broad black head-tail band, which starts already on the gill cover and ends in a large black blotch on the proximal half of the caudal fin.

## Discognathichthys Blkr.

## Sucking chin carp.

Body slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4 or 2, nasal and maxillary barbels, or maxillary barbels only or none at all. Snout fleshy, entire, without transverse groove, protruding in front of the eye. Descending skin rostrally of upper jaw, lower part not crenulate or papillose. Upper jaw fleshy, lower jaw with a disc-shaped fold of the chin, callous in the middle. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior undivided ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2.

Remark. The type of this genus is Discognathus variabilis Heck. from Syria, which species differs from the other well known Discognathus generically by its not transverse grooved snout and not nippled free snout skin edge. There seem to be species with 2 barbels and without barbels, however, except for the one species described by Heckel, the others are only very superficially known. Gonorhynchus brachypterus McCl . and Gonorhynchus lamta McCl . can be placed in it with certainty, whereas also Gonorhynchus rupeculus McCl . and Gonorhynchus petrophilus McCl . seem to belong to the same genus.

> 120 Platycara McCl .,
> Ind. Cyprinid. In Asiat. Research. XIX p. 299, 427 -
> Flat fin carp.

Body elongate, slightly fusiform, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. No barbels? Snout fleshy, split by a deep, wide groove, protruding in front of the mouth, skin hanging rostrally below the upper jaw. Lower law with a disc-shaped fold of the chin. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth?

Remark. Mr MacClelland proposed the generic name Platycara to replace that of Balitora Gr., which name was already superfluous as Van Hasselt already in 1822 adopted that of Homaloptera for the same genus. As however Mr MacClelland among his species of Platycara describe and figured one species which very certainly does not belong to Homaloptera and can be placed in separate genus related to Discognathus, I propose to retain the name Platycara for this genus. This genus, of course defined entirely different than it was done by MacClelland, has the disc shaped chin sucking disc in common with the genera Discognathus and Discognathichthys, but distinguishes itself from them by a transverse split snout and probably by more other peculiarities in the arrangement of the mouth parts, which however are not described in more detail and only very indistinctly figured by Mr McClelland . Platycara nasuta McCl . till now is the only species that can be placed in this genus.

Schismatorhynchos Blkr, Nalez. Vischfaun. Sumatra, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. X p. 269. Double snout carp.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4, upper jaw and nasal barbels. Snout fleshy, upper part split by a transverse incision, protruding in front of the mouth, truncate below the tip, not lobed on the sides, skin hanging rostrally below the upper lip. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, entire, not papillose or cirrate. Upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, reminding of a horse shoe, lower jaw tumid, ${ }^{130}$ cartilaginous-fleshy, anterior margin truncate, posterior part deeply emarginate, from here the posterior part of the jaw two-horned in the oral cavity. Lower lip broad, fleshy, back-folded, entire, not united with upper lip. Two wide postlabial grooves on both sides, directed longitudinally towards the margin of the mouth, intermediate fleshy frenum thin, anteriorly united with upper lip by means of a thin lateral accessory frenum, inner grooves separated by a very broad isthmus ending in the incision between lip and jaw. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, obliquely truncate on the chewing surface, not tuberculate.

Remark. Schismatorhynchos is a very natural and very sharply characterized genus, which I proposed first in 1855 based on a species from Sumatra, which I already described in 1853 under the name Lobocheilos heterorhynchos. It has in common with Discognathus and Tylognatus (viz. like I interpret both Heckelian genera) the transversely divided snout, but is easily distinguishable by the totally different shape of the mouth parts.

I only know the mentioned species from nature. However it seems to me that South Asia feeds several other species and that Cyprinus gotyla Gray, Cyprinus (Bangala) falcate Gr . and Gobio ricnorhynchos McCl . represent three other species of this genus. However, the mouth parts of these species are so insufficiently described and depicted that a study from nature is absolutely necessary to attain certainty in this matter. Anyway, the species from Sumatra can easily be distinguished from the south Asian ones as follows.

I Scales 33 or 34 in a longitudinal row, $5^{1 ⁄ 2}(6)$ above lateral line. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. Dark head-tail band.

## ${ }^{1311}$ Schismatorhynchos heterorhynchos Blkr,

 Nalez. Vischfauna v. Sumatra, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IX p. 269. Sumatraanse Dubbelsnoutkarper [Sumatran Double snout carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. IV fig. 4.A Schismatorhynchos with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $51 / 2$ to $42 / 3$ times in its length, width contained nearly twice to slightly over twice in its depth. Head convex anteriorly, obliquely truncate posteriorly, contained $53 / 4$ to $61 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $41 / 3$ to nearly 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $11 / 6$ times in its length, width $13 / 5$ to $13 / 4$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained $31 / 2$ to $51 / 2$ times in length of head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ to $1^{2 / 3}$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering a large part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostrodorsal profile slightly convex at forehead and crown, very convex at the nape and on the back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, much longer to twice as long as eye diameter, nearly rectangular curved, the supra-angular half with numerous, large very conspicuous pores, and a transverse incision making a large deep fossa, porous everywhere, bipartite, upper part again divided in four by 3 superficial, longitudinal incisions, the infra-angular half without visible pores, descending backward, at the frontal part making a flat triangle, tip pointing upward, lower margin slightly crescent-shaped, slightly membraneous, entire, not papillose or cirrate, hanging anterior to upper lip, not lobed at the sides; anterior suborbital bone triangular, length greater than depth, rounded at the tip, pointing forward, basal posterior margin nearly vertical, emarginate, $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone hexagonal, depth about equal to length, slightly to not lower than eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone many times broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, not or hardly lower than eye diameter; barbels fleshy, maxillary barbels more than twice as long as nasal barbels, much longer than the eye; mouth inferior, hidden in the external infralabial groove when the mouth is closed, nasal barbels inserted anteriorly in the groove between the $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone and the rostral flap; gape slightly anterior, in width nearly equal to the width of the mouth, slightly crescent-shaped, but internal entrance to oral cavity narrow and slightly heart-shaped (when the mouth is open); upper lip thin, entire, hardly hanging anterior to upper jaw; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly crescent-shaped, strongly downward protrusable; lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis deeply emarginate, twohorned, tips of the horns slightly acute, anterior to the symphysis broadly cartilaginous with a cartilag-inous-fleshy truncate edge; lower lip back-folded, entire, not united with upper lip, on both sides two longitudinal grooves directed towards the margin of the mouth, separated by a thin, fleshy frenum longer than the eye diameter, anterior part of frenum merging with upper lip by means of the accessory lip, external groove much wider and deeper than internal groove; depth of gill cover about twice as great as width, not or hardly narrower than eye diameter, lower margin slightly convex or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, slightly compressed towards the tip, strongly obliquely truncate on the chewing surface, slightly elevated at the margins, not lobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than slightly convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins strongly obtusely ridged; scales nearly vertical, on the flanks not or hardly larger than on the rest of the body (except for the belly), free half and basal half with longitudinal stripes, 33 or 34 scales in the lateral line, 14 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, those in the medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale 132 marked by a simple tube not reaching or hardly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, at the posterior part of the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, strongly emarginate, higher than the body and much higher than base length; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins not or only slight-


Fig. 22. Schismatorhynchos heterorhynchos Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab IV, Fig. 4. TL figure 189 mm .
ly longer than ventral fins, contained $52 / 3$ to slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not or hardly reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, moderately emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin and about twice as short as dorsal fin, more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, the upper lobe longer or not longer than lower lobe, contained about $33 / 4$ to about $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body violet-ish-olive or deeply olive, lower part more faintly coloured or pearly; iris pink, margin of pupil golden; wide diffuse blackish-violet head-tail band; fins with pink or red rays, membrane pink-hyaline or vio-letish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 16$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Lobocheilos heterorynchos Blkr, Nieuwe Tientall. diagn. beschr. nieuwe vischs. Sumatra. Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. V. p. 524.
Schismatorynchos lobocheiloides Blkr, Ind. descr. pisc. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIV p. 476.
Hab. Sumatra (Solok, Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 7 specimens $87^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $232^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Cyprinus (Bangala) falcata Gr. depicted in the Illustrations of Indian Zoology, seems to differ from the species in question by numerous scales both in a longitudinal row as in a transverse row, by two rays more in the dorsal fin, absence of the longitudinal body band, etc.

Judging from the illustration of Cyprinus gotyla Gr. from the Illustrations of Indian Zoology this species also seems to differ from Schismatorhynchos heterorhynchos by remarkably less scales on a transverse row (only $3^{1 ⁄ 2}$ to 4 above the lateral line), little or no concave fin edges, bluntly rounded pectoral fins, a low, 9- or 10-rayed (4/9 or 4/10) dorsal fin, the absence of a longitudinal body band, etc.

Finally Gobio ricnorhynchus McCl ., the third South-Asian species of Schismatorhynchos, in habitat is much like the species from Sumatra, however according to Mr MacClelland it has 43 scales in a longitudinal row, 11 branched dorsal fin rays and misses the longitudinal body band, etc.

Till now I have received the species in question only from Sumatra.

LABEO Cuv.<br>Règn. Anim. 1817. I p. 194; Blkr, Descr. pisc. Javan. nov. in Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 360 (revised description).<br>Lip carp, Arengan.


#### Abstract

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4 or 2, nasal and maxillary barbels or 1133 maxillary barbels only. Snout fleshy, protruding in front of the mouth, skin hanging anteriorly below the upper jaw and lobed on both sides of the snout. Anterior suborbital bone, placed far anterior to the orbit. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, entire, not papillose or cirrate, confluent with the free margin of the lower lip. Upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, reminding of a horse shoe, lower jaw tumid, cartilaginous-fleshy, anterior margin truncate, on the posterior part of the symphysis strongly emarginate, no tubercle. Lower lip broad, fleshy, back-folded, entire or more or less crenulate. Postlabial groove on both sides simple, forming a large, deep fossa, oblique, directed versus the margin of the mouth, not reaching the free margin of the lip, separated from the groove on the opposite side by the rather broad isthmus. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin with the posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, the chewing surface obliquely truncate.


Subg. Diplocheilos Blkr. Barbels 4, nasal and maxillary barbels.
" Labeo Cuv. Barbels 2, maxillary barbels only.
Remark. The genus Labeo, as it was proposed by Cuvier and based on C. niloticus Géoffr. and C. fimbriatus Bl., since its erection has been dissolved in numerous genera so that it now must be restricted to those species that according to the present state of knowledge answer to Labeo niloticus. I include in it all Labeonines with a thick cartilaginousfleshy lower jaw, a smooth snout edge, which on both sides turns into a fleshy lobe, a oblique-longitudinal posterior lip groove, which is separated from the one on the opposite side by a more or less broad skin of the chin, an upper lip connected with the lower lip, which passes imperceptible (without a intermediate groove) into the skin of the chin.

Defined in this way, the genus Labeo still contains rather numerous species, which all belong to North Africa, South Asia and the Sunda Islands, but several species placed in Labeo by Heckel and Mr Valenciennes fall outside its borders. Both excellent ichthyologists concerning this have attached too much value to the number of barbels and unconditionally excluded the related species with four barbels, whereas species belonging to other genera, with two barbels irrespective whether they are implanted on the snout or the upper jaw have been included by them in the genus Labeo.
134 Thus in my opinion Labeo cephalus Val. and Labeo Dussumieri Val., are species of Rohita, in which only upper jaw barbels are present, just like Labeo Reynauldi Val., Labeo microlepidotus Val. and Labeo fimbriatus Val. - Moreover Labeo oblongus Val., as was already shown above, is a Crossocheilos with only two snout barbels, whereas Labeo erythropterus Val. is a real Labeo, but also very certainly has 4 barbels and not just 2 upper jaw barbels, as was indicated by Valenciennes. Moreover, in my opinion, Labeo senegalensis Val. should be placed in Tylognathus, and Labeo malacostomus Val. should be placed in a proper genus related to Rohita, for which I have proposed to name Rohitichthys, just like Labeo falcifer and probably also Labeo hispidus belong to Lobocheilos, - Labeo diplostomus Val. to Tylognathus, and Labeo malacostomos Val. to Schismatorhynchus, whereas Labeo cursa Val. and Labeo curchius Val. seem to belong as well rather to Rohita than that they could be placed in Labeo.

Heckel has added, although with a question mark, some species to the genus Labeo, i.e. Cyprinus rostratus Tiles. and Cyprinus rufescens Hasselq., the descriptions of which cannot be consulted here in this country so I cannot judge them, and moreover still Gobio ricnorhynchus McCl ., which species however belongs to my genus Schismatorhynchos. Chondrostoma dembensis Val. (nec Rüpp.) according to Heckel would be a Labeo as well, and not differ from his Labeo vulgaris.

Therefore of the 21 species of Labeo summed up by Heckel and Mr Valenciennes, only 9 can be placed in that genus as I have defined it.

On the contrary I know several species of this genus, which not only possess upper jaw barbels but also snout barbels. However, these barbels usually are so little developed, that they easily escape attention, which makes me suppose that a further, more detailed observation will also reveal barbels in those species to which till now they have not been credited. I am of the opinion I can propose this, the more so, because none of the species of the genera related to Labeo, of which Heckel or Mr Valenciennes mention they possess absolutely no cirri, were examined from nature by these excellent ichthyologists.

Just like has been done in other genera of Cyprinoids, I split the species of Labeo in two groups or subgenera according as they possess only upper jaw barbels or both snout barbels and upper jaw barbels. The last I place in the subgenus Diplocheilos, the first in the subgenus Labeo.

The name Diplocheilos I have adopted from Van Hasselt who wanted to use it as a generic name for the species, which since then has become known in science under the name Labeo erythropterus and which indeed is a Labeo with 4 barbels.
135 All species of my collection belong to the subgenus Diplocheilos. One of these species is the same one as I described in my Nalezingen op de ichthyologie van Bengalen under the name given to her by Mr McClelland, Gobio boga. However, a more detailed investigation has taught me that it is a real Labeo. My three remaining species were all caught in the rivers of West Java. I described two of them earlier under the names Lobocheilos lucas and Lobocheilos rohitoides, whereas the third species is the same as that, which Van Hasselt already stamped with the name Diplocheilos erythopterus.

The three archipelagic species can be differentiated from each other and the other known species by the following scheme.

I Nasal and upper jaw barbels (Subgen. Diplocheilos)
A. $71 / 2$ scales above lateral line, 42 or 43 in a longitudinal row.
$\dagger$ Barbels twice or more than twice as short as the eye, nearly equal. Width of gill cover contained $22 / 5$ to $23 / 4$ times in its depth.

Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus Val.
$\dagger \dagger$ Barbels not much shorter than the eye, anterior barbels longer. Width of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to nearly 2 times in its depth.

Labeo (Diplocheilos) lucas Blkr.
B. $5^{1 ⁄ 2}$ scales above lateral line, about 35 in longitudinal row.
$\dagger$ Nasal barbels not or hardly shorter than the eye. Width of gill cover contained twice in its depth.

Labeo (Diplocheilos) rohitoides Blkr.

# Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus Blkr, Roodvinnige Arengan [Redfinned Arengan]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. V. 

A Labeo (Diplocheilos) with an oblong or slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 5 to slightly over 4 times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, contained $5^{2 / 3}$ to 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, slightly over 4 to $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 3$ times in its length, width $11 / 3$ to $11 / 2$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained $31 / 2$ to 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $13 / 5$ to $21 / 3$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostrodorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, slightly convex or nearly straight, very convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, in younger and old animals much longer than twice as long as eye diameter, truncate anteriorly, ventrally ending in a membraneous shield hanging anterior to the mouth, at the front, sides and upper part to a point behind the nostrils covered with numerous, very conspicuous pores, membrane on both sides prolonged into an oblong lobe, entire at the lower margin; anterior suborbital bone placed anterior to the nostrils far anterior to the eye, length greater than depth, lower 136 margin maximally convex, semicircular, upper margins concave, united in a slightly acute, upward pointing corner, $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate, quadrangular, length twice or more than twice its depth, the anterior part deeper than the posterior part, more than twice, but less than three times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, more than four times to three times as low as the eye diameter; barbels very thin, little conspicuous, twice or less than twice as short as the eye, maxillary barbels not or hardly longer than nasal barbels, nasal barbels placed anteriorly in the groove between the $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone and the rostral; gape inferior, width nearly equal to width of head, reminding of a horse shoe, when the mouth is closed, but entrance of oral cavity internally narrow and (when mouth is open) oblong-rounded or slightly heart-shaped; upper lip thin, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe, strongly downward protrusable; lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis broadly emarginate, anterior to symphysis broadly cartilaginous-fleshy, flat, with a truncate edge, lower lip back-folded, fleshy, free margin confluent with upper lip, covered with very short papillae in one row, upper surface transversely rugose in a wavy pattern, lower surface smooth; infralabial groove on both sides forming a large, angular, deep fossa, obliquely directed towards the middle line of the chin, but separated from the groove on the opposite site by the isthmus which is considerably thinner than the eye diameter. Width of gill cover contained about $23 / 5$ to $23 / 4$ times in its depth, considerably to slightly thinner than the eye diameter, the lower margin convex; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, slightly compressed towards the top, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface, slightly elevated in a regular way at the margins, not lobed, anterior teeth not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely or slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex much higher than the slightly convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins very obtusely ridged; scales nearly vertical, larger on anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, suprascapular scales, however, the largest of all, free half and generally also basal half with longitudinal stripes, slightly ray-like, 42 or 43 scales in the lateral line, 18 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $71 / 2(8)$ above the lateral line, about 16 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in 5 to 7 longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, those in the medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, considerably closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube which does not reach the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, depth considerably greater than length, depth contained slightly over once to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equally long, contained $53 / 4$ to $51 / 3$


Fig. 23. Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. V. TL figure 263 mm .
times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not or hardly reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, moderately to hardly emarginate, a little lower to a little higher than the dorsal fin, but about twice as short, more than twice to three times as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, its length contained $33 / 4$ to 35 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part and flanks of the body violetish-olive, lower part yellowish-olive or pearly; iris yellow, margin of pupil golden, upper part dark; scales on back and flanks, especially in younger fish, each with an oblong or round golden spot; fins with orange- pink rays, membrane bluish-hyaline, more or less with violet-blackish speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 11$ or $4 / 12$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $4 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, the short flanking ones included.

Syn. Diplocheilos erythropterus V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en letterbode 1823 II p. 133.
Labeo erythropterus Val., Poiss. XVI p. 271; Heck., Fisch. Syr. P. 34, 180; Blkr, Descr. pisc. Javan. nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 360.
Labéon à nageoires rouges Val. Poiss. XVI p. 271.
Arengan Sund.
Hab. Java (Lebak, Parongkalong), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $150^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $275^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Although already discovered by Van Hasselt in 1822, the Arengan has only become known in more detail to science in 1842 by Mr Valenciennes, after a stuffed specimen of two feet in the Leiden Museum and a drawing that was prepared under the eyes of Kuhl and Van Hasselt. The description answers rather well to both my two small specimens. However, the snout barbels were not noticed by Mr Valenciennes, whereas also in the fin formula, the shorter and branched rays have not been accounted for. The gold coloured scale marks only are found in juvenile specimens and gradually disappear with age.

Till now I know this species only from West-Java. I received the smallest specimen from Lebak, in the Bantam residency, and it was described in the $13^{\text {th }}$ Volume of the

Natuurkundig Tijdschrift van Nederlands Indië. Since then my collection has been enriched with an excellent preserved specimen with a length of 275 mm , which I obtained in Parangkalong, during a fishing party on the Tjitaroem river. I observed at that occasion several larger specimens of the same species, which however I was unable to keep. The species seems to inhabit only the higher parts of the larger rivers of West-Java.

## Labeo (Diplocheilos) lucas Blkr. Kleinbekkige Arengan [Small-mouthed Arengan]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. VIII fig. 4.

A Labeo (Diplocheilos) with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 2$ to 5 times in its length, width contained 2 to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, contained $43 / 4$ to 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained $1^{1 / 4}$ to $1^{1 / 3}$ times in its length, width $13 / 4$ to $1^{1 / 2}$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to $1^{2 / 3}$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering external part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight or slightly convex, very convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, in younger animals not longer than eye diameter, in older animals not much longer than eye diameter, convex, rounded nor truncate anteriorly, at the underside ending in a membraneous fold hanging anterior to the mouth, at the front, on top and on the sides covered with numerous, conspicuous pores, this flap on both sides prolonged into an oblong, rounded lobe, lower margin entire; anterior suborbital bone completely or nearly completely anterior to nostrils, placed rather far anterior to the eye, length greater than depth, lower margin maximally convex, semicircular, upper margins concave, united in a slightly acute, upward pointing corner; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length three times or more than three times as great as depth, the anterior part deeper than the posterior part, three times to more than three times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone slightly broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, many times lower than the eye diameter; barbels thin, maxillary barbels longer than nasal barbels, not much shorter than eye diameter, placed anteriorly in the groove between the $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone and the rostral membrane; 138 gape inferior, width very much shorter than width of head, closed mouth reminding of a horse shoe. Entrance to oral cavity narrow internally and oblong and rounded or slightly heart-shaped (when the mouth is open); upper lip fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe, strongly downward protrusable; lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis broadly emarginated, anterior to symphysis broadly cartilaginous-fleshy, flat, with a truncate edge; lower lip back-folded, fleshy, free margin confluent with upper lip, covered with very short papillae, upper surface transversely rugose in a wavy pattern, lower surface smooth; infralabial groove on both sides forming a large, angular, deep fossa, obliquely directed versus the middle line of the chin, but separated from the groove on the opposite site by an isthmus which is twice as thin as the eye diameter; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 4$ to nearly 2 times in its depth, slightly narrower than eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, compressed towards the tip, masticatory surface obliquely truncate, margin slightly elevated, hardly or not lobed, anterior teeth not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins very obtusely ridged; scales nearly vertical, larger on anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, suprascapular scales not larger than surrounding scales; free half and generally also basal half with longitudinal, but little conspicuous stripes, 41 or 42 scales in the lateral line, 18 in a vertical row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $71 / 2$ (8) above the lateral line, about 16 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in 5 to 7 longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, those in the medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly


Fig. 24. Labeo (Diplocheilos) lucas Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab VIII, Fig 4. TL figure 83 mm .
straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, height not much greater than length, not much lower to not lower than body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $61 / 3$ to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, slightly lower, but about twice as short as the dorsal fin, about twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, nearly equal in length, contained nearly 4 to slightly over 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, lower part silver; iris yellow or silver, tail with a large round diffuse blackish spot in the lateral line near the base of the caudal fin; fins pink or pink-hyaline.
D. 3. D. $4 / 10$ to $4 / 12$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Lobocheilos lucas Blkr, Descr. spec. pisc. Javan. nov. diagn., Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 362. Lucas, Wadon-gunung Mal. Batav.
Hab. Java (Batavia), in rivers.
Length of 23 specimens $58^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $93^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. A further and more detailed investigation of my well preserved specimens of this species, has induced me to placing them again the subgenus Dipolocheilos, of which it possesses all characters. The formulas of the scales and the fin rays even completely answer to those of Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus, although it certainly is a different species, which can be distinguished from the last mentioned one by its different coloration, relatively much broader gill cover, bulging snout, thinner gape, longer barbels, shorter pectoral and ventral fins, etc.
> ${ }^{139}$ Labeo (Diplocheilos) rohitoides Blkr.
> Rohita-achtige Arengan [Rohita-like Aregan]
> Atl. Cyprin. Tab. VIII fig. 3.

A Labeo (Diplocheilos) with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained about 5 times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, contained about $51 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained


Fig. 25. Labeo (Diplocheilos) rohitoides Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab VIII, Fig. 3. TL figure 62 mm .
about $11 / 4$ times in its length, width about $11 / 2$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes nearly $11 / 2$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering external margin of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, slightly convex, convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, not longer than eye diameter, convex, rounded nor truncate anteriorly, ventrally ending in a membraneous flap hanging anterior to the mouth, rostrally, dorsally and laterally covered with numerous, little conspicuous pores, flap entire at lower margin; anterior suborbital bone nearly completely anterior to nostrils, placed not far anterior to the eye, length greater than depth, lower margin maximally convex, semicircular, upper margin nearly straight; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length more than twice as great as depth, the anterior part not much deeper than the posterior part, many times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone hardly broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, many times lower; barbels rather fleshy, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, not or hardly shorter than eye diameter, nasal barbels placed below the tip of the anterior suborbital bone; gape inferior, width very much shorter than width of head, reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed, entrance to oral cavity narrow internally and oblong, rounded or slightly heart-shaped when the mouth is open; upper lip fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe, strongly downward protrusable, lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis broadly emarginate posteriorly, anterior to the symphysis broadly cartilaginous-fleshy, flat, with a truncate edge; lower lip back-folded, fleshy, free margin confluent with upper lip; infralabial groove on both sides forming a large, angular, deep fossa, obliquely directed towards the medial line of the chin, but separated from the groove on the opposite site by the isthmus which is about twice as thin as the eye diameter; width of gill cover contained twice in its depth, hardly narrower than eye diameter, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, compressed towards the tip, obliquely truncate on the chewing surface, slightly elevated at the margin, hardly or not lobed, anterior teeth not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, slightly obtusely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than slightly convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and generally also the basal half with longitudinal but little conspicuous stripes, about 35 scales in the lateral line, about 13 in a vertical row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, every scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, not or hardly higher than the body, only slightly higher than base length; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about $6^{2 / 3}$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal
fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, slightly lower but more than twice as short as the dorsal fin, more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe slightly longer than lower lobe, contained $33 / 4$ to 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, diffuse, darkish-violet head-tail band; fins pink-hyaline or pink.
140 B. 3. D. $4 / 11$ or $4 / 12$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn . Lobocheilos rohitoides Blkr, Descr. specier. pisc. javan. nov., Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 363. Lukas Mal. Bat.
Hab. Java (Batavia), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $68^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. According to my definition of the genus Labeo the species in question belongs to this genus and not to Lobocheilos, under which I described it earlier. It can easily be distinguished from Labeo (Diplocheilos) erythropterus and Labeo (Diplocheilos) lucas by the formula of its scales, as it has a few scales less both on a longitudinal and on a transverse row, and 2 rows of scales less between the lateral line and the back line. Moreover it also has the barbels, especially the upper jaw barbels, remarkably longer and it is also recognizable by its longitudinal body band.

My single specimen is not in a very good state of preservation, especially with regard to the belly and the belly scales.

> Cirrhina breviceps Val., Poiss. XVI p. 224
> a Labeo (Diplocheilos) species or a Diplocheilichthys?

The description according to Valenciennes:
[Translated from French]
"The height goes four times in the total length, which is seven times the head length. The eye is large, it measures a third of the head length. The two maxillary barbels are shorter than the snout; the mouth is short; the dorsal fin is high anteriorly and its margin with a scythe shape; the anal fin has the first rays much longer; the dorsal lobe of the caudal is also elongated and acutely tapering; the pectoral fin is small; but the pelvic fin large. D. 13. A. 7. C. 19. P. 13. V. 9. The scales are rather large and strong; I counted at least 40 rows in a longitudinal series; there is a long scale in the axil of the ventral fin. The colour is greenish on the back and silver on the belly. The stuffed specimen that I have described is 7 inches and three lines long; it occurs in the rivers of Bantam."

Syn. Labeobarbus breviceps K v. H. of Val.
Remark. I very much doubt the existence of representatives of the genus Cirrhina in the Indian archipelago, and also that the species described by Mr Valenciennes would belong to this genus. Species of Mrigala which Mr Valenciennes mentions as Cirrhines, are also unknown to me from the Sunda Islands. Therefore I suspect that Cirrhina breviceps Val. will have to be placed in the subgenus Diplocheilos of Labeo or in the genus Diplocheilichthys, and that the in these genera often very small and deep lying upper jaw barbels (lip barbels Val.) have escaped attention in the described $d r y$ specimen. 141 Anyway I do not possess that species, as I cannot find the combination of its described characters among any of my species. A more detailed and more extensive description of the species than the one made by Mr Valenciennes, would be very desirable.

Tylognathus Heck., Fish. Syr. p. 37, 181. Callous jaw carp.

Body slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 2, maxillary barbels. Snout fleshy, split by a transverse groove, protruding anterior to the mouth, descending skin hanging anterior to upper lip. Upper lip entire, not papillose or cirrate, confluent with lower lip. Upper jaw with a crescent-shaped edge. Lower jaw very thick, cartilaginous-fleshy. Lower lip not lobed, thin, slightly back-folded, crenulate. Simple postlabial groove on both sides directed towards the margin of the mouth, separated from the groove on the opposite side by the very broad isthmus. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5/.4.3, the chewing surface obliquely truncate.

Remark. The genus Tylognathus, as it is characterized here, is not the same as it was described by Heckel. That description moreover is insufficient and would comprise other very different genera of Labeonines as well. As delimited above, it is based on the species that was first described and depicted by Heckel under the name Varicorhinus diplostomus and later mentioned under the names Tylognathus diplostomus and Tylognathus Valenciennesii. This species is one of the few that Heckel knew from nature and placed in Tylognathus. The others belong to other genera.

Heckel placed no less than twelve species in his genus Tylognathus.
His Tylognathus barbulatus however, is a Crossocheilos, just like his Tylognathus nanus and maybe also his Tylognathus porcellus. However, I cannot judge the last named species, since I cannot consult "Hügel's Reise" in which it seems to be described.

Heckel's Tylognathu lamta (Cyprinus lamta Buch.) is a Discognathichthys, and 142 his Tylognathus falcifer and Tylognathus lipocheilos are species of Lobocheilos, whereas his Tylognathus diocheilus to me rather seems to be a Labeo.

Moreover Heckel's Tylognathus Duvaucellii (Chondrostoma Duvaucelii Val.) judging from the description of Mr Valenciennes is on no account a Tylognathus but rather a Gymnostomus?, to which genus also seems to belong Tylognathus semilarvatus Heck., at least if it, as I suspect, is the same species as Chondrostoma semivelatum Val.

The two remaining species were placed by Heckel, under escort of a question mark, in his genus Tylognathus. They are Leuciscus sandkhol Syk. and Leuciscus chitul Syk., species for which the superficial description of Heckel does not suffice to place them in a certain genus, and which one therefore, also under the escort of a question mark, better temporarily can place in Tylognathus than in any other genus. From what Mr Sykes says about it, it seems possible to conclude that they in any case belong to the Labeonines.

## Diplocheilichthys Blkr. <br> Double lip carp

Body oblong-elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4, nasal barbels, inserted on the tip of the snout, and maxillary barbels. Snout fleshy, entire, protruding anterior to the mouth, descending skin hanging anterior to the upper lip, not lobed at the sides. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, entire, not papillose or cirrate, confluent with free margin of lower lip. Upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe, inferior jaw tumid, cartilaginous-fleshy, anterior margin truncate, at the posterior part of symphysis not emarginate or tuberculate. Lower lip
broad, fleshy, back-folded, crenulate, not lobed. Postlabial groove on both sides simple, forming a large, deep fossa, straight, directed towards the margin of the mouth, not reaching the free margin of the lip, separated from the groove on the opposite side by a very broad isthmus. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, the posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, chewing surface obliquely truncate, tuberculate at the margins.

Remark. The genus Diplocheilichthys in relationship stands between Labeo and 143 Rohita. From Rohita it differs by its smooth edged nippleless or fringeless lips and from Labeo by the absence of lateral snout skin lobes. In all species of Labeo (Diplocheilos) known to me from nature, the anterior suborbital spine lies more anterior to the eye, removed from the orbit, whereas it has more or less the shape of a half round disc with the convex side directed ventrally. Moreover the snout barbels in Diplocheilos are implanted high on the snout, at the anterior ventral margin of the suborbital bone.

In Diplocheilichthys on the contrary the anterior suborbital bone is shaped as in Rohita, that is, obliquely elongate quadrangular or pentagonal and lies against the orbital edge, whereas the snout barbels are implanted closer to the snout edge and more anteriorly. The relationship therefore is larger with Labeo than with Rohita.

I know till now only one species of this genus, the same one that I have described in 1853 under the name of Lobocheilos pleurotaenia.

> Diplocheilichthys pleurotaenia Blkr. Gebande Dubbellipkarper [Banded double lip carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. IX fig. 1.

A Diplocheilichthys with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 5 to slightly over 5 times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to 2 times in its depth; head slightly acute, contained nearly 5 to 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 5$ to $41 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 3$ times in its length, width $13 / 4$ to $12 / 5$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained nearly 3 to $33 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $13 / 5$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, slightly convex, strongly convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, in juveniles not longer than eye diameter, in old animals much longer than eye diameter, convex, slightly truncate anteriorly, ventrally ending in a membraneous flap hanging in front upper lip, at the front covered with numerous, conspicuous pores, this flap not lobed at the sides, lower margin entire; anterior suborbital bone obliquely irregularly triangular, length hardly or not greater than depth, top slightly acute, pointing forward, anterior margin nearly straight, posterior margin obliquely ascending backward, strongly curved, upper part contiguous with the orbit; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length more than twice as long as depth, the anterior part much higher than the posterior part, four times to about three times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, more than five times to more than four times as low as the eye diameter; barbels thin, at the base membraneous, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, nasal barbels inserted on the tip of the snout, maxillary barbels slightly to not shorter than the eye; gape inferior, width considerably shorter than width of head, slightly curved forward in the middle when the mouth is closed, at the sides curved strongly backward, entrance to oral cavity internally wide; upper lip fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe, strongly downward protrusable; lower jaw on the posterior part of the symphysis not emarginate or tuberculate, anterior to


Fig. 26. Diplocheilichthys pleurotaenia Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. IX, Fig. 1. TL figure 202 mm .
symphysis broadly cartilaginous-fleshy, flat, with a truncate edge; lower lip back-folded, fleshy, free margin confluent with upper lip, covered with very short papillae in one row, 144 anterior part not broader than posterior part; infralabial groove on both sides simple, on both sides directed longitudinally, shorter than the eye, forming a deep fossa shorter than the eye diameter on both sides, separated from the groove on the opposite site by the isthmus which is not much to not smaller than the eye diameter; depth of gill cover twice to more than twice as great as width, narrower than eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated $2.4 .5 / 5.4 .2$, slightly compressed towards the top, the chewing surface obliquely truncate, margins elevated, more or less one-lobed or bilobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex much higher than slightly convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, rounded behind ventral fins, not or very obtusely ridged; scales nearly vertical, larger on anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and basal half with longitudinal stripes, slightly ray-like, 34 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $51 / 2(6)$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three to five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in the medial row slightly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, considerably closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, not or hardly higher than the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained slightly over 6 to $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not or hardly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not or hardly reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, slightly to strongly emarginate, considerably to not lower, but more than twice as short as the dorsal fin, twice to three times as high as base length, the simple posterior ray thin, completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe slightly to not longer than lower lobe, contained $31 / 2$ to $3^{3 / 4}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body slightly olive-green, lower part silver, iris yellowish or pink, diffuse, dark-violet head-tail band, in older animals conspicuous; in juveniles band not conspicuous, but tail with a roundish violet-dark spot in the lateral line, close to the caudal base; scales on the back and flanks in older animals each with an oblong transverse, violetish spot; fins with pink rays, membrane pink or violetish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 10$ or $4 / 11$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Lobocheilos pleurotaenia Blkr, Nalez. vischf. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 267.
Hab. Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 3 specimens $64^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $216^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. In external appearance this species looks rather similar to Labeo (Diplocheilos) cobitis Blkr. and Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) Schwanefeldi Blkr, however, the shape of its mouth and snout parts indicates it should be placed in a separate genus. Till now, the species is only known from eastern Sumatra.

Lobocheilos V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterbode 1823 II p.133; Blkr, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. V p. 520.<br>Lehat.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4 or 2, nasal and maxillary 145 barbels, or maxillary barbels only. Snout fleshy, entire, protruding anterior to the mouth, below the tip truncate, descending skin hanging anterior to upper lip. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, entire, not papillose nor cirrate, on both sides descending between the lower jaw and the lower lip and affixed to the posterior part of the upper surface of the lower lip. Upper jaw with a cartilaginous, crescent-shaped edge. Lower jaw tumid, cartilaginous-fleshy, anterior margin truncate, not emarginate or tuberculate on the posterior part of the symphysis. Lower lip broad, fleshy, backfolded, entire, lobed on both sides. Postlabial groove simple on both sides, longitudinally directed towards the margin of the mouth, forked at the front, external branch ending in groove of upper jaw, internal branch ending on the internal insertion of the upper lip, separated from the groove on the opposite side by the very broad isthmus. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, the chewing surface obliquely truncate.

Subg. Lobocheilos Blkr. Barbels 4, nasal and maxillary barbels.
" Gobionichthys Blkr. Barbels 2, maxillary barbels only.
Remark. When Van Hasselt on Java, observed the most common species of this genus and noticed the differences between the mouth parts of this species and those of Crossocheilos oblongus and Diplocheilos erythropterus, the idea justly occurred to him to bring her to a genus of her own, which he proposed to name Lobocheilos, a name totally fitting on account of the lateral lobe-shaped extension of the lower lip.

When I earlier gave the above mentioned diagnosis of Lobocheilos, I knew the genus less well than at present, and I have discovered since, that in the remark placed under that diagnosis, the meaning that van Hasselt gave to this genus was not correctly interpreted by me. A misunderstanding that only became clear to me since I was able to consult besides the Bulletin of De Férussac, the Algemene Konst- en Letterbode of the year 1823, in which an abstract was included from a letter of Van Hasselt dealing with the Javanese Cyprinids.

In the mean time the genus Lobocheilos has not been defined in more detail, as he only states: "that it differs too much by a totally aberrant shape of the mouth, 146 to unite it with another genus"; and one would even be totally uncertain which genus was meant by Van Hasselt, if he had not stated after those words "in our drawing it bears the name falcifer". This drawing since then appears to refer to Labeo falcifer Val.

Mr Valenciennes indeed has placed Lobocheilos falcifer V. Hass. in his genus Labeo, just like some other species, which totally differ from Labeo. With regard to Lobocheilos falcifer Mr Valenciennes was induced to do so, because he observed only two upper jaw barbels and not the nasal barbels. However it surprises me that Mr Valenciennes a few years later, in the $17^{\text {th }}$ Volume of the large fishwork, introduced another species closely related to Lobocheilos as a Chondrostoma. This species, which from the figure of Mr Valenciennes is very well recognisable as a Lobocheilos, now appears to me not to differ from the species that I in my Enumeratio piscium have referred to as Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) javanicus and which in earlier years, when I knew only juvenile specimens of it, incorrectly was described by me as a Gobio. Just like in Lobocheilos falcifer the nasal barbels were not noticed by Mr Valenciennes, in his Chondrostoma lipocheilos the upper jaw barbels also escaped his attention.

Heckel who did not know the Javanese species of the genus from nature, following Mr Valenciennes, at first introduced Lobocheilos falcifer under Labeo, but later under Tylognathus, to which he also believed Chondrostoma lipocheilos belonged. However, they belong to Tylochromis no more than to Labeo, irrespective if one bases the genus Tylochromis, like I propose, on Varicorhinus diplostomus Heck. (Tylognathus Valenciennesi Heck.) or on Tylognathus barbulatus Heck., which is a Crossocheilos.

The genus Lobocheilos is very easy to recognise by the shape of the mouth parts. The lower lip on both sides forms a rounded lobe, the edge of which however is not united with the upper lip. The upper lip however, is continued downwards ascending between the lower jaw and lower lip, to insert, not at the edge of that lip, but at its surface more backwards. Next to this character comes the thick cartilaginous-fleshy lower jaw, the broad upper surface of which is neither emarginate nor knobbed, the single only rostrally bipartite posterior lip groove, the entire lips, the entire and not lobed snout skin, etc.

Java and Sumatra feed various species of Lobocheilos. With certainty I know 5 species, which are in my possession, but I suspect that Labeo hispidus Val. and Barbus Hasseltii Blkr. (described after a drawing) can also be placed in the same genus.

Of the extra-archipelagic species of Cyprinoids, which have come to my knowledge, none could be placed in Lobocheilos.
147 Of the 5 species from my collection, three, because of the presence of snout barbels, belong to the subgenus Lobocheilos and the two others to the subgenus Gobionichthys. - If Labeo hispidus similarly is a Lobocheilos and only possesses upper jaw barbels, it can be placed in Gobionichthys, whereas my former Barbus Hasseltii, if it should not have to be placed in a different genus, which cannot be decided with certainty, represents a fourth species of Lobocheilos.

The aforementioned species, at least those from my own collection, have a large similarity with each other, both in habitus as in the peculiarities of scales and shape of the fins. However they can be separated sufficiently according to the following scheme.
I. 4 Barbels, nasal and maxillary barbels (Subg. Lobocheilos).
A. 33 to 35 scales in the lateral line. D $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. Snout very prominent.

+ $51 / 2$ or 6 scales above the lateral line.
Ô Depth of body contained 6 to 5 times in its length. Depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to $13 / 7$ times in its length. Head-tail band yellowish.

Ô' Depth of body contained slightly over 5 to $41 / 3$ times in its length. Depth of head contained $11 / 4$ to hardly more than once in its length. No cephalo-caudal band.

Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) falcifer Van Hass.
$\dagger^{\prime} \quad 4^{1} / 2$ or 5 scales above the lateral line.
Ô Depth of body contained slightly over 5 to $44 / 5$ times in its length. Depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length. No head-tail band.

Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) lehat Blkr.
B. About 40 scales in the lateral line. D $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$ ? Snout hardly prominent. $+41 / 2$ or 5 scales above the lateral line.

Lobocheilos ? (Lobocheilos?) Hasseltii Blkr.
II. 2 Barbels, maxillary barbels only (Subg. Gobionichthys)
A. 34 to 36 scales in the lateral line, $51 / 2$ to 6 above the lateral line. P $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$.
$\dagger$ Depth of body contained $51 / 2$ to 5 times in its length. Snout very prominent. Width of gill cover contained twice to slightly over twice in its depth.

Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) lipocheilos Blkr.
$\dagger^{\prime}$ Depth of body contained $43 / 4$ times in its depth. Snout hardly prominent. Width of gill cover contained $1^{2 / 3}$ times in its depth.

Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) microcephalus Blkr.
B. P. 17 D. 11 (according to Valenciennes). Scales?
$\dagger$ Depth of body contained 5 times in its length. Head contained 5 times in the length of the body.

Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) hispidus Blkr.

Lobocheilos (lobocheilos) falcifer Van Hass.,<br>Algem. Konst- en Letterbode 1823 II p. 133; Blkr, Nieuw Tientall. Diagnost. Beschrijv. Nieuwe vischs. v. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V. p. 522.<br>Zeisvinnige Lehat [Scythe finned Lehat]<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. VI.

A Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained slightly over 5 times to $41 / 3$ times in its length, width contained 2 to $21 / 4$ times in its depth. Head convex, anterior part slightly truncate, contained 6 to 7 times in length of body with caudal fin, $42 / 3$ to $51 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times to hardly more than once in its length, width contained $11 / 5$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained 3 to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $12 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1^{2 / 5}$ to nearly $2^{1 / 2}$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering a large part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight or slightly convex, very convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, in juveniles not longer than the eye, in old
animals much longer than the eye, convex, truncate or slightly truncate anteriorly, not lobed at the sides, but traversed by a slightly oblique, superficial to rather deep groove, frequently united with the groove on the opposite side, covered with numerous conspicuous pores above and under the groove, below the tip with a triangular, more or less backward descending surface and there forming a crescent-shaped smooth membrane hiding the upper lip, membrane on free margin entire, not papillose or cirrate, not lobed at the sides; anterior suborbital bone oblong, slightly triangular or slightly quadrangular, length greater than depth, rounded at the tip or more or less truncate, pointing forward, posterior margin nearly vertical or more or less emarginate or curved; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate or oblong-quadrangular, length more than three times to two times as long as depth, the anterior part not much deeper than the posterior part, four times to two times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, more than three times to two times as low as the eye diameter; barbels thin, membraneous at the base, maxillary barbels longer than nasal barbels, generally slightly shorter than the eye, nasal barbels inserted anteriorly in the rostral groove anterior to the first suborbital bone; gape slightly inferior, width considerably shorter than the width of the head, the middle part slightly curved forward when the mouth is closed, at the sides curved strongly backward; open mouth crescent-shaped; entrance to oral cavity internally wide; upper lip fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw, descending to a point below the lower jaw and affixed to upper surface of the lip rather far behind its anterior margin; upper jaw with a cartilaginous slightly crescent-shaped edge, strongly downward protrusable, lower jaw at the symphysis not emarginate or tuberculate, anterior to symphysis broadly cartilaginousfleshy, very thick, tumid, with a truncate edge; lower lip fleshy, broad, back-folded, anterior margin slightly villose, anterior part broader than posterior part; on both sides prolonged into a rounded lobe; infralabial groove directed versus the margin of the mouth, shorter than the eye, separated from the groove on the opposite site by the very wide isthmus, forked anteriorly, internal branch running between the lower lip and the upper surface of the lower lip, external branch ending in the groove in the upper jaw; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to $2 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its depth, slightly thinner to slightly broader than the eye, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, 149 aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, slightly compressed towards the tip, masticatory surface obliquely truncate, not or hardly elevated or lobed at the margins, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acute or slightly acutely rounded;


Fig. 27. Lobocheilus (lobocheilus) falcifer Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VI. TL figure 315 mm .
dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than the convex ventral line; belly behind ventral fins slightly flat not ridged; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle and anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and generally also the basal half with longitudinal stripes or slightly ray-like stripes, 34 or 35 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $5 \frac{1}{2}(6)$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three to five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in medial row hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, strongly emarginate, hardly to much higher than the body, width much less than twice to three times as great as length, simple ray posteriorly and forked first ray in old animals much prolonged; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained 6 to nearly 5 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins reaching or not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, moderately to strongly emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin to nearly twice as low, twice to three times as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe generally longer than lower lobe, contained 4 to $32 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body in younger animals olive, lower part pearly or silver, upper part in old animals violetish-olive, lower part grey or olive -pearly; juveniles frequently with a trace of a darkish longitudinal band on the posterior part of the body in the lateral line; iris golden-olive or golden-dark with a golden margin of the pupil, fins with pink rays, membrane pink-hyaline or pink-violetish or slightly olive-violet.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

```
Syn. Labeo falcifer Val. Poiss. XVI p. 274.
        Labéon falcifer Val., ibid.
        Lehat, Millang, Mal. Bat. Sund., Udjah Sund.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Rankasbetong, Lebak, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Sadjira, Sading, Tjiandjur,
                        Parongkalong, Kuningan, Ngantang), in rivers.
        Sumatra (Meninju), in lakes.
Length of 27 specimens 95'"' to 335'\prime'.
```

Remark. At the place indicated above Lobocheilos falcifer is only named but not described by Van Hasselt. However a figure of the species left by Van Hasselt, has given me certainty that my specimens belong to the same species.

Mr Valenciennes described the species for the first time, however as a Labeo. His description in many aspects leaves to be desired. All my specimens possess 4 barbels and not just two upper jaw barbels, like Mr Valenciennes states for his Labeo falcifer. The snout barbels however, are very thin and in dry and small specimens surely hard to observe.

Lobocheilos falcifer in the higher parts of the area of the large rivers of West Java occurs in sufficient quantities, to be arranged among the food-stuff 150 of the indigenous people. Hundreds of specimens of one to more than two foot long I have seen being caught during a fishing party near Parangkalong in the Tjitaroem [river]. Similarly in the western part of the residence of Buitenzorg I have seen the Lehat placed in market in large quantities.

In Batavia, this species is rare, and is only caught in the period when the rivers reached their highest level when the young individuals are drained by the strong currents from the highlands.

Lobocheilos (lobocheilos) Schwanefeldi Blkr,<br>Nieuw tientall. Diagn. beschrijv. nieuwe vischs. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V. p.523. Schwanefeld's Lehat. Atl. Cypr. Tab. IX. fig. 3.

A Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 6 to 5 times in its length, width contained 2 to $13 / 4$ times in its depth. Head convex, the anterior part slightly truncate, contained slightly over 6 times to $61 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly $41 / 2$ to nearly 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained $12 / 5$ to $13 / 7$ times in its length, width nearly $12 / 3$ to $11 / 2$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 to $32 / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to $11 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $13 / 4$ times the diameter, palpebral membrane covering a rather large part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight or slightly convex, very convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, in juveniles not longer than the eye, in old animals much longer than the eye, convex, truncate or slightly truncate anteriorly, not lobed at the sides, but traversed by a slightly oblique, superficial to rather deep groove, sometimes united with the groove on the opposite side, covered with numerous conspicuous pores above and under the groove, below the tip with a triangular, more or less backward descending surface and there forming a crescent-shaped smooth membrane hiding the upper lip, membrane at the free margin entire, not papillose or cirrate, not lobed at the sides; anterior suborbital bone oblong, slightly triangular or slightly quadrangular, length greater than depth, generally more or less truncate at the tip, pointing forward, posterior margin nearly vertical or more or less emarginate or curved; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongatequadrangular, length more than twice as large as depth, the anterior part much deeper to slightly deeper than the posterior part, more than twice to three times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, more than four times to three times as low as the eye diameter; barbels thin, membraneous, at the base, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, much to slightly shorter than the eye, nasal barbels inserted anteriorly in the rostral groove anterior to the tip or below the tip of the anterior suborbital bone; gape slightly inferior, width considerably shorter than the width of the head, closed mouth curved slightly forward in the middle, at the sides strongly curved backward, open mouth crescent-shaped; entrance to oral cavity wide internally; upper lip fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw, descending below the lower jaw and affixed to the upper surface of the lower lip rather far behind its anterior margin; upper jaw with a cartilaginous crescent-shaped edge, strongly downward protrusable; lower jaw not emarginate or tuberculate at the symphysis, anterior to symphysis broadly cartilaginous-fleshy, very thick, tumid, with a truncate edge; lower lip fleshy, broad, backfolded, anterior margin slightly villose, anterior part broader than posterior part; on both sides prolonged into a rounded lobe, infralabial groove directed versus the margin of the mouth, not much shorter than the eye diameter, separated from the groove on the opposite site by the very wide isthmus, forked anteriorly, internal branch running between the lower lip and 151 the upper surface of the lower lip, external branch ending in the groove of the upper jaw; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, slightly thinner than the eye diameter, lower margin slightly convex or nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, slightly compressed towards the tip, masticatory surface obliquely truncate, margins slightly elevated not lobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex much deeper than convex ventral; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, scales on the middle and anterior part of the flanks larger than on the rest of the body, basal half with longitudinal stripes, slightly raylike, 34 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three to five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in medial row not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only


Fig. 28. Lobocheilus (lobocheilus) Schwanefeldi Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. IX, Fig. 3. TL figure 203 mm.
anteriorly, considerably closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, strongly emarginate, not to hardly higher than the body, much less than twice as high as base length, no prolonged rays; pectoral and ventral fins nearly equal in length, ray and split first ray in older fishes very prolonged; pectoral and ventral fins nearly equal in length, contained $51 / 2$ to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral not or hardly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins reaching or not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, moderately to strongly emarginate, considerably lower than dorsal fin and twice to nearly twice as short, twice to not much more than twice as high as base length, the simple posterior ray thin, completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe generally longer than lower lobe, contained $3^{2} / 3$ to nearly 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part silver or pearly; iris pink or yellow, margin of pupil golden; tail with the trace of violetish-dark, diffuse longitudinal band in the lateral line; on top a diffuse, yellowish longitudinal band on the anterior part of the body above the lateral line, not always visible; fins with pink rays, membrane pinkish-hyaline or violetish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones
included.
Syn. Lahat, Millang Sund.
Hab. Java (Lebak, Parongkalong), in rivers.
Sumatra (Solot, Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 15 specimens $82^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $212^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Lobocheilos Schwanefeldi is so closely related to Lobocheilos falcifer, that I, even after a detailed study of both species, hesitated to leave it under its own species name. All my specimens probably belong to the juvenile stage, and as the fins, especially the first dorsal fin rays and the anal fin rays in Lobocheilos falcifer only in an advanced age develop remarkably, and give these fins a more or less crescent like shape, one may expect, that the older specimens obtain the different height proportions as well. When comparing the species, I have restricted myself to specimens of equal length. What is most obvious then and is mainly responsible for the specific difference, is, that in Lobocheilos falcifer the body is less slender and the head more blunt and remarkably
higher than in Lobocheilos Schwanefeldi. Possibly another 1152 specific character lies in the vaguely yellow longitudinal band above the lateral line at the anterior part of the body, which in most of my specimens is still rather well visible notwithstanding the prolonged preservation in spirit of wine.

> Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) lehat Blkr. Soendasche Lehat [Sundanese Lehat] Atl. Cypr. Tab. VIII fig. 8.

A Lobocheilos (Lobocheilos) with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained slightly over 5 to $44 / 5$ times in its length, width contained nearly twice in its depth. Head convex, slightly truncate anteriorly, contained nearly 6 to 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, slightly over 4 to $42 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its length, width $13 / 5$ to $11 / 2$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 to $31 / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to $12 / 5$ times the diameter, palpebral membrane covering a rather large part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping at the forehead and convex or slightly convex on the crown, convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, not to not much longer than the eye, convex, truncate or slightly truncate anteriorly, not lobed at the sides, but traversed by a slightly oblique, superficial to rather deep groove, not united with the groove on the opposite side, the upper and lower part covered with numerous conspicuous pores, below the tip with a triangular, more or less backwards descending surface and there forming a crescent-shaped smooth membrane covering the upper lip, membrane on the free margin papillose nor cirrate, not lobed at the sides; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular, length greater than depth, at the tip rounded or more or less truncate, pointing forward, posterior margin nearly vertical, more or less emarginate or curved; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate or oblong-quadrangular, length less than twice to twice as great as depth, the anterior part much deeper than the posterior part, hardly more than twice as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, more than twice to about three times as low as the eye diameter; barbels thin, membraneous at the base, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, considerably shorter than the eye, nasal barbels inserted anteriorly in the rostral groove below the tip of the anterior suborbital bone; gape slightly inferior, width considerably shorter than width of head, closed mouth curved slightly forward in the middle, at the sides strongly curved backwards, open mouth crescent-shaped; entrance of oral cavity internally wide; upper lip fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw, descending below the lower jaw and affixed to the upper surface of the lower lip rather far behind its anterior margin; upper jaw with a cartilaginous slightly crescent-shaped edge, strongly downward protrusable, lower jaw which is not emarginate or tuberculate at the symphysis, symphysis broadly cartilaginous-fleshy, very thick, tumid, truncate anteriorly; lower lip fleshy, broad, back-folded, not lobed at the sides, but obtusely rounded, anterior part broader than posterior part, anterior margin slightly villose or lightly papillose; infralabial groove directed versus the margin of the mouth, not much shorter than the eye, separated from the groove on the opposite site by the very broad isthmus, forked anteriorly, ending between the lower lip and the upper surface of the lower lip, separated from the upper jaw groove by a small frenum; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, thinner than the eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, slightly compressed towards the tip, masticatory surface obliquely truncate with not or hardly elevated margins, not lobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, rounded, not ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, 153 larger on the middle and anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body,


Fig. 29. Lobocheilus (lobocheilus) lehat Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VIII, Fig. 8. TL figure 118 mm .
free half and basal half with longitudinal or slightly ray-like stripes, 33 or 34 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $41 / 2$ (5) above the lateral line, 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three to five rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in medial row not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, considerably closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, not to hardly lower than the body, depth less than twice as great as base length; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $53 / 5$ to slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not or hardly reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, rather much to much less than twice as low as dorsal fin and nearly twice as short, more than twice as high as base length, the simple posterior ray thin, completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained $31 / 2$ to 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part silver; scales on back and flanks each with a transverse violet band at the base; iris yellow or pink; fins with pink rays, membrane pinkish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Lobocheilos lehat Blkr, Enumer. specier. pisc. javan. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XV p. 428 (no Syn.) Lehat, Millang Sundan.
Hab. Java (Parongkalong), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $105^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $124^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
I suspect, that my specimens are of a young age. This species primarily differs from Lobocheilos falcifer by having one longitudinal row of scales less above the lateral line, an undivided lower lip groove, which is separated from the upper lip groove by a bridle, a snout that is more rounded at the convex underside and less flattened and angular, etc. In habitus equal sized specimens of both species show a very large similarity. However, a closer examination clearly shows the less numerous scale rows and the therefore larger scales above the lateral line.

# Lobocheilos? (Lobocheilos?) Hasseltii Blkr. Atl. Cypr. Tab. VIII fig. 1. [Not figured in Atlas Ichthyologique] 


#### Abstract

A Lobocheilos? with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $33 / 4$ times in its length; Head slightly acute, contained about 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained about $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its length; eye diameter contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head; snout convex, longer than the eye, not protruding anterior to the mouth; rostro-dorsal profile slightly concave above the eyes, convex on the nape; upper jaw ending anterior to the eye; maxillary barbels longer than nasal barbels, not or hardly shorter than the eye; lips fleshy; back elevated, hardly more convex than the belly; about 40 scales in the lateral line, about 10 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $4 \frac{1}{2}(5)$ above the lateral line; 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; dorsal fin starting slightly anterior to the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained about $12 / 3$ times in the depth of the body, not or hardly higher than base length, the simple posterior ray very thin, glabrous, shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins 1154 acute, nearly equal in length, contained $6 \frac{1}{2}$ to 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, hardly or not lower than dorsal fin, more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; tail scaled only at base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $41 / 2$ times the length of the body. Colours? D. $3 / 9$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $1 / 8$ or $2 / 8$. A. $2 / 5$ or $3 / 5$. C. $5 / 17 / 5$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, the short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus Hasseltii Blkr, Descr. specier. Pisc. Javan. nov., Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 355. Hab. Java (Sading-wetan), in rivers. Length of described figure $120^{\prime \prime \prime}$.


Remark. I erected this species after a sketch drawing, left by Kuhl and Van Hasselt, as I have not succeeded to obtain specimens of it. I used to place it earlier in the genus Barbus, but nowadays I am not a stranger to the idea that it would rather fit in the genus Lobocheilos. The figure however, does not seem to possess the accurateness that one usually finds in the illustrations left by Van Hasselt.

> Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) lipocheilos Blkr. Tweedradige Lehat [Two barbeled Lehat]

> Atl. Cypr. Tab. VII.

A Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $51 / 3$ to 5 times in its length, width contained twice to nearly twice in its depth. Head slightly convex, rounded, not truncate anteriorly, contained slightly over 5 to $71 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $51 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained about $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its length, width $12 / 3$ to $11 / 2$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained slightly 3 times to slightly over 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once to $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly over once to $21 / 4$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering a large part of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight or slightly convex, very convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, in juveniles much shorter than the eye, in old animals much longer, convex, conical, slightly acute, not truncate, not lobed at the sides, but traversed by a slightly oblique groove, not reaching the tip of the snout, at the tip and above and below the groove covered with numerous conspicuous pores, below the tip with a short, nearly triangular, more or less backward descending surface and there forming a crescent-shaped smooth membrane hiding the upper lip, membrane at the free mar-
gin entire, not papillose or cirrate, not lobed at the sides; anterior suborbital bone oblong, slightly triangular or slightly quadrangular, length much greater than depth, at the tip rounded or more or less truncate, pointing forward, posterior margin obliquely backwards ascending, convex or angular; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length more than twice as great as depth, the anterior part considerably deeper than the posterior part, more than twice to nearly four times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, three times to six times as low as the eye diameter; no nasal barbels, maxillary barbels thin, membraneous at the base, a lot to slightly shorter than the eye; gape slightly inferior, width considerably shorter than the width of the head, closed mouth curved slightly forward in the middle, at the sides strongly curved backwards, open mouth crescent-shaped; entrance to oral cavity internally wide; upper lip fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw, descending to a point below the lower jaw and affixed to the upper surface of the lower lip rather far behind its anterior margin; upper jaw with a slightly crescent-shaped cartilaginous edge, strongly downward protrusable, lower jaw not emarginate 155 or tuberculate at the symphysis, anterior to symphysis broadly cartilaginous-fleshy, very thick, tumid, with a truncate edge; lower lip fleshy, broad, back-folded, anterior margin slightly villose, anterior part broader than posterior part, on both sides lengthened into a rounded lobe; infralabial groove directed versus the margin of the mouth, shorter than the eye, separated from the groove on the opposite site by the very wide isthmus, forked anteriorly, internal branch running between the upper lip and the upper surface of the lower lip, external branch ending in the groove of the upper jaw; width of gill cover contained twice to slightly over twice in its depth, not or hardly smaller than the eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, slightly compressed towards the tip, the chewing surface obliquely truncate, margins not or slightly elevated, not lobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly flat, not ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle and anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and basal half with longitudinal stripes or slightly ray-like stripes, 35 or 36 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three to five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in the medial row hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, considerably closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple


Fig. 30. Lobocheilus (Gobionichthys) lipocheilus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VII, Fig. 2. TL figure 253 mm .
tube not reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, strongly emarginate, lower to hardly higher than the body, depth much less than twice as great as length; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $61 / 3$ to 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, moderately to strongly emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin and twice to nearly twice as short, twice to more than twice as broad as base length, the simple third ray thin, completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe longer, contained 4 to $32 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part silver or pearly; iris yellow or pink; fins with pink rays, membrane pink or violetish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, the short flanking ones included.

Syn. Chondrostoma lipocheilos Val., Poiss. XVII p. 298 tab. 513.
Chondrostome à lèvre épaisse Val., ibidem. Tylognathus lipocheilos Heck., Fisch. Syr. P. 181, 188. Gobio javanicus Blkr, Descr. spec. pisc. javan. nov., Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XII p. 358. Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) javanicus Blkr, Enum. Piscium. Arch. Ind. P. 145. Wadong gunung Mal. Bat., Lehat, Millang Sund.
Hab. Java (Batavia), in rivers.
Length of more than 200 specimens $41^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $265^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I now believe to have found in the species in question the species that was described and depicted by Mr Valenciennes under the name Chondrostomus lipocheilos. It was already noted by Heckel in his Fische Syriens that this species could not be a Chondrostomus. Indeed, Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) lipocheilos has a totally similar lip shape as Lobocheilos falcifer and Lobocheilos Schwanefeldi, however, the most detailed investigations only shows upper jaw [maxillary] barbels to be present and no snout barbels. Already because of this it can be distinguished from lis6 both aforementioned species, but it differs moreover from both these species by its rounded and not truncated snout.

For the rest it is more closely related to Lobocheilos Schwanefeldi than to Lobocheilos falcifer, which has a relatively higher body, head and dorsal fin, but it can be differentiated from Lobocheilos Schwanefeldi, apart from the abovementioned characters, by the absence of a longitudinal band marking, a higher head, a more or less concave ventral opercular edge, etc.

I described this species earlier as a species of Gobio, after very juvenile specimens, of which the largest was not longer than 72 mm [TL]. Since then having given more attention to the large diversity in and the diagnostic value of the shape of the mouth parts, I have come to notice that that shape completely agrees with that of the related species of Lobocheilos, while a detailed comparison with larger specimens I received since then leave no doubt at all concerning this.

I will note here that in the description of Mr Valenciennes it is mentioned that the species has only 20 scales in a longitudinal row. This must be a writing or printing error, as the figure shows about 37 scales in a longitudinal row, which agrees with nature. In contrast the figure depicts the dentition of the species very incorrectly, whereas it is characterised correctly in the description. The shape of the mouth parts in the figure is presented totally insufficiently.

# Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) microcephalus B1kr, Kleinkoppige Lahat [Small headed Lehat]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. VIII fig. 2. 

A Lobocheilos (Gobionichthys) with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 43/4 times in its length, width contained twice in its depth. Head slightly convex, rounded, not truncate anteriorly, contained $53 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $42 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth contained about $11 / 4$ times in its length, width about $12 / 3$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, slightly convex, very convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; snout very fleshy, hardly shorter than the eye, convex, not truncate, not lobed at the sides, but on both sides traversed by an oblique, little conspicuous short groove, snout covered with numerous conspicuous pores anteriorly, no triangular surface below the tip, short membrane covering the upper lip entire at the free margin, not papillose or cirrate, not lobed at the sides; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular or slightly quadrangular, length slightly greater than depth, at the tip rounded, pointing forward, posterior margin nearly vertical, slightly curved; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length more than twice as great as depth, the anterior part slightly deeper than the posterior part, more than three times as low as the eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone slightly broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, many times lower than the eye diameter; no nasal barbels, maxillary barbels thin, less than twice as short 157 as the eye diameter; gape slightly inferior, width very much shorter than width of head, closed mouth curved slightly forward in the middle, at the sides strongly curved backward, open mouth crescent-shaped; entrance to oral cavity internally wide; upper lip fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw, descending to a point below the lower jaw and affixed to the upper surface of the lower lip rather far behind its free margin; upper jaw with a slightly crescent-shaped cartilaginous edge, strongly downward protrusable, lower jaw at the symphysis not emarginate or tuberculate, anterior to the symphysis broadly cartilaginousfleshy, very thick, with a truncate edge, broad; lower lip fleshy, back-folded, anterior margin slightly villose, anterior part broader than posterior part, on both sides lengthened into an obtusely rounded lobe; infralabial groove directed towards the margin of the mouth, shorter than the eye, separated from the groove on the opposite site by the very wide isthmus, forked anteriorly, internal branch running between the upper lip and the upper surface of the lower lip, external branch ending in the groove of the upper jaw; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ times in its depth, not thinner than the eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, slightly compressed towards the tip, the chewing surface obliquely truncate, margins not or slightly elevated, not lobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly flat, not ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the anterior part of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and basal half with longitudinal stripes, slightly ray-like, 34 or 35 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (including the lowest ventral scales) of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line, 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, hardly emarginate, hardly lower than the body, depth very much less than twice as great as length; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, hardly emarginate, considerably lower than but about twice as short as dorsal fin, about twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute,


Fig. 31. Lobocheilus (Gobionichthys) microcephalus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VIII, Fig. 2. TL figure 68 mm .
nearly equal in length, contained about $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink; fins pink or pinkish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$., short flanking ones included.

Syn. Gobio microcephalus Blkr, Desc. spec. pisc. Javan. nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 357.
Wadon gunung Mal. Bat.
Hab. Java (Batavia), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $71^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The species in question is no more a Gobio than Lobocheilos javanicus and belongs to the same genus as this one. Likewise it possesses only the upper jaw barbels and no snout barbels. However it differs by a smaller head and deeper body (in specimens of equal size of both species), by a snout surface which is not bend back to the mouth opening, a relatively broader opercle, etc.

My specimen most probably belongs to a very juvenile age group, making it necessary for a better knowledge of the species that larger specimens are also investigated.

1158 Lobocheilos? (Gobionichthys) hispidus Blkr.
Description according to Valenciennes
[Translated from French]
A Labeo quite similar to the preceding ones (Labeo erythropterus K v. H., Labeo fimbriatus Val.) that has two very short barbels at the mouth corner. The body depth is one fifth of the total length; the head length equals that depth. The obtuse snout is bristled with pointed tubercles; the caudal is much forked; the dorsal is high and pointed; its first ray is fairly rigid and detached; the anal narrow; the ventrals are long. D. 11. A. 6. C. 19. P. 17. V. 9. On a drawing made in Java, I see that the back is blue, and that a band of that colour is running on the middle of the tail and disappears at the level of the chest. The flanks are silvery with a pink tinge, which changes to yellowish on the belly; the fins are yellow. The specimen, one foot long, originates from Buitenzorg. The young naturalists to whom we owe it, were of the opinion to distinguish it as a genus, and named it Lobocheilus."

Syn. Lobocheilus sp. Van Hass., following Val.
Labeo hispidus Val. Poiss. XVI p. 272; Blkr, Enummerat. Pisc. Arch. Indic.
Labeon hérissé Val op. cit.
Remark. The here copied description of Mr Valenciennes absolutely does not allow to decide whether the species is a Labeo or not. I place it under Lobocheilos, primarily
because Van Hasselt himself placed it in this genus. When it indeed has only upper jaw barbels and no snout barbels, it would follow that it also belongs to the subgenus Gobionichthys.

Concerning the acute snout knobs, these are not characteristic. I have observed these in very many Labeonines with visible skin pores. They simply are a calcareous secretion of those pores and therefore very deciduous. They stand in the same relation to the skin pores as the horny jaw sheaths, which in general loosely cover the jaw in Phalacrognathines and are usually so deciduous that a light push or pull is enough to remove them from the jaw.

Rohita Val.,<br>Poiss. XVI p. 184, Heck. Fish. Syr. P. 35 Nachtr. p. 180. Rohita.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales. Jaws bare, not tumid. Barbels 4 or 2, nasal and maxillary barbels, or maxillary barbels only. Snout fleshy, entire, slightly or hardly protruding anterior to the mouth, not lobed at the sides, free margin not papillose or fimbriate. Anterior suborbital bone close to the orbit. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, fimbriate, confluent with lower lip. Gape 159 more or less oval when mouth is open. Upper jaw with a thin crescent-shaped edge. Lower jaw with a thin truncate or rounded edge, symphysis without tubercle. Lower lip back-folded, not papillose or fimbriate, not lobed. Postlabial groove simple on both sides, directed longitudinally towards the margin of the mouth, separated from the groove on the opposite site by the broad isthmus. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to or above anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, obliquely truncate at the masticatory surface.

Subg. Rohita Blkr, Barbels 4, nasal and maxillary barbels.
" Rohitodes Blkr, Barbels 2, maxillary barbels only.
Remark. The genus Rohita comprises all real Labeonines with a lobed snout edge, the anterior suborbital bone resting against the orbit, the lower jaw not thickened and not knobbed at the symphysis, fringed and confluent lips, and a single united posterior lip groove. Defined in this way it is sufficiently distinguishable on the one hand from the related genera Labeo, Rohitichthys, Diplocheilichthys and Morulius, and on the other hand from the equally related genera Abrostomus, Dangila and Barbichthys.

Mr Valenciennes, when erecting the genus Rohita, restricted it to the species with 4 barbels, while he placed many species in which only upper jaw barbels and no snout barbels are present in the genus Labeo, like his Labeo cephalus, Labeo Dussumieri, Labeo Reynauldi, Labeo microlepidotus and Labeo fimbriatus.

Heckel did not restrict the genus Rohita to the species with 4 barbels, but also included species with 2 barbels and even species without barbels. Heckel did not design the genus after nature and therefore it can be explained that both definitions which he gave for Rohita are in many ways insufficient and even inaccurate.

Thus is mentioned in his first diagnosis "Dentes aggregati [aggregated teeth] 3.3.6/6.3.3", which is incorrect - moreover "os inferum" [mouth inferior], which again is incorrect for a number of species, - and at last "maxilla superior carnea, margine fimbriata, sub rostor crasso poroso occulta" [upper jaw fleshy, fringed, hidden under the thick, porous snout]which is a completely erroneous representation, because it is
not the thin cartilaginous upper jaw that is fringed, but indeed the upper lip that is free suspended anterior to it, while moreover in several species the snout has no visible pores. This last incorrect representation is repeated in his later diagnosis in the year 1847.

1160 The genus Rohita is rich in species, however they all belong to South-Asia and the Indian Archipelago. Mr Valenciennes gave the name of one of the Bengalese species (Cyprinus rohita Buch.) to the genus, however, his type species is his Rohita nandina, or Buchanan's Cyprinus nandina, which indeed is a real Rohita, while Cyprinus rohita Buch. belongs to the genus Morulius, because of its far anterior to the eye lying anterior suborbital bone.

Therefore it would have been more proper to give the name Nandina to all those species which are real Rohites and to preserve the name Rohita for Cyprinus rohita Buch. and the species related to it. In order to prevent confusion in the meaning, I have retained the name Rohita for the species to which Mr Valenciennes preferably applied it.

Several South-Asiatic species placed by Mr Valenciennes in Rohita, after the splitting of the genus can no longer be placed in it, but must be included in the genus Morulius. I cannot indicate for all species to which of both genera they belong, as the existing descriptions and figures are not sufficient with regard to the generic characters. However, the four Bengalese species of my collection (Rohita Bachanani Val., Rohita Belangeri Val., Rohita calbosu Val. and Rohita chalybeata Val.) can be placed in Morulius, but they are not the only ones, as I will indicate in more detail in my treatment of Morulius. For the rest, for reasons mentioned above, in the list of the Labeonines several other species have been placed in Rohita, for which a more detailed investigation maybe will show to be species of Morulius.

The species of the Indian archipelago till now placed in Rohita with only one exception indeed belong to it. Only my former Rohita chrysophekalion should be included in Morulius.

These species, after subtraction of the last named, are still 14 in number all belonging to the subgenus Rohita because of their 4 barbels. For a large part they can easily be distinguished from the other known species, however some species are so much related to each other, that a detailed study is necessary to determine the specific differences with certainty. I am of the opinion to have succeeded in this in the following scheme.

1. Barbels 4, nasal and maxillary barbels (Subgen. Rohita).
A. 45 to 53 scales in the lateral line. Barbels well developed, not shorter than the eye. D. 4/17 to $4 / 19$.
$\dagger 10$ or 11 scales above the lateral line. Eyes slightly posterior. P. 1/16 or 1/17. Large, oblong, transverse, black postaxillary spot. Anterior part of the snout without any visible pores.

Rohita (Rohita) melanopleura Blkr.
$161 \dagger^{\prime} 8$ scales above the lateral line. Eyes superior. P. 1/14. A round, black spot on the tail. Anterior part of the snout with 5 conspicuous pores, arranged in a transverse row.
B. 32 to 37 scales in the lateral line. Barbels well developed.
$\dagger$ Anterior part of the snout without conspicuous pores.
Ô $7\left(6^{1 ⁄ 2}\right)$ scales above the lateral line. Anterior suborbital bone irregularly quadrangular.
O Eyes superior.
$\varnothing$ Dark head-tail band. D. 4/12 or 4/13. P. 1/14. 35 or 36 scales in the lateral line. Tail contained about $41 / 2$ times in the length of body between posterior dorsal ray and base of caudal fin.

## Rohita (Rohita) Waandersi Blkr.

$\varnothing^{\prime}$ No head-tail band, but a large black spot enclosing the tail. D. 4/15 or $4 / 16$. 32 to 34 scales in the lateral line. Tail contained about $72 / 5$ times in length of the body between posterior dorsal ray and base of caudal fin.

## Rohita (Rohita) Kappenii Blkr.

O' Eyes posterior
$\varnothing^{\prime} \quad$ Flanks without stripes or bands. D. $4 / 13$ to $4 / 15$. 34 to 36 scales in the lateral line. Tail contained about $51 / 4$ times in length of body between posterior dorsal ray and base of caudal fin.

Rohita (Rohita) Schlegeli Blkr.
Ô $6\left(5^{1 ⁄ 2}\right)$ scales above the lateral line. Eyes superior.
O Posterior part of the flanks with several dark longitudinal bands, anterior part with golden or shiny-green bands. D. $4 / 14$ to $4 / 18.34$ to 37 scales in the lateral line. Anterior suborbital bone irregularly quadrangular.

Rohita (Rohita) Hasseltii Val.

O' Flanks with a single black head-tail band. 34 or 35 scales in the lateral line. P. 1/14. Anterior suborbital bone crescent-shaped.
$\varnothing$ D. $4 / 12$ or $4 / 13$. Depth of head contained $11 / 5$ times in its length. 14 scales in a transverse row.

Rohita (Rohita) microcephalus Val. $\varnothing^{\prime}$ D. $4 / 10$ or $4 / 11$. Depth of head contained $11 / 3$ times in its length. 13 scales in a transverse row.

Rohita (Rohita) brachynotopterus Blkr.
162 O"' Scales $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line.
O Tail with a round black spot. D. $4 / 14$ or $4 / 15$. 33 scales in the lateral line.

## Rohita (Rohita) Kuhli Blkr.

†' Anterior part of the snout with conspicuous pores. Eyes superior. $6\left(5^{1 ⁄ 2}\right)$ scales above the lateral line.
Ô Anterior part of the snout with 2 distant pores. D. $4 / 15$ or $4 / 16$. 35 scales in the lateral line. Suprascapular region with a blue spot.

O' Anterior part of the snout with 3 conspicuous pores, the one in the middle larger than those on the sides. 32 to 34 scales in the lateral line. D. $4 / 10$ to $4 / 14$.
O Anterior part of dorsal fin without black spot. 14 scales in a transverse row. Width of gill cover contained twice to slightly over twice in its depth.

Rohita (Rohita) vittata Val.
O' Anterior part of dorsal fin with a large black spot. 13 scales in a transverse row. Width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth.

Rohita (Rohita) triporos Blkr.
$\mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime}$ Snout with 8 pores arranged in a circle and in addition one central pore larger than the other pores.
O Blackish head-tail band. D. $4 / 12$ or $4 / 13$. Width of gill cover contained $2 / 5$ times in its depth.

## Rohita (Rohita) enneaporos Blkr.

B. 28 to 30 scales in the lateral line. Barbels well developed. Eyes superior.
$\dagger$ Anterior part of the snout with numerous conspicuous pores, no larger central pores. Ô $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ scales above the lateral line. D. $4 / 12$ or $4 / 13$. Tail with a black spot.

Rohita (Rohita) oligolepis Blkr.
Concerning the Subgenus Rohita, I include in it some species from South Asia, which possess the characters of Rohita Val., but no snout barbels, reason why only Mr Valenciennes seems to have placed them in the genus Labeo. However, with regard to these species I have to note, that it is possible, that they belong to Morulius, which cannot be determined till the shape and the position of the suborbital bones of these species has been investigated. In the description of these species of Mr Valenciennes nothing about this has been reported and the only figure that I know if these species (Labeo cephalus Val. tab. 187), and which moreover with regard to the mouth parts is very incorrect, is not illuminating in this respect.

> li63 Rohita (Rohita) melanopleura Blkr, zesde Bijdr. Ichth. Fauna Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 430. Zwartvlekkige Rohita [Black spotted Rohita]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XIII.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $33 / 5$ to $31 / 4$ times in its length, width contained $2^{1 / 4}$ to $2^{1 / 3}$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, not convex, the lower anterior part obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, contained $4 \frac{1}{3}$ to $5^{1 / 5}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, slightly over 3 to nearly 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained slightly over once in its length, width contained about $11 / 2$ times in its length; eyes slightly superior, eye diameter contained 5 to slightly over 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once to $11 / 2$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ times to slightly over twice their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on the head, convex or nearly straight, strongly convex on nape and back, interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils tubular; small pores on both sides between the nostrils and
the superior angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, conspicuous; snout convex, flat, not or hardly protruding anterior to the mouth, in juveniles shorter than the eye, in old animals much longer than the eye, smooth everywhere, no larger or smaller visible pores; anterior suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, length about twice as great as depth, rounded at the angles; other suborbital bones very low, many times as thin as the eye diameter; suborbital pores small, conspicuous, placed in one longitudinal row; barbels fleshy, nasal barbels not longer to much longer than the eye, generally shorter than the upper jaw barbels; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, reminding of an horse shoe, not emarginated at the symphysis, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, entire, hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin covered with numerous, many-rowed, short, cirriform papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoonshaped; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, free margin covered with numerous, many-rowed, short, cirriform papillae, grooves at lower and front side separated by the wide isthmus; chin obliquely truncate because of strongly ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening vertical, ending below the suboperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated $2.4 .5 / 5.4 .2$ or $3.3 .5 / 5.3 .3$, partly elevated on the chewing surface; teeth in anterior row traversed by longitudinal top groove rostrally; scapula short, obtusely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body; free half and basal half with longitudinal stripes, slightly ray-like, 45 to 53 scales in the lateral line, 20 or 21 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which 10 or 11 above the lateral line, 17 to 21 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in 5 longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, those in the medial row not smaller than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not or only slightly closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending above anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length greater than depth; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained slightly over 5 to slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not or hardly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not to hardly reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a low scaled sheath, acute, slightly or not emarginate, slightly lower than dorsal fin but more than twice to more than three times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe generally longer than lower lobe, contained $3^{2 / 3}$ to 4 times in the length of the body.


Fig. 32. Rohita (Rohita) melanopleura Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XIII. TL figure 314 mm .

Colour: upper part of the body olive, fainter at the flanks, lower part silver, back and flanks 164 frequently variegated with fainter spots; iris yellow or pink; barbels flesh or slightly olive-dark; postscapular region with an oblong, transverse, large black spot; scales on black and flanks each with a deeper colour on the base then on the free margin; fins pink or violetish, frequently with dense dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 17$ or $4 / 18$ or $4 / 19$. P. $1 / 16$ or $1 / 17$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $5 / 17 / 5$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, the short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Bandjermasin, Kahajan, Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 18 specimens $75^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $320^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I discovered this species in the year 1852 and described it then after three specimens from Sumatra and Borneo. Since then my cabinet has been enriched with numerous specimens of the same islands. From a sketchbook of Siamese fishes given to me for inspection, made by Count Francis De Castelnau, I perceive, that the species is also found in Siam where it occurs in the river Meinam, near the capital Bangkok. Rohita melanopleura is easy recognizable by the formula of its scales and fin rays, by the posterior to the mouth slit placed eyes, its long barbels, smooth snout and black spot behind the axils. The number of scales in a longitudinal row shows more variation than the remaining species of Rohita known to me. However, in none of my 18 investigated specimens the number is lower than 45 or more than 53 , whereas the number of longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line only varies between 10 and 11.

In the younger specimens the colour of the body is more uniform than in the older ones, in which the flanks are irregularly marked with light yellowish spots.

Rohita (Rohita) borneënsis Blkr,<br>Act. Soc. Scient. Ind. Neërl. I, Tiende Bijdrage ichthyol. fauna van Borneo p. 17. Borneosche Rohita [Borean Rohita].<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. VIII fig. 5.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 4 times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, the lower anterior part obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, contained nearly 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, about $33 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained about $11 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about $13 / 4$ times in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $11 / 3$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight, very convex on nape and back; interorbital line slightly convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, conspicuous; snout fleshy, slightly flat, convex, hardly protruding in front of the mouth, slightly shorter than the eye, on the tip 5 conspicuous pores in a transverse, curved row, pore in the middle conspicuously larger than the others, 2 external pores much smaller than the others; several small, little conspicuous suborbital pores in one longitudinal row; anterior suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, rounded at the angles, length less than twice as great as depth; other suborbital bones very low, many times as narrow as eye diameter; barbels 165 fleshy, tapering, maxillary barbels not much longer than nasal barbels, hardly or not longer than the eye; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of an horse shoe; at the symphysis lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin covered with numerous, many-rowed, short, conical, slightly obtuse papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, free margin with numerous,


Fig. 33. Rohita (Rohita) borneënsis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VIII, Fig 5. TL figure 76 mm .
many-rowed, short, conical papillae, papillae in outer row acute, slightly thread-like; grooves at lower and front side separated by the wide isthmus; chin obliquely truncate because of the strongly ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the anterior part of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with the chewing surface obliquely truncate or obliquely convex, margins elevated, particularly in anterior row unequally bilobed, rostral part of teeth in anterior row deeply grooved for the top half; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, hardly larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and basal half with hardly visible longitudinal stripes or without stripes, about 46 in a longitudinal row, 17 or 18 in a transverse series, of which 8 above the lateral line, 14 to 15 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in 3 longitudinal rows, those in the medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, hardly or not closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending above the beginning of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length very much greater than depth, length contained about $3^{1 ⁄ 2} 2$ times in the length of the body, depth contained $12 / 5$ times to $11 / 2$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, not emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin, but more than three times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes slightly acute, upper lobe slightly longer than lower lobe, contained slightly over 5 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body bluish-green, lower part silver; iris yellowish or pink, back and flanks with a longitudinal darkish-violet band on each row of scales; tail with a roundish, bluish-violet band in the lateral line close to the base of the caudal fin; fins pink, particularly dorsal and anal fin with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 17$ or $4 / 18$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Borneo (Pontianak), in the river.
Length of sole specimen $80^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. A more detailed investigation of this species has made me perceive that it belongs to the group of the genus with large visible snout pores, pores that I had not noticed in my earlier description although based on the same specimen. Already therefore it differs from Rohita melanopleura Blkr, to which it is related because of the number of scales in a longitudinal row and by the number of fin rays, - moreover it can be distinguished by several other characters from Rohita melanopleura, e.g. by the presence of only 8 longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line, the completely superior
eyes, the absence of a black spot behind the axils, and the presence, on the contrary, of a round black spot in the lateral line at the caudal fin base, shorter barbels, etc.
1166 Earlier this species was only known to me from Pontianak, from where I received my single specimen, however the above mentioned sketch book of the Count de Castelnau teaches me that it also lives in Siam, near Bangkok.

Rohita (Rohita) Waandersi Blkr,<br>Nieuwe Bijdr. ichth. kenn. V. Banka, Nat T. Ned. Ind. P. 733. -<br>Waandersche Rohita [Waanders' Rohita].<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. IX fig. 2.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about 4 times in its length, width contained slightly over 2 times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, slightly convex, the lower anterior part slightly obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, contained about $53 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $42 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained about $41 / 6$ times in its length, width about $12 / 5$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained about $33 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ to $12 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes nearly twice their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on the head, nearly straight or slightly convex, convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, placed in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, nearly flat, convex, longer than the eye, hardly protruding in front of the mouth, no larger or smaller visible pores; anterior suborbital bone oblong-irregularly quadrangular, the posterior part higher than the anterior part; length less than twice as great as depth; other suborbital bones low, four times to many times as narrow as eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, tapering, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, not or hardly longer than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe; at the symphysis lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to upper jaw, internal margin with obliquely transverse grooves, free margin with many-rowed, short, conical, obtuse papillae; lower jaw flat, anterior margin truncate; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, internal margin with obliquely transverse grooves, free margin with many-rowed, conical, obtuse, short papillae; grooves at lower front side separated by the wide isthmus; chin slightly obliquely truncate because of the ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained nearly twice in its depth, not narrower than eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with little visible, slightly elevated margins on the obliquely truncate chewing surface, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and generally also basal half with longitudinal stripes, slightly ray-like, 35 or 36 scales in the lateral line, 15 in a transverse row, of which $6^{1 / 2}(7)$ above the lateral line, about 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, those in the middle grow gradually increasing in size posteriorly, larger than scales in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, hardly closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending rather far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, hardly emarginate, length not much greater than depth, length contained about $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body, depth contained about $12 / 5$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained nearly $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins acute, contained about 1677 times in the length of the body, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, not emarginate,


Fig. 34. Rohita (Rohita) Waandersi Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. IX, Fig 2. TL figure 193 mm .
considerably lower than the dorsal fin and about 3 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink, darkish-violet head-tail band thinner on the anterior part of the body than on the tail, maximally conspicuous, the posterior part lengthened as far as the tips of the middle rays of the caudal fin; fins beautiful pink or red.
B. 3. D. $4 / 12$ or $4 / 13$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $5 / 17 / 5$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, the short flanking ones included.

Hab. Banka (Toboali), in the river.
Length of sole specimen 198"'.
Remark. Rohita waandersi, so named in honour of Mr H.L. Van Bloemen Waanders, to whom we owe its acquaintance, belongs to the species with a longitudinal brown or blackish body band, to which also belong Rohita vittata Val, Rohita enneapora Blkr, Rohita microcephalus Val. and Rohita brachynotopterus Blkr, all of them species from the Sunda Islands. It cannot be confused with any of them. From Rohita enneapora and Rohita vittata it can be distinguished already by the fact that its snout is entirely smooth and has no visible pores. However, from all four mentioned species it distinguishes itself by having one longitudinal scale row more above the lateral line, those rows numbering $7\left(6^{1} / 2\right)$, whereas in all other species only $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ are found. As far as the species of my collection are concerned Rohita waandersi has this character only in common with Rohita Kappeni Blkr, a species which for the rest misses the longitudinal band, however on the contrary is remarkable by a very large black spot which comprises the entire caudal fin in vertical direction.

For the rest Rohita Waandersi is most closely related to Rohita microcephalus Val., both in habitus as in fin shape. Apart from the difference between them in the formula of the scales, I perceive still another in the shape of the anteriormost suborbital bone, which in Rohita waandersi is irregular rectangular, whereas in Rohita microcephalus it has a crescent-like shape. Moreover in Rohita microcephalus the opercle is relatively narrow, the pharyngeal teeth are not lobed and those of the anterior row are not grooved, etc.

Till now the species is only known from Banka.

Rohita (Rohita) Kappeni Blkr,<br>Act. Soc. Scient. Ind. Neerl. II Tiende Bijdr. Ichthyol. Fauna van Borneo p. 19 Van Kappensche Rohita [Van Kappen's Rohita]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XII fig. 1.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $3^{1 / 2}$ times in its length, width contained about $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, the lower anterior part slightly obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, contained nearly 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, about $4 \frac{1}{4}$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained hardly more than once in its length, width about $11 / 2$ to $13 / 5$ times; eyes slightly superior, eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $11 / 2$ times their diameter, palpebral 168 membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on head and crown, nearly straight, very convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular; small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, placed in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, slightly flat, convex, hardly protruding in front of the mouth, not or hardly shorter than the eye, smooth everywhere, no smaller or larger visible pores; anterior suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, rounded at the angles, length less than twice as great as depth; other suborbital bones low, many times as narrow as the eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, maxillary barbels slightly shorter than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe, not emarginate at the symphysis, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, free margin covered with numerous many-rowed, conical, acute, short papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, free margin with numerous many-rowed, conical, acute, short papillae; grooves at the lower and front side separated by the wide isthmus; chin lightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin slightly concave; gill opening ending below the anterior part of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate or obliquely convex chewing surface, margins elevated, particularly teeth in anterior row unequally bilobed, teeth in the anterior row with a broad groove rostrally side of the top half; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, basal half with some longitudinal stripes, 32 to 34 scales in the lateral line, $141 / 2$ (15) in a transverse series, of which $61 / 2(7)$ above the lateral line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput/crown and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, those in the medial row not or hardly larger than scales in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending above the beginning of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length much greater than depth, length contained about $33 / 5$ times in the length of the body, depth contained about $11 / 3$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained nearly 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, not or hardly emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin but about 3 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe slightly longer than lower lobes, contained about $33 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink, each scale on back and flanks with a violetish, transverse stripe or crescent-shaped band at the base; many scales on the anterior part of the flanks with a shiny green droplet; tail without longitudinal bands but with a large black spot enclos-


Fig. 35. Rohita (Rohita) Kappeni Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XII, Fig 1. TL figure 117 mm.
ing nearly the whole tail close to the base of the caudal fin and with a wide orange border; dorsal and anal fin pink, more or less with dark speckles; small darkish-violet spot on the roots of each of the rays of the dorsal fin, ventral, pectoral and caudal fin yellowish, orange or pink.
B. 3. D. $4 / 15$ or $4 / 16$. P. $1 / 13$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Borneo (Pontianak), in the river.
Length of sole specimen $120^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The Rohita in question in relationship stands between Rohita Hasseltii Val. and Rohita Schlegeli Blkr. It differs from Rohita Hasseltii by having one row of scales 169 more above the lateral line, shorter barbels, a higher, more thick-set body (mainly caused by a shorter tail, which, measured from the last dorsal fin ray till the basis of the caudal fin, goes $73 / 5$ times in the length of the body, whereas the tail in specimens of Rohita Hasseltii and Rohita Schlegeli, measured in the same way, go only about $51 / 4$ times in the length of the body.), a higher and more acute dorsal fin, the absence of longitudinal tail bands and the larger black spot which almost totally encompasses the tail.

From Rohita Schlegeli on the contrary it differs except by a shorter tail, by a less acute profile, smaller head, one row of scales less under the lateral line, higher in the head (almost superior) placed eyes, dorsal fin ending above the anal fin, larger black tail spot, etc.

The differences between the three species in question are all very well visible when one compares specimens of the same size, however some of them are less apparent when the specimens differ considerably in size, as the body shape and the length and depth of the head and dorsal fin within certain confines, vary with the age of the specimens.

Rohita (Rohita) Schlegeli Blkr, Vijfde Bijdr. Ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. II p. 423, Negende Bijdr. Ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 426. Schlegel's Rohita.<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. XV fig. 3.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $31 / 2$ times in its length, width contained 2 to $21 / 2$ times in its depth. Head acute, the lower anterior part moderately obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, contained $42 / 5$ to nearly 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{11 / 2}$ to $41 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 4$ times to slightly over once in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to $12 / 5$ times in its length; eyes posterior, eye diameter contained $2^{2 / 3}$ to $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $11 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to slightly more than twice their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile in younger animals slightly concave on forehead and crown, in old animals nearly straight, strongly convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, placed in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, flat, convex, hardly protruding in front of the mouth, in juveniles shorter than the eye, in old animals not shorter than the eye, smooth everywhere, without larger or smaller visible pores; anterior suborbital bone oblong, irregularly quadrangular, generally rounded at the angles; length less than twice as great as depth; other suborbital bones low, many times as narrow as eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, considerably shorter than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous, slightly reminding of a horse shoe; not emarginate at the symphysis, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, free margin covered with numerous manyrowed, conical, acute, short papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped; lower lip very fleshy, backfolded, free margin with numerous many-rowed, conical, acute, short papillae; grooves 170 at lower anterior side separated by the wide isthmus; chin obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to 2 times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the anterior part of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each tooth in the posterior rows obliquely truncate at the chewing surface, teeth in the anterior row with an irregular chewing surface, with an elevated margin, bilobed, top half with a broad groove rostrally; scapula obtuse, rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, basal half with hardly any longitudinal strips or none at all, 34 to 36 scales in the lateral line, $15^{1 / 2}(16)$ in a transverse row, of which $6^{1 / 2}(7)$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending above the beginning of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length not much greater to not greater than depth, length contained $43 / 4$ to slightly over 4 times in the length of the body, depth contained $11 / 6$ to $12 / 5$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral fins acute or slightly acute, not or hardly reaching the ventral fins, contained 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, ventral fins acute, not or hardly reaching the anal fin, contained $53 / 4$ to $51 / 3$ times in the length of the body; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, slightly to not emarginate, not to considerably lower than dorsal fin but more than twice to 3 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 4$ to more than 4 times in the length of the body, upper lobe slightly longer than lower lobe. Colour: upper part of the body golden-green or olive, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink, every scale on the back and the


Fig. 36. Rohita (Rohita) Schlegeli Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XV, Fig 3. TL figure 193 mm .
flanks with a transverse, violetish band at the base; fins pink or red, more or less with dark speckles, anterior part of dorsal fin often with a very large diffuse blackish-violet spot.
B. 3. D. $4 / 13$ to $4 / 15$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Aralim or Aralim Palemb.
Hab. Sumatra (Meninju, Palembang, Lahat), in rivers and lakes. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Prabukarta, Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 12 specimens $71^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $258^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Closely related to Rohita Hasseltii Val., the species in question differs steadily from it by a few rows of scales more on a transverse row in general, and by one longitudinal scale row more above the lateral line. It is moreover recognizable by lower placed eyes, a more acute profile, a higher and shorter dorsal fin, etc. The entirely behind and not (as in Rohita Hasseltii) higher than the mouth slit placed eyes, give the head a physiognomy of its own, by which the species is distinguishable from Rohita Hasseltii in one glance. Just like Rohita Waandersi and Rohita Kappenii it has 7 ( $6^{1 / 2}$ ) longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line, however it differs from both of them by its posteriorly placed eyes, the absence of a longitudinal body band or tail spot, and moreover it has the tail longer than Rohita Kappenii but shorter than Rohita Waandersi.

From the above several times mentioned sketch book of Count de Castelnau I perceive that Rohita Schlegeli also lives in Siam.

[171] Rohia (Rohita) Hasseltii Val.,<br>Poiss XVI p. 209; Blkr, Zevende Bijdr. Ichthyol. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 450. Van Hasselt's Rohita.<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. XIV.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $32 / 5$ times in its length, width contained 3 to 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, the lower anterior part slightly obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, contained 5 to 7 times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{3} / 4$ to slightly over 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times to once in its length, width $13 / 5$ to $11 / 3$ times; eyes slightly superior, eye diameter contained nearly 3 to 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $12 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $2 / 3$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile nearly straight or slightly concave on forehead and crown, very convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, placed in one longitudinal row, conspicuous; snout fleshy, flat, convex, hardly protruding in front of the mouth, in juveniles shorter than the eye, in old animals longer than the eye, smooth everywhere, without larger or smaller visible pores; anterior suborbital bone oblong, irregularly quadrangular; length less than twice as great as depth; other suborbital bones low, many times to three times as narrow as eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, not to slightly longer than the eye; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe; not emarginate at the symphysis, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, free margin covered with numerous many-rowed, conical, acute, short papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, free margin with numerous manyrowed, conical, acute, short papillae; grooves at lower anterior side separated by the wide isthmus; chin obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to 2 times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the anterior part of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface, teeth in anterior row with an elevated margin, lobed and with a broad groove at the front of the top half; scapula triangular, slightly obtusely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, rounded or very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free and basal half with longitudinal stripes, slightly ray-like, 34 to 37 scales in the lateral line, $13^{1 ⁄ 2}$ ( 14 ) in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5^{1 / 2}(6)$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in the medial row hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending slightly anterior to or above the beginning of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length not much greater than to more than twice as great as depth, length contained $4^{3 / 5}$ to $3^{11 / 4}$ times in the length of the body, depth contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to 2 times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute to slightly obtusely rounded, nearly equally long, contained $53 / 4$ to 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, slightly convex to hardly emarginate, slightly to not lower than dorsal fin but much more than twice to nearly 4 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe generally longer than lower lobe, contained $32 / 3$ to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, olive or blackish-green, lower part faintly green or silver- 172 pearly; iris yellowish or faintly pink, suprascapular spot generally violet-green or blackish; anterior part of the flanks with a droplet or a crescent-shaped golden, or red or shiny green spot on each scale, posterior part in younger animals nearly always, in old animals more


Fig. 37. Rohita (Rohita) Hasseltii Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XIV, Fig. 1. TL figure 270 mm .
rarely with several longitudinal darkish bands, generally composed of spots (one on each scale); tail in younger animals with a large blackish spot in the lateral line close to the base of the caudal fin; fins greenish-hyaline or pink or violet-black.
B. 3. D. $4 / 14$ to $4 / 18$. P. $1 / 13$ to $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Rohita de Hasselt Val., Poiss. XVI p. 209.
Rohita leiorhynchos Blkr. Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Icht. M. O. Java p. 19.
Rohita Artedii Blkr, Vijfde Bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. I p. 434.
Millem Mal. Batav. Lehat, Mangut, Regis, Nillem Sundan.
Palon Lampong., Palouw Benkul.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Perdana, Krawang, Tjikao, Tjampea, Kuningan, Lelles, Ngawi, Surabaya, Gempol), in rivers.
Sumatra (Telokbetong, Pangabuang, Palembang, Padang, Solok, Meninju), in rivers and lakes.
Borneo (Pengaron, Bandjermasin, Pontianak, Bankajang, Sambas), in rivers.
Length of 78 specimens $60^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $320^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. The numerous specimens of this species in my possession, offer rather remarkable differences regarding the relative depth of the body, the depth and length of the fins (especially of the dorsal fin), and the colouration of body and fins. In many specimens the spots on the anterior part of the flanks are brilliantly red, in many others shining green, however, both the red and the green spots disappear completely during the preservation in sprit of wine.

The species is very common in Batavia. It belongs, just like Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides Blkr, Systomus (Barbodes) rubripinnis Blkr, Rasbora argyrotaenia Blkr. and Hampala macrolepidota V. Hass. to the Batavian Cyprinoids that are caught daily with dip-nets in the Tjiliwong. However, because of the surplus of more palatable sea fish, none of the Cyprinoids in Batavia are consumed by Europeans, and usually the catch is only made by the natives for their own use. Once in a while, larger quantities of this species are placed on the market in Batavia by fishermen from the Krawang country, where now and then large schools are caught in the mouth of the Tjitarum river.

A comparison of the specimens which I earlier described under the names Rohita leiorhynchos and Rohita Artedii, with numerous specimens of the same size of Rohita Hasseltii, has given me the conviction that no specific value can be attached the differences earlier indicated by me, so that both these species, being only nominal, should be removed from the registers.

Rohita Hasseltii is easily and at a first glance recognizable, when they still posses their natural coloration. However, also without this it can be recognized by the smooth snout, 34 to 37 scales in the lateral line, $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line, an irregular square shaped anterior 1773 suborbital bone, well developed barbels, 14 to 18 branched dorsal fin rays (D. $4 / 14$ to $4 / 18$ ) and superior eyes.

Rohita (Rohita) microcephalus Val., Poiss XVI p. 210?;Kleinkoppige Rohita [Small headed Rohita]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XI fig. 1.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 2$ to slightly over 4 times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head slightly obtusely convex, the lower part not or hardly obliquely truncate when the mouth is closed, contained $52 / 3$ to nearly 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $41 / 3$ to $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 5$ to $11 / 6$ times in its length, width contained about $11 / 2$ times in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 to about $3^{11 / 4}$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to about $1^{2 / 3}$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile nearly straight on all of the head, convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, pores between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover small, conspicuous, placed in one longitudinal row; snout fleshy, strongly convex, obtuse, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, longer than the eye, smooth everywhere, without larger or smaller visible pores; anterior suborbital bone slightly crescent-shaped, with the convexity pointing backward, length not much greater than depth; other suborbital bones low, three to four times as narrow as eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, hardly shorter than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe; at the symphysis lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, internal margin with transverse internal grooves, free margin covered with many-rowed, conical, short, obtuse papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped, anterior margin slightly truncate; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, internal margin with transverse grooves all over, free margin with many-rowed, conical, acute papillae, grooves at lower front side separated by the wide isthmus; chin hardly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained slightly over 2 times in its depth, considerably thinner than the eye diameter, lower margin slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate or obliquely convex chewing surface, margins elevated, teeth particularly in anterior row unequally bilobed; teeth in the anterior row rostrally side of the top half run through with a broad longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, rounded, not ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free and basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, 34 scales in the lateral line, $13^{1 / 2}(14)$ in a transverse row, of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row not larger than scales in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the


Fig. 38. Rohita (Rohita) microcephalus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XI, Fig. 1. TL figure 132 mm .
ventral fins than to the dorsal line, marked on every scale by a simple tube not reaching or hardly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length slightly greater than depth, length contained about $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body, depth contained about $11 / 5$ in the depth of the body; pectoral fins slightly acutely rounded, contained 6 to about $6^{2 / 5}$ times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins slightly acutely or slightly obtusely rounded, contained $61 / 2$ to nearly 7 times in the length of the body, not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, not or hardly emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin but about 3 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe hardly longer than lower lobe, 174 contained $41 / 4$ to about $41 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris golden or yellow, blackish-violet eye-tail band posteriorly broader and more conspicuous than anteriorly, ending at the base of the caudal fin; fins pink, dorsal fin with a small darkish spot at the base of each ray.
B. 3. D. $4 / 12$ or $4 / 13$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones on the sides included.

Syn. Rohita Waandersii Blkr, Enum. Spec. pisc. Javan. Nat. T. Ned. ind. XVI p. 427 ( not Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 733).
Hab. Java (Tjikao), in rivers.
Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 4 specimens $84^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $140^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. It seems probable to me that the species in question is the same as the one that was described from dried specimens in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons under the name Rohita microcephalus.

The blunt smooth snout, the small opercle, the high, short dorsal fin and the formula of the scales agree almost entirely with what is said concerning this of Rohita microcephalus, whereas the differences that the referred description offers with my specimen, cannot be considered to be more than individual variation or can be ascribed to the being less well preserved of the dry specimens observed by Mr Valenciennes. The description of Mr Valenciennes for that matter runs as follows

# Rohita microcephalus, Rohite à petite tête Val., Poiss. XVI p. 210 

[Translated from French]
"I believe I still have to place following the proceeding (Rohita Hasseltii Val.) this fish, which it resembles in habitus, but the head of which is much smaller, the dorsal fin much higher and scythe-shaped and also shorter. The body depth is one fourth of the body length. The head goes $12 / 3$ times in the body depth, the forehead is short, large and rounded, the snout seems obtuse, but without large and distinct pores, it seems rather smooth. The upper lip is extended over the lower lip, which is straight, thin and bevelled. There are four short barbels on the upper lip, of which two are placed on the corner of the mouth. The eye has an average size, the anteriormost suborbital bone is triangular and covers the whole tip of the snout. The preorbital is large, it descends until the bottom of the cheek; the opercle is small. The dorsal fin originates at one third of the total length, and is shaped like a scythe. The first ray is larger than the fin, and three times as long as the last ray. The ventral fins are large and pointed. The anal fin is high and slightly scythe-shaped. The caudal is forked, with the dorsal lobe longer than the ventral one. D. 13. A. 7. C.19. P. 13, V. 9. - The scales are of an average size, and striped: there are 33 in a longitudinal row, and 10 in a transverse row. The lateral line is straight on the middle of the 175 body. The colour seems to be olive-green, with brown spots on the basis of each scale. The fins are whitish without any spots. Hab. Bantam, in rivers. - Length of the described specimen 7 Parisian inches."

I note here, that the large single dorsal fin ray, and ventral fin ray in my specimen, by a bending that carries the signs of a retarded development, so that it does not expresses the normal height of the dorsal fin nor the normal length of the ventral fins, and that the species in a normal state in this regard will answer more to the description of Mr Valenciennes.

Earlier I took my specimen, after a superficial investigation for a half-grown specimen of Rohita Waandersi Blkr. and mentioned it also erroneously under this name in my Enumeratio specierum piscum Javanensium, included in the $15^{\text {th }}$ Volume of the Natuurkundig Tijdschrift van Nederlandsch Indië. - Rohita Waandersi however definitely is a different species, with less high and less posterior on the head placed eyes, a less convex snout, a broader opercle, a sharper delineated dark body band that extends till the posterior margin of the caudal fin, other details in the shape of the pharyngeal bones, one or two scales more in the lateral line, one longitudinal scale row more above the lateral line, etc.

Rohita brachynotopterus is equally related to the species in question, but is more easily distinguishable from it by its much less convex snout and lower placed eyes, one row of scales less under the lateral line, two rays less in the dorsal fin, etc.

> Rohita (Rohita) brachynotopterus Blkr, Nalez. op de vischfauna van Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 266. Kortvinnige Rohita [Short-finned Rohita] Atl. Cypr. Tab. VIII fig. 6 .

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $43 / 5$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acutely, slightly convex, the lower anterior part lightly obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, contained about $51 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, slightly over 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained about $11 / 3$ times in its length, width about $12 / 3$ times; eyes slightly superior, eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about once in the postocular part
of the head, distance between the eyes about $11 / 2$ times the eye diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile slightly convex on the head, convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, no visible pores between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover; snout fleshy, convex, hardly protruding in front of the mouth, not shorter than the eye, smooth everywhere, without larger or smaller visible pores; anterior suborbital bone slightly crescent-shaped, with the convexity pointing backward; other suborbital bones low, three times to more than three times as narrow as the eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, nasal barbels much shorter than the eye, maxillary barbels slightly longer than the eye; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, reminding of a horse shoe, at the symphysis 176 lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, internal margin with transverse grooves, free margin with short, conical many-rowed papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, free margin with many-rowed, short, conical papillae, grooves at lower front side separated by the broad isthmus; chin obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw, slightly concave; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, hardly narrower than the eye diameter, lower margin slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with a thin chewing surface with irregular, elevated margins, teeth in anterior row compressed for the top half, at the front side traversed by a very conspicuous longitudinal sulcus; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free and basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, 34 or 35 scales in the lateral line, $12^{1 / 2}$ (13) in a transverse row, of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line, about 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, slightly closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length only slightly greater than depth, length contained about $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body, depth contained slightly over once in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained slightly over 6 times to $6^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, not or hardly emarginate, slightly lower than dorsal fin but about 3 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained about $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink, diffuse dark-violet snout-eye-tail band; fins


Fig. 39. Rohita (Rohita) brachynotopterus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VIII, Fig. 6. TL figure 86 mm .
beautiful pink or red, dorsal and anal membrane with dark speckles, dorsal fin at the base with a small, round blackish-dark spot anterior to each ray.
B. 3. D. $4 / 10$ or $4 / 11$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Lahat), in the river.
Length of sole specimen $90^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The Rohita in question can be recognized by its small number of dorsal fin rays, its smooth snout, its slender body, its formula of the scale rows and peculiarities in the shape of the pharyngeal jaws.

Concerning its short dorsal fin it is related to Rohita chagunio Val., which according to Mr Valenciennes however has only 12 dorsal fin rays (read $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$ ). This Rohita moreover has two more pectoral fin rays, the outline of the belly almost straight, and a band-like series of black spots on the back, whereas the eye-tail band is lacking.

With regard to the archipelagic species, Rohita brachynotopterus in relationship stands between Rohita Waandersi Blkr. and Rohita microcephalus Val., however it has two dorsal fin rays less than both these species, a scale row formula differing from both species, and other peculiarities in the dentition, whereas it can be separated from each of both species separately by other characters.
177 Amongst my juvenile specimens of Rohita vittata Val. there are some in which the for this species common three large snout pores are lacking and the dorsal fin has the same number of rays as Rohita brachynotopterus. Both species still can be separated from each other as in Rohita vittata the head and the body are remarkably deeper and one longitudinal scale row more is present, not above but below the lateral line.

Rohita (Rohita) Kuhli Blkr. Kuhl's Rohita<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. XII fig. 3.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $33 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about $2 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, the lower anterior part moderately obliquely truncate when the mouth is closed, contained about 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, about $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained hardly more than once in its length, width contained about $12 / 5$ times in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $14 / 5$ times the eye diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping downward in a nearly straight line on forehead and crown, strongly convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small conspicuous pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover in one longitudinal row; snout fleshy, nearly flat, convex, hardly protruding in front of the mouth, not shorter than the eye, smooth everywhere, without larger or smaller visible pores; anterior suborbital bone oblong, irregularly quadrangular, length less than twice as great as depth, more or less rounded at the angles; other suborbital bones low, many times to four times as narrow as the eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, hardly longer than the eye; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, reminding slightly of a horse shoe; at the symphysis lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, free margin with numerous, conical, short, slightly obtuse, many-rowed papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, free margin with numerous many-rowed, conical, short, acute papillae, grooves at lower


Fig. 40. Rohita (Rohita) Kuhli Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XII, Fig. 3. TL figure 152 mm .
front side separated by the broad isthmus; chin obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate or obliquely convex chewing surface, margins elevated, teeth particularly in anterior row unequally one- to two-lobed, teeth in anterior row at the front side of the top half with broad grooves; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, conspicuously larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free and basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, 33 scales in the lateral line, $11 \frac{1}{2}(12)$ in a transverse row of which $41 / 2(5)$ above the lateral line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between the occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downwards only anteriorly; not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending hardly anterior to or above the beginning of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length much greater than depth, length contained nearly 4 times in the length of the body, depth contained about $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute or acutely rounded, nearly equal in length, contained 178 slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, not or hardly emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin but about three times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained about $34 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part silver; iris yellowish or pink; scales on the back and flanks each at the base olive-violetish; tail without longitudinal bands but with a large black diffuse roundish spot in the lateral line near the base of the caudal fin; fins pink, with more or less dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 14$ or $4 / 15$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in the river.
Length of sole specimen $160^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. I dedicate this species to the commemoration of H. Kuhl, for whom only time to live was lacking to be ranked amongst the foremost zoologists of the present
time. The species is closely related to Rohita Hasseltii, which gave me the idea to name it after Kuhl, as a name that is only seldom pronounced without that of Van Hasselt. This large relationship earlier made me fail to notice its characters, the reason why I preserved it for a long time with my specimens of Rohita Hasseltii.

However it surely is a proper species. Comparing it with similar sized specimens of Rohita Hasseltii, one already perceives that it has a deeper body and head, and that the dorsal fin is shorter, but higher and more acute, however the real characters lie in the squamation. The scales are relatively larger and placed in only 12 transverse rows, of which $41 / 2$ to 5 above the lateral line, whereas Rohita Hasseltii has 14 transverse rows of which $51 / 2$ to 6 above the lateral line. My specimens of Rohita Hasseltii moreover generally have 36 or 37 scales in the lateral line and exceptionally only 34 , which however is still one scale more than Rohita Kuhli.

Apart from Rohita Kuhli I posses still another Rohita with only 5 ( $4^{1 ⁄ 2}$ ) scale rows above the lateral line, i. e. Rohita oligolepis Blkr. However this species differs from it in several ways, by a with visible pores covered snout, only 28-30 scales in the lateral line, one to two rays less in the dorsal fin, etc.

Rohita (Rohita) vittata Val.,<br>Poiss XVI p. 203: Blkr, Zevende Bijdr. Ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 451. Gebande Rohita [Banded Rohita].<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. XII fig. 2.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $43 / 4$ to 4 times in its length, width contained 2 to $2^{1 ⁄ / 4}$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute or slightly obtuse, the lower anterior part slightly obliquely truncate when the mouth is closed, head contained $51 / 3$ to 7 times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $51 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 8$ times in its length, width $13 / 5$ to $12 / 5$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained 3 to 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to 2 times the eye diameter, 179 palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, slightly convex, convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, slightly flat, strongly convex, slightly protruding in front of the mouth, in younger animals not longer than the eye, in old animals much longer than the eye, at the tip in older fishes always, in younger fishes generally with 3 large, distant pores placed in a transverse row, central pore generally much larger than those on the sides, no smaller surrounding visible pores; anterior suborbital bone irregularly oblong-quadrangular, more or less rounded at the angles, length less than twice as great as depth; other suborbital bones low, many times to less than 3 times as narrow as the eye diameter, suborbital pores in one longitudinal row slightly or not visible; barbels fleshy, maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, not or hardly longer than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe; at the symphysis lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, internal margin transversely rugose, free margin with many-rowed conical, short, acute papillae; lower jaw flat, anterior margin truncate; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, internal margin obliquely transversely rugose, free margin with many-rowed, conical, short, acute papillae, grooves at lower front side separated by the wide isthmus; chin slightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; maximal width of gill cover contained 2 to slightly over 2 times in its depth, width of the upper part contained 2 to $2 \frac{1}{3}$ times in its depth, slightly narrower to not narrower than the eye diameter; lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory,


Fig. 41. Rohita (Rohita) vittata Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XII, Fig. 2. TL figure 225 mm .
aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface, margins elevated, teeth particularly in anterior row unequally lobed, teeth in anterior row at the front side of the top half traversed by a broad longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free and basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, 33 or 34 scales in the lateral line, $14\left(13^{1 / 2}\right)$ in a transverse row, of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between the occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in 3 longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in medial row not larger than those at the sides; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length slightly less than slightly more than depth; length contained $42 / 3$ to $53 / 5$ times in the length of the body, depth contained slightly over once to $11 / 4$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained nearly 6 to nearly 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins not or hardly reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base with a hardly present very low scaled sheath, acute, slightly emarginate, considerably lower than dorsal fin, but more than twice (less than three times) as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin broadly scaled at the base, deeply emarginate, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained $33 / 5$ to $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green or olive, lower part silver; iris yellowish or pink, violet or darkish head-tail band, more or less broad, often not or little conspicuous, scales on the body each with a violetish spot at the base, spots in young animals sometimes resembling longitudinal bands, many of the scales on the back and flanks adorned with a small transverse shiny-green band; fins pink-hyaline or pink.
B. 3. D. $4 / 10$ to $4 / 14$. P. $1 / 13$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$, the short flanking ones included.

Syn. Labeobarbus vittatus K. v. H. ap. Val., Poiss. XVI p. 203.
Rohita à bandes Val., Poiss. XVI p. 203 (young)
Rohita erythrura Val., Poiss. XVI p. 204 (old)
Rohita à queue rouge Val., ibidem XVI p. 204.
Rohita erythrurus Blkr. Zevende Bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 452.
Millem Mal. Bat., Nillem Sund.
Kasehreh Lamp.

Hab. Java (Batavia, Lebak, Buitenzorg, Tjikao, Parongkalong, Surabaya, Gempol), in rivers. Sumatra (Pangabuang, Padang, Solok, Meninju, Lahat), in rivers and lakes. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Pengaron, Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 23 specimens $105^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $245^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. It now seems more than probable to me that Rohita vittata Val. and Rohita erythrura Val. are only different age groups of one and the same species. The differences mentioned in the cited descriptions as specific, must be considered to have no higher value than an individual one and can partly even be the result of the less well preserved state of the described or depicted specimens.

Rohita enneaporos Blkr. is a closely related species, which however cannot be united with Rohita vittata because of its 9 large snout pores, very narrow and high opercle, its lower head (in specimens of an equal size), etc. Not less closely related is Rohita triporos Blkr, which however can be recognized by a very large black spot anteriorly on the dorsal fin, a scale row less under the lateral line, etc.

Rohita vittata Val. is not rare in Batavia, however it is caught there especially during high water in the river, when they seems to descent more from the higher parts of the river.

Rohita (Rohita) kahajanensis Blkr, Act. Soc. Scient. Ind. Neerl. II, Tiende Bijdr. Ichth. Fauna van Borneo p. 18. Kahajan's Rohita. Atl. Cypr Tab. XV fig. 5. [Tab.VII, fig. 1]

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to slightly over 4 times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, the lower anterior part slightly obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, head contained $51 / 5$ to $52 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 6$ times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times in its length; eyes slightly superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $11 / 2$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, slightly convex on forehead and crown, convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, nearly flat, convex, hardly protruding anterior to the mouth, not shorter than the eye, at the tip with 2 large distant pores placed in a transverse line, not surrounded by any visible 181 smaller pores; anterior suborbital bone oblong irregularly quadrangular, rounded at the angles, length less than twice as great as depth; other suborbital bones low, many times as narrow as eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, tapering; maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, hardly longer than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe, at the symphysis lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, free margin with numerous many-rowed conical, obtuse, short papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, free margin with numerous many-rowed, conical, obtuse, short papillae, grooves at lower front side separated by the broad isthmus; chin slightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained nearly twice in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate or obliquely convex chewing surface, margins elevated, teeth particularly in


Fig. 42. Rohita (Rohita) kahajanensis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VIII, Fig. 1. TL figure 78 mm .
anterior row unequally bilobed, teeth in anterior row at the front side of the top half traversed by a broad longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, obtusely ridged; scales nearly vertical, slightly larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free and generally also basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, 35 or 36 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row, of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, hardly closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending slightly anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length much greater than depth; length contained slightly over 4 times in the length of the body, depth contained about $11 / 3$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, not emarginate, slightly lower but more than 3 times as short as dorsal fin, simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained slightly over 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink, shiny-blue spot in suprascapular region; tail in older animals with a large black spot near the base of the caudal fin; diffuse darkish head-tail band; fins pink, dorsal, anal and caudal fin more or less with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 15$ or $4 / 16$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short ones included.

Hab. Borneo (Kahajan), in rivers.
Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 5 specimens $76^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $106^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The principal character of this species is found in the two large separate snout pores, without surrounding or in between lying smaller or larger pores, making these pores sharply delimited from the otherwise smooth snout.

In the number, the size and the position of the pores in Rohita characters can be found, which, because of their steadiness appear to me indeed of specific value. In many species these pores are totally lacking, as in 8 of the 9 species described above. In the species in question the pores are only present to the number of two. Other species, like Rohita vittata Val. and Rohita triporos Blkr, have three of these pores, which are placed 182 in a transverse row on the anterior part of the snout and of which the middle one is remarkably larger than the lateral ones. About Rohita borneënsis I have already
said that there are five pores in a transverse row placed anterior on the snout. In Rohita enneaporos this number is elevated to nine, eight of which are placed in a circle, while the ninth, which is much larger than the other ones, is found in the middle of the circle. In still other species those pores are much more numerous, but they then cease to yield specific characters as their number as a rule increases with age, just like in several species of Labeo, Morulius, etc.

Rohita kahajanensis, apart from its snout pores, is also recognizable by its 35 or 36 scales in the lateral line, $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ scale rows above the lateral line, 15 or 16 branched dorsal fin rays and a glistening blue shoulder spot.

Rohita (Rohita) triporos Blkr,<br>Diagn. Beschr. Nieuwe vischs. v. Sumatra Tient. I-V, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 598. Drieporige Rohita [Tri-pored Rohita]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XI fig. 3.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $41 / 4$ times in its length, width contained slightly over 2 to $2^{1 / 4}$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, the lower anterior part slightly obliquely truncate, when mouth is closed, head contained $5^{2 / 3}$ to $61 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $41 / 3$ to $42 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 6$ to about $11 / 8$ times in its length, width about $13 / 5$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained $31 / 3$ to $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to $11 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $12 / 3$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, nearly straight on forehead and crown, strongly convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, slightly flat, convex, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, longer than the eye, at the tip with 3 large distant pores placed in a transverse row, central pore larger than those on the sides, not surrounded by any visible smaller pores; anterior suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, rounded at the angles, length less than twice as great as depth; other suborbital bones low, 3 to 4 times as narrow as the eye diameter, no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, somewhat thin; maxillary barbels much longer than nasal barbels, not or only slightly longer than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe, at symphysis lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, free margin with numerous many-rowed conical, slightly acute, short papillae; lower jaw flat, anterior margin truncate; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, free margin with numerous many-rowed, conical, slightly acute, short papillae, grooves at lower front side separated by the wide isthmus; chin slightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth, hardly to not thinner than the eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface, margins elevated, teeth particularly in anterior row unequal, slightly lobed, teeth in anterior row at the front side of the top half traversed by a broad, superficial longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; 183 dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, rounded or very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and generally also basal half with longitudinal stripes, slightly ray-like, 32 to 34 scales in the lateral line, $12^{1 / 2}(13)$ in a transverse row, of which $6(51 / 2)$ above the lateral line, about 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward


Fig. 43. Rohita (Rohita) triporus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XI, Fig. 3. TL figure 149 mm .
only anteriorly, slightly or not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length slightly greater to considerably greater than depth, length contained $41 / 2$ to $45 / 6$ times in the length of the body, slightly lower to slightly higher than the body; pectoral fins acute, contained $61 / 2$ to 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins acute, contained 6 to 5 times in the length of the body, not to nearly reaching the anal fins; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, slightly to hardly emarginate, much lower than the dorsal fin and about 3 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained 4 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellowish or pink, scales on back and flanks each with an oblong transverse dark-violetish spot at the base; diffuse darkish head-tail band (only visible in a younger specimen); fins pink or yellowish, dorsal fin (only in a larger specimen) at the lower front side with a large blackish-violet spot and the membrane between each of the rays with a diffuse spot composed of dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 11$ to $4 / 13$. P. $1 / 14$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 16 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $130^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $154^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Rohita triporos is very closely related to Rohita vittata Val. However, it differs specifically from it by a longitudinal scale row less under the lateral line, a deeper body, a higher, more acute and more concave dorsal fin, longer ventral fins, a deeper head, a shorter and also less convex snout, a sorter tail, a broader opercle, a large blackish dorsal fin spot, etc.

These differences however, are partly only apparent when specimens of a similar size are compared.

Thus I find in specimens of both species of 154 mm length.

|  | Rohita triporos | Rohita vittata |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth of the body in its length | $41 / 2$ times | $43 / 5$ times |
| Depth of the head in its length | 11/8 | $11 / 5$ |
| Width of the opercle in its height | $11 / 2$ | 2 |
| Depth of the dorsal fin in the depth of the body | less than 1 | more than 1 |
| Length of the tail from the last dorsal fin ray to the caudal fin base in the length of the entire body | $4^{3 / 4}-44 / 5$ | 4 |
| Ventral fin in the length of the body | 5 " | $61 / 3$ |

1184 I see the head-tail band in Rohita triporos only in my smaller specimen and then only slightly expressed. In Rohita vitata however this band is often similarly lost as a result of long preservation in spirit of wine.

Rohita (Rohita) enneaporos Blkr,
Diagn. Beschrijv. Nieuwe vischs. v. Sumatra Tient. I-IV, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 596. -Negen-porige Rohita [Nine-pored Rohita].

Atl. Cypr. Tab. XI fig. 2.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly $41 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, the lower anterior part slightly obliquely truncate, when the mouth is closed, head contained about $61 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 4$ times in its length, width about $11 / 2$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained $32 / 3$ to $33 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about twice their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, nearly straight on forehead and crown, convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, nearly flat, strongly convex, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, much longer than the eye, at the tip with large very conspicuous pores, central pore larger than the other conspicuous 8 pores surrounding it in a circle, some extra pores outside the circle, smaller than the others, hardly or not visible with the naked eye; anterior suborbital bone irregularly quadrangular, length about twice as great as depth, more or less rounded at the angles; other suborbital bones low, 3 to 4 times as narrow as the eye diameter; no visible suborbital pores; barbels fleshy, maxillary barbels longer than nasal barbels, not or slightly longer than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe; at the symphysis lightly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, internal margin transversely rugose, free margin with many-rowed conical, obtuse, short papillae; lower jaw flat, anterior margin truncate; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, internal margin obliquely transversely rugose, free margin with many-rowed conical, short, acute papillae, grooves at lower front side separated by the wide isthmus; chin slightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; maximum width of gill cover contained $22 / 5$ times in its depth, width of upper part contained 3 times in its depth, much narrower than the eye diameter, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface, margins elevated, teeth particularly in anterior row unequally lobed, at the front side of the top half with a superficial longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded. Dorsal line of the body convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, rounded, not ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and generally also the basal half with longitudinal


Fig. 44. Rohita (Rohita) enneaporus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XI, Fig. 2. TL figure 246 mm .
stripes, slightly ray-like, 33 to 34 scales in the lateral line, $13^{1 ⁄ 2}$ (14) in a transverse row, of which $5^{1 ⁄ 2}$ (6) above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in 3 longitudinal rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping only anteriorly, hardly closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length slightly greater than depth; length contained nearly 5 times in the length of the body, depth contained slightly more than once in the depth of the body; 185 pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins contained nearly 7 times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins contained slightly more than 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching the anal fins; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin, but more than twice (less than three times) as short as dorsal fin, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive-green, lower part silver; iris yellowish or pink; diffuse darkishviolet head-tail band; fins pink or red.
B. 3. D. $4 / 12$ or $4 / 13$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Padang), in the river.
Length of sole specimen $246^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. A new comparison of the above described specimen with my numerous specimens of Rohita vittata Val. at first made me doubt whether if it really could be placed in a species that is different from that one, so large is the similarity in most of the peculiarities of habitus and organization. In the mean time I see in none of my specimens of Rohita vittata Val. of the same size more than three snout pores placed on a transverse line, whereas it also has the head constantly relatively deeper and the opercle relatively broader and less high. Therefore I have left my specimen under the earlier given specific name, where it shall remain to be placed unless new series of specimens will show that no specific value can be attached to the described differences.

Rohita (Rohita) oligolepis Blkr, Nalez. ichth. Faun. van Banka, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. V p. 191. Grootschubbige Rohita [Large-scaled Rohita]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. VIII fig. 7.

A Rohita (Rohita) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about 4 times in its length, width contained slightly over 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, the lower anterior part moderately obliquely truncate when the mouth is closed, head contained about $51 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $41 / 3$ to $41 / 3$ (sic) times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length; eyes slightly superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $11 / 2$ times the eye diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, nearly straight on forehead and crown, strongly convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, anterior nostrils slightly tubular; small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, conspicuous; snout convex, fleshy, hardly protruding anterior to the mouth, hardly or not longer than the eye, upper part smooth, at the front with many, nearly equal, well visible pores, no larger central pores; anterior suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, depth greater than length, posterior part much higher than anterior part; other suborbital bones very low, three to much more than three times as thin as the eye diameter; barbels fleshy, nasal barbels hardly shorter than the eye, maxillary barbels considerably longer than the eye; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, slightly reminding of a horse shoe; at the symphysis hardly emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip very fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, with numerous transverse folds, 186 free margin with many-rowed very short, conical papillae; lower jaw flat, slightly spoon-shaped; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, with numerous obliquely transverse folds, free margin with many-rowed very short, conical papillae, grooves at lower side separated by the wide isthmus; chin obliquely truncate because of strongly ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, 2.3.5/5.3.2 or 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface, more or less lobed at the elevated margin; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, strongly obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, larger on the middle of the flanks than on the rest of the body, free half and part of the basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, 28 to 30 scales in the lateral line, about 11 in a transverse row, of which $41 / 2(5)$ above the lateral line, about 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows; lateral line nearly straight, not closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, not or hardly emarginate, length greater than depth; length contained $41 / 2$ to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral fins acute, contained slightly over 6 times in the length of the body; ventral fins acute, contained nearly 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, not or slightly emarginate, slightly lower than dorsal fin, but about three times as short, simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe slightly longer than lower lobe, contained about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body pink-green, lower part pink-pearly; iris yellow or pink; many scales on the flanks with a dark transverse, crescent-shaped band; tail with a round, blackish spot in the lateral line bordering on the base of the caudal fin; fins pink, uneven, more or less with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 12$ or $4 / 13$. P. $1 / 13$. V. 2/8. A. 3/5 or 3/6. C. 7/17/7, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Banka (Marawang), in the river.
Length of 2 specimens $100^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $103^{\prime \prime \prime}$.


Fig. 45. Rohita (Rohita) oligolepis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VIII, Fig. 7. TL figure 94 mm .

Remark. Rohita oligolepis is recognizable, apart from the low number of its scales and dorsal fin rays, by its acute head, by well visible numerous pores on the tip of the snout without larger central pores, by rather long barbels, a large round tail spot, etc.

For as far as the observations go, this Rohita is endemic to Banka, just like Rohita Waandersi.

> Morulius Buch. Blkr. = earlier Chrysophekadion Blkr. Millem.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales. Jaws bare, not tumid. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Snout fleshy, entire, protruding anterior to the mouth, lobed or not lobed at the sides, free margin not papillose or with a fringed margin. Anterior suborbital bone oblong, placed rather far anterior to the orbit. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, fimbriate, confluent with 187 lower lip. Gape more or less oval when the mouth is open. Upper jaw with a thin crescent-shaped edge. Lower jaw with a thin truncate or rounded edge, symphysis without tubercle. Lower lip back-folded, papillose or fimbriate, not lobed. One transverse postlabial sulcus, half-moon-shaped, nearly parallel with the margin of the lower lip. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to or above anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, masticatory surface obliquely truncate, not tuberculate.

Remark. I separate the genus Morulius from Rohita, on the one hand because of the single transverse posterior lip groove, and on the other hand because of the far anterior placed anteriormost suborbital bone, which, more elongate in shape, just like in the genus Labeo has been shifted far anterior to the orbit by the second suborbital bone.

The genus generally in relationship stands between Rohita, Rohitichthys and Labeo, but can be separated from all of them by the single posterior lip groove, which runs crescent shaped parallel to the free lower lip edge, whereas in the remaining mentioned genera the middle of the chin skin is without groove and the groove that is situated on both sides of this skin runs longitudinally.

To this genus I now bring 5 species of my collection, four of which are from Bengal and only one from the Sunda Islands, i.e. Rohita calbosu Val., Rohita belangeri Val., Rohita Buchanani Val. and Rohita chalybeata Val. from Bengal and Rohita chrysophekadion Blkr. from the Indian archipelago. - Moreover, I believe that in it can also be placed some other Bengal species which I do not know from nature, like Rohita moralius Val., Rohita jaolius Val., Rohita Reynauldii Val., Rohita musiha Heck. and Labeo velatus Val.

These species, partly very closely related to each other, partly also differ considerably from each other with regard to coloration, profile, shapes of the opercle and the fins, squamation, etc. so that for several of them the diagnostic differences are easy to determine, whereas for other species that is difficult to describe. Thus among my Bengal species Morulius chalybeatus is easy recognizable by its ca 70 scales in the lateral line and 14 to 15 longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line, and Morulius rohita (Cyprinus rohita Buch.) by its 40 scales in the lateral line, $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line, extraordinarily developed opercle the width of which goes only $11 / 2$ times in its length, smooth snout without visible pores and extremely small barbel. On the 188 contrary Morulius Belangeri and Morulius calbosu in habitus of the head, body and fins, and in coloration are very closely related to the Sundanese species. This one however can be recognized as follows.

1. Snout strongly protruding in front of the mouth, covered with numerous conspicuous pores. Barbels well developed.
A. 41 to 43 scales in the lateral line, $9\left(8^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line. Width of gill cover contained nearly twice to slightly more than twice in its depth. Dorsal fin at the base contained 4 to $4^{2} / 5$ times in the length of the body, rays $4 / 15$ or $4 / 16$ to $4 / 18$ or $4 / 19$.

## Morulius chrysophekadion Blkr.

> Morulius chrysophekadion Blkr, Veelklierige Morulius or Millem [Many-glanded Morulius or Millem]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. X.

A Morulius with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 3$ to $33 / 5$ times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to $21 / 3$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, the lower anterior part very obliquely truncate when the mouth is closed, head contained $43 / 5$ to $61 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, to nearly $32 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 9$ times in its length, width $11 / 2$ to $11 / 5$ times in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained $23 / 4$ to 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $13 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to $2^{2 / 3}$ times the eye diameter, palpebral membrane largely covering the external margin of the iris, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight or slightly concave, very convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular; small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, not always visible; snout very fleshy, nearly flat, strongly convex, clearly protruding in front of the mouth, in younger fishes shorter than the eye, in older animals and adults longer than the eye, the anterior part in younger animals and adults with very many conspicuous pores, extending to a point in between and behind the nostrils, no central pores which are conspicuously larger than the others; anterior suborbital bone placed rather far anterior to the eye and completely or nearly completely anterior to the nostrils, irregularly oblong-oval, length less than twice


Fig. 46. Morulius chrysophekadion Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. X. TL figure 283 mm .
as great as depth, lower margin strongly convex; other suborbital bones very low, many times as thin as the eye diameter, second suborbital bone very elongate, prolonged anterior to the eye, suborbital pores in one longitudinal row, slightly or not conspicuous; barbels fleshy, rostral barbels slightly shorter to slightly longer than upper jaw barbels; shorter to slightly longer than the eye diameter; upper jaw with a cartilaginous edge, reminding slightly of a horse shoe; at the symphysis lightly to not emarginate, strongly downward protrusable; upper lip slightly fleshy, hanging anterior to jaw, rugose, free margin with many-rowed conical, obtuse, very short papillae; lower jaw flat, anterior margin truncate; lower lip strongly back-folded, fleshy, not rugose, free margin with many-rowed conical, acute, cirriform papillae, grooves at lower side united behind the lip from where a postlabial, deep, transverse, crescentshaped incision; chin strongly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained nearly twice to slightly more than twice in its depth, posterior part rounded in crescent shape, lower margin convex or slightly convex; gill membrane extending rather broadly behind gill cover, rounded in crescent shape; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum or below the anterior part of the gill cover. 189 Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface margins elevated, not lobed, teeth in anterior row not grooved rostrally; scapula triangular, slightly acutely or obtusely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales on the upper part of the body nearly vertical, on the flanks below the lateral line oblique (superior angle of free upper margin placed anterior to lower angle of free margin), suprascapular scales largest, scales on the anterior part of the flanks larger than those on the rest of the body, except for those in the suprascapular region, free half and basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, 41 to 43 scales in the lateral line, 19 or 20 in a transverse row, of which $81 / 2(9)$ above the lateral line, 20 to 23 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, the lowest scales on the flanks in five longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in the medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly; not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube hardly or not reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, at the base enclosed in a low scaled sheath, acute, not to strongly emarginate, length hardly greater to considerably
smaller than depth; length contained $42 / 5$ to 4 times in the length of the body, not or hardly higher to much higher than the body; the simple posterior ray frequently more or less prolonged; pectoral fins contained 5 to $53 / 5$ times in the length of the body, not or hardly reaching ventral fins; ventral fins acute, contained $41 / 4$ to slightly over 5 times in the length of the body, reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath, not to strongly emarginate, slightly to much lower than dorsal fin, but more than 2 to 3 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained $3^{2} / 5$ to 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body dark-violet, violetish-olive or violet-blackish; barbels violet or blackish; iris pink or golden; each scale on the body generally beautifully marked with a golden or orange droplet; fins violetish-pink, or violet or violet-black.
B. 3. D. $4 / 15$ or $4 / 16$ to $4 / 18$ or $4 / 19$. P. $1 / 15$ to $1 / 17$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $5 / 17 / 5$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Rohita chrysophekadion Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Bijdr. ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 20.
Rohita polyporos Blkr, Nieuw. Tient. diagn. beschr. Vischs. v. Sumatra, Nat. T. N. Ind. V p. 520.

Rohita koilogeneion Blkr. Descript. spec. pisc. javan. nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 359.
Rohita cyanomelas Blkr, Diagn. beschr. nieuwe. vischs. Sumatra, Tient. I-IV, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 597.
Si-hitam, Situm Palemb. Millem (Mal. Bat.), Arengan Sund.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Bekassi, Krawang, Tjampea, Parongkalong, Tjikao, Surabaya, Gempol), in rivers.
Sumatra (Morah-kompeh, Palembang), in rivers.
Length of more than 40 specimens $75^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $600^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. The archipelagic species of Morulius is recognizable by its numerous snout pores and the formula of its scales and fin rays. It offers very numerous individual and climatological differences, both with regard to the development of the snout pores and barbels as in the fins, especially of the dorsal fin, ventral fins and anal fin, whereas also the colour pattern shows many nuances and ranges 190 from uniformly black-blue (specimens from Palembang) to darker olive with a round golden or orange coloured spot on each scale.

Earlier when I possessed only very few specimens of these varieties, I attached a higher value to the observed differences, than they since then appear to deserve, and a repeated detailed study of all my specimens has led me to reduce the four species, which I earlier believed I should erect, to a single one.

On Java Morulius chrysophekadion is not rare. Especially in the large rivers it sometimes is abundant and there it reaches a size, amply exceeding that of my largest specimens. I have witnessed the catch of hundreds of large specimens in the Tjitaroem [river] near Parongkalong, during an organized fishing party and also at the mouth of the Tjitaroem it is sometimes caught by the hundreds and from there placed on the market in Batavia.

It is very closely related to Morulius Belangeri from Bengal, which belongs to the same group and has the same scale formula. However, I find in Morulius Belangeri of which I posses two specimens, for the formula of the dorsal fin only $4 / 13$ or $4 / 14$ and $4 / 14$ or $4 / 15$, which formula I find in none of my very numerous Sumatran or Javanese specimens. These specimens moreover comparing with similar sized specimens of Morulius chrysophekadion, it appears that in Morulius Belangeri the body is remarkably deeper, the dorsal fin remarkably shorter ( 5 times and more in the length of the
body, whereas in my specimens of Morulius chrysophekadion go only $42 / 5$ to 4 times in the length of the body), etc.

Morulius calbosu from Bengal is also very closely related to Morulius chrysophekadion and even comes closer to it with regard to the formula of the dorsal fin rays (4/14 to $4 / 16$ ) and slenderness of its body. As I also possess this species, I was able to compare my specimens thereof with similar sized specimens of Morulius chrysophekadion and I found that also in Morulius calbosu the dorsal fin, notwithstanding its almost equal or equal fin ray formula, is remarkably shorter (more than 5 times in the length of the body) than in Morulius chrysophekadion, whereas moreover it has the profile of the back remarkably less convex and the belly profile more convex, and I can count only $7^{1 / 2} 2$ (8) scales in a transverse row above the lateral line.

Judging from a schetch drawing of Mr Castelnau, the species in question also occurs in Siam.

## 191 Rohitichthys Blkr.

Body oblong-elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 2, maxillary barbels. Snout fleshy, entire, skin descending anterior to upper lip hanging, snout not lobed at the sides. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, entire, not papillose or fimbriate. Lower lip fimbriate. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending long before anal fin, the posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anterior suborbital bone at a distance from the eye.

Remark. This genus needs to be described in more detail after nature. I base it on the description and figure of Labeo senegalensis Val., as they are given by Mr Valenciennes.

Concerning the relationship Rohitichthys stands between Labeo and Rohita. It misses the snout lobes of Labeo and has the fringed lower lip of Rohita. Because of the far forward extending second suborbital bone, the anteriormost suborbital bone is far removed from the eye, just as in Labeo, however the snout bulges slightly before the mouth opening.

The habitus however, judging from the figure of Mr Valenciennes, is neither that of a Labeo, nor that of a Rohita. It represents the only species which till now can be placed in Rohithichthys.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dangila Val., } \\
& \text { Poiss. XVI p. 174. = Cyrene Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 34, 182. - } \\
& \text { Lamba. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales. Jaws bare, not tumid. Barbels 4, nasal barbels and maxillary barbels. Snout fleshy, entire, slightly protruding in front of the mouth, at free margin hanging anterior to upper lip, entire, not crenulate or papillose, not lobed at the sides. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, papillose, confluent with lower lip. Gape slightly paral-lelogram-shaped. Jaws thin at the edge, lower jaw equipped with a small tube on the posterior part of the symphysis. Lower lip entire, fleshy, not cirrate or lobed. On both sides a simple postlabial groove, directed longitudinally towards the margin of the mouth, separated 192 from the groove on the opposite side by the broad isthmus. Dorsal fin elongate, starting anterior to ventral fins and ending above or anterior to anal fin, the posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, with an obliquely truncate or more or less twisted chewing surface.

Remark. Mr Valenciennes erected this genus in the $16^{\text {th }}$ Volume of the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons, published in the year 1842, whereas Heckel erected the same genus, under the name Cyrene, similarly in the year 1842 in his Abbildungen und Beschreibungen der Fische Syriens, which work hover was only published in 1843 in Stuttgart. Heckel also already knew the name given by Mr Valenciennes to the Cyrene, which he included in his Fische Syriens between two inverted commas behind the by himself proposed name of Cyrene.

Therefore the name proposed by Mr Valenciennes must be preserved.
The diagnosis of Mr Valenciennes fits well on all species of Dangila, but is not indicative for its natural relationship as a genus belonging to the Labeonines.

Heckel gave two different diagnosis of it, which indicate that relationship better, however they lead to misunderstanding by the statement that the upper jaw is provided with a row of tooth-like nipples. However these nipples are not implanted in the upper jaw but in the upper lip. This distinction has to be made for the Acheilognathes as here the upper lip hangs free before the upper jaw and does not cloth or envelop the entire upper jaw as in the Cheilognathes.

At present 11 species of Dangila are known. Mr Valenciennes described four of them, i.e. Dangila Cuvieri Val. (which is the same as Dangila leptocheila Val.), Dangila Kuhli Val. and Dangila lipocheila Val. from Java, and Dangila Leschenaultii Val. from Hindustan. Heckel added to these the descriptions of four other species, those of Cyrene festiva Heck. and Cyrene ocellata Heck. from Borneo and of Cyrene cyanopareja and Cyrene philippinia Heck. from the Philippines.

Of the species of Mr Valenciennes and Heckel I have recovered Dangila leptocheila, Dangila Kuhli, Dangila festiva and Dangila ocellata, but moreover I have discovered still three other species from Sumatra and Borneo, which I have described under the names Dangila fasciata, Dangila sumatrana and Dangila spilurus.

With the exception only of Dangila Leschenaultii Val. all these species belong to the Indian archipelago. They can be distinguished from each other by the following scheme.

193 I. Dorsal fin ending above or hardly anterior to anal fin.
A. More than 60 scales in the lateral line, 14 or 15 above lateral line.
a. D $4 / 27$ or $4 / 28$. On both sides 2 round black spots, the anterior spot in the postaxillary region below the lateral line, the posterior caudal one in the lateral line.

Dangila ocellata Blkr.
B. 50 or 51 scales in the lateral line, 10 above lateral line.
a. D. $4 / 27$ to $4 / 30$. Body with longitudinal dark-violet bands.

Dangila fasciata Blkr.
C. 30 to 40 scales in the lateral line.
a. $\mathrm{D} 4 / 23$ to $4 / 26$.
† 39 to 40 scales in the lateral line.
Ô 8 scales above lateral line. Head contained $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body.

O' 7 scales above lateral line. Head contained 6 to $71 / 2$ times in the length of the body.

## Dangila leptocheilos Val.

$\dagger^{\prime} 37$ or 38 scales in the lateral line, 6 above lateral line.
$\hat{O}^{\prime}$ A dark head-tail band.

Dangila sumatrana Blkr.
Ô No head-tail band.

## Dangila phillipinia Blkr.

$\dagger 33$ scales in the lateral line, 8 above lateral line.
Ô Caudal fin with a violet-black longitudinal band on both lobes.
Dangila festiva Blkr.
b. D $4 / 17$ or $4 / 18.5$ scales above lateral line.
t 35 scales in the lateral line. Gill cover adorned with a blue spot.
Dangila cyanopareja Blkr.
$\dagger 36$ scales in the lateral line. Gill cover without blue spot.
Dangila lipocheilos Val.
II Dorsal fin ending far anterior to anal fin, rays $4 / 10$ or $4 / 11$ only.
A. 28 scales in the lateral line, 5 above lateral line.
a. Tail with round black spot.

Dangila spilurus Blkr.
Dangila ocellata Blkr,
Index descript. specier. Pisc. Bleeker., Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIV p. 475.
Geoogde Lamba [Eyed Lamba].
Atl. Cypr. Tab. XVI fig. 3.
A Dangila with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $41 / 4$ times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to slightly over 2 times in its depth. Head acute, contained 6 to $61 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $43 / 5$ to $4 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width $13 / 5$ to $11 / 2$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained $2 / 3$ to 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once to $11 / 4$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight, convex on nape and back; interorbital line slightly convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, no visible small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the superior angle of the gill cover; snout fleshy, slightly flat, convex, slightly protruding in front of the mouth, in younger animals and in old animals considerably shorter than the eye, the anterior part covered with many, large, conspicuous pores; [anterior] suborbital bone


Fig. 47. Dangila ocellata Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XVI, Fig. 3. TL figure 185 mm .
irregularly triangular, depth not or hardly greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, slightly convex, anterior and posterior margin more or less concave, united into an acute angle, upward pointing and ending between nostrils and the eye; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, anteriorly much higher than posteriorly, length twice to more than twice as great as depth; about 4 times as low as eye diameter; barbels thin, maxillary barbels longer than nasal barbels; slightly to not shorter than eye diameter; gape slightly parallelogram-shaped; jaws with a cartilaginous edge, anterior margin strongly obtuse, slightly truncate; upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, with a conical, tubercle at the posterior part of symphysis slightly hooked at the tip; lips slightly fleshy, upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin covered with one-row of conical, short, very conspicuous papillae, lower lip slightly back-folded, free margin entire, lower part with short grooves, separated anteriorly by the very broad isthmus; chin obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to 2 times in its depth, much narrower to slightly narrower than eye diameter, lower margin convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each compressed at the top, the chewing surface obliquely truncate, twisted, margins slightly elevated; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly flat not ridged behind ventral fins; scales oblique (upper angle of the free margin placed anterior to the lower angle of the free margin), scales on the middle of the flanks larger than on the rest of the body, but the suprascapular scales the largest of all; no longitudinal stripes on the basal half of the scales, free half without stripes or with little conspicuous stripes, 65 to 67 scales in the lateral line, about 32 in a transverse row, of which $131 / 2(14)$ or $141 / 2$ (15) above the lateral line, 22 to 24 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in 7 to 9 longitudinal rows, scales in medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly; not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting far anterior to the ventral fins and ending above the middle of the base of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, strongly emarginate, length nearly twice to slightly more than twice as great as depth; length contained 3 to $2^{2} / 3$ times in the length of the body, depth contained slightly more than once to $11 / 3$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral fins acute, contained $51 / 2$ to slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins acute, contained $61 / 3$ to slightly over 7 times in the length of the body, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a very low scaled sheath; not or slightly emarginate, acute, generally considerably lower than dorsal fin, but 5 to more than 5 times as short, the ${ }^{195}$ simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe generally longer than lower lobe, contained $34 / 5$ to $41 / 3$ times in
the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body blue-green, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink; on each flank two blackish-violet spots, surrounded by a yellowish colour, anterior spot below the lateral line slightly anterior to the dorsal fin, the posterior one on the tail close to the base of the caudal fin; fins pinkish-hyaline or pink or yellowish, dorsal and caudal fin more or less with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 27$ or $4 / 28$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 18$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cyrene ocellata Heck., Abb. Beschr. Fisch. Syriens p. 35.
Dangila microlepis Blkr, Diagn. beschr. nieuwe vischs. v. Sumatra, Tiental. 1-IV in Nat. T.
Ned. Ind. III p. 595.
Luma Lamp. Lamba Palemb.
Hab. Sumatra (Pangabuang, Palembang, Lahat, Lematang-Enim), in rivers.
Borneo (Kahajan, Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 9 specimens $144^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $221^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The Lamba was first made known to science in 1843 by J. Heckel, in his Fische Syriens and briefly described from a specimen of 6 inches.

I recovered it in the year 1852 in a specimen of 185 mm length from Palembang and described it at the time, unfamiliar as I then was with Heckel's discovery, under the name of Dangila microlepis, which name must fall into disuse because of Heckel's earlier name, although it is not less characteristic. Since that time I have come into possession of more specimens of different sizes, which have enabled me to improve and complete the already existing descriptions.

The Lamba is a beautiful and extremely sharply characterized species of Dangila. Its numerous scales, both on a longitudinal as on the transverse rows, make its recognition very easy, whereas also the round black spots, one in the posterior axil area just below the lateral line and one on the tail on the lateral line near the caudal fin base, at a first glance are very characteristic for it.

Dangila fasciata Blkr,<br>Diagn. Beschrijv. Nieuwe vischs. Sumatra, Tient. V-X, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IV p. 297. -<br>Gebande Lamba [Banded Lamba]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XVI fig. 2.

A Dangila with a compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 4$ to $41 / 2$ times in its length, width contained $2^{1 / 3}$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained $61 / 2$ to nearly 7 times in length of body with caudal fin, 5 to $51 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 6$ times in its length, width $1^{2} / 3$ to $11 / 2$ times in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained 3 to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1^{1 / 4}$ to $1^{1 / 5}$ times the eye diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, nearly straight on forehead and crown, strongly convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than 196 to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, little conspicuous small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the upper angle of the gill cover in one longitudinal row; snout fleshy, nearly flat, convex, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, not or only slightly longer than eye diameter; at the front covered with many large pores in many transverse rows; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular, lower margin obliquely convex, anterior lateral margin concave, posterior lateral margin convex or angular, united into an upwards pointing acute angle close to the nostrils; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly,


Fig. 48. Dangila fasciata Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XVI, Fig. 2. TL figure 221 mm.
length about twice as great as depth, about 3 times as low as eye diameter; third suborbital bone broader than fourth suborbital bone; about 4 times as narrow as eye diameter; no visible suborbital pores; barbels thin, maxillary barbels longer than nasal barbels; considerably longer than eye diameter; gape slightly parallelogram-shaped; jaws with a cartilaginous edge, anterior margin strongly obtuse, slightly truncate; upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, very conspicuous, tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip; upper lip slightly fleshy, hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin covered with conical, short, slightly obtuse, very conspicuous papillae, lower lip very fleshy, slightly back-folded, entire, at the underside with short grooves, at the anterior side separated by the very broad skin of the chin; chin not truncate because there is no ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained 2 to nearly 2 times in its depth, narrower than eye diameter, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate, twisted chewing surface, margins elevated, irregular, teeth in anterior row on the anterior side of the top half traversed by a wide, short, longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly flat, not ridged behind ventral fins; scales oblique (upper angle of the free margin placed anterior to the lower angle of the free margin), scales on the middle of the flanks slightly larger than those on the rest of the body, suprascapular scales the largest of all; scales with a longitudinal stripes on the free half, basal half hardly or not striped, 50 to 51 scales in the lateral line, 21 in a transverse row (lowest ventral scales included), of which $91 / 2(10)$ above the lateral line, 13 or 14 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest scales on flanks in 5 longitudinal rows, scales in middle row hardly larger than those in side rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, slightly closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending above the middle of the base of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length more than 2 times as great as depth, length contained $23 / 4$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the body, depth contained about $12 / 5$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body; pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a low scaled sheath, acute, not or hardly emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin and nearly 6 times as short, the simple undivided third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower
part silver; iris yellow or pink; scales on back and flanks each with a slightly square dark-violet spot at the base, spots nearly forming longitudinal stripes, spots on the scales bordering the lateral line forming a head-tail band; fins pink, caudal fin red, violet at the upper and lower margins.
B. 3. D. $4 / 26$ or $4 / 27$ to $4 / 29$ or $4 / 30$. P. $1 / 18$ or $1 / 19$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Pangabuang), in the river.
Borneo (Pontianak), in the river.
Length of two specimens $232^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and 236 '".
197 Remark Dangila fasciata is very easy recognizable by the formula of its scales and dorsal fin rays, which differs remarkably from that of the remaining known species.

The habitus of this species reminds somewhat of that of Barbichthys laevis, a genus which in several ways is related to Dangila. I discovered it in 1853, in a collection from the interior of the Lampong districts sent to me by the late Captain Juch. But since then I also received a single specimen from Western Borneo.

Dangila Kuhli Val., Poiss. XVI p. 175. Kuhl's Lamba. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XVI fig. 1.

A Dangila with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $4 / 5$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head acute, contained about $51 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, hardly more than 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained about $11 / 4$ times in its length, width nearly $13 / 5$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained about $21 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained hardly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes hardly more than once their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight, convex on nape and back; interorbital line slightly convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular; small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the upper angle of the gill cover in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, nearly flat, convex, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, shorter than eye diameter, the anterior part covered with many large pores in 3 or more transverse rows, most of them on a horny small round plate of which the centre is prolonged into an acute, conical process ; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular, depth hardly or not greater than length, lower margin obliquely convex, anterior margin concave, posterior margin undulate or angular, at the top united into an upwards pointing acute angle close to the nostrils; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth, about 3 times as low as eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone much broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, about 3 times as narrow as eye diameter; no visible suborbital pores; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels considerably longer than nasal barbels, much longer than eye diameter; gape slightly parallelogram-shaped; jaws with a cartilaginous edge, anterior margin strongly obtuse, slightly truncate; upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, lower jaw at the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical, little conspicuous tubercle; upper lip slightly fleshy, hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin covered with conical, short, obtuse, very conspicuous papillae in one row; lower lip very fleshy, slightly back-folded, entire, at the underside with short grooves, at the front side separated by the very broad isthmus; chin lightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about 2 times in its depth, considerably narrower than eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2,


Fig. 49. Dangila Kuhli Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XVI, Fig. 1. TL figure 107 mm .
each with an obliquely truncate masticatory surface, more or less twisted, margins elevated, strongly irregular, 3 teeth in anterior row on the front of the top half with a broad, short, longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, slightly obtusely or slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, rounded behind ventral fins, not ridged; scales oblique (the superior angle of free margin placed anterior to the lower angle of the free margin), scales on the middle of the flanks 198 slightly larger than on the rest of the body, but suprascapular scales the largest of all; scales with conspicuous longitudinal stripes on the free half, no stripes on basal half, 39 scales in the lateral line, 16 in a transverse row (lowest ventral scales included), of which $71 / 2(8)$ above the lateral line (below the dorsal fin), about 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in 3 to 5 longitudinal rows, scales in middle row not or hardly larger than those in side rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly; considerably closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending above the middle of the base of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length slightly less than twice the depth; length contained about 3 times in the length of the body, depth contained about $12 / 5$ times in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained slightly over 6 times in the length of the body; pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a low scaled sheath, acute, not or slightly emarginate, considerably lower than dorsal fin and about 5 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin broadly scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe longer than lower lobe, contained about $33 / 5$ times in the length of body. Colour: upper part of the body bluish-green, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink; tail with a round, diffuse violet-blue spot in the lateral line near the base of the caudal fin; fins yellowish-hyaline or pink-hyaline, dorsal and caudal more or less with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 25$ or $4 / 26$. P. $1 / 16$. V. 2/8. A. 3/5 or 3/6. C. 7/17/7, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Dangila de Kuhl Val., Poiss. XVI, p. 175.
Cyrene Kuhlii Heck., Fisch. Syr. P. 35, Nachtr. P. 183.
Wadon gunung, Millem Mal. Bat.
Hab. Java (Batavia), in the river.
Length of sole specimen $113^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. I believe to have recovered in the abovementioned specimen, the species described by Mr Valenciennes under the name Dangila Kuhli. This species indeed differs from Dangila leptocheilus by a larger head and eyes and strongly developed upper lip nipples, just as was indicated by Mr Valenciennes, however Mr Valenciennes mentions only 36 scales in a longitudinal row and gives the dorsal fin formula as $3 / 24$. However these differences are only of individual value or, at least as far as the scales are concerned, depend on the method of counting or of the less well or better preservation of the scales. The indicated differences can only be observed well in equally sized specimens. However I noticed another peculiarity that makes the recognition easier, which is the fact that Dangila Kuhli has one longitudinal scale row more above the lateral line than Dangila leptocheilus.

Dangila leptocheilus Val., Poiss. XVI p. 173, Cuvier's Lamba<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. XVII.

A Dangila with an oblong to slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 5 to $41 / 3$ times in its length, width contained 2 to $21 / 2$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute or slightly obtuse, contained 6 to $71 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $41 / 2$ to $54 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 5$ times 199 in its length, width $13 / 5$ to $12 / 5$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained $2^{2} / 3$ to $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once to 1 $4 / 7$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, nearly straight or slightly convex on forehead and crown, strongly convex on nape and back; interorbital line slightly convex or convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with elevated margins, slightly tubular, small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the upper angle of the gill cover in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous or not visible; snout fleshy, nearly flat, convex, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, shorter than eye diameter, the anterior part with several to rather numerous little conspicuous to very conspicuous pores in 2 or more transverse rows; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular or pentagonal, depth not or slightly greater than length, lower margin obliquely convex, lateral margins more or less angular, united into a acute, upwards pointing angle, close to the nostrils; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length twice or more than twice as great as depth, more than 3 times as low as eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone wider than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone; more than 3 times as narrow as eye diameter; no visible suborbital pores; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels much longer than nasal barbels; slightly to much longer than eye diameter; gape slightly par-allelogram-shaped, when mouth is open; jaws with a cartilaginous edge, anterior margin strongly obtuse, slightly truncate; upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, lower jaw at the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip; lips slightly fleshy, hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin covered with conical, short, obtuse, conspicuous papillae in one row, lower lip slightly back-folded, entire, at the underside with short grooves, at the front side kept far apart by the broad isthmus; chin lightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to 2 times in its depth, more narrow than eye diameter, lower margin slightly concave, nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated $2.4 .5 / 5.4 .2$, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface, more or less twisted, margins elevated, irregular, teeth in anterior row at the front of the top half traversed by a wide, longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line convex, much higher than convex or slightly convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, rounded or very obtusely ridged behind ventral fins; scales oblique (the upper angle of the free margin


Fig. 50. Dangila leptocheilus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XVII. TL figure 258 mm .
anterior to the lower angle of the free margin), scales on the middle of the flanks slightly larger than on the rest of the body, but suprascapular scales the largest of all; longitudinal stripes on free half of scales conspicuous, often no stripes on basal half, more rarely visible stripes, 39 or 40 scales in the lateral line, 16 in a transverse row (lowest ventral scales included), of which $61 / 2(7)$ above the lateral line (below the dorsal fin), 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest scales on flanks in 5 longitudinal rows, scales in middle row not larger than those in side rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly; not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting far anterior to the ventral fins and ending approximately above the middle of the base of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length less than 2 to more than 2 times as great as depth; length contained 3 to $23 / 4$ times in the length of the body, depth contained slightly over once to $11 / 3$ times in the length of the body; pectoral fins acute, nearly equal in length [to ventral fins], contained 6 to $61 / 3$ times in the length of the body; pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a low scaled sheath, acute, not or slightly emarginate, considerably lower and 4 to 5 times as short as dorsal fin, simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe generally slightly longer than lower lobe, contained nearly 4 to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Centre of the scale the upper part of the body faintly green or olive-green, lower part silver; iris yellow; fins yellowish- or pink-hyaline, of uneven colour, more or less speckled with dark. 200
B. 3. D. $4 / 22$ or $4 / 23$ to $4 / 25$ or $4 / 26$. P. $1 / 15$ or $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $6 / 16 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Labeobarbus leptocheilos K. V. Hass., Val.
Dangila Cuvieri Val., Poiss. XVI p. 174 fig. 470; Blkr, Verg. Bat. Gen, XXIII Ichth. M.O. Java p. 19.
Dangila de Cuvier Val. Poiss. XVI p. 174 fig. 740.
Dangila leptocheila Val., ib. P. 178.
Dangile à lèvres cachées Val., ib. P. 178.
Cyrene leptocheila Heck., Fisch. Syr. P. 35.
Wadon gunung, Millem Mal. Bat. Nilem, Tiworo Sund. Wader Jav.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Perdana, Lebak, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Tjikao, Ngawi, Surabaya), in rivers. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 37 specimens $84^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $275^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. The description of Dangila leptocheilus by Mr Valenciennes answers rather well to my specimens, but is little characteristic. The upper lip would not be nippled, which however is not likely. The differences in the scale formulas can be explained when one accepts that the count has not been done properly or that the observed specimen did not allow a proper count. In a few of my specimens there are also traces of a tail spot. I am of the opinion that Dangila leptocheilus Val. is the same as Dangila Cuvieri Val. and represents the medium age, whereas the specimen described by Mr Valenciennes as Dangila Cuvieri relates to the juvenile age, in which the head is relatively larger in proportion to the length of the body.

On Java this species is relatively common, especially in the higher parts of the large rivers. It offers a large variation regarding the relative height of the body. As a rule the males are remarkably more slender than the females.

The referred figure of Mr Valenciennes is a good representation of the habitus, but is not correct with regard to the formula of the scales. Also the upper lip nipples cannot be perceived.

Dangila sumatrana Blkr,<br>Diagn. Beschrijv. Nieuwe vischs. Sumatra, Tiental I-IV, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 596. Sumatrasche Lamba [Sumatran Lamba]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XV. fig. 4.

A Dangila with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $5 \frac{1}{4}$ to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in its length, width contained slightly more than twice in its depth. Head acute, contained about $61 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, hardly over 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained about $11 / 3$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times in its length, width contained about $12 / 3$ times 201 in its length; eyes superior, eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once the eye diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on forehead and crown, nearly straight, convex on nape and back; interorbital line convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, no visible small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the upper angle of the gill cover; snout fleshy, nearly flat, convex, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, not or hardly shorter than eye diameter; at the front covered with numerous very conspicuous pores in several transverse rows; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular, depth hardly or not greater than length, lower margin obliquely convex, anterior lateral margin convex, posterior lateral margin convex or angular, united into an acute, upwards pointing angle, close to the nostrils; second suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length about twice as great as depth, higher anteriorly than posteriorly, about 3 times as low as eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone; about 3 times as narrow as eye diameter; no conspicuous suborbital pores; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels much longer than nasal barbels, slightly longer than eye diameter; gape slightly parallelogram-shaped; jaws with a cartilaginous edge, at the anterior margin very obtuse, slightly truncate; upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, lower jaw at the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip; lips slightly fleshy, hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin covered with conical, short, obtuse, papillae in one row, lower lip slightly back-folded, entire, at underside with short grooves separated at the front by the very broad isthmus; chin hardly obliquely truncate because of hardly ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about 2 times in its depth, considerably more narrow than eye diameter, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate, more or


Fig. 51. Dangila sumatrana Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XV, Fig. 2. TL figure 179 mm .
less twisted chewing surface, margins elevated, irregular, teeth in anterior row in the front part of the top half traversed by a broad longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line convex, much higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins; scales oblique on the posterior part of the body, scales on the middle of the flanks slightly larger than on the rest of the body, hardly any or no visible longitudinal stripes on the basal half, conspicuous longitudinal stripes on the free half, 37 or 38 scales in the lateral line, 14 or 15 ? in a transverse row (lowest ventral scales included), of which $51 / 2$ (6) above the lateral line (below the dorsal fin), 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, slightly closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting far anterior to the ventral fins and ending above the anterior part of the base of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length less than twice as great as depth, length contained $3^{11 / 2}$ to $3^{1 / 5}$ times in the length of the body, depth contained once or more than once in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a low scaled sheath, acute, not or hardly emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin and less than 5 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe generally slightly longer than lower lobe, contained about 4? times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink; violet-dark, slightly diffuse head-tail band over its total length traversed by the lateral line; fins pink-hyaline or pink.
B. 3. D. $4 / 23$ or $4 / 24$. P. $1 / 15$. V. 2/8. A/ 3/5 or 3/6. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Solok, Lahat), in the river.
Length of sole specimen $185^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Dangila sumatrana must be very closely related to Dangila philippinia. 202 Judging from the short description of this species by Heckel it differs only from it by a longer dorsal fin and a longitudinal body band.

My single specimen of this species is in a little satisfying state of preservation. A further detailed investigation has made me recognize the earlier not perceived upper lip nipples, whereas also the implantation grooves of the largely lost scales made it possible rather to decipher sufficiently the formula of the scales. The species is also related to Dangila leptocheilos Valenciennes. Comparing specimens of this species of equal size as my specimen of Dangila sumatra with the last mentioned one, the differences in
habitus of the body and head become very apparent, as in Dangila leptocheilos the back is remarkably higher and more arched, the head remarkably more blunt and relatively higher and the dorsal fin longer. The principal difference to me seems to be the presence of one scale row more above the lateral line in Dangila leptocheilos.

> Dangila philippinia Blkr. Philippijnse Lamba [Philippine Lamba].

## Description following Heckel [Translated from German]

"Body elongated, especially in the back region strongly compressed; Head small, obtuse, 2/13 of the total length, or $2 / 3$ of the largest body depth. Eyes $1 / 3$ of the head length, dorsal fin basis very long, equalling $11 / 2$ times the largest body depth. The middle of the anal fin base lies under the end of the dorsal fin base. Scales large, almost everywhere of the same size; 37 scales in the lateral line, 6 scale rows above and 5 under the lateral line. D. 3/23. A. 3/5."

Syn. Cyrene philippinia Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 5. Cyrene philippina Heck. Fisch. Syr. Nachtr. p. 133.
Hab. Philippine Isl.
Length of the described specimen 6 inches.
Remark. Judging from the short description by Heckel, this species would only differ from Dangila sumatrana by a shorter dorsal fin and the absence of a brown longitudinal body band. A further investigation and a comparison of specimens of both species after nature are necessary to determine possible further existing differences. In the light of the large distance of the Philippine islands from Sumatra it is not likely that both species can be reduced to one.

Dangila festiva Blkr,<br>Act. Soc. scient. Ind. Neerl. Tiende bijdr. ichth. fauna v. Borneo. p. 16. Borneosche Lamba [Bornean Lamba]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XV fig. 6.

A Dangila with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $43 / 4$ to $41 / 2$ times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head acute, contained about $53 / 5$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $41 / 3$ times 203 in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width about $13 / 4$ times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained about $2^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained nearly once to once in the post ocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping, nearly straight on forehead and crown, convex on nape and back; interorbital line slightly convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils slightly tubular, small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the upper angle of the gill cover in one row, little conspicuous to not visible; snout fleshy, slightly flat, convex, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, shorter than eye diameter, the anterior part covered with several large, very conspicuous pores in two transverse rows; anterior suborbital bone irregularly triangular, depth hardly to not greater than length, lower margin oblique, slightly convex, anterior margin concave and posterior margin undulate or angular, united into a acute, upwards pointing angle, which ends between the nostrils and the eye; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, much deeper anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth, more than 4 times as low as eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones more than 4 times as narrow as eye diameter; no visible suborbital pores;


Fig. 52. Dangila festiva Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XV. Fig. 4. TL figure 93 mm .
barbels thin, upper jaw barbels longer than nasal barbels; hardly to considerably longer than eye diameter; gape slightly parallelogram-shaped; jaws with a cartilaginous edge, anterior margin strongly obtuse, slightly truncate; upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, lower jaw at the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip; lips slightly fleshy, upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin entire, covered with one row of conical, short, obtuse papillae, lower lip slightly back-folded, free margin entire, short grooves at the underside far apart at the front because of the broad isthmus; chin lightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth, much narrower than the eye diameter, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each with an obliquely truncate chewing surface, margins slightly elevated, teeth in anterior row on the chewing surface more or less twisted, at the front of the top half traversed by a broad, longitudinal groove; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal line convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, the free margin very convex, scales on the middle of the flanks slightly larger than on the rest of the body, no visible longitudinal stripes on the basal half, hardly visible stripes or no stripes on the free half; 33 or 34 scales in the lateral line, 16 in a transverse row (lowest ventral scales included), of which $7 \frac{1}{2}$ (8) above the lateral line (under dorsal fin), 11 in a longitudinal row between the occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in 3 longitudinal rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting far anterior to the ventral fins and ending above the middle of the base of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, length nearly twice to twice as great as depth, length contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the body, depth contained $11 / 4$ times to hardly more than once in the depth of the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about $61 / 4$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a low scaled sheath, not or hardly emarginate, acute, much lower than the dorsal fin and about 5 times as short, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained nearly 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body bluish-green, lower part silver; iris pink or yellow; scales on back and flanks each with a transverse, crescent-shaped dark or violet band, fins pink, dorsal and caudal fin of a deeper colour than the other fins, dorsal fin at the top with a broad blackish-violet border, caudal fin in the middle of both lobes with a broad, longitudinal violet-black band.

Syn. Cyrene festiva Heck., Abbild. Beschreib. Fisch. Syriens p. 35, Nachtr. P. 183.
Hab. Borneo (Kahajan), in rivers.
Length of 5 specimens $82^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $98^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The first knowledge of this species is also due to Heckel just like that of Dangila ocellata. It is brief, but sufficiently recognizable described in his Fische Syriens and easy to recognize by its longitudinal blackish bands on the caudal fin lobes, which one does not find in any of the remaining species of Dangila. Indeed it stands in relationship between Dangila sumatrana Blkr. and Dangila lipocheilus Val. and distinguishes itself, apart from the caudal fin bands, moreover from Dangila sumatrana by 4 or 5 scales [less] in the lateral line and by 2 scales more above the lateral line, and from Dangila lipocheilus by a few scales less in the lateral line and three scale rows more above the lateral line.

## Dangila cynopareja Blkr. - <br> Heckel's Lamba.

## Description following Heckel

[translated from German]
"Habitus like Cyprinus Idus L. Head slightly less than $1 / 5$ of the total length, or $2 / 3$ of the largest body depth. Eyes small, $1 / 4$ of the head length. The length of the dorsal fin base is equal to the body depth, the length of the first ray is equal to the head length. The origin of the anal fin lies behind the end of the dorsal fin base. Large scales, especially at the origin of the lateral line, which contains 35 scales and has 5 scale rows above, and 4 scale rows below it. A blue spot on the gill cover, against the upper corner of the gill slit. D. 3/17 A. 3/5".

Syn. Cyrena cyanopareja Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 53, Nachtr. p.183.
Hab. Philippine islands.
Length of the described specimen 5 inches.
Remark. The differences between Dangila cyanopareja and Dangila lipocheilus Val. seem to be very small, at least as far as the proportions of the body and the formulas of the scales and fin rays are concerned. Still the species in question would have a blue spot on the opercle of which there is no question in the description of Dangila lipocheilus Val. and which I also not find indicated in a figure of the species which is in my possession. Both species equally deserve to be compared further with each other like Dangila philippinia and Dangila sumatrana.

> Dangila lipocheilus Val., Dangile à lèvres minces Poiss. XVI p. 176. Van Hasselt's Lamba.

As I have seen no specimen the description is taken from Valenciennes: [Translated from French]
"These cyprinids have the anteriormost suborbital bone very much larger than the ordinary Barbines, as it is extended till the end of the snout: this gives them a peculiar look. The back outline is straight but elevated, the back is rounded; the belly is compressed, the outline 205 curved. The body depth goes less than four times in the length and the body width goes $2^{2 / 3}$ times its depth.
The head is small, the frontcompressed, large; the snout obtuse: the small eye is placed posteriorly and


Fig. 53. Dangila lipocheilus Val. (Cop. v. Hass.) Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VII, Fig. 1. TL figure 68 mm .
superiorly; the suborbital is large; the posterior part is very small; the tree others are striped; the preopercle is small; the opercle is large, smooth and without stripes; the lips are fleshy, thin; there is a small knob at the symphysis, which enters a withdrawn angle in the upper jaw, as in mullets and in other Cyprinoids. There are four short barbels, one at each commissure, the other near the end of the snout. The dorsal starts at one third of the body and is slightly extended, and the first ray is small as in bleaks. The shoulder bone is rounded, small, pectoral fin pointed, ventral fin normal, anal fin high, but somewhat long, rostrally somewhat pointed, caudal fin deeply forked.
A. $2 / 8(\mathrm{read} 2 / 18)$. P. 16. V. 8. A. 6. C. 20.

Lateral line straight, on the middle of the body, the scales are small, thin, without stripes, 86 in a longitudinal series, 14 in a transverse series; a large pointed scale covers the axil of the ventral fins.
The colour of the back is lead gray, belly and flanks are greenish with golden reflection, near the tail turning to silvery white. Dorsal fin whitish, with a large elongated black blotch dorsally. Pectorals, pelvics and anal pale yellowish; caudal fin gray with a black margin. On the drawing sent from Java by Misters Kuhl and Van Hasselt, the back is green, the belly bluish; there is yellow on the opercle and on the flanks; the dorsal and caudal fin are bluish; The specimen deposited in Leiden has a length of 8 inches."

Syn. Labeobarbus lipocheilos K. v. H. Mss according to Val. Cyrene lipocheila Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 35, Nachtr. p. 183.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Tjilakahan), in rivers.
Remark. Of this species I do not possess a single specimen, notwithstanding it would occur at Batavia, at least according to a figure left by Kuhl and van Hasselt and marked Labeobarbus lipocheilus. According to this figure, which is taken form a specimen of 70 mm length, the formula of the dorsal fin would be $2 / 18$, which answers well to the formula of Mr Valenciennes, where the formula $=2 / 8$ apparently has to be read as $2 / 18$.

The species then would primarily be recognizable by the low number of its dorsal fin rays, whereas the dorsal fin itself, judging from the figure, is remarkable by its shortness, as its length goes 4 times in the length of its body and is considerably less than two times its height. The figure shows 5 or $51 / 2$ scale rows above the lateral line, which number however needs to be further confirmed as the figure indicates 32 scales in a longitudinal row, whereas Mr Valenciennes mentions 36 scales in the lateral line. In the mentioned figure is indicated as well that the species is also found twice as large as on the illustration, on the south coast of Java, near Tjilankalan, in almost stagnant waters.
[N.B. In the Atlas Ichthyologique des Indes Orientales Néêrlandaises, Vol. III, Bleeker (1963: 48) restricts the name Dangila lipocheilus Val. to the specimen figured by Van Hasselt as Labeobarbus lipocheilus. In Vol. II of the Atlas (Bleeker, 1862: PL VII, Fig. I) a figure is given which is a copy of the original, drawn by Bleeker's artist L. Speigler. This figure is reproduced above.]

206 Dangila spilurus Blkr,<br>Nieuwe Bijdr. ichthyol. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. I p. 272. -<br>Staartvlekkige Lamba [Tail-spot Lamba]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XV fig. 1.

A Dangila with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained about 5 times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head acute, contained more than 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, about $41 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained about $12 / 5$ times in its length, width contained about $12 / 3$ times in its length; eyes slightly superior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $12 / 5$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile forehead and crown slightly convex, convex on nape and back; interorbital line slightly convex; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils open, can be closed by means of a valve; anterior nostrils with an elevated margin, slightly tubular; small pores on both sides between the nostrils and the upper angle of the gill cover, in one longitudinal row, little conspicuous; snout fleshy, nearly flat, convex, slightly protruding anterior to the mouth, hardly or not shorter than eye diameter; at the front covered with several little conspicuous pores; anterior suborbital bone quadrangular, length hardly or not greater than depth, lower margin nearly straight; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length more than twice as great as depth, not much higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than 2 times as great as depth, anteriorly not much higher than posteriorly, more than 3 times as low as eye diameter; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone hardly broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, many times as low as eye diameter; no visible suborbital pores; upper jaw barbels considerably longer than nasal barbels and than eye diameter; gape slightly parallelogram-shaped; jaws with a cartilaginous edge, anterior margin very obtuse, slightly truncate; upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, lower jaw at the posterior part of the symphysis with a conical, little conspicuous tubercle; upper lip fleshy, hanging anterior to upper jaw, free margin covered with one row of conical, short, obtuse, conspicuous papillae; lower lip very fleshy, back-folded, entire, the grooves at the underside kept far apart at the front because of the broad isthmus; chin lightly obliquely truncate because of ascending lower jaw; width of gill cover contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth, not narrower than eye diameter, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, with a slightly truncate chewing surface, slightly lobed; scapula triangular, slightly obtusely rounded; dorsal line convex, higher than convex ventral line; scales oblique (the superior angle of the free margin placed anterior to the lower angle of the free margin), scales on the middle of the flanks slightly larger than on the rest of the body, about 28 scales in the lateral line, about 12 in a transverse row (lowest ventral scales in-


Fig. 54. Dangila spilurus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XV, Fig. 1. TL figure 79 mm .
cluded), of which $41 / 2$ (5) above the lateral line, 9 or 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly; dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to the ventral fins and ending rather far anterior to the anal fin, base length contained about $52 / 3$ times in the length of the body, acute, emarginate, slightly lower than the body, not or hardly higher than base length; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length?, contained about 7 ? times in the length of the body; anal fin acute, emarginate, considerably lower than dorsal fin and less than 3 times as short, nearly twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute (partly missing). Colour: upper part of the body pink-green, lower part silver; tail with a blackish round spot in the lateral line close to the base of the caudal fin; fins pink.
> B. 3. D. $4 / 10$ or $4 / 11$. P. $1 / 12$ ? V.2/7 or $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

> Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin), in the river.
> Length of the sole, badly preserved, specimen $75^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. I have had to take the above given description from the same damaged specimen from which I described the species for the first time in 1850, as I did not 207 succeed in obtaining new specimens.

The species is easily recognizable in its genus by its small number of scales and a short dorsal fin. Because of its short dorsal fin it approaches more than any other species the genus Barbichthys, however in habitus of the head it deviates rather much from it because of the slight development of the suborbital bones.

> Abrostomus Smith,
> Illustrat. Zoöl. South Africa, Pisc. Tab. and pag. 12 -
> Weak-mouth carp.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 4, upper lip (or nasal?) barbels and maxillary barbels. Snout fleshy, entire, hardly protruding anterior to mouth, not lobed at the flanks. Upper lip thick, fleshy, entire, not papillose or fringed, united with lower lip. Gape small, parallelogram-shaped. Lower lip entire, not lobed or fringed. Postlabial groove simple on both sides, longitudinally directed towards the margin of the mouth. Dorsal fin staring anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally.

Remark. The genus Abrostomus rightly is considered a proper genus by Mr Andrew Smith. Heckel also accepted it as such, but did not place it under his Temnochilae, to which it however, according to the figure of Mr Smith in his Illustrations of the Zoology of South Africa (Pisces tab. 12 fig. a) apparently belongs. In relationship the genus stands partly between Labeo and Rohithichthys, and partly between Labeo and Crossocheilos. Among the Labeonines it is primarily characterized by its round connected smooth edged lips and its parallelogram-shaped mouth opening, while the recognition is still made easier by the little bulging, neither grooved, nor lobed snout and relatively small scales (more than 70 to more than 100 in a longitudinal row, at least according to the figures). When the illustrations of Abrostomus umbratus and Abrostomus capensis are correct with regard to the cirri, the genus would have the peculiarity that the upper pair of barbels is not implanted as usual, on the snout, but on the upper lip itself. In his description Mr Smith however speaks repeatedly of snout barbels and therefore the illustrations may be incorrect with regard to this char-
acter. However it deserves to be noted, that in the four remaining Cyprinoids from the Cape depicted in the mentioned work, 208 the snout barbels are presented properly as taking their rise from the snout. Regarding the dentition one finds no clarification from Mr Smith. The two mentioned species from the Cape are the only ones that till now have become known of this genus. It seems that the genus is restricted to South Africa.

## Barbichthys Blkr. - <br> Santran.

Body slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare, not tumid. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Snout not fleshy, entire, protruding anterior to the mouth, not lobed at the sides, free margin not papillose or fringed. Supermaxillary bones completely covering the intermaxillary bones, intermaxillary and inframaxillary bones united with the bones on the opposite side in an obtuse angle, edges thin. Lower jaw tubercle at the symphysis. Gape angular. Lips thin, entire, not papillose or with a fringed margin, upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, confluent with lower lip, lower lip backfolded, not lobed, inserted hardly behind edge of the jaw. Postlabial groove simple, parallel to the lower margin of the jaw, united with the groove on the opposite side. Suborbital bones bare, the anterior bone slightly resembling a horse shoe, the others strongly elevated, largely covering the cheeks. Dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, scaleless at the base, the posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, inserted in fragile bones.

Remark. I base this genus on a species, which Van Hasselt already indicated with the name Barbus nudicephalus, Mr Valenciennes described in the large Histoire narurelle des Poissons under the name Barbus laevis, and which I myself have described, after the various states in which I observed it, under the names Barbus brachynemus, Barbus gobioides and Barbus taeniopterus.

However, that species does not belong to Barbus, but to a totally different genus, which, because of its naked jaws and free lips can be placed in the Labeonines. The thin upper lip is hanging completely free before the upper jaw, however the lower lip is implanted very close to the anterior edge of the lower jaw, so that it without more detailed research seems to envelop the lower jaw as in Barbus.

With the structure of the mouth parts, which is that of the Labeonines, the 200 habitus of the entire body is also in agreement, and the pharyngeal bone teeth are build after the type of the Labeonines of the Old World.

Barbichthys is closely related to Dangila and principally distinguishes itself from it by a snout that is not fleshy, an upper lip without nipples, very much developed high suborbital bones, of which the anteriormost is more or less horse shoe shaped, an angular gape, a hardly from the lower jaw separated lower lip and a short dorsal fin.

Till now only a single species is known. Under the numerous still insufficiently elucidated Cyprinoids from South America I see not one, which can be placed in the genus Barbichthys, and the specimens sent to me from the large Sunda Islands and earlier considered by me as belonging to two species, since then by comparing a large series of specimens appeared to be only a single species, which is the same as Barbus laevis.

# Barbichthys laevis Blkr. Gewone Santran [Common Santran]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XVIII. 

A Barbichthys with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 3$ to $51 / 4$ times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to 2 times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, convex, contained $41 / 3$ to $61 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $31 / 3$ to 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to $11 / 5$ times in its length, width contained nearly 2 to $12 / 5$ times in its length; eye diameter contained 3 to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 4$ to $13 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to nearly $2^{1 / 2}$ times the eye diameter, palpebral membrane rather broadly covering the external margin of the iris, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, fleshy at the tip, protruding anterior to the mouth, in juveniles not or hardly longer than the eye, in adult fishes much longer than the eye, nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostrodorsal profile convex on head and nape, between occiput and nape in old animals generally slightly concave; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone in shape slightly reminding of a horse foot, the nearly horizontal hoof forward pointing only at the tip, the middle traversed by a longitudinal, generally branched crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone pentagonal, in younger animals length not or hardly greater than depth, lower than the eye, in old animals depth frequently greater than length, not lower than the eye, at lower margin nearly horizontal, the anterior and posterior lower margins nearly vertical, the slightly concave upper margins united into an acute, upward pointing angle, bordering on the first suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone very broad and convex, at the posterior margin close to the posterior margin of the preoperculum; upper jaw moderately vertically downward protrusable, ending far anterior to the eye; gape transverse with an obtuse angle, the anterior (superior) margin three-fold, formed by the intermaxillary bone and the intermaxillary and rostral parts of the lip, intermaxillary margin acute, symphysis with a slight incision; intermaxillary lip thin, membranous, rather broad, hanging from the anterior margin of the intermaxillary bone; rostral lip with a thin margin hanging from the tip of the snout and the anterior surface of the supramaxillary bone; gape posteriorly (at the underside) with a double margin, anterior margin formed by the edge of the inframaxillary bone, posterior margin formed by the lower lip; lower lip or skin fold of the chin rather broad, membranous, hanging from total margin of the anterior inframaxillary bone, entire, its free margin nearly parallel to the gape; lower jaw at the symphysis with a tube-shaped, conical, very conspicuous tubercle, slightly


Fig. 55. Barbichthys laevis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XVIII. TL figure 323 mm.
hooked at the tip, at the underside on both branches about 4 pores placed in a longitudinal row, not always visible; barbels thin, nearly equal in length, twice or less than twice as short as the eye, anterior barbels with their insertion far removed from the first suborbital bone, close to the tip of the snout; width of gill cover contained nearly twice to twice in its depth, lower margin slightly convex or nearly straight; gill 210 opening ending below the posterior angle of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2., inserted in thin, fragile bones; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, rounded, not ridged behind ventral fins; back rather elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; scales for the lower half and generally also for the basal half with fan-like stripes, 36 to 39 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (excluding the lowest ventral scales) of which $6^{1} / 2$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in 5 longitudinal rows, scales in middle row gradually increasing in size towards the back, not larger than those in the side rows; lateral line nearly straight, slightly curved only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting anterior to the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not or only slightly lower than the body, a lot higher but less than twice as high as base length; the simple ray thin, smooth, largely cartilaginous, flexible on the posterior side, not or not much longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length or pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained 6 times to $61 / 3$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins in younger animals, in old animals not reaching ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, not or slightly emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, about twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $31 / 3$ to 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body bluish-green; iris yellow tinged with pink; gill cover with a diffuse fiery red spot, not visible in conserved specimens, fins yellow or pink, at the base frequently beautiful red, caudal fin on both lobes with a longitudinal, intramarginal blackish-violet stripe; dorsal fin in younger animals with an oblique, broad blackish band, descending from the top of the fin towards the posterior part of the base of the fin and above the base anteriorly with a large black trigonal blackish spot.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus nudicephalus K. v. H., according to an unpublished drawing. Barbus laevis Val., Poiss. XVI p. 145; Blkr, Zevende bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. P. 447.
Barbus lisse Val., Poiss. XVI p. 145.
Barbus brachynemus Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 18.
Barbus gobiodes Blkr, Diagn. beschr. vischs. Sumatra Tient. I-IV, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 592.
Barbus taeniopterus Blkr, Ind. descr. pisc. Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. XIV p. 475.
Battu-ulu Lampong, Wadon gunong Mal. Bat., Santran Sundan., Wader Javan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Tangerang, Bankasbetong, Lebak, Buitenzorg, Parongkalong, Surabaya, Gempol), in rivers.
Sumatra (Province of Lampong, Pangabuang, Palembang, Lahat), in rivers.
Borneo (Pengaron), in rivers.
Length of 48 specimens $68^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $340^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Complete series of specimens of the species in question from Java, Sumatra and Borneo, have made me recognize that my earlier Barbus taeniopterus (Barbus gobioides Blkr nec Val.) can be reduced to it, just like I have already indicated earlier that my Barbus brachynemus similarly represents Barbus laevis.

The species was already known to Van Hasselt, as appears from a 211 drawing left by him, which bears the name of Barbus nudicephalus and of which I possess a copy. That drawing however, although it makes the species recognizable, apparently was made after a discoloured specimen and moreover possesses various faults.

We owe the first description of this species to Mr Valenciennes, however the description of the shapes is too incomplete and that of the colouration taken from the aforementioned figure, to defective, to recognize the species from. When I thus described the species for the first time, I was of the opinion to have a proper species before me, notwithstanding that the specimens after which my description of Barbus brachynemus was taken, left to be desired with regard to the colouration. The first well preserved specimen of Barbichthys laevis that I observed, I received in 1852 from Palembang and was 105 mm long. The dark violet caudal fin bands and red oblique longitudinal band on the dorsal fin, which indeed disappear in older specimens, made me treat it as a proper species, which I named Barbus gobioides, a name, which I since discovered had already been given to another species and which for that reason was changed to Barbus taeniopterus.

The Santran is not rare on Java, however, on eastern Java it seems to be more common than on the western part of the island. With regard to Sumatra and Borneo, I received it only from the southern parts of these islands. Elsewhere I have already placed in notice the fact that the fish fauna of south eastern Sumatra and south eastern Borneo has more in common with that of Java than that of the remaining areas of both these islands.

## Morara Blkr.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare, thin at the cartilaginous edge. No barbels. Snout not fleshy, entire, obtuse, convex, protruding anterior to the mouth, not lobed at the sides, free margin not papillose or fringed. Supramaxillary bones completely covering intermaxillary bones. Lower jaw without tubercle at the symphysis. Gape crescent-shaped when the mouth is closed. Lips thin, entire, not papillose or fringed, upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, confluent with lower lip, lower lip inserted slightly behind the edge of the jaw. Suborbital bones bare, anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, pointing upward at the tip, other suborbital bones very broad, largely covering the cheeks. Dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, 212 scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Anal fin longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated, in three rows?, the chewing surface obliquely truncate, flat.

Remark. The genus Morara in relationship seems to me to be standing between Barbichthys and Mrigala, but to posses most resemblance with Barbichthys, of which it primarily differs by the very thin acute flat lower jaw without a knob on the symphisis, a very thin lower jaw, pentangular anteriormost suborbital bone, a crescent shape gape, the presence of barbels, a dorsal fin that does not begin anterior to the ventral fins, a longer anal fin, etc.

I base this genus on Cyprinus morar Buch., of which I posses a juvenile specimen, which is in a not very good state of preservation.

I cannot determine the number of teeth, however they surely belong to the dentes aggregati with obliquely truncated flat masticatory planes.

Judging from what Mr MacClelland says about his Leuciscus margarodes, this species can also be placed in Morara.

## Semiplotus Blkr.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Lower jaw attenuate into a cartilaginous edge. No barbels. Head and snout entire, very fleshy. Intermaxillary bones affixed to maxillary bones and
maxillary bones affixed to nasal bones and suborbital bones, resulting in an immobile upper jaw. Lips not papillose or fringed, lower lip hardly back-folded. Gape inferior. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending above anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, not serrated. Teeth?

Remark. I erect this genus based on the species, described and depicted by Mr MacClelland under the name Cyprinus semiplotus. Mr Valenciennes has included this species among the species of Cyprinus, however Heckel rightly separated it from it and placed it in his Temnochilae, where he placed it in his genus Cyprinion. It surely is related to Cyprinion, but it differs from it not only by the absence of barbels, but also by its very fleshy head and snout, its firmly connected premaxillary-, upper jaw-, nasaland suborbital bones, the unserrated dorsal fin spine, and the extraordinary length of the dorsal fin itself, which has about 26 branched rays 213 and, judging from the figure, not only begins far anterior to the ventral fins, but even ends only above the middle of the anal fin.

The species is remarkable by its 9, in a transverse row placed, snout pores. Indeed it is still insufficiently known with regard to the structure of the lips, while the dentition has not been mentioned at all.

## Opistocheilos Blkr.

Body elongate or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with small scales. Jaws bare, thin at the cartilaginous edge. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Snout fleshy, entire, not lobed, protruding anterior to the mouth. Lips entire, not papillose or fringed, superior lip confluent with the free margin of the lower lip, lower lip back-folded. Dorsal fin ending anterior to or above ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin with a longitudinal fold at the base, covering the vent, provided with large scales. Pharyngeal teeth 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Remark. When Heckel, in a series of Cyprinoids received from Kashmir, observed the peculiar character of a longitudinal with large scales covered pleat, which covers the vent, he was of the opinion that all species which possessed this character should be placed in a proper genus, which he named Schizothorax. He made these species known in more detail in his "Fische aus Cashmir" which was published in 1833 in Vienna. However, already at that time Heckel divided the species known to him on the basis of the shape of their mouth parts in three groups, which he described as follows.
A. Lips at the margin attenuated into an edge, lower lip covered with a shiny cartilaginous membrane and its margin back-folded, entire; lower lip horizontal.
B. Lips at the margin attenuated into an edge, soft; back-folded margin of lower lip interrupted in the middle.
C. Lips thickened, shortened.

Heckel correctly realized later that his original genus Schizothorax was a complex genus, and in 1847, in his "Nachtrage zur Charakteristik und Classification der Cyprineën Gattungen" he separated those species of Schizothorax, in which the lower jaw ends in a thin cartilaginous rim. These species he placed in a proper genus of his Temnochilae, which he named Schizopyge and in which he included all species, which can be placed in his above mentioned groups A and B, i. e. Schizopyge plagiostomus Heck, 214 Schizopyge sinuatus Heck., Schizopyge curvifrons Heck., Schizopyge longipinnis Heck., Schizopyge niger Heck. and Schizopyge nasus Heck.

I go a step further than Heckel and consider his $A$ and $B$ as separate genera, which by the shape of the lips differ enough from each other to elevate them to separate genera.

Opistocheilos thus is formed at the cost of Schizopyge Heck. and contains only Heckel's Schizopyge plagiostomus and Schizopyge sinuatus, while the other species can remain in Schizopyge.

Department C of Heckel answers also to Schizothorax, as he later conceived it. His department B has the same meaning as Schizopyge, as I accept this genus and will describe it further below, whereas his department A is synonymous with the genus Opistocheilos, as it is defined above.

Apart from both mentioned species, till now I do not know any other species that can be placed in Opistocheilus, unless maybe, at least judging from the illustration, Racoma nobilis McCl ., and also Schizothorax proprius McCl . The genus Opistocheilos still belongs to the real Labeonines with pharyngeal teeth in three rows, but it is the only known one in which the posteriormost undivided dorsal fin ray is bony and at the same time serrated and where an anal sheath with large scales is found.

> Cochlognathus Baird Gir., Notic. of a new genus of Cyprinidae in Proceed. Acad. Nat scienc. Philad. VII 1854 p. 150; Girard, Cyprin. Fish Unit. States ibid. VIII 1856 p. 181 .

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare, spoon-shaped, acute at the edge. No barbels. Snout entire, obtuse. Gape small, terminal. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, simple ray bony? (structure of Pimephales Gir.). Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, hooked 4/4.

Remark. This genus primarily seems to be characterized by the spoon-shaped appearance of the jaws. I see only a single species of it mentioned, Cochlognathus ornatus Baird Gir. Mr Girard also mentions that the dorsal fin has the same shape as that of Pimephales, which may mean that the last undivided dorsal fin ray is similarly bony.

215 Pimephales Raf.<br>Ichth. Ohiens.; Ag., Ichth. Pacif. Slope N. Amer. P. 35 in Amer Journ. Science arts 2 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Ser. XIX; Gir., Cyprin. Fish. Unit. Stat. In Proceed. Acad. nat. scienc. Philad. VIII p. 180.

Body oblong, slightly fusiform, covered with large scales. Jaws equal, bare, cartilaginous, hard. No barbels. Head short, conical. Snout obtuse, entire. Gape curved, terminal. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly anterior to ventral fins, posterior simple ray bony. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, slightly hooked $4 / 4$, with a thin chewing surface.

Remark. The genus Pimephales among the Labeonines of the New world is the counterpart of Opistocheilos Blkr, by its spine-like developed posteriormost dorsal fin ray, which however is not serrated like that of Opistocheilos. Concerning the special relation of the lips I find no elucidation neither with Mr Agassiz, nor by Mr Girard. Apart from the species discovered by Raffinesque (Pimephales promelas Raf.) Mr Girard brings two other species to Pimephales, i.e. Pimephales maculosus Gir. and Pimephales fasciatus Gir.

## Pseudogobio Blkr.

Body elongate, slightly fusiform-compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout entire, acute, prolonged, not protruding anterior to the mouth, at the underside not crenulated or lobed. Anterior suborbital bone placed far anterior to the eye. Upper lip hanging anterior to upper jaw, crenulate. Lower lip back-folded, trilobed. Mouth inferior, gape crescent-shaped or reminding of the shape of a horse shoe, when the mouth is closed. Lower jaw not tumid. Lateral line slightly curved. Vent opening far anterior to anal fin, close to the base of the ventral fins. Thoraco-gular region scaleless. Dorsal fin starting rather far anterior to base of ventral fins and ending hardly behind base of ventral fins, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally. Pharyngeal teeth thin, acute, 5/5.

Remark. I base the genus Pseudogobio on a species, depicted and extensively 216 described in the Fauna Japonica under the name Gobio esocinus. Mr Schlegel noticing the numerous peculiarities that this species offers, nevertheless placed it under the genus Gobio. Indeed in habitus it looks much like a Gobio, but important characters indicate a place for it far removed from Gobio. As the organization of the mouthparts is described by Mr Schlegel, there remains no doubt that the species belongs to the Phalocrognathines. However, in this large series of Cyprinoids it will still remain difficult to point out a right place for it. Therein it apparently belongs to the Labeonines, but it cannot be placed in any of the now already numerous erected genera. The position of the vent near the basis of the pectoral fins and the being scaleless of gular and pectoral area are characters by which it distinguishes itself from all other known genera of Labeonines. By its single rowed pharyngeal teeth it distinguishes itself moreover from all genera of Labeonines of the Old world and unites itself to the American genera Hyborhynchus Ag., Hybognathus etc. and by its upper jaw teeth [?] to the American genus Mylocheilos Ag., of which it differs however, apart from its dentition, by several other specialities in its organization.

I hold this genus for one of the most natural ones and named it after its apparent relationship with Gobio. - Pseudogobio esocinus till now is the only known representative.

> Mylocheilus Ag., Ichth. Faun. Pacif. Slope N. Amer. P. 44, in Americ. Journ. scienc. arts $2^{\mathrm{d}}$ Ser. Vol. XIX.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales. Jaws bare. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout rounded, entire. Gape nearly terminal, horizontal. Dorsal fin short, starting anterior to? ventral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth molar, not ring-shaped or grooved, permanent teeth 2.5/5.2 or with a row of deciduous teeth 3.2.5/5.2.3.

Remark. From what Mr Agassiz says about the horny sheaths of upper- and lower jaw it appears that Mylocheilus belongs to the Phalacrognathines. Mr Girard has further elucidated the dentition, but he says quite in contradiction to Mr Agassiz, that the ventral fins are implanted anterior to the rostral edge of the dorsal fin. Sir Richardson mentions concerning his Cyprinus (Leuciscus) caurinus, which belongs to Mylocheilus, that the ventral fins are implanted below the fifth dorsal fin ray, 217 which confirms the
definition of Mr Agassiz. For the rest I find with none of these three authors any information about the construction of the lips.

Apart from the mentioned species, two other have been placed in Mylocheilus, i.e. Mylocheilus lateralis Ag. and Mylocheilus fraterculus Gir.

Exoglossum Raf.;<br>Ichthyol. Pac. Slope N. Amer. p. 30<br>in Am. Journ. scienc. arts $2^{\text {d }}$ Ser. Vol. XIX; Girard, Cyprin. Fish. Unit. Stat. in Proc. Acad. nat. scienc. Phil. VIII 1856 p. 191.

Body elongate, cylindrical to slightly compressed, covered with medium-sized scales. Jaws bare. No barbels. Snout obtuse, convex, entire, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Upper lip entire, not papillose or fringed, confluent with lower lip. Gape slightly inferior. Lower lip on both sides broad, as if forming a lobe. Lower jaw protruding from between the lobes of the lower lip, which is missing at the symphysis, jaw itself therefore more or less trilobed. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, compressed, curved, hooked 1.4/4.1.

Remark. In the genus Exoglossum, as it is restricted by Misters Agassiz and Girard and described above, till now only two species can be placed, i.e. Exoglossum maxillingua Hald. Ag., the typical species already known to Raffinesque, and Exoglossum mirable, which was briefly described by Girard in the above mentioned paper. Exoglossum is one of the few genera of Labeonines of North America, of which the construction of the mouth parts is sufficiently described.

> Campostoma Ag.,
> Ichthyol. Pac. Slope N. Amer. p. 33 in Am. Journ. scienc. arts 2d Ser. XIX; Girard, Cyprin. Fish. Un. Stat. in Proc. Acad. nat. scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 176.

Body elongate, fusiform-compressed, covered with medium-sized scales. Jaws bare. No barbels. Snout obtuse, entire, protruding anterior to the mouth. Lips strongly developed. Gape low, curved. Ventral fins inserted anterior to dorsal fin. Dorsal fin with the posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, hooked, 1.4/4.1, with a thin chewing surface.

218 Remark. The genus Campostoma Ag. seems to differ from Exoglossum principally by the lip construction, although I do not see those of Campostomus described in detail. Mr Girard mentions 4 species of this genus, i.e. Campostoma anomalum Ag., Campostoma ornatum Gir., Campostoma formosulum Gir. and Campostoma ornatum Gir.

> Mylpopharodon Ag.,
> Gir., Res. Cyprin. Un. Stat.
> in Proceed. Acad. nat. scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 169.

Body elongate. Head slightly conical. No barbels. Gape large. Ventral fins inserted anterior to dorsal fin, dorsal fin with the posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth molar, slightly compressed at the crown, not gyrate or grooved, permanent teeth $2.4 / 4.2$ or $2.5 / 5.2$, or with a deciduous row 2.2.5/5.2.2 or 3.2.4/4.2.3.

Remark. This genus thus far has been defined little sufficiently. Concerning the construction of the jaws and lips I see nothing mentioned. As however the dentition shows a great resemblance to that of Mylocheilus and the species occur in western NorthAmerica, like those of Mylocheilus, one may suspect that this genus also belongs to the Labeonines. Mylopharodon conocephalus Gir. and Mylopharodon robustus are the only species, which I see mentioned as belonging to this genus.

Siboma Gir.,<br>Cyprin. Un. Stat. in Proceed. Acad. nat. scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 208.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Snout entire, cuneiform-rounded. Jaws equal. Gape small, terminal, horizontal. No barbels. Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, hooked, $1.4 / 5.2$ without chewing surface.

Remark. Of the diagnosis of this genus of Mr Girard the same can be said as that of Cliola (p. 221). It is based on Lavinia crassicauda Baird. Gir. The second known species of Siboma is Siboma atraria Gir.

> 219 Lavinia Gir.,
> Descr. new Fish. in Proc. Ac. nat scienc. Ph. 1854, Cypr. Fish. Unit. St. ib. VIII 1856 p. 184 = Acrocheilus Ag., Ichth. Pac. slope N. Am. p. 26 in Am Journ. scienc. arts $2^{\text {d }}$ Ser. XIX.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales. Jaws bare. No barbels. Snout entire, protruding above the mouth. Gape transverse when mouth is closed. Lower lip flat, with a truncate edge; upper jaw with a rounded edge. Upper lip fleshy, united with the edge of the lower jaw. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending above or anterior to the beginning of anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, hooked 5/5.

Remark. Mr Girard erected the genus Lavinia in 1854, but described it little sufficiently with the following words: "Mouth shaped as in Gila and Pogonichthys, but proportionally smaller than either and unprovided with barbel. Body covered with large scales as in Pogonichthys". Originally he placed three species in it, of which however Lavinia crassicauda Baird Gir. later was placed in Siboma, and Lavinia conformis Baird Gir. in Tigoma.

What is known about the more essential characters of this genus, we owe to Mr Agassiz, whom at the referred place further described it under the name Acrocheilus, after Acrocheilus alutaceus Ag. Pick. - In his later publication on the Cyprinoids of North America Mr Girard similarly gave a further description of the genus and he placed in the same one, apart from Acrocheilus alutaceus Ag. Pick, Lavinia exilicauda Baird Gir. a new species named Lavinia harengus.

Dionda Gir.,<br>Cypr. Fish. Un. St., Proc. Ac. nat. sc. Phil. VIII 1856 p. 176.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized or large scales. No barbels. Snout entire, prominent. Jaws bare, lower jaw flat, thin, with a rounded edge. Dorsal fin starting in front or above pectoral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, not hooked 4/4.

Remark. According to Mr Girard his genus Dionda is very closely related to the genera Hyborhynchus and Campostoma. He described no less than 9 species of $i t$, all of them new to science, i.e. Dionda episcopa, Dionda serena, Dionda texensis, Dionda papalis, Dionda argentosa, Dionda chrysitis, 220 Dionda melanops, Dionda Couchi, Dionda plumbea and Dionda spadicea.

Algoma Gir.,<br>Cyprin. Fish. Unit. States, Proceed. Acad. nat. scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 180.

Body elongate?, covered with large scales. No barbels. Head slightly truncate. Jaws bare, lower jaw shorter than upper jaw. Gape small, inferior. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like $4 / 4$, chewing surface nearly linear.

Remark. According to Mr Girard this genus seems to be related to Hyborhynchus and Pimephales, from which it can be distinguished principally by the large scales and also by the absence of dorsal fin spines. Only 2 species of it I see briefly described, Algoma amara and Algoma fluviatilis.

> Нувогнүnchus Ag.,
> Ichth. Pacif. slope N. Americ. p. 37 in Amer. Journ. scienc. arts 2 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Ser. XIX; Gir., Cyprin. Fish. Unit. Stat. Proc. Acad. nat. scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 184.

Body oblong, covered with large scales. Jaws bare, lower jaw at the edge broadly rounded. No barbels. Snout entire, gibbous, truncate. Gape inferior, small, horizontal. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, slightly hooked, $4 / 4$ with a thin, linear chewing surface.

Remark. Mr Agassiz considers the genus Hyborhynchus as closely related to Pimephales. He based it on Minnilus notatus Raf. Mr Girard placed yet four other species under the same genus i.e. Hyborhynchus perspicuous Gir., Hyborhynchus tenellus Gir., Hyborhynchus puniceus Gir. and Hyborhynchus confertus Gir.

Hybognathus Ag.,
Ichth. Pacif. slope N. Amer. p. 37 in Amer. Journ. scienc. arts 2d Ser. XIX; Gir., Cyprin. Fish. Unit. Stat. Proc. Acad. nat. scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 181.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare, lower jaw at the symphysis provided with a tubercle. No barbels. Snout 221 entire, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Gape nearly terminal, horizontal. Dorsal fin starting anterior to or above ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous, longer than following rays. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, not or hardly hooked, 4/4/ with a thin, linear chewing surface.

Remark. Mr Agassiz based the genus Hybognathus on his Hybognathus nuchalis. Mr Girard places Leuciscus nitidus De Kay in the same genus and moreover still 4 other species i.e. Hybognathus argyritis Gir., Hybognathus Evansi Gir., Hybognathus placitus Gir. and Hybognathus regius Gir.

Orthodon Gir.,<br>Cyprin. Fish. Unit. State., Proceed. Acad. natur. Scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 182.

Body slightly fusiform, covered with small scales. Jaws bare, equal. No barbels. Snout entire, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Lower jaw at the symphysis provided with a tubercle. Gape terminal, oblique, medium-sized. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like, lanceolate, nearly straight $5 / 5$.

Remark. This genus seems to be closely related to Hybognathus. As single species Mr Girard places in it Gila microlepidota Ayr., the description of which, included in the first volume of the Proceedings of the California Academy natural sciences (1866), I cannot consult as I do not posses it.

## Cliola Gir.,

Cyprin. Fish. Unit. States, Proceed. Acad. nat. scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 192.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. No barbels. Snout entire, rounded. Jaws equal. Gape ample, terminal. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, hooked $4 / 4$ without chewing surface.

Remark. The description of this genus, like many others by Mr Girard, does not allow one to determine whether it is indeed can be placed in the Labeonines. I suspect such on the indication of Mr Girard, that it has 2222 the habitus of Dionda. I see three species placed in it: Leuciscus vigilax Baird Gir., Cliola velox and Cliola vivax Gir.

Algansea Gir.,<br>Cyprin. Fish. Unit. States. Proc. Acad. natur. scienc. Philad. VIII 1856 p. 182.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with medium-sized or large scales. No barbels. Head slightly conical. Snout entire, more or less acute. Jaws bare, equal. Gape medium-sized, oblique. Dorsal fin beginning anterior to or above ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like $4 / 4$ or $5 / 5$.

Remark. Mr Girard bases his genus on Leuciscus tintella Val., which in habitus would greatly resemble a tench. He made known three other species under the names Algansea bicolor, Algansea obesa and Algansea formosa.

## 228 STIRPS 2. Chondrostomini.

Cartilage lip carps.

Carp-like fishes with cartilaginous jaws, lower lip missing.
Remark. The second large series of the Phalacrognathines is easy recognizable by its bare chin, from which the lower lip is lacking.

This series is of equal significance as the series B of the Temnochilae in Heckel's above mentioned "Nachtrag". I name them Chondrostomines after the genus, which was the first to be erected in this series and soon afterwards received civil rights.

The Chondrostomines, as they are conceived here, exclusively belong to the eastern hemisphere, but even in this hemisphere they have a much more restricted distribution than the Labeonines. Indeed a number of Chondrostoma species live in southern Europe, in which continent no Labeonines are found, however, on the contrary they are lacking, except for a few species from Egypt and Abyssinia, in the whole of Africa like in the Indian archipelago.

The genera and species of the Chondrostomatines are also less numerous than those of the Labeonines. Only 9 of the genera of the Phalacrognathines belong to the Chondrostomines and these genera together comprise only slightly more than 60 species.

Two of those genera I could examine after nature, Mrigala from Bengal and Acheilognathus from Japan. They are also the only new generic types, which can be added to the ones already known.

Heckel contributed most to the further knowledge of the Chondrostomines. After Mr Agassiz had made known the genus Chondrostoma in the year 1837, Mr MacClelland with his genus Oreinus added a new type belonging to the same large series, without defining the relationship with Chondrostoma. Heckel, also recognizing this genus, named it Scaphiodon, but moreover he also proposed already in 1842 the genera Cyprinion, Gymnostomus, Chondrochilus and Chondrorhynchus. He dropped both last mentioned genera in 1847, however he erected on the contrary Dillonia, Schizopyge and Aspidoparia as new genera, deriving Schizopyge however from his earlier genus Schizothorax and also comprising therein some species, which can be placed in the Labeonines and which by me are separated from Schizopyge under the generic name Opistocheilus.

The Chondrostomines, although they do not possess the lower lip which is so important for the diagnosis of the genera of the Labeonines, offer still a series of characters that facilitate its grouping in genera.

One finds these characters again in the dentition, in the being cartilaginous or bony and serrated or not serrated of the posterior unbranched dorsal fin ray, the length of the dorsal fin, the proportion of the anal fin scales, the shape of the suborbital bones etc.

The genera with these characters can be separated as follow:
224 I. Pharyngeal teeth in one row, knife-like $5 / 5$ to $6 / 6$ or $7 / 6$. No dorsal fin.
A. Snout entire, protruding anterior to the mouth. No barbels. Dorsal fin ending far anterior to anal fin.

## Chondrostoma Ag.

B. Snout with fovea, not protruding anterior to mouth. Two barbels, upper jaw barbels. Dorsal fin ending above anal fin.

Acheilognathus Blkr.
II. Pharyngeal teeth in three rows.
A. Dorsal fin without spine.
a. Dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin, with few rays. No barbels. Suborbital bones covering cheeks. Teeth aggregated 2.4.4/4.4.2. Lateral line strongly sloping.

## Aspidoparia Heck.

b. Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins. Lateral line nearly straight.
$\dagger$ Barbels 2, upper jaws barbels or none. Snout entire at free margin.
Teeth shovel-shaped 2.3.4/4.3.2.

## Gymnostomus Heck.

†' Barbels 2, nasal barbels. Snout on free margin crenulate. Teeth aggregated 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Mrigala Blkr.
B. Dorsal spine bony.
a. Dorsal spine toothless. Anal scales normal. Dorsal fin with few rays. Teeth spoon-shaped, 2.3.4/4.3.2. No barbels.

Dillonia Heck.
b. Dorsal fin serrated.
$\dagger$ Anal scales normal, not larger than other scales.
Ô Dorsal fin with many rays. Two barbels, upper jaw barbels. Scales large. Teeth spoon-shaped 2.3.4/4.3.2.

## Cyprinion Heck.

Ô' Dorsal fin with few rays. Four barbels, nasal barbels and upper jaw barbels or 2, upper jaw barbels. Scales small or medium-sized. Teeth shovel-shaped 2.3.4/4.3.2.

Oreinos $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Scaphiodon Heck.
$\dagger+$ Anal scales much larger than other scales.
Ô Dorsal fin with few rays. Four barbels, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Scales small. Teeth aggregated 2.3.5/5.3.2.

## Schizopyge Heck.

Chondrosomini species known up till now.

" intermedius Blkr. = Capoëta intermedia T. Schl. = Devario intermedia Heck. limbatus Blkr. = Capoëta limbata T. Schl. = Devario limbata Heck. ......... Japan. rhombeus Blkr. = Capoëta rhombea T. Schl. = Devario rhombea Heck. . Japan. Aspidoparia sardina Heck. Assam.
Gymnostomus (Gymnostomus) syriacus Heck. = Chondrostoma syriacum Val. ..... Syria.
" (Acra) anisurus Blkr. = Gobio anisurus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Gymnostomus anisurus Heck. Bngala.
226 Gymnostoma (Acra) bicolor Blkr. = Gobio bicolor McCl. $=$ Gymnostomus bicolor Heck. Bengal.
" ( $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)$ acra Blkr. $=$ Cypr. acra Buch. $=$ Cypr. cura Buch. $=$
Gobio lissorhynchus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Gymnostomus lissorhynchus Heck. =Leuciscus acra Val.Bengal.
" ( ") limnophilus Blkr. = Cyprinus bangon Buch. = Gobio limnophilus$\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Gymnostomus limnophilus Heck.Bengal.
(" ) gangeticus Blkr. = Chondrostoma gangeticum Val. = Gymnostomus gangeticus Heck. Bengal.
( " ) fulungee Blkr. = Chondrostoma fulungee Syk. =Gymnostomus fulungee Heck. ............................................................... Deccan.
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) boggut Blkr. = Chondrostoma boggut Syk. = Gymnostomus boggut Heck. Deccan.
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) kawrus Blkr. = Chondrostoma kawrus Syk. =Gymnostomus kawrus Heck. .................................................................... Deccan.( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) wattana Blkr. $=$ Chondrostoma wattanah Syk. =Gymnostomus wattanah Heck.Deccan.
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) mullyah Blkr. = Chondrostoma mullyah Syk. = Gymnostomus mullya Heck. ..... Deccan.
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) dembensis Blkr. = Chondrostoma dembensis Rüpp. (not Val.) $=$ Gymnostomus dembensis Heck. ..... Egypt.
( ") Duvaucelii Blkr. = Chondrostoma Duvaucelii Val. = Tylognathus Duvaucelii Heck. Hindustan.
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) semivelatus Blkr. = Chondrostoma semivelatum Val. $=$ Tylognathus semilarvatus Heck. Hindustan.
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) bobree Blkr. = Varicorhinus bobree Syk. = Gibelion bobree Heck. . ..... Deccan.
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) potail Blkr. $=$ Cyprinus potail Syk. = Gibelion potail Heck. Deccan.
Mrigala Buchanani Blkr. = Cyprinus mrigala Buch. = Gobio mrigala McCl. = Cirrhina mrigala Val. = Isocephalus mrigala Heck. ..... Bengal.
" bengalensis = Cirrhina bengalensis Blkr. Bengal.
" cirrhosa Blkr. = Cyprinus cirrhosus Bl. = Cirrhina BIochii Val. =Isocephalus cirrhosus Heck.Hindustan.
" rubripinnis Blkr. = Cirrhina rubripinnis Val. = Isocephalus rubripinnis Heck. ... Bengal.
" reba Blkr. = Cyprinus reba Buch. = Gobio reba McCl . = Isocephalus reba Heck. Bengal.
227 " ? plumbea Blkr. = Cirrhina plumbea Val. = Isocephalus plumbeus Heck. ..... Pegu.
Dillonia abyssinica Heck. = Chondrostoma Dillonii Val. = Dillonia Dilonii Heck. ..... Abyssinia.
Cyprinion aculeatus Heck. $=$ Chondrostoma aculeatum Val. ..... Persia.
" cypris Heck. ..... Syria.
" kais Heck. ..... Syria.
" macrostomus Heck. ..... Syria.
" neglectus Heck. ..... Syria.
" tenuiradius Heck. ..... Syria.
Oreinus (Orein.) maculatus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Scaphiodon maculatus Heck. ..... Bengal.
" ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) tinca Blkr. = Scaphiodon tinca Heck. ..... Natolia.

| " | (Scaphiodon) | amir Blkr. = Scaphiodon amir Heck. | Persia. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | macrolepis Blkr. = Scaphiodon macrolepis Heck. | Persia. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | niger Blkr. = Scaphiodon niger Heck. | Persia. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | Saadii Blkr. = Scaphiodon Saadii Heck. | Persia. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | peregrinorum Blkr. = Scaphiodon peregrinorum Heck. | Syria. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | fratercula Blkr. = Scaphiodon fratercula Heck. | Syria. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | socialis Blkr. = Scaphiodon socialis Heck. | Syria. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | trutta Blkr. = Scaphiodon trutta Heck. | Syria. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | umbla Blkr. = Scaphiodon umbla Heck. | Syria. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | fundulus Blkr. = Cyprinus capoëta Güldenst. = Capoëta fundulus Val. = Scaphiodon capoëta Heck. | Syr. M. Casp. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | Burnesianus Blkr. = Cirrhinus Burnesiana. McCl . | Cabul. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | guttatus Blkr. = Oreinus guttatus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Scaphiodon guttatus Heck. | Butan. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | progastus Blkr. = Oreinus progastus $\mathrm{McCl} .=$ Scaphiodon progastus Heck. | Assam. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | Richardsonii Blkr. = Cyprinus Richardsonii Gr. = Scaphiodon Richardsonii Heck. | Bengal. ? |
| Schizopyge curvifrons |  | Heck. = Schizothorax curvifrons Heck. | Cashmir. |
|  | longipinnis Heck. = Schizothorax longipinnis Heck. |  | Cashmir. |
|  | niger Heck. = Schizothorax niger Heck. .................. |  | Cashmir. |
|  | nasus Heck. = Schizothorax nasus Heck. |  | Cashmir. |
|  | ? chrysochlora Blkr. = Racoma chrysochlora McCl . |  | Cabul riv. |
|  | ?? Griffithi Blkr. = Oreinus Griffithii McCl . |  | Afghanistan. |

228 Chondrostoma Ag.,<br>Mém. Sociét. scienc. natur. Neuchatel I. 1837;

Heck., Fisch. Syr. Nachr. p. 186; Heck. Kner, Fisch. Oestreich. Monarch. p. 217. = Chondrostomus, Chondrochilus, Chondrorhynchus Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 40, 41.

Body elongate, cylindrical-compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales. Lower jaw attenuated into a thin truncate cartilaginous edge. No barbels. Snout fleshy, entire, protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth bare. Gape inferior, transverse. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like 5/5, 6/6 or 7/6.

Remark. After the genus Chondrostoma had been erected by Mr Agassiz in 1837, Heckel (in 1842) believed it had to be split in three genera, which he based on the pharyngeal teeth formula. However, a few years later Heckel also accepted the genus Chondrostoma in the way that Mr Agassiz had defined it.

The genus seems to be proper to Europe and West-Asia. Heckel did bring up a species from America, Leuciscus nasutus Ayr. as a Chondrostoma, but only in company of a question mark, and since Misters Agassiz and Girard have made known so many related North American forms as generically differing from Chondrostoma, it is not to be suspected, that the aforementioned species of Mr Ayres indeed belongs to Chondrostoma. As I do not have to my disposal the journal in which that species is described and depicted, I cannot determine whether on the basis of the description and the illustration it can be placed in one of the genera of Phalacrognathines proposed by Misters Agassiz and Girard. Neither was I in the position to consult the description of Cyprinus labeo Pall., which occurs in north eastern Asia and similarly in the company
of a question mark, placed by Heckel in Chondrostoma. All remaining known species of Chondrostoma belong to Europe and West Asia.

## Acheilognathus Blkr.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare, thin at the cartilaginous edge. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout with a fovea, entire, obtuse, convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Supramaxillary bones largely covering intermaxillary bones. Lower jaw 229 flat , with a small tubercle at the posterior part of the symphysis. Gape crescent-shaped, when the mouth is closed. Upper lip very thin, on both sides united with the skin of the chin. No real lower lip. Suborbital bones bare, anterior suborbital bone pentagonal with an upward pointing tip, the others narrow. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending above the beginning of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray rigid, toothless. Anal fin not longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth compressed, hooked, in one row $5 / 5$, with a thin, nearly linear chewing surface.

Remark. The Japanese rivers are rich in endemic types of Cyprinoids.
In the Fauna Japonica all real Cyprinoids known from Japan have been placed in 5 genera, in Cyprinus, Carassius, Gobio, Capoëta and Leuciscus.

With the exception of the species placed in the two first named genera, which however are Cyprinines, those which are placed there in Gobio, Capoëta and Leuciscus, belong to different types, which according to the present state of knowledge can be placed in different genera. Thus Gobio esocinus T. Schl. is a Pseudogobio, Gobio barbus T. Schl. a Hemibarbus, Leuciscus variegates T. Schl. a Sarcocheilichthys, Leuciscus parvus T. Schl. and Leuciscus pusillus T. Schl. species of Pseudorasbora. Capoëta elongata T. Schl. and Capoëta gracilis T. Schl. probably belong to the subgenus Bengala of my genus Rasbora, whereas Leuciscus macropus, Leuciscus minor, Leuciscus Temminckii and Leuciscus Sieboldii of the Fauna Japonica to me seem to belong to the genus Opsarius, as it is restricted by me, or at least to a genus related to it. The remaining Cyprinoids mentioned in the Fauna Japonica, Capoëta lanceolata, Capoëta intermedia, Capoëta limbata and Capoëta rhombea, do not belong, as already indicated by Mr Schlegel himself, to the genus Capoëta Val., which is otherwise an artificial composition of species, but not a natural genus, but according to me rather could be placed in the genus Dario, until I, as a result of the receipt of a few specimens of Acheilognathus melanogaster from Jedo, will have recognized those species as belonging to the genus in question.

Aspidoparia Heck., Fish. Syriens Nachtr. p. 186.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare, thin at the cartilaginous edge. No barbels. Snout entire, prolonged. Suborbital bones covering the cheeks. Gape slightly inferior, semicircular, small. Lower lip missing towards symphysis. No 230 anal sheath with larger scales. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Anal fin longer. Ventral fins with 7 divided rays. Lateral line strongly sloping. Pharyngeal teeth aggregated 2.4.4/4.4.2.

Remark. Heckel erected this genus in 1847 after a specimen, which in habitus has much of Engraulis. Among the Chondrostmines the genus is remarkable because of the
high suborbital bones, which are covering the check, the position of the dorsal fin between the ventral fin and the anal fin, the strongly bended lateral line and the pavement shaped pharyngeal teeth.

> Gymnоsтомиs Heck., Fisch. Syriens p. 40, Nachtr. p. 185.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized or large scales. Jaws bare, with a cartilaginous edge. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels or none. Snout entire, more or less protruding anterior to the mouth. Lower lip missing near the symphysis. Anal sheath without larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pharyngeal teeth shovel-shaped 2.3.4/4.3.2.

Subg. Gymnostomus Heck. - Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels.
" Acra Blkr. - No barbels.

Remark. The genus Gymnostomus was first proposed by Heckel in 1842, however in 1847 he gave a new definition of it, which answers to the above mentioned. Following that definition the genus would distinguish itself from the other Chondrostomines by their construction of its three rows of pharyngeal teeth, its cartilaginous posteriormost unbranched dorsal fin ray and the implantation of the dorsal fin above or anterior to the ventral fins.

It does not appear that Heckel knew from nature any of the rather numerous species that were placed by him in his genus Gymnostomus, as even the characters derived from the dentition are only taken from one single species and indeed from the description and figure of Chondrostomus syriacum Val. in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons.

I myself neither know from nature any of the species which were placed in Gymnostomus by Heckel, and with regard to the insufficiency of the existing descriptions of those species only that of Chondrostoma syriacum excepted, little specific can be determined with regard to the essential relationships of those species. However, it ${ }^{2311}$ seems to me that they, when they will be better known, will turn out to belong to different genera.

If Chondrostoma syriacum Val. is thus placed in the subgenus Gymnostomus, one could provisionally gather together the remaining Heckelian species of Gymnostomus, which all are said not to posses barbels, under the subgeneric name Acra, which is derived from one of the Buchananian names. One could also add to it Chondrostoma Duvaucelli Val. and Chondrostoma semivelatum Val., which are placed by Heckel in his composed genus Tylognathus, as well as a few species with a longer dorsal fin, arranged by Heckel in his unacceptable genus Gibelion, i.e. Varicorhinus bobree Syk. and Cyprinus potail Syk.

## Mrigala Blkr.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales. Jaws bare, thin at the cartilaginous edge, lower jaw at the symphysis provided with a tubercle. Snout entire, more or less protruding anterior to the mouth, crenulated at the free margin. Barbels 2, nasal barbels. Lips
missing (both upper and lower lip). No anal sheath with larger scales. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Suborbital bones not covering the cheeks. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Remark. In my Nalezingen op de ichthyologische fauna van Bengalen en Hindustan I described a species under the name Cirrhina bengalensis, which later, after detailed examination of the mouth parts appeared to me to belong to the Chondrostomines, and therein to a proper genus, which can easily be distinguished from the other department by the presence of two snout barbels, with the simultaneous absence of upper jaw barbels, by the process on the symphysis of the lower jaw, by its cartilaginous unbranched posteriormost dorsal fin ray, which is implanted above or anterior to the ventral fins, and by the formulas of its pavement-like pharyngeal teeth.

I have named this genus Mrigala, after the specific name of Cyprinus mrigala Buch., which appears to me to belong to it as well, whereas I find it equally probable, that Cirrhina rubripinnis Val. and Cyprinus cirrhosa Bl. can be placed in it.

The genus Cirrhina, according to the opinion of Mr Valenciennes, differs from Mrigala by the construction of the mouth parts and belongs to the department of the 232 Cheilognathines as Mr Valenciennes says of it that it has "les lèvres et la bouche simples" [the plain lips and mouth] of the genus Barbus.

> Dillonia Heck.,
> Fisch. Syriens p. 183.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws bare, thin at the cartilaginous edge. No barbels. Snout entire, obtuse. No lower lip near the symphysis. Gape curved. Dorsal fin starting anterior to or above ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray thick, bony, smooth. Anal region without fold with larger scales. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped 2.3.4/4.3.2.

Remark. Heckel erected this genus after Chondrostoma Dillonii Val. from Abyssinia, which till now is the only known species of this genus. It can easily be distinguished from the related genera by its large scales, normal anal scales, unserrated dorsal fin spine and spoon shaped pharyngeal teeth.

> Cyprinion Heck., Fisch. Syriens p. 25 , Nachtr. p. 183.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Lower jaw attenuated into a thin, cartilaginous edge. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout entire, protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth bare. Gape inferior, transverse. Dorsal fin starting anterior to or above ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped 2.3.4/4.3.2. Two rows of larger scales on the tapering anterior part of the back.

Remark. Under his Temnochiles with a bare mouth and armed with a dorsal spine Heckel has placed 4 genera, i.e. Cyprinion, Dillonia, Schizopyge and Scaphiodon. Among these Cyprinion would be recognizable by its two upper jaw barbels, its long dorsal fin with a serrated spine and squamae distichae [a double row of scales] on the
neck. It seems to be a very natural genus. Apart from 5 species of Persia and Syria, which he knew from nature, Heckel placed in it Chondrostoma aculeatum Val. and Cyprinus semiplotus McCl . - Chondrostoma aculeatum indeed seems to be a Cyprinion, although it belongs to an aberrant type with a very slender body and a short dorsal fin (D. 10). On the contrary Cyprinus semiplotus McCl . does not answer to the generic diagnosis of Heckel and can neither be placed in Dillonia or Schizopyge or Scaphiodon. Above this species is already placed in a proper genus with the name Semiplotus.

233 Oreinus McCl.,<br>Res. Asiat. Soc. XIX p. 273. -<br>Mountain barbel = Scaphiodon Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 30, Nachtr. p. 184.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with small or medium-sized scales. Lower jaw attenuate into a cartilaginous edge. Barbels 4 or 2, nasal and upper jaw barbels or only upper jaw barbels. Snout entire, more or less fleshy. Gape inferior, transverse or more or less curved. Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Pharyngeal teeth shovel-shaped 2.3.4/4.3.2.

Subg. Oreinus. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels.
" Scaphiodon. Barbels 2, only upper jaw barbels.
Remark. Mr J. MacClelland erected this genus with the following characters: "Head fleshy, mouth directed downwards, lower jaw shorter than the upper, snout muscular and projecting, furnished with cirri, dorsal proceeded by a serrated spinous ray, scales small." In his diagnosis of Oreinus, in his article "Afghan collection of Fishes" included in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ part (1842) of the Calcutta Journal of Natural History, he further adds to this diagnosis: "The upper lip soft and continuous, with a reflected mammilated fold which passes across the lower jaw behind a hard and cartilaginous lower lip." The genus therefore is completely the same as the one Heckel erected in 1842 under the name Scaphiodon and Heckel has in fact placed all species that MacClelland placed in his genus Oreinus in his genus Scaphiodon.

The name Oreinus therefore needs to be retained for those species and their related ones, because if one wished to change the generic names with the modifications, so often made necessary by the extension of the knowledge of their diagnosis, than most genera soon would be subject to a series of name changes, which would only lead to confusion.

Mr Valenciennes accepted the genus Oreinus only conditionally, because he did not know the species placed in it from nature, but he believed those species actually belonged to his genus Barbus.

Heckel made the genus known more accurately in 1842, however he then placed two more species in it, Capoëta macrolepidota Val. and Capoëta amphibia Val., which not only do not belong to Oreinus, but even not to the Phalacrognathines, as Capoëta macrolepidota is a Hampala and Capoëta amphibia a Systomus. These species moreover have been left out in the list of species of Scaphiodon, ${ }^{234}$ found in Heckel's Nachtrag zur Classification der Cyprineen-Gattungen.

We now know species with 4 and species with only 2 barbels. For the first ones I propose to keep as subgeneric name that of Oreinus, and to place under the by Heckel
proposed generic name Scaphiodon only the species in which only the upper jaw barbels are present.

I have to note here that Gobio damasces Val., which is summed up by Heckel, although with doubt, amongst his species of Scaphiodon, cannot well be placed as it lacks the serrated dorsal spine. As long as one does not know the mouth parts of this species in more detail, it would be best to leave the species in the genus Gobio. Mr MacClelland in his "Afghan collection of Fishes" has introduced two species of Oreinus, of which one, Oreinus plagiostomus, is the same as Opistocheilus plagiostomus Blkr, while the other one, Oreinus Griffithii, is either a Schizopyge or an Opistocheilus.

> Schyzopyge Heck., Fisch. Syriens Nachtr. p. 183.

Body elongate or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with small scales. Jaws bare, thin at the cartilaginous edge. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Snout entire, not lobed, more or less protruding anterior to mouth. No lower lip towards symphysis. Dorsal fin starting anterior to or above ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin with a longitudinal fold, provided with large scales at the base, covering the vent. Pharyngeal teeth aggregated 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Remark. The genus Schizopyge, as defined above, has the same meaning as department B of the species of Schizothorax as it was erected by Mr Heckel in his Fische aus Kashmir. His Schizopyge plagiostomus and Schizopyge sinuatis fall outside it and belong, as was already indicated earlier, to my genus Opistocheilus. Till now only 4 species can be placed with certainty in Scizopyge, all of which inhabit the highland of Kashmir, i.e. Schizopyge curvifrons Heck., Schizopyge longipinnis Heck., Schizopyge niger Heck. and Schizopyge nasus Heck. However, probably Racoma chrysochlora McCl . also belongs to the same genus, that is judging from the figure which Mr MacClelland has given of this species; whereas possibly Oreinus Griffithii also may be counted as a Schizopyge. The teeth of Schizopyge curvifrons figured by Heckel, are specifically "aggregati" [aggregated] and not "cochleariformes" [spoon-shaped].

## 235 COHORS II Cheilognahini. <br> Covered jaws.

Cypriniformes with jaws covered by lips, no sheath or horny plate.
Remark. In the great majority of the Cypriniformes the mucous membrane of the mouth merges imperceptibly in the lips, so that the jaws are draped with the lips, which never posses the horny envelope, which in the Phalacrognathines protect the lipless ends of the jaws.

Therefore one can stamp this large cohort with the name given them here, which expresses the character of the cohort better than Pachychilae, the name employed by Heckel, which is incorrect for a large, if not the largest part of the species.

The Cheilognathines comprise three sharply delimited groups the basic types of which can be found in the genera Catostomus Les., Cyprinus Cuv. and Barbus Cuv. Therefore the groups are referred to as Catostomines, Cyprinines and Barbines.

The Catostomines are externally recognizable by thick fleshy lips and inferior mouth with the simultaneous absence of barbels or fin spines. If one is still uncertain with these characters, than the peculiar pharyngeal jaws with its numerous teeth, which have the appearance of a curved comb, decide the real place in the system.

The Cyprinines are sharply characterized by their serrated anal fin spine. Fleshy round lips, a relatively deep body, large scales and a multi rayed dorsal fin with a serrated spine are characters which are not less constantly present than the serrated anal fin spine, but are also found in many Barbines.

The Barbines lack the serrated anal spine of the Cyprinines and although one can find all external characters of the Catostomines among them, they are never found combined in one species. Moreover, a certain recognition character is found in the dentition, where one does not finds in any Barbine species more than 12 teeth in a lower pharyngeal bone and never more than 5 or 6 teeth in a single row.

The Cheilognathines have a wider geographic distribution than the other Cyprinoids. They are found everywhere at the borders of the Cyprinoids themselves, both in Japan and in the Indian archipelago, as in the south point of Africa, high ${ }^{236}$ in the north of Asia, Europe and Africa, yes even in Mexico.

The distribution however is not so large for the groups.
If the Catostomines are not restricted in absolute sense to North- America, one finds only one of its more than fifty known species in the not far from America removed part of North Asia.

The Cyprinines are real extra-tropical fishes of the eastern hemisphere and only enter the warm zone in the indeed outside the tropics originating drainage areas of South China.

On the contrary the Barbines everywhere occur together with Catostomines and Cyprinines and reach through the tropics, to the southern temperate climates.

The number of Cheilognathines known at present amounts to more than 700 species. More than 600 of those species, and thus more than half of all known Cyprines, belong to the Barbines, whereas the number of Catostomines amounts to little more than 50 and that of the Cyprinines a little over 30.

It is also especially the Barbines that is rich in generic types. Those of the Cyprinines are only two in number, Cyprinus and Carassius, whereas some [ichthyologists] also comprise Carpio. The Catostomines, which during their discovery by Lesueur were all combined in the genus Catostomus, soon afterwards were divided in several types. Rafinesque recognized the types Moxostoma, Carpiodes, Cycleptus and Ichthyobus; Mr Agassiz Bubalichthys, Ptychostomus, and Hylomyzon: and Mr Girard Acomus and Minomes. However, in the Barbines more than 60 generic types can be adopted, all of which have been derived from the genera Barbus, Gobio, Tinca, Cirrhina, Abramis and Leuciscus of Cuvier's Règne animal.

Numerous ichthyologists have contributed to the erection of those types, even when one leaves out of consideration those genera that are not acceptable or correspond in meaning with other ones.

Hamilton Buchanan introduced the genus Chela.
To Rafinesque one owes the assignment of Luxilus, Plargyrus, Semotilus and Chrosomus.

Van Hasselt pointed to the significance of Hampala.

Mr Rüppell indicated the generic value of Labeobarbus.
Mr Agassiz noted, the genera Rhodeus, Phoxinus, Aspius, Ptychoceilus and Hybopsis.

We owe to Mr MacClelland the assignment of Systomus, Racoma, Opsarius and Perilampus, just like that of Rohtee to Colonell Sykes.

Swainson discovered the generic value of Chedrus and Esomus.
Heckel pointed at still other generic types in Aulopyge, Schizothorax, Acanthobrama, Leucosomus, Argyreus, Phoxinellus, Amblypharhyngodon, (Mola Heck.).
237 The Prince of Canino discovered the generic value of Scardinius.
Mr Valenciennes gave a generic significance to Catla.
The genera Ceratichthys, Gila, Meda, Alburnops, Cyprinella, Codoma and Tiaroga are assignments, the first of Mr Baird, the second of Misters Baird and Girard and the remaining ones of Mr Girard himself.

Mr Basilevski added to these Culter and Chanodichthys (Leptocephalus Bas.).
My own investigations at last have led me to the adoption of still another series of generic types of Barbines, which I have named Cyclocheilichthys, Balantiocheilus, Hypselobarbus, Albulichthys, Amblyrhynchichthys, Hemibarbus, Pseudoculter, Hemiculter, Elopichthys, Leptobarbus, Sarcochelichthys, Pseudophoxinus, Thynnichthys, Hypopthalmichthys, Gnathopogon, Rasbora, Pseudorasbora, Rasborichthys, Luciosoma, Laubuca, Maccrochirichthys and Similogaster.

## STRIPS 1. Сatostomini. - <br> Сомвтоотн carps.

Cypriniformes with covered jaws and an oblong or elongate, compressed or slightly fusiform body, jaws enclosed in broad fleshy lips, mouth inferior, dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins, without spine, scales on the body small to large, pharyngeal teeth on both sides 36 to 130, in one row, placed in a comb-shaped row, no barbels.

Remark. The Catostomines are sharply characterized in the large family of the Cyprinoids by their numerous in a single row as a comb inserted pharyngeal teeth.

Lesueur united the species known to him, in 1817, under the generic name Catastomus.

Rafinesque shortly after Lesueur's article on Catostomus, came to the conviction, that North America feeds several genera of Catostomines and laid the basis for the knowledge of the genera Ichthyobus, Carpiodes, Cycleptus and Moxostoma, but he described them insufficiently, so that it was difficult to re-establish them after the species observed by him.

Cuvier accepted the genus Catostomus of Lesueur and described it briefly as possessing the same fringed or notched lips as Labeo, but a short dorsal fin like that of Leuciscus and implanted above the pelvic fins; a diagnosis completely insufficient for the present state of knowledge of the Cyprinoids.

Heckel defined the genus further and based it principally on the numerous singlerowed pharyngeal teeth, which he calls "pectiniformes". He even proposed 238 to raise the Cyprinoids with such a dentition to a tribe and to place them in the three genera Catostomus Les., Rhytidostomus Heck. and Exoglossum Raf. However, Heckel did not possess enough material, it is apparent from his list of species that he could only
examine Catostomus teres Les. and Cyprinus catostomos Forst. after nature.
His genus Rhytidostomus had already been recognized by Rafinesque and named Cycleptus. Of the two species that Heckel sums up of Rhytidostomus, Catostomus elongates Rafinesque is a Cycleptus and Cyprinus catostomus Forst. a real Catostomus. The genus Exoglossum indeed by no means belongs to the Catostomines, but to the Chondrostomines, however in that genus species that differ very much from each other are placed, as Exoglossum macropterum Raf. can indeed be placed in the Catostomines and indeed in the genus Hylomyzon.

Mr Valenciennes describing the Catostomines in the $17^{\text {th }}$ part of the Histoire naturelle des Poissons, placed them, just like Heckel, in three genera, in Catosomus, Sclerognathus and Exoglossum. - Catostomus retained in it approximately the same meaning as in Lesueur, but the species placed by Mr Valenciennes in Catostomus, can be placed in later divisions of this genus, partly in Catostomus, partly in the genera Moxostoma, Acomus, Ptychostomus, Hylomyzon and Cycleptus. The genus Sclerognathus Val. comprises the two genera of Rafinesque, Carpiodon and Ichthyobus, and the genus Exoglossum Val. not only comprises Exoglossum but also the genera Hylomyzon Ag. and Campostoma Ag.

Since 1854 Mr Agassiz has further eluminated the Catostomines and found occasion to resurrect and define in more detail the earlier erected genera of Rafinesque, and moreover propose still other genera. In his publication "Synopsis of the Ichthyological Fauna of the Pacific slope of North America", he gave further definitions of the genera Carpiodes, Ichthyobus, Cycleptus and Moxostoma of Rafinesque, as well as definitions of the genera Bubalichthys, Ptychochromus and Hylomyzon, which he believed should be separated from the other genera of the Catosomini.

In 1856 Mr Girard added to all these genera still the genera Minomus and Acomus.
I do not know the Catostomines from nature and the illustrations and descriptions of the known species do not allow to determine properly to what extend the rather numerous genera, which one fancied to erect in this department, can be considered as natural. And although it seems to me, that one may have gone too far with the splitting of these genera, and has used characters of which the generc value is open to reasonable doubt, I have limited myself here, as I in this case could not decide from nature, to giving a review of these genera and their characters, 239 as I have been able to compile them from the known data.

One of those genera, Moxostoma Raf. is very recognizable and very curious by the absence of a visible lateral line.

Four other genera, Carpiodes, Raf., Ichthyobus Raf., Bubalichthys Raf. and Cycleptus Raf. have in common a long dorsal fin, which, just like in all Catostomines, begins before the ventral fins, but extends till above the anal fin. These genera can only be told apart by characters of lesser weight. Bubalichthys Ag. would mainly only be distinguishable from the other three as its dorsal fin anteriorly is not or a little higher than in the middle or posteriorly, as well as by strong trilateral pharyngeal teeth and the blunt, convex chewing pads of the pharyngeal teeth. In Carpiodes the pharyngeal teeth are exceptionally thin and the lips transversely ruffled. I suspect that scale sheath along the dorsal fin base, as depicted by Dekay for Carpiodes cyprinus, in this genus has a generic significance. Cycleptus an Ichthyobus seem to be extremely related. However, Ichthyobus would have thin lips and single knob pharyngeal teeth; Cycleptus on the
contrary pharyngeal teeth without knobs and with thick lips, of which the lower lip bilobed. The remaining genera are easily recognizable by the much shorter, far posterior to the anal fin ending dorsal. To these belong Catostomus sensu stricto, Acomus Gir., Minomus Gir., Hylomyzon Ag. and Ptychostomus Ag. It seems to me that the characters for genera ought to be more sharply defined, than has been done, to accept them as natural genera. That they are so indeed, can be concluded from their difference in habitus, like for instance Hylomyzon nigricans Ag., Ptychostomus macrolepidotus Ag., Catostomus teres Les. and Acomus aurora Gir.

At present one knows more than 50 species of Catostomines, which, except for only of Catostomus Tilesii Val. from north-eastern Asia, all belong to North America. I even believe a further research is necessary to see if Catostomus Tilesii really belongs to this group, as the real criterion for the determination are the numerous comb-like placed pharyngeal teeth, about which I see nothing mentioned. If that species indeed belongs to the Catostomines, it will have to take a place in the genus Acomus Gir.

The genera of the Catostomines can be reviewed as follows.
I. Lateral line conspicuous.
A. Dorsal fin ending far anterior to anal fin. Lower lip bilobed. Body elongate.
a. Pharyngeal teeth tuberculate. Lips papillose. Body elongate, protruding far anterior to the mouth. Scales smaller anteriorly than posteriorly.

1. Scales small or medium-sized, caudal scales much larger than supra-axillary scales. Pharyngeal bones thin, not directed inward, teeth with two tubercles.

## 240 Acomus Gir.

2. Scales medium-sized or large, caudal scales slightly larger than supra-axillary scales.
$\dagger$ Pharyngeal bones thin, directed strongly inward, teeth with two tubercles.
Minomus Gir.
t' Pharyngeal bones robust, slightly compressed, teeth with one tubercle.
Catostomus Les.
b. Pharyngeal teeth not tuberculate. Snout hardly protruding in front of mouth.
3. Scales on body equal anteriorly and posteriorly. Lips less fleshy, transversely grooved. Pharyngeal bones robust, broad.

Ptychostomus Ag.
2. Scales on body larger anteriorly than posteriorly. Lips broadly fleshy, papillose. Pharyngeal bones slightly broad.

Hylomyzon Ag.
B. Dorsal fin with many rays, ending above anal fin.
a. Dorsal fin strongly elevated anteriorly, low in the middle and posteriorly. Lips papillose.

1. Pharyngeal bones thin, strongly compressed, teeth with one tubercle.

Lower lip bilobed.
2. Pharyngeal bones rather robust, triangular or nearly triangular, teeth with an obliquely emarginate chewing surface. Lower lip emarginate in the middle.

## Cycleptus Raf.

3. Pharyngeal bones rather robust, triangular or nearly triangular, teeth with one tubercle. Lower lip papillose.

## Ichthyobus Raf.

b. Dorsal fin hardly higher anteriorly than posteriorly and in the middle. Lips granulated.

1. Pharyngeal bones robust, triangular, teeth with convex chewing surface, only at the angle provided with a short process.

## Bubalichthys Ag.

II. Lateral line not visible
A. Dorsal fin slightly elongate, ending anterior to anal fin. Lower lip bilobed.

## Moxostoma Raf.

## 241 Catostomine species known at present.

| Acomus <br> " | latipinnis Gir. = Catostonius latipinnis B. Gir. N. Am. (Rio Gila). aurora Gir. $=$ Catostomus aurora Ag. (acc. to Ag. this species and the following.) <br> N. Am. (Lake Super.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| " | Forsterianus Gir. $=$ Catostomus Forsterianus Richds., Heck. <br> (not Ag.) $\qquad$ N. Am. (Canada). |
| " | Guzmaniensis Gir. ................................................................... N. Am. (Chihuahua). |
| " | generosus Gir. ............................................................................. N. Am. (Lake Utah) |
| " | griseus Gir. ............................................................................... N. Am. (Platte river). |
| " | lactarius Gir. ............................................................................. N. Am. (Milk river). |
| " | ? Tilesii Blkr. = Cyprinus rostratus Tiles. = Catostomus |
|  | Tilesii Val. ................................................................................ N. E Asia (Siberia). |
| Minomus insignis Gir. = Catostomus insignis B. Gir. = |  |
|  | Ptychostomus? insignis Ag. ................................................... N. Am. (Rio Gila). |
| " | plebejus Gir. = Catostomus plebejus B. Gir. ............................. N. Am. (Rio Gila). |
| " | Clarkii Gir. = Catostomus Clarkii B. Gir. ................................. N. Am. (Rio Gila). |
| Catostomus hudsonius Les. $=$ Cyprinus catostomus Forst. $=$ |  |
|  | Rhytidostomus catostomus Heck. ....................................... N. Am.(Sin. Hudson, Cumbl.). |
| " | Forsterianus Ag. (not Richds.) ............................................ N. Am. (Lake super.) |
| " | teres Les. $=$ Cyprinus teres Mitch. $=$ |
| " | Catostomus communis Les. = Catostomus gracilis Kirtl. ... N. Am. (U.S.A or.). bostoniensis Les. $=$ Catostomus pallidus De Kay? $=$ |
|  | Catostomus florealis Baird. ................................................ N. Am. (U.S.A. or.). |
| " | occidentalis Ayr. ................................................................. N. Am. (Californ.). |
| " | macrocheilus Gir. ............................................................... N. Am. (Astoria). |
| " | Sucklii Gir. ......................................................................... N. Am. (Milk river). |
| " | Bernardini Gir. .................................................................... N. Am. (Mexico). |
| " | labiatus Ayr. ....................................................................... N. Am. (W. USA, L. Klam.). |
| " | longirostrum Les. ............................................................... N. Am. (Vermont). |

```
Ptychostomus congestus Gir. = Moxostoma? congestum Ag. =
            Catostomus congestus B. Gir. ........................................... N. Am. (Texas, Salado r.).
    " aureolus Ag. = Catostomus aureolus Les. =
        Catostomus Sueurii Richds.? Heck. ................................. N. Am. (L. Sup., Erie, Can.).
    " albidus Gir. ...................................................................... N. Am. (Californ.).
    " Duquesnii Ag. = Catostomus Duquesnii Les. =
        Catostomus erythrurus Raf. ............................................. N. Am. (L. Canad. Huntsv. etc.).
    " Haydelli Gir. .................................................................... N. Am. (Missuri).
    " macrolepidotus Ag. = Catostomus macrolepidotus Les. 242 =
        Catostomus carpio Val.?= Catost. oneida De Kay. ............ N. Am. (N.Y, Ont., Oneid. Can.)
    " melanops Ag. = Catostomus melanops Raf. = Catostomus
        fasciatus Les. = Catostomus melanotus Val.
                            N. Am. (W. & S. USA)
Hylomyzon nigricans Ag. = Catostomus nigricans Les. = Catostomus
            maculosus Les. = Catostomus fasciolaris Raf. = Catostomus
            flexuosus Raf. = Catostomus megastomus Raf. = Catostomus
            xanthopus Raf. = Exoglossum macropterum Raf. =
            Hypentelium macropterum Raf. = Catostomus planiceps
            Val. ...................................................................................... N. Am (E. & S. USA)
Carpiodes cyprinus Ag. = Catostomus cyprinus Les. = Carpiodes vaeca Ag. =
            Labeo cyprinus De Kay. = Sclerognathus cyprinus Val. ......... N. Am. (S. USA)
    " Thompsoni Ag. = Catostomus cyprinus Zad. Thomps. ......... N. Am. (L. Champlain)
    " velifer Raf., Ag. = Catostomus cyprinus Kirtl. =
        Carpiodes carpio Raf. = Carpiodes setosus Raf. =
        Catostomus (Moxostoma) anisopterus Raf. ........................... N. Am. (Ohio).
    " bison Ag. ............................................................................... N. Am. (Mississip., Osage r.)
    " damalis Gir. .......................................................................... N. Am. (Missuri).
Cycleptus elongatus Ag. = Catostomus elongatus Les. = Decactylus Raf. =
    Rhytidostomus elongatus Heck.
                            N. Am. (Ohio, Cincinnati).
    " nigrescens Raf. ....................................................................... N. Am. (S. Louis).
Ichthyobus bubalus Raf., Ag. = Sclerognathus cyprinella Val. ................. N. Am. (N. Orleans).
    " Rauchii Ag. ........................................................................... N. Am. (Iowa).
    " Stolleyi Ag. ............................................................................ N. Am. (Missuri).
    " tumidus Gir. = Carpiodes tumidus B. Gir. =
    Ictyobus tumidus Gir. .......................................................... N. Am. (Texas).
Bubalichthys bubalus Ag. = Catost. bubalus Kirtl. (not Raf.) .................. N. Am. (Ohio).
    " bonasus Ag. ...................................................................... N. Am. (Osage r.).
    " niger Ag. = Catostomus niger Raf. ......................................N. Am. (Ohio).
    " vitulus Ag. = Carpiodes vitulus Ag. .................................... N. Am. (Wabash).
    " taurus Ag. = Carpiodes taurus Ag. ......................................N. Nm. (Mobile r.).
    " urus Ag. = Carpiodes urus Ag. ............................................ N. Am. (Tennessee r.).
Moxostoma oblongum Ag. = Cyprinus oblongus Mitch. =
        Catostomus vittatus Les. = Labeo esopus De Kay=
        Labeo gibbosus De Kay = Labeo oblongus De Kay =
        Labeo elegans De Kay = Catostomus tuberculatus Les. =
        Catostomus gibbosus Les. = Moxostoma tuberculatum
        v. gibbosum Ag.
        N. Am. (E. USA)
Moxostoma sucetta Ag. = Cyprin. sucetta Lac. = Catost. sucetta Les. =
        Catost. suceti Val. = Moxost. suceti Ag.
        N. Am. (Charlest., Georgia etc.).
243 Moxostoma allisurus Ag. = Catost. (Moxostoma) anisurus Raf. ....... N. Am.(L. Erie, Illin., Miss. etc).
    " tenue Ag. ............................................................................. N. Am. (Alabama).
    " claviformis Gir. ................................................................... N. Am. (Canadian r.).
    " Kennerlii Gir. ...................................................................... N. Am. (Texas).
```

```
Victoriae Gir. ........................................................................... Am. (Texas).
Campbelli Gir. ...............................................................................Am. (Texas).
```

Acomus Gir.,<br>Research. Cyprin. Fish. Unit. States in Proceed Acad. nature scienc. Philadelph. Vol. VIII p. 173.

Body elongate, fusiform-compressed, covered with small or medium-sized scales, much smaller anteriorly on the body than posteriorly. Jaws enclosed in papillose lips. Lower lip bilobed. Length of head greater than depth. Snout entire, protruding far anterior to the mouth. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, length greater than depth. Pharyngeal bones not elongate. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, compressed, with two tubercles, placed in a comb-shaped row.

Remark. Mr Girard placed in his genus, apart from Catostomus Forsterianus Richds. (nec Ag.) and Catostomus aurora Ag., 5 more species discovered by him. Cyprinus rostratus Tiles. from North Eastern Asia can be placed in Acomus, when this species indeed belongs to the Catostomines.

Minomus Gir., Research. Cyprin. Fish. Unit. States in Proceed Acad. nature scienc. Philadelph. Vol. VIII p. 173

Body elongate, fusiform-compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales, slightly smaller anteriorly on the body than posteriorly. Jaws enclosed in papillose lips. Lower lip bilobed. Length of head greater than depth. Snout entire, protruding anterior to the mouth. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, depth greater than length or length equal to depth. Pharyngeal bones not laterally elongate, but strongly inwards directed. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, compressed, bicuspidate, placed in a comb-shaped row.

244 Remark. In this genus Mr Girard placed three species, which he made known in 1954 with Mr Baird under the names Catostomus clarkii, Catostomus insignis and Catostomus plebejus. I very much doubt whether Minomus has rightly been separated from Catostomus, which indeed can also been said about Acomus Gir.

Сатоstomus Les.,<br>Journ Acad. Phil. I; Ag. Ichth. Pacif. slope N. Amer. p. 22. in<br>Amer Journ. sc. Arts. $2^{\text {d }}$ Ser. Vol. XIX

Body elongate fusiform-compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales, smaller anteriorly on the body than posteriorly. Jaws enclosed in fleshy, papillose lips. Lower lip bilobed. Snout entire, obtuse, protruding anterior to the mouth. Lower jaw broad, short. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin. Pharyngeal bones robust, slightly compressed. Pharyngeal teeth numerous medium-sized, increasing in size towards the symphysis of the pharyngeal bones, compressed, chewing surface obtuse, at the angle with a short process, placed in a combshaped row.

Remark. After the splitting of the Lesueurian genus Catostomus already numerous species, which originally were contained in it, had been removed. However, rather nu-
merous species were left, which belonged to Catostomus in its present restricted meaning. To those species can be brought Cyprinus catostomus Forst., Catostomus teres Les., Catostomus bostonensis, Catostomus longirostrum Les. and some other species discovered by recent American ichthyologists.

> Ртусозтомй Ag., Ichth. Pacif. slope North. Amer. p. 18 in Amer. Journ. science and arts, 2 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Series Vol. XIX.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, equal anteriorly and posteriorly. Jaws enclosed in thin transversely grooved lips. Lower lip bilobed. Snout entire, hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin. Pharyngeal bones robust, broad. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, medium-sized, increasing in size towards the symphysis of the pharyngeal bones, compressed, chewing surface flat, at the angle with a short shortened process, placed in a comb-shaped row.

245 Remark. The genus Ptychostomus seems to distinguish itself from Hylomyzon mainly by transversely ruffled and not nippled lips. It is richer in species than Hylomyzon, as already seven species of it have been admitted to science.

Hylomyzon Ag.,<br>Ichth. Pacif. slope North Amer. p. 20 in<br>Amer. Journ. science and arts, $2^{\text {d }}$ Series Vol. XIX.

Body elongate, fusiform-compressed, covered with large scales, larger anteriorly on the body than posteriorly. Jaws enclosed in broad, fleshy, papillose lips. Lower lip bilobed. Head flat on top. Snout entire, hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, depth about equal to length. Pharyngeal bones slightly broad. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, medium-sized, increasing in size towards the symphysis of the pharyngeal bones, compressed, chewing surface thin, not tubular, placed in a comb-shaped row.

Remark. The only species of Hylomyzon known till now is Hylomyzon nigricans Ag., which has been described by various ichthyologists under various specific and generic names (Catostomus, Exoglossum, Hypentelium).

## Carpiodes Raf.,

Ichth. Ohiens.; Ag., Ichth. Faun. Pacif. slope North. Amer. p. 4 in Amer. Journ. science and arts, $2^{\text {d }}$ Series Vol. XIX = Sclerognathus Val: partly.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws enclosed in thin, transversely grooved lips. Lower lip bilobed. Length of head about equal to depth. Snout entire, convex. Dorsal fin elongate, strongly elevated anteriorly, starting anterior to ventral fins and ending above anal fin. Pharyngeal bones compressed, very thin. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, small, compressed, with one tubercle at the top, placed in a comb-shaped row.

Remark. Carpiodes is recognizable by its long, anteriorly very high dorsal fin, its bilobed lower lip and very thin compressed pharyngeal bones. Catostomus cyprinus Les. is the oldest known species of this genus, which however by ${ }^{246} \mathrm{Mr}$ Valenciennes was placed in his genus Sclerognathus and by De Kay in the genus Labeo. Moreover
four other species are known, among others Carpiodes velifer Raf. after which Rafinesque erected the genus.

Cycleptus Raf.,<br>Prodr. of 70 n. gen.; Ag. Ichth. Faun. Pacif. slope North. Amer. p. 12 in Amer. Journ. science and arts, $2^{\text {d }}$ Series Vol. XIX $=$ Rhytidostomus Heck. ex parte.

Body elongate, cylindrical, covered with large scales. Jaws enclosed in papillose lips. Lower lip emarginate in the middle. Dorsal fin elongate, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly, starting far anterior to ventral fins and ending above anal fin. Pharyngeal bones robust, nearly triangular. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, medium-sized, increasing in size towards the symphysis of the pharyngeal bones, chewing surface more or less oblique or emarginate, placed in a comb-shaped row.

Remark. Of Cycleptus I see only two species mentioned, the type species of Rafinesque, Cycleptus nigrescens, and Cycleptus elongates Ag., which however has to be proven to differ specifically from Cycleptus nigrescens Raf.

Існтнуовus Raf., Ichth. Ohiens.; Ag. Ichth. Faun. Pacif. slope North. Amer. p. 10 in Amer. Journ. science and arts, $2^{\text {d }}$ Series Vol. XIX.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws enclosed in thin lips. Snout entire, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Lower lip broad. Dorsal fin elongate, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly, starting above or anterior to ventral fins and ending above anal fin. Pharyngeal bones slightly compressed, nearly triangular. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, small, increasing in size towards the symphysis of the pharyngeal bones, compressed, on the chewing surface provided with a short process, placed in a comb-shaped row.

Remark. The most well known species of Ichthyobus is Sclerognathus cyprinella Val., which species however was already indicated by Rafinesque under the name of Ichthyobus 247 bubalus. The knowledge of yet three other species one owes to Misters Agassiz and Baird and Girard.

Bubalichthys Ag.<br>Ichth. Faun. Pacif. slope North America p. 7 in<br>Amer. Journ. scienc. and arts, $2^{\mathrm{d}}$ Series Vol. XIX.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws enclosed in thin, granulated lips. Dorsal fin elongate, slightly higher anteriorly than posteriorly, starting anterior to ventral fins and ending above anal fin. Pharyngeal bones robust, triangular. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, medium-sized, increasing in size towards the symphysis of the pharyngeal bones, compressed, chewing surface convex, obtuse, only at the angle provided with a short process, placed in a comb-shaped row.

Remark. This genus has the long dorsal fin of Carpiodes, Cycleptus and Ichthyobus, but the fin is anteriorly hardly higher than more posteriorly, which makes the genus easy to recognize. Mr Agassiz mentions 6 species of Bubalichthys. - Catostomus niger Raf. and Catostomus bubalus Kirtl. (nec. Raf.) belong to these.

Moxostoma Raf., Ichth. Ohiens.; Ag. Ichth Pac. slope North Amer. p. 14 in Amer. Journ. Science and arts, $2^{\mathrm{d}}$ series Vol. XIX.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws enclosed in thin, fleshy, transversely grooved lips. Lower lip bilobed. Lateral line without any external pores or openings. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin. Pharyngeal bones slightly compressed, nearly triangular. Pharyngeal teeth numerous, medium-sized, increasing in size towards the symphysis of the pharyngeal bones, compressed, curved, slightly hooked, placed in a comb-shaped row.

Remark. The genus Moxostoma is recognizable by the absence of a visible lateral line. Till now only 8 species are known. One of them, Moxostoma sucetta Ag. was already known to Lacépède and a few others to Lessueur and Rafinesque, but the remaining ones have only been described in recent years.

## 248 STIRPS 2. Cyprinni. -

## Real carps.

Cypriniformes with covered jaws and an oblong, compressed body, jaws enclosed in fleshy round lips; mouth anterior; dorsal fin elongate and anal fin with few rays, provided with a bony, serrated ray; large scales on the body; few pharyngeal teeth, in one to three rows.

Remark. The Cyprinines form a sharply delimited group by its serrated anal fin spine, a character that is only found in this tribe in the large family of the Cyprinoids. The recognition moreover is even made easier by the long dorsal fin, which extends till above the anal fin and which is armed with a serrated spine. The structure of the lips places them in the Cheilognathines. They seem to be for the Old world what the Catostomines are for the New world.

The Cyprinines comprise the genus Cyprinus as it is conceived by Cuvier and Mr Valenciennes.

Nilsson separated from them the species without barbels, which he placed in a proper genus with the name Carassius.

Fitzinger placed the same species in his genus Cyprinopsis, which has the same meaning as Carassius.

Heckel went even further and separated his Cyprinus Kollarii from the genus Cyprinus, on the basis of some peculiarities in the dentition. He named this genus Carpio. Carpio then would only differ from Cyprinus by the beaker-like shape of the pharyngeal teeth. As it possesses all other characters of Cyprinus till even the four barbels.

Heckel sometimes went too far in attaching generic value to peculiarities of the dentition. He thought so himself, as appears e.g. from the withdrawing of his genera Chondrochilus and Chondrorhynchus, which he separated from Chondrostoma on the basis of minor differences in numbers of pharyngeal teeth, but later brought back to Chondrostoma. It seems to me that generic value can be attached to differences in the details of the dentition only when these differences have been translated to characters that are externally visible. As this is not the case in Carpio Heck., this genus does not seem acceptable.

I therefore only accept two genera of Cyprinines, which can be distinguished externally from each other by the absence or presence of the barbels and which moreover possess a rather different dentition.

The Cyprinines are restricted to the Old world. Their real homeland there is 249 the temperate zone of the northern hemisphere. From there they extend in East Asia till the tropics, but except for the south of China, thus far they are not known from South-Asia. The Javanese species has been introduced there, just like Cyprinus carpio L. from Europe has been transported to America. Carassius auratus, with its numerous varieties and monstrosities has not only been transplanted to Europe, but also to the Indian archipelago, Africa and America. Very curious is the occurrence of 2 species of Carassius on the island of Mauritius, but it would not surprise me, if it would be found, that the carps of Mauritius have been taken there from Japan or China.

Both genera of the Cyprinines are easily recognizable, as follows.
I. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Pharyngeal teeth molar or calyx-shaped 1.1.3/3.1.1. or 1.4/4.1.

## Cyprinus Art.

II. No barbels. Pharyngeal teeth lancet-like $4 / 4$.

Carassius Nilss.

## Species of Cyprinines known at present.




251 Cyprinus Art.,:<br>L., Syst. Nat. ed. $6^{\mathrm{a}}$ (1748), Nilss. Skand. Fisk. = Carpio Heck., Fish. Syr. p. 24 -<br>Carp.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws enclosed in fleshy, terete, simple lips. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Gape terminal, in shape reminding of a horse shoe, when the mouth is closed. Simple postlabial groove on both sides, longitudinal, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Gill cover rugose. Dorsal fin elongate, starting above or anterior to ventral fins and ending above anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin with few rays, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Pharyngeal bones slightly compressed, slightly triangular. Pharyngeal teeth molar or stone-shaped, in one to three rows, chewing surface grooved.

Remark. The genus Cyprinus, as described above, comprises the genera Cyprinus Heck. and Carpio Heck., which in my opinion are only a single genus. Indeed Carpio Heck. would differ from Cyprinus in nothing but the shape of the pharyngeal teeth, the chewing pad of which is slightly concave, much as those teeth are built after the type of those of Cyprinus and similarly have grooves on the chewing surface.

One now knows the above mentioned species of Cyprinus, of which most inhabit Europe, while the remaining ones are all known from China and Japan. In my collection only a single archipelagic species is present, which till now in the Indian archipelago has only been found in the western part of Java and has been brought there from China. This species by the following characters can be distinguished from all other known species of the genus.
I. Depth of body contained $31 / 2$ to $4^{1 / 4}$ times in its length. Head acute, contained $33 / 4$ to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length. Eyes contained 3 to $41 / 3$ times in the length of the head. D $4 / 16$ to $4 / 18$. Teeth, globular smooth one excepted, obliquely truncate, chewing surface generally with three ridges 1.1.3/3.1.1 or 1.3/3.1

> Cyprinus flavipinna K. v. H.

> 252 Cyprinus flavipinna K. v. H.; Val., Poiss. XVI p. 52 tab 547; Blkr, Descr. spec. Jav. Nov. Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 345, Geelvinnige Karper [Yellow finned Carp]. Atl. Cypr. tab. XIX.

A Cyprinus with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 2$ to $41 / 4$ times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, conical, contained $33 / 4$ to $41 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $31 / 6$ to $3^{2} / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to $13 / 5$ times in its length; eye diameter contained 3 to $41 / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $12 / 5$ to 2 times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to nearly twice their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute or obtusely convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth, in younger animals shorter than the eye, in old animals longer than the eye; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile generally sloping between snout and nape, nearly straight, convex on the nape; anterior suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, length nearly twice to twice as great as width, posterior margin strongly convex, anterior margin nearly straight or slightly concave, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, posteriorly ascending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, anteriorly much higher than posteriorly, length about twice as great as depth, about 3 times as low as first suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly downward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye, contained $33 / 5$ to 4 times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; nasal barbels much shorter to more than twice as short as upper jaw barbels, sometimes missing; upper jaw barbels shorter to slightly longer than the eye; lips fleshy, terete, with transverse stripes on the oral surface; postlabial groove separated from the groove on the opposite side


Fig. 56. Carpio flavipinna K. v. H. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. VII, Fig 3. TL figure 238 mm.
by the rather broad isthmus; lower jaw with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle at the symphysis, at the underside on both branches with several pores in a longitudinal row; suborbital bones and preopercular border with conspicuous pores in a simple curved row; gill cover rugose ray-like, width contained $12 / 3$ to 2 times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending under the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth molar 1.1.3/3.1.1 or 1.3/3.1, internal tooth in posterior row globular, very obtuse, not grooved, other teeth obliquely truncate, the chewing surface generally with three ridges; scapula triangular, very obtusely rounded; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the sides, behind the ventral fins obtusely ridged; back elevated, rounded or slightly angular, much higher than the belly; scales for the free half and the basal half with longitudinal stripes slightly ray-like, 35 to 37 scales in the lateral line, 14 or 13 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales), of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 14 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in five longitudinal rows, scales middle row hardly increasing in size posteriorly, not larger than those in side rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above or slightly anterior to the ventral fins, scaleless at the base, contained $23 / 5$ to nearly 3 times in the length of the body without caudal fin, acute or slightly obtuse, emarginate, depth contained $11 / 3$ to more than 2 times in the depth of the body, length in juveniles nearly twice, in older animals twice to more than twice as great as depth, spine rather robust, armed with conspicuous teeth posteriorly with its flexible part shorter than the head without the snout; pectoral and ventral fins slightly acutely or slightly obtusely rounded; pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained nearly 6 to $6^{2} / 3$ times in the length of the body, reaching or not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin lightly scaled at the base, not or slightly emarginate, slightly lower or not lower than dorsal fin, depth twice to considerably less than twice as great as base length, posterior ray opposite posterior dorsal ray or inserted slightly behind it, spine rather robust, armed with conspicuous teeth posteriorly; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute or slightly acutely rounded, contained 4 to $43 / 4253$ times in the length of the body. Colour: body beautiful golden, or gold-green or deeply green or black on the back and gold or silver on the flanks; flanks sometimes with diffuse, longitudinal bands of a deeper colour; iris golden or pink or yellow; fins gold-red or gold-yellow or faintly pink, sometimes nebulated with violet or black.
B. 3. D. $4 / 16$ to $4 / 18$. P. $1 / 13$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ to $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cyprinus floripenna (printing error) V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 132, Bull. de De Féruss. 1824 Zoöl. P. 375.
Cyprinus rubro-fuscus Lac., Poiss. V. p. 531, Val., Poiss. XVI p. 54? Richds., Rep. Fish. Chin. Jap. in Rep. $15^{\text {th }}$ Meet. Brit. Assoc. p. 288?
Cyprin rouge-brun Lac., Poiss. V p. 531 tab. 16 fig. 1?
Cyprinus nigro-auratus Lac., Poiss. V. p. 547; Val., Poiss. XVI p. 53, Richds., 1.c. p. 290?
Cyprin mordoré Lac., Poiss. V p. 547 tab. fig. 2?
Cyprinus viridi-violaceus Lac., Poiss. V p. 448; Val., Poiss. XVI p. 55, Richds., 1.c. p. 288?
Cyprin verd-violet Lac., Poiss. V p. 548 tab. 16 fig. 3?
Carpe rouge-brun Val., Poiss. XVI p. 54?
Carpe mordorée Val., Poiss. XVI p. 53?
Carpe vert-violet Val., Poiss. XVI p. 55?
Cyprinus atro-virens Richds., Rep. Ichth. Chin. Jap. in Rep. 15th Meet. Brit. Assoc. p. 287?
Cyprinus flammans Richds., ibid. p. 288.
Cyprinus hybiscoides Richds, ibid. p. 289?
Cyprinus acuminatus Richds., ibid. p. 289?
Cyprinus sculponeatus Richds., ibid. p. 290?
Carpe aux nageoires jaunes Val., Poiss. XVI p. 457.
Cyprinus vittatus Val., Poiss. ibid.
Carpes aux bandes vertes Val., Poiss. ibid.
Cyprinus haematopterus T. Schl., Faun. Jap. Poiss. p. 189 tab. 96.

```
            Cyprinus conirostris T. Schl., Faun. Jap. Poiss. p. 191 tab. 97 fig. 2.
            Hih-li, Hak-li, Tang-li, Tong-li, Ho-li, Fo-li, Luh-li, Luk-li, Foo-yung-li, Foo-gang-li, Fu-yung-li,
                Shang-hae-la, Shang-hai, Sheung-hoi-lap, Hae-li, Hoi-li, Kik-li Chinese.
                    Tambra and Tambra mas Mal. and Sund.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Buitenzorg, Tjampea, Tugu, Tjiseroa, Tjipanas, Tjilandur, Lelles, Bandung,
            Tjibulus, Pandjellu), in rivers, lakes and ponds.
                Japan (Jedo), in rivers.
Length of 35 specimens \(70^{\prime \prime \prime}\) to \(248^{\prime \prime \prime}\).
```

Remark. Cyprinus flavipinna V. Hass. is closely related to the common European carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) and differs from it primarily by a more slender body, larger head and larger eyes and a less convex profile. Many specimens even have the beautiful gold shining green colour of the common European carp.

Cyprinus melanotus of Japan is more slender of head and body than Cyprinus flavipinna, has the pectoral fin more developed and offers still various other differences in shape of the suborbital bones and the nature of the chewing pads of the pharyngeal teeth.

Cyprinus vittatus Val. is the same species as Cyprinus flavipinna. I possess various 254 specimens, which because of the longitudinal bands on the body would belong to Cyprinus vittatus Val., however they differ in nothing essential from Cyprinus flavipinna. The band marking in a few specimens appears already during life, in others only after preservation in spirit of wine.

The colouration in various specimens offers so numerous variegations, that after a superficial examination one would easily believe to find specific differences therein. Instead of the number of dorsal fin ray of 27 (a printing error), which is given in the large fish work, it should be read as 17.

In western Java the green variety is named Tambra, the golden variety on the contrary is named Tambra mas. The species belongs to the most tasteful freshwater fishes of Java and for that reason in the hills it is often kept in ponds. All my specimens belong to the juvenile and medium age, as the species can attain a length of more than 400 mm .

Van Hasselt already noted that Cyprinus flavipinnis was brought from China to Java. It is moreover remarkable that it is the only real species of carp, which till now was observed free in nature in the Indian archipelago, and that its distribution is restricted to Java and indeed only to the western part of that island. When the assertion of Van Hasselt is correct, which I believe is true based on information on Java, it now seems very probably to me that it is the same species as that which Lacépède has depicted as three different species under the names Cyprinus rubro-fuscus, Cyprinus nigro-auratus and Cyprinus viridi-violatus, and which under the same names has been placed in the large Histoire naturelle. The habitus of these species, as they are represented in the figures of Lacépède, answers well to those of my younger specimens, although the details of the execution leave much to be desired.

Cyprinus corirostris [conirostris] T. Schl. and Cyprinus haematopterus T. Schl. of Japan I take even more definitively for the same species as Cyprinus flavipinnus; especially after I was able to compare a number of specimens from Jedo with my Javanese ones.

If it could be determined with certainty that the threefold erected species of Lacépède was indeed he same as the species in question, the one described here should get one of the names of Lacépède for which that of nigro-auratus would be preferred.

From figures of Reeves, Mr Richardson, apart from the three nominal species of Lacépède, has erected some other species of Cyprinus from China under the names Cyprinus atro-virens, Cyprinus flammans, Cyprinus hybiscoides, Cyprinus acuminatus and Cyprinus sculponeatus, which I suspect all represent the same species as that of Lacépède. The peculiarities after which they have been erected, are derived from the figures and these lack, as can be gathered from the 255 descriptions of Mr Richardson, the necesssary accurateness with regard to the numbers of scales, etc.

Thus when my opinion with regard to this would appear to be correct, the 8 species of Richardson might be reduced to a single one, in which also could be placed both Javanese species of Mr Valenciennes and Cyprinus haematopterus T. Schl. and Cyprinus conirostris T. Schl. from Japan, so that of these twelve species only a single one should take place in science.

> Carassius Nilss.,
> Heck. Fisch. Syr p. $24 .-$
> Karausch.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws enclosed in fleshy, terete, simple lips. No barbels. Gape terminal, in form reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Postlabial groove simple on both sides, longitudinal, not united with the groove of the opposite side. Gill cover rugose. Dorsal fin elongate, starting above ventral fins and ending above anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Pharyngeal teeth lancet-like 4/4.

Remark. Nowadays about 20 species are known of Carassius, most of which belong to the fauna of China and Japan, and the remaining ones to that of Europe.

Carassius thoracatus Heck. from Mauritius seems to be a Japanese species, at least it also occurs in Japan, and just like Carassius auratus can produce monstrosities with double fins. Cyprinus mauritianus Benn. (Proceed Comm. Zool. Soc. I p. 167) maybe is no other species than Cyprinus thoracatus Val., which however cannot be determined from the very short description by Bennett. On the whole it seems to me, that various Chinese and Japanese species must be further investigated and compared. It will then maybe become apparent that some species are only nominal and nothing else than varieties or monstrosities. Thus for instance Cyprinus nukta Syk. from Dekka is also only a monstrosity of Carassius auratus.

Carassius auratus Nilss.:
Heck., Fisch Syr. p. 24 -
Gold fish.
Syn. Cyprinus auratus L. Gm., Syst. Nat. ed. 13a p. 1418 et auct.
Cyprinus telescopes Lac., Pal.
Cyprinus macrphthalmus B1., Ausl. Fisch. Tab. 410.
Cyprinus quadrilotus Lac. Poiss. V. tab. 18 fig. 3.
Cyprinus nukta Syk., Fish. Dukhun in Trans, Zool. Soc. II p. 355 (monstr.).
${ }^{256}$ Cyprinus quadrilobatus Basil., Mem. Soc. imper. Natur. Mosc. X 1855, Ichth. Chin. bor. p. 230 tab 5 fig. 5.

Remark. Of Carassius auratus I possessed numerous specimens, all belonging to various monstrosities of the species, as they are kept in ponds on Java and in Japan for pleasure. All those specimens, preserved in a large stoppered jar, have got lost during the last transfer of my cabinet, either stolen by the porters, or thrown away after the breaking of the jar during transport. The principal monstrosities, observed by me, I had already noted on p. 48 of my "Nalezingen op de Ichthyologie van Japan", included in the $25^{\text {th }}$ Volume of the Verhandelingen van het Bataviaasch Genootschap van kunsten en wetenschappen. They were, apart from deviations in the shape and length of the head, body and fins, found in the being absent or double of one or more fins. These monstrosities in a few words can be characterized as follows.

Monstrosity 1 Monopterus, diuropterus, diproktopterus, phaionotus.
" 2 Monopterus, diuropterus, monoproktopterus, aureus.
" 3 Anotopterus diuropterus, diproktopterus, aureus.
" 4 Anotpterus,diuropterus, phaisoma.
All these monstrosities I have recovered in a number of goldfishes from a pond of the kings of Surakarta. Earlier I have noted the following with regard to a number of specimens belonging to these monstrosities.

1. Carassius auratus, macronotopterus, diuropterus, diproktopterus, phaionotus.

Syn. Cyprinus auratus var. Basil., Ichth. Chin. boreal. tab. 5 fig. 2. Tambras mas Mal. Jav.
A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained 3 to $31 / 2$ times in its length; head obtuse, rounded, contained 5 to 6 times in the length of the body; eye diameter contained $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; dorsal line strongly convex; scales on flanks 24 or 25 in a longitudinal row; fins strongly elevated and elongate, dorsal, pectoral and ventral fins simple, anal and caudal fin double, caudal fin trilobed with acute lobes, in the middle contained only twice in the length of the total body. Colour: upper part of the body blackish-dark, flanks and underside yellowish-golden, fins dark.
D. $4 / 19$. P. $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 6$ or $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 6+3 / 6$. C. $17+17$ and short flanking ones.

Hab. Surakarta, in ponds of princes.
Length of 2 specimens $115^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $170^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
2. Carassius auratus, macronotopterus, diuropterus, monoproktopterus, oblongus, aureus.

Syn. Cyprinus macrophthalmus Bl., Ausl. Fisch. Tab. 410.
Cyprin gros-yeux Lac., Poiss. V tab. 18 fig. 2.
Cyprin quatre-lobes Lac., Poiss. V tab. 18 fig. 3. 257
Quen-Yu ou Lettré's, Mors-doré and Élégand, 23 Jujube and Baté 9, Maltache and Croix blanche 29- Collection of Savigny.
Kin-Yu, Maricot. 7, Ardoisé and Rubicon 4, Nigricant and Aurore 16, Mauche and Marbré 2, Noiroux 6, Brunet and Cinabré 8, Superbe 3, Souci and Capucine 1, Charbonnier and Bleuet 5, Collection of Savigny.
Original and Bande-Gueule 21, Mauri-jaune, Ensanglanté and Tout-chair 20, Collection of Savigny.
Long-Tjing-Yu or the Eyes of the dragon, species of the Ya-Tan-Yu or cane eggs; Rouillé, Cérise and Léopard 22, Masqué and Cap-mine 10, Quinte-bande and Norimembre 14, Rubismouche and Nuageux 12, Telescope 11, Turquoise and Agathe 26. Collection of Savigny.
Ya-Tan-Yu or cane eggs, Ferrigineux, Tettard and Frangirouge 15. Collection of Savigny.
Kin-Teon-Yu, or Cabrioleurs, Verdret and Sombricolore 17. Collection of Savigny.
Tambra mas Mal.

A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained $31 / 2$ to 4 times in its length; head obtuse, rounded, contained about 4 times in the length of the body; eye diameter contained $3^{1 ⁄ 2}$ times in the length of the head; dorsal line convex in a regular way; scales on flanks 23 to 25 in a longitudinal row; dorsal, pectoral, ventral fins and anal fin simple, caudal fin doubly trilobed, acute lobes contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour on the whole body golden, fins yellowish-golden.
D. $4 / 16$ or $4 / 17$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 6$. C. $16+16$, and short ones.

Hab. Surakarta, in ponds of princes.
Length of 2 specimens $75^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $103^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
3. Carassius auratus, anotopterus diuropterus, diproktopterus, aureus.

Syn. Tambra mas Mal.
A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained about 3 times in its length; head obtuse, contained about $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body; eye diameter contained $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; dorsal line strongly angular anteriorly; belly much more convex than gibbous back; scales on flanks about 25 in a longitudinal row; fins: no dorsal fin, pectoral and ventral fins simple, elongate, anal and caudal fin double, caudal fin tetralobed, acute middle lobes contained only twice in the length of the total body. Colour: the whole body golden, fins yellowish-golden.
D. 0. P. 1/17. V. $2 / 6$. A. $3 / 7+3 / 6$. C. $19+19$, and short flanking ones.

Hab. Surakarta, in ponds of princes.
Length of 2 specimens $110^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $210^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

## 4. Carassius auratus, anotopterus, diuropterus, diproktopterus, phaionotus.

Syn. Cyprinus auratus var. Bl. Tab. 94 fig. 2 Tambra mas Mal.

A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained about $41 / 2$ times in its length; head obtuse, contained nearly 6 times in the length of the total body; eye diameter contained $33 / 4$ times in the length of the head; nasal valve prolonged into a lobe; dorsal line irregularly rounded; back strongly ridged, more convex than belly; scales on flanks about 20 in a longitudinal row; fins: no dorsal fin, pectoral and ventral fins simple, 258 maximally elongate, considerably surpassing the tail, anal and caudal fin double, anal rays very long, caudal fin with four lobes, acute lobes making up more than half the length of the total body. Colour: body and fins dark, only gill covers and belly fins golden or silver.
D. 0. P. $1 / 16$ or $1 / 17$. V. $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 9+3 / 6$. C. $15+15$, and short flanking ones.

Hab. Surakarta, in ponds of princes.
Length of sole specimen $185^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Since the specimens from which the above mentioned notes were taken, got lost, I came again in the possession of some monstrosities, all from Japan, and largely originating from aquaria of an eminent Japanese from Jedo.

After these monstrosities I have taken the following notes
5. Carassius auratus, macronotopterus, diuropterus, diproktopterus, aureus.

Syn. Cyprinus auratus var. Basilevski, Ichtyogr. Chin. bor. tab 5 fig. 3, 5.
A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained 3 to $31 / 4$ times in its length; head obtuse, contained $43 / 5$ to 5 times in the length of the total body; eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 3$ times in the length of the head; nostrils not tubular, but large posterior nostrils can be closed by means of a valve;
dorsal line rounded in a rather regular way; back ridged, not or only slightly higher than tumid belly; scales on flanks 26 or 27 in the lateral line; fins very elongate and elevated, dorsal fin about equally long and high, simple; pectoral fins hardly shorter to no shorter than the head; ventral fins longer than the head; anal fin double, caudal fin double, with four lobes, lobes very acute, middle lobes not or hardly shorter than the trunk plus the head; (contained about twice in the length of the total body. Colour: body and fins red-golden; fins for the free half sometimes beautiful pink.
D. $4 / 15$ or $4 / 16$. P. $1 / 16$ or $1 / 17$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 7+3 / 7$. C. short flanking ones $+1 / 17+17 / 1$ and short flanking ones.

Hab. Japan, in ponds of the city of Edo.
Length of 2 specimens $123^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $126^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Carassius auratus, macronotopterus, diuropterus, monoproktopterus, aureus.
A specimen belonging to monstrosity no 2 , but differing in various peculiarities from my earlier specimens, i. e. by a more slender body, a more angular back- and body outline and larger caudal fin lobes. The specimen originates from Nagasaki and is 85 mm long.
6. Carassius auratus, micronotopterus, diuropterus, monoproktopterus, aureus.

Syn. Val., Poiss. XVI p. 86.
Cyprinus auratus var. Basil., Ichth. Chin. bor. tab. 5 fig. 1.
A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained about $21 / 2$ times in its length; head obtuse, slightly convex, contained nearly 4 times in the length of the body; eye diameter contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; nostrils not tubular, but posterior nostrils can be closed by means of a large valve; dorsal line strongly angular; ventral line convex in a regular way; back hardly higher than belly; about 25 scales in the lateral line; dorsal fin 259 short, not longer than gill cover, depth much greater than length, more than twice as low as the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, shorter than the head; anal fin simple, acute, rounded posteriorly; caudal fin double, with four lobes, lobes acute, nearly equal, contained about $3^{2 / 3}$ times in the length of the total body. Colour: body red-golden; fins beautiful red; dorsal and caudal fin with a black margin.
D. $4 / 5$ or $4 / 6$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $1 / 17 / 1$ and short flanking ones $+1 / 13 / 1$ plus short flanking ones.

Hab. Japan (Edo), in ponds.
Length of sole specimen $90^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Carassius auratus, anotopterus, diuropterus, diproktopterus, phaoinotus.
Two specimens from Jedo. Belonging to monstrisity n ${ }^{\circ}$. 4 , but with much shorter fins and body the depth of which goes only about $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its length. The head only goes $3^{1 / 2}$ to 4 times in the length of the body, the caudal fin $2^{2 / 3}$ to 3 times. There are 27 to 21 scales in the lateral line. The ventral and pectoral fins are shorter than the head; the nasal valve has developed to a long fleshy lobe. The specimens have a length of 53 and 95 mm .

## 7. Carassius auratus, anotopterus, diuropterus, diproktopterus, sarcocephalus, aureus.

A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained about $23 / 4$ times in its length; head very obtuse, maximally convex, depth greater than length, completely covered with villous-fleshy skin, contained slightly over 4 times in the length of the body; eye diameter contained about 4 times in the length
of the head; nasal valve developed into a fleshy multipartite lobe; dorsal line rounded, slightly higher than rounded ventral line; back very thick, not ridged; about 25 scales in the lateral line hardly visible; no dorsal fin, pectoral and ventral fins acute, slightly shorter than the head; anal fin semi-duplicate, duplicate, gobioid anteriorly, simple posteriorly; caudal fin double, with four lobes, lobes acute, longer on the sides than in the middle, contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the body. Colour: body beautiful golden-red; fins red; upper jaw black.
D. 0 . P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. A. $2 / 3$ above, $2 / 3$ below +3 . C. short flanking ones $+1 / 13 / 15 / 1+$ short flanking ones.

Hab. Japan (Edo), in ponds.
Length of sole specimen $139^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
8. Carassius auratus, anotopterus, diuropterus, monoproktopterus, aureus.

Syn. Cyprinus auratus var. Bl., tab. 94 fig. 1.
A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained about $23 / 4$ times in its length, head very obtuse, angular, depth greater than length, contained nearly 4 times in the length of the body; eye diameter contained 3 times in the length of the head; crown slightly villose; nasal valve thickened, but not prolonged into a lobe; dorsal line curved in a regular way, not or hardly more convex than rounded ventral line; back thick, not ridged; 26 scales in the lateral line; no dorsal fin, pectoral and ventral fins acute, shorter than the head; anal fin simple, acute; caudal fin double, trilobed, lobes acute, nearly equal in length, contained about 3 times in the length of the body. Colour: body golden-red; fins red.
260 D. 0. P. 1/16. V. $2 / 6$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. short flanking ones $+1 / 33 / 1$ + short flanking ones.
Hab. Japan (Edo), in ponds.
Length of sole specimen 101"'.
9. Carassius auratus, macronotopterus, monuropterus, monoproktopterus, rhombeus, aureus.

Syn. Cyprinus auratus var. Bl., Ichthyogr. Chin. boreal. Tab. 5 fig. 4.
A Carassius with an oblong, compressed body, rhomboid, depth contained $2 \frac{2}{3}$ to $23 / 4$ times in its length; head angular, acute, depressed, contained $34 / 5$ to 4 times in the length of the body, depth about equal to length; eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times to $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head; nasal valve moderately evolved; dorsal line with an obtuse angle; ventral line rounded; back ridged, hardly higher than belly; 25 to 29 scales in the lateral line; dorsal fin elongate, length greater than depth, pectoral and ventral fins acute, shorter than the head; anal fin simple, acute, depth greater than length; caudal fin simple, bilobed, lobes acute, contained about $2^{1 / 2}$ to $2^{2} / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body golden-red, lower part silver; fins beautiful red.
D. $4 / 15$ to $4 / 19$. P. $1 / 13$ to $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 7$ to $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $1 / 17 / 1$, and short flanking ones.

Hab. Japan (Edo), in ponds.
Length of 2 specimens $66^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $68^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. In one of these specimens the snout is very acute and the snout- forehead line very concave. In this specimen the dorsal fin has a large black spot between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and the $7^{\text {th }}$ ray. In the other specimen this spot is lacking, and neither is the forehead line concave.

## The following known monstrosities are not in my collection.

## 10. Anotopterus, monuroproktopterus.

Syn. Cyprinus auratus. var. Bl. tab. 94 fig. 3.
11. Macronotopterus, monuropterus, monoproktopterus, elongatus (slightly different from the normal form)

Syn. Nin-Eubk-Yu or Nymphes, Ambre-jaune and Tricolor 13, Queue-mine and Hirondelle 27, Fade and Minier 28, Collections of Savigny.
Kin-Yu, Orangé 19, Vermillion 25. Collections of Savigny.
12. Dinotopterus (Val., Poiss. XVI p. 82).
13. Micronotopterus, diuropterus diproktopterus (Val., Poiss. XVI p. 86, 87).

261 STIRPS 3. - Barbini. -
Barbels.

Cypriniform fishes with covered jaws, body oblong or elongate, compressed or slightly fusiform, anal ray simple, at the posterior side smooth, without teeth, pharyngeal teeth sparse, in one to three rows, never more than 12 on either side.

Remark. In the Barbines I place all Cheilognathines, which do not belong to the Catostomines and Cyprinines.

Above I already have pointed at the difficulties to arrange the more than 600 now known species of Barbines according to their natural relationships and to define the genera with the necessary sharpness.

Heckel's attempt of a natural classification of the Cyprinoids, so little met the demands of a natural system, that he himself rejected it a few years later by the erection of his Temnochilae the genera of which in his earlier classification, in which the Cyprinoid genera accepted by him are mentioned with No. 1 to No. 54, occur on the numbers 5, 13, 18 to 23 and 27 to 30, surrounded by Cyprinines, Barbines and Catostomines. And even when one removes the Cyprinines and Catostomines from that list, as well as the genus Glossodon, which belongs to a different order, the Barbines in no way follow each other in a natural way. The sequence in which the Barbines in that case would occur, would be as follows.

| 1 Gibelion Heck. | 13 Abramis Cuv. | 25 Scardinius Bp. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Devario Heck. | 14 Blicca Heck. | 26 Idus Heck. |
| 3 Rhodeus Ag. | 15 Bliccopsis Heck. | 27 Leucos Heck. |
| 4 Systomus McCl. | 16 Acanthobrama Heck. | 28 Pachystomus Heck. |
| 5 Barbus Cuv. | 17 Osteobrama Heck. | 29 Leuciscus Rond. |
| 6 Labeobarbus Rupp. | 18 Ballerus Heck. | 30 Phoxinellus Heck. |
| 7 Luciobarbus Heck. | 19 Chela Buch. | 31 Phoxinus Rond. |
| 8 Schizothorax Heck. | 20 Esomus Swains. | 32 Argyreus Heck. |
| 9 Aulopyge Heck. | 21 Pelecus Ag. | 33 Squalinus Bp. |
| 10 Gobio Cuv. | 22 Perilampus McCl. | 34 Leucosomus Heck. |
| 11 Tinca Rond. | 23 Alburnus Rond. | 35 Opsarius McCl. |
| 12 Isocephalus Heck. | 24 Aspius Ag. |  |

Many of these genera since then have become better known, whereas others have been found untenable. Numerous other generic forms discovered since then, have thrown a new light on the relationships of 262 older ones, and because of that, this part of the knowledge of the Cyprines nowadays has got a totally different complexion.

Much can be said against the value of many of those new genera.
It is especially in the Barbines, that one has gone too far in creating new genera on the basis of insignificant changes in the dentition. Heckel in this was still overpassed by Agassiz and Girard.

Certainly the dentition also has its value for the systematic classification of the Barbines, but not in such a way that a small difference in the rows and shape of the teeth can be considered sufficient to multiply the genera while neglecting the remaining natural relationships, and it certainly will become apparent that many genera which are drawn up that way are untenable.

However, apart from the dentition, the Barbines offer various characters by which one is able to group them better than has been done till now.

One finds an excellent character in the shape of the anterior part of the body. In most Barbines the belly is flat anterior to the pelvic fins, offering a more or less broad surface on which one can observe 3 to more longitudinal scale rows. In rather numerous other species the belly is knife-like compressed, forming only a sharp keel instead of a flat undersurface, and the pelvic fins in these species are not implanted on the lower edge of the body, but on the flanks above the ventral keel. One can name both these groups Amblygastri and Oxygastri.

The Oxygastri comprise the 5 genera Smiliogaster Blkr, Culter Basil., Laubuca Blkr, Chela Buch. and Macrochirichthys Blkr, which could further be sharply characterized by the presence or absence of a serrated or unserrated dorsal spine, the placement of the dorsal fin above or in front of the anal fin, the structure of the jaws, the squamation, the shape of the lateral line and the ventral outline etc.

When searching for constant characters to split the Amblygastri in subgroups one encounters numerous difficulties.

Those characters cannot be found in the dentition, unless one would like to severe all natural relationships entirely.

Thus for instance one finds three rows of teeth in Barbus, Rohtee, Catla, Luciosoma, Opsarius, Rasborichthys, etc.; two rows of teeth in Meda, Aspius, Gobio, Argyreus, Phoxinus, etc.; one rowed teeth in Tinca, Aulopyge, Acanthobrama, Rhodeus, Esomus, etc., genera of which the natural classification is entirely different.

In the barbels one finds these characters still less and considered on its own these are even not sufficient in the determination of the genera, as in various natural genera, like Cyclocheilichthys, Hypselobarbus, Systomus, Luciosoma, ${ }^{263}$ four, two, or no barbels are encountered in species of the same genus.

The same difficulties arise, when one tries the classification on other characters, e.g. the length of the dorsal or anal fin, the squamation, the position and size of the mouth opening, the size of the gill opening, the shape of the snout, the shape of the lateral line, etc.

Other characters which might be used with profit, like the peculiarities of jaw and lip structures, the shape of the anterior suborbital bones, the scaly dorsal fin sheath, etc., are by far not known of all genera, and therefore when one tries to apply these
characters one soon encounters difficulties which cannot be lifted.
It appeared to me that the nature of the posterior undivided dorsal fin ray will be most useful for a general division of the Amblygastri.

I have split them on that basis in Acanthophori, and Anacanthophori. The presence or absence of a dorsal spine agrees most, although not totally, with the other natural relationships, and has the practical benefit of an easy recognition. However, here also transitions are found, although, as far as is known to me, only in the genera Labeobarbus and Systomus, where the dorsal spine in some species is so little developed, that its bony nature can be doubted.

To the genera that possess a dorsal spine belong Racoma McCl., Schizothorax Heck., Balantiocheilos Blkr, Amblyrhynchichthys Blkr, Albulichthys Blkr, Hampala V. Hass., Hypselobarbus Blkr, Systomus McCl., Cyclocheilichthys Blkr, Barbus Cuv., Labeobarbus Rüpp., Hemibarbus Blkr, Pseudophoxinus Blkr, Rohteichthys Blkr, Rohtee Syk., Acanthobrama Heck., Rhodeus Ag., Chanodichthys Blkr, Pseudoculter Blkr, Hemiculter Blkr, Aulopyge Heck. and Meda Gir., both last ones are very peculiar because of their scaleless body.

I have arranged those genera further by the being scaled or not of the body, the shape of the anal scales, of the lips, gill opening, snout, gape, interorbital bones, dorsal fin and anal fin, the being serrated or not of the dorsal fin spine, the existing or not of eye membrane, the arrangement and special shapes of the teeth etc.

The genera of the Anacanthonoti are remarkably more numerous than those of the Acanthophori.

Two of those genera are remarkable because of the presence of hexagonal cells or wart-like elevations on the jaws proper with at the same time scaleless chest areas. These genera are Cherus Swains. and Plargyrus Raf.

Another row of genera is remarkable by flat, more or less $\sqrt{264}$ spoon shaped lower jaws. Moreover, they are also related by general habitus, flat snout, more or less posterior eyes and their dentition. To these belong Catla Val., Thynnichthys Blkr, Hypophthalmichthys Blkr. and Amblypharyngodon Blkr. (Mola Heck.).

A third row of genera of the Anacathonoti is recognizable by a slender body with a low back and a dorsal fin that is placed above the anal fin. Luciosoma Blkr, Perilampus McCl . and Esomus Sws. belong to these. The genus Devario Heck., is also related to this series and is intermediate between it and the series of Catla.

A fourth natural series can be composed from a number of genera which have in common with each other a delicate scaled body, with a fleshy snout and a short dorsal fin that is placed before the anal fin, and an equally short anal fin. They all have also only one or two rows of teeth. In this series I place Tinca Cuv., Argyreus Heck., Chrosomus Raf., Tiaroga Gir., Phoxinus Ag. and Phoxinellus Heck., the last genus again is very remarkable by the absence of scales except only on the lateral line.

To this series naturally some genera with large scales link up like Sarcocheilichthys Blkr, Gobio Cuv. and Cirrhina Cuv.

In still another series head and snout are depressed, the body is slender, the back low and the dorsal fin short and placed before the anal fin. My genera Leptobarbus, Gnathopogon, Pseudorasbora and Rasborichthys can be reckoned among them.

The remaining genera of the Anacanthonoti still can be placed in two other less sharply separated groups.

Those of the first group have in common with each other a wide gape which extends till below the eyes, a more or less multi-rayed anal fin, a much curved lateral line and an acute snout with a terminal mouth opening. I am of the opinion that I have to place herein Elopichthys Blkr, Opsarius McCl . and the extremely closely related genera Aspius Ag., Gila Baird Gir. and Ptychocheilus Ag.

In the genera of the second group the gape is less large, sometimes even small, and the snout more convex and fleshy. They are in greater or lesser measure related to Aspius and a sharp demarcation line cannot be drawn.

It is especially in both these last groups, that a sharp definition of the genera is difficult and just like Gila and Ptychocheilus need to be more closely compared to Aspius, it is necessary, that the borders of the genera Abramis Cuv., Luxilus Raf., Alburnus Heck., Hybobsis Ag., Leucosomus Heck., Ceratichthys Baird, Semotilus Raf., Leuciscus Klein, Scardinus Bp., Alburnops Gir., Cyprinella Gir. and Cocoma Gir., hereafter still referred to as genera are more sharply defined than has been done till now, in order to be able to definitively regard them as natural genera, and this notwithstanding the fact that various other ones of the recently erected genera have already been reduced to the ones mentioned above.
265 Below I have tried to give a diagnostic review of all the genera of Barbines accepted in this work.

With regard to the geographic distribution of the genera of Barbines, the present state of science allows the following conclusions.

Proper to North America are Meda, Luxilus, Leucosomus, Alburnops, Cyprinella, Plargyrus, Semotilus, Ptychocheilus, Gila, Hybopsis, Ceratichthys, Tiaroga, Argyreus and Chrosomus.

Common to both hemispheres are only Leuciscus, Alburnus and Gobio, so that all other genera are proper to the Old world.

Of those genera exclusive for Europe are: Aulopyge, Scardinius, Phoxinus and Phoxinellus.

From Africa no genus is known, which not at the same time occurs in Europe or Asia, unless maybe the genus Opsardinius Peters that is completely unknown to me.

Europe, Africa and Asia have in common: Barbus, Labeobarbus and Alburnus.
Europe has in common with Asia only: Rhodeus, Abramis, Aspius, Tinca and Chela.

Asia has in common with Africa, but not with Europe: Systomus and Opsarius.
All remaining genera are proper to Asia, but many occur only on the Asiatic islands, in Japan and the Indian archipelago.

The Asian continent has in common with the Japanese islands only the genus Opsarius, and with the Sunda Islands: Labeobarbus, Systomus, Balantiocheilos, Amblyrhynchichthys, Hampala, Thynnichthys, Rasbora, Luciosoma, Chela and Macrochirichthys.

Proper to the Japanese islands are: Hemibarbus, Sarcocheilichthys, Pseudorasbora and Gnathopogon.

At last to the Sunda Islands are proper: Cyclochelichthys, Albulichthys, Rotheichthys, Leptobarbus and Rasborichthys.

The genera of the Barbines can be reviewed as follows:

1. Amblygastri. Belly not sharp anterior to fins.
2. Acanthophori. Dorsal fin armed with a spine.
a. Body scaled.

Ô Anal scales larger than the other, small scales. Dorsal spine dentate. Nasal and upper jaw barbels present.
O Lower lip lobed.

## Racoma McCl .

O' Lower lip not lobed. Teeth spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2.
Schizothorax Heck.
$\hat{O}^{\prime}$ Anal scales not larger than other scales
Ó Gill opening vertical, rather narrow, ending below gill cover. Dorsal spine serrated. No barbels. Lower lip hanging from the total margin of the jaw, forming a sac which is open only at the back. Teeth hooked/spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2. Scales large. Back angular.

## Balantiocheilos Blkr.

Ó' Gill opening broad, ending below the preoperculum, or under the eye.
$\dagger$ Eyes largely covered by palpebral membrane. Dorsal spine serrated. No barbels. Scales large. Back angular. Lower jaw with a hooked tubercle at the symphysis.
O Snout truncate. Supermaxillary bones reaching the tip of the snout, and there hiding the back-folded intermaxillary bones. Anterior suborbital bone shoeshaped. Caudal fin scaled only at the base. Teeth aggregated wedge-shaped 2.3.4/4.3.2.

## Amblyrhynchichthys Blkr.

O' Snout convex, not truncate. Supermaxillary bones not reaching the tip of the snout. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal. Caudal fin scaled for total basal half. Teeth incisors, lancet-like 2.3.4/4.3.2.

Albulichthys Blkr.

## $t^{\prime}$ Eyes not covered

O Anal fin with few rays.
aa Gape large, oblique. Upper jaw and gill opening ending below the eye. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Dorsal spine dentate. Scales large. Teeth spoonshaped 1.3.5/5.3.1.

Hampala V. Hass.
bb Gape small or medium-sized, ending anterior to the eye.
$\dagger$ Snout and cheeks covered with tubercles or warts. Snout conical. Dorsal spine thin, toothless. Barbels 4 , or 2 or none.

Hypselobarbus Blkr.
(subgenus Hypselobarbus, Gonoprokopterus and Tambra Blkr.)
$t^{\prime}$ Snout and cheeks without tubercles or warts.
$\cap$ Scales large or medium-sized.

* Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, pointing upward with the sharp tip. Postlabial groove parallel to the margin of the mouth on both sides, separated from the groove on the opposite side by the isthmus. Mouth anterior or slightly anterior. Scales 267 large. Barbels 4, or 2, or none. Dorsal spine dentate or toothless. Teeth not aggregated, in three rows, 8 to 10 .

Systomus McCl. (subg. Barbodes, Capoëta and Systomus Blkr.)
*' Anterior suborbital bone triangular, pointing forward with the sharp tip, or elongate. Single postlabial groove parallel to the margin of the mouth.
X Dorsal spine dentate. Snout conical. Teeth spoon-shaped or slightly spoonshaped, 7 to 10 , in three rows.
I Dorsal fin with a scaled sheath at the base. Back elevated, angular. Cheeks with numerous parallel transverse stripes. V. 2/9.

Cyclocheilichthys Blkr. (subg. Cyclocheilichthys, Siaja and Anematichthys Blkr.)
$I^{\prime}$ Dorsal fin not scaled at the base. Back low. Barbels 4.

## Barbus Cuv.

X' Dorsal spine without teeth. Scales large. V. 2/8.
I Barbels 4. Teeth spoon-shaped or slightly spoon-shaped, 7 to 10 in three rows.

Labeobarbus Rüpp.
I' Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels only. Teeth pointed, in one row 4/4.
Hemibarbus Blkr.
$\Lambda^{\prime}$ Scales small. No barbels.

* Dorsal spine without teeth. Dorsal fin scaleless at the base. Teeth with a rod-like neck 5/4.

Pseudophoxinus Blkr.
*' Dorsal spine dentate. Gill opening ending below the eye. Dorsal fin scaled. Teeth hooked-spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2.

## Rohteichthys Blkr.

$\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Anal fin elongate or slightly elongate, with several to many rays. No barbels. Mouth anterior or slightly anterior.
aa Dorsal spine dentate. Scales small. Teeth spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2.
Rohtee Syk. $=$ Osteobrama Heck.

[^3]$\Lambda^{\prime}$ Lateral line only visible on the anterior part of the body. Scales large. Teeth knife-like.

## Rhodeus Ag.

+' Body slightly elongate. Snout very acute. Scales medium-sized. Lateral line slightly curved.
$\wedge$ Lower jaw not prominent. Back angular. Snout prolonged.

Chanodichthys Blkr.
$\Lambda^{\prime}$ Lower jaw prominent. Back low. Snout short.

Pseudoculter Blkr.
$+{ }^{\prime \prime}$ Body elongate. Snout short. Scales medium-sized or small. Lateral line strongly curved.

## Hemiculter Blkr.

b. Body scaleless.

Ô Dorsal spine dentate. Gape small. Nostrils simple on both sides. Barbels 4. Teeth lancet-like 4/4.

## Aulopyge Heck.

$\hat{O}^{\prime}$ Dorsal spine without teeth. Gape ending below the eye. No barbels. Teeth prehensile 1.4/4.1.

## Meda Gir.

Anacanthonoti No dorsal spine. Body scaled.
a Jaws tumid, porous-verrucose or lacunose. Thoraco-gular region scaleless. Scales large. No barbels.
Ô Humeral bones strongly developed, bare. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins. Teeth hooked with a rod-like neck 4.5/5.4.

Chedrus Swns. = partly Pachystomus Heck.
Ô' Humeral bones normal. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins. Teeth compressed, prehensile 2.4/4.2.

## 269 Plargyrus Raf. = Hypsolepis Baird

b Jaws covered with smooth skin.
Ô Lower jaw depressed, spoon-shaped. No barbels. Snout depressed. Mouth anterior. Teeth aggregated or molar, in three rows.
Ó Dorsal fin with many rays, anal fin with few rays.
Scales large. Single postlabial groove. Lower lip hanging from the total margin of the jaw. Teeth aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2.

> Catla Val. = partly Gibelion Heck.
$\hat{O}^{1}$ Dorsal fin with few rays. Scales small. Eyes posterior or inferior.
$\dagger$ Anal fin with many rays, longer than dorsal fin. Gill cover ray-like rugose.
Hypophthalmichthys Blkr.
$t^{\prime}$ Anal fin with few rays, shorter than dorsal fin. Gill cover not rugose.
O Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins. Teeth aggregated, the chewing surface oblique truncate, flat 2.4.5/5.4.2.

## Thynnichthys Blkr.

O' Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins. Teeth molar, the chewing surface oblongrounded and transversely rugose 1.2.3/3.2.1.

Amblypharyngodon Blkr. = Mola Heck.
$\hat{O}^{2}$ Dorsal fin and anal fin elongate, with many rays, dorsal fin largely opposite anal fin.
No barbels.
Ó Scales large. Body oblong, elevated. Lateral line strongly curved downward, close to convex ventral line.

## Devario Heck.

$\hat{\mathrm{O}}^{3}$ Dorsal fin completely or partly opposite anal fin. Back low. Scales large.
Eyes placed behind or inside the tip of the snout.
Ó Gape broad, oblique, ending below the eye. Dorsal and anal fin with few rays, short, pectoral fins elongate. Four barbels, fleshy barbels or no barbels.
Teeth slightly spoon-shaped or predatory 2.4.4/4.4.2 or 2.4.5/5.4.2.
Luciosoma Blkr. (subg. Luciosoma and Trinematichthys Blkr.)
Ó' Gape small, ending anterior to the eye. Four barbels, upper jaw barbels, rigid, setaceous.
† Lateral line strongly curved, close to the convex ventral line. Dorsal and anal fins with several to many rays, pectoral fins not elongate.

270 Perilampus McCl .
$\dagger^{\prime}$ No lateral line. Nasal barbels close to upper jaw barbels. Dorsal and anal fin with few rays, short, pectoral fins elongate. Teeth acute, hardly curved 5/5.

> Esomus Swns. = Nuria Val.
$\hat{O}^{4} \quad$ Scales small. Snout fleshy. Dorsal and anal fins with few rays, dorsal fin placed completely anterior to anal fin.
Ó Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels.
$\dagger$ Mouth terminal. Body oblong, compressed with an elevated, angular back. Teeth clavate $4 / 5$.
$\dagger^{\prime}$ Mouth inferior. Body elongate, fusiform with a low back. Predatory teeth, in one or two rows 1.4/4.2 or $2.4 / 4.2$, or $4 / 4$.
Argyreus Heck. = Rhinichthys Ag. = Agosia Gir.

Ó' No barbels. Body elongate, fusiform.
$\dagger$ Body scaled all over. Lateral line hardly curved. Mouth terminal. O Teeth lightly hooked, with a thin chewing surface $5 / 5$. Scales membraneous.

## Chrosomus Raf.

$\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Predatory teeth without chewing surface 1.3/3.1. Isthmus very wide.

## Tiaroga Gir.

$O^{\prime \prime} \quad$ Predatory teeth 2.4/4.2 or 2.5/4.2. Snout obtuse, convex. Mouth terminal.

> Phoxinus Rond. Ag.
$\dagger^{\prime}$ Body scaled only on the anterior part of the lateral line, with scales in one row. O Teeth with a rod-like neck $5 / 4$. Snout obtuse, convex. Mouth terminal.

## Phoxinellus Heck.

$\hat{O}^{5}$ Scales large. Snout fleshy. Dorsal and anal fins short, dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin. Gape small.
Ó Barbels 2, nasal barbels only. Snout not prolonged.

## Cirrhina Cuv.

Ó' Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels only. Snout prolonged.
Predatory teeth. $2.5 / 5.2$. or $2.4 / 4.1$ or $3.5 / 5.2$.

Gobio Cuv.

Ó" No barbels. Snout very fleshy, elevated. Lateral line nearly straight. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins.

Sarcocheilichthys Blkr.
$\hat{O}^{6}$ Snout acute, depressed. Body elongate with a low back. Dorsal fin with few rays, placed anterior to anal fin.
Ó Dorsal fin starting above or hardly anterior to ventral fins. Scales large. Anal fin with few rays.
$\dagger$ Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Lips thin. Gape medium-sized, oblique. Lower jaw without tubercle at the symphysis. Lateral line curved. Teeth spoonshaped, pluricrenulate on chewing surface 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Leptobarbus Blkr.

[^4]
## Gnathopogon Blkr.

t" No barbels. Lips very fleshy. Mouth superior, with a very short, vertical gape. Lateral line nearly straight. Teeth hooked-compressed 5/5.

Pseudorasbora Blkr.

Ó' Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins. No barbels. Mouth anterior, with a mediumsized gape. Teeth in two or three rows.
$\dagger$ Anal fin with few rays. Scales large. Upper jaw at the symphysis with an incision taking in the inframaxillary symphysial tubercle. Eyes not covered by skin. Lateral line close to the ventral line. Teeth slightly spoon-shaped, hooked.

Rasbora Blkr.
$\dagger^{\prime}$ Anal fin with many rays, much longer than dorsal fin. Scales medium-sized. Upper jaw without incision at the symphysis. Eyes largely covered by a palpebral membrane. Lateral line hardly curved. Teeth knife-like.

## Rasborichthys Blkr.

$\hat{\mathrm{O}}^{7}$ Gape large, oblique, ending below the eye. Anal fin with several rays. Lateral line strongly curved. Snout acute. Mouth anterior.
Ó Nasal bones strongly developed. Lower jaw at the symphysis with a tubercle which is hooked at the tip. Swimbladder trilobed. Body elongate. Scales small or mediumsized. No barbels. Snout prolonged.

## 272 Elopichthys Blkr.

Ó' Nasal bones normal.
$\dagger$ Pharyngeal teeth in two rows, predatory. No barbels. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins.
O Upper jaw emarginate at the symphysis, lower jaw prominent, with a tubercle at the symphysis entering the intermaxillary incision. Body elongate. Tail thin. Scales large or medium-sized. Teeth cylindrical.

Aspius Ag.
$\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Scales small or medium-sized, unequal. Body elongate with a thin tail. Teeth compressed, lower jaw hooked?

> Gila Baird Gir. = Tigoma Gir. = Cheonda Gir.

O" Scales medium-sized, inequal. Lips fleshy. Body oblong or elongate. Tail robust. Teeth without chewing surface. Isthmus medium-sized.
Ptychocheilos Ag. = Clinostomus Gir.

[^5]Opsarius McCl. (subg. Shacra, Bendilisis, Opsarius Blkr).
$\hat{O}^{8}$ Snout convex, not depressed. Scales large or medium-sized. Dorsal fin short.
Ó Anal fin with many rays, elongate, much longer than dorsal fin; dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins. Scales large. No barbels. Body strongly elevated. Teeth in one or two rows.
O Lateral line slightly curved. Belly behind ventral fins with a scaleless ridge.
Abramis Cuv. $=$ Blicca Heck. $=$ Ballerus Heck. $=$ Bliccopsis Heck.
$\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Lateral line strongly curved.
Luxilus Raf. = Stilbe De Kay = Richardsonius Gir.

Ó'Anal fin with many rays, longer than dorsal fin. Body slightly elongate, back not elevated. Upper jaw at the symphysis taking in tubercle of lower jaw in incision. Gape strongly oblique. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins. Belly ridged behind ventral fins. Lateral line strongly curved. 273 Alburnus Rond., Heck, = Alburnelles Gir. = Leucaspius Heck., Kner.

Ó" Anal fin not elongate, shorter to hardly longer than dorsal fin.
$\dagger$ Snout strongly convex, slightly truncate, protruding anterior to the mouth. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins. Scales large. Two barbels, upper jaw barbels or none. Lateral line nearly straight.

> Hybopsis Ag. (Subg. Hybopsis Ag., Hudsonius Gir.)
$\dagger^{\prime}$ Snout not truncate, not protruding anterior to mouth. Dorsal fin ending anterior to or above begin of anal fin.
O Two barbels, upper jaw barbels. Scales large. Body elongate or slightly elongate. aa Gape rather large. Lateral line curved. Teeth in two rows.

Leucosomus Heck. $=$ Cheilonemus Baird $=$ Pogonichthys Gir. $=$ Nocomis Gir.
bb Gape medium-sized. Lateral line nearly straight. Teeth in one row.

## Ceratichthys Baird.

$\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ No barbels. Scales large or medium-sized. Teeth in one or two rows. Body oblong or elongate.
aa Gape rather large. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins. Eyes superior. Cheeks elevated. Lateral line slightly curved.

Semotilus Raf. (gen. Leucosomus Heck. strongly related)
bb Gape medium-sized or small. Body oblong or elongate.
$\dagger$ Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind ventral fins. Lateral line slightly to strongly curved. Scales large or medium-sized.

Leuciscus Rond., Klein $=$ Leucos Heck. $=$ Squalius Bp. $=$ Telestes Bp. $=$ Telestes Bp.


#### Abstract

†' Body oblong. Scales large. Lateral line moderately curved. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins.


> Scardinius Bp. = Idus Heck.
$t^{\prime \prime}$ Scales large, deciduous. Snout thickened, protruding anterior to mouth. Dorsal fins starting above ventral fins. Lateral line nearly straight.

## Alburnops Gir.

$t^{\prime \prime \prime}$ Scales high, short. Gape short. Lateral line moderately curved. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind ventral fins.

## 274 Cyprinella Gir.

$\dagger^{\prime \prime \prime}$ Scales medium-sized. Jaws equal. Lateral line slightly curved. Dorsal fin starting a little behind ventral fins. Isthmus rather wide. Teeth 4/4.

## Codoma Gir.

II Oxygastri. Belly sharp anterior to ventral fins. Body scaled. Fins: anal fin elongate, dorsal fin short. No barbels.

1. Dorsal fin armed with a spine. Pectoral fins medium-sized.
a. Dorsal spine serrated. Body oblong, with angular back and snout. Scales small. Lateral line nearly straight. Teeth compressed, with an oblique, truncate, plurituberculate chewing surface 2.2.4/4.2.2.

## Smiliogaster Blkr.

b. Dorsal spine toothless. Body elongate with a low back. Dorsal fin placed between ventral and anal fins. Scales medium-sized or small. Lateral line strongly curved. Swimbladder three-lobed.

Culter Basil.
2. Dorsal fin without spine, completely or partly opposite anal fin. Pectoral fins elongate. Gape strongly oblique.
a. Outline of throat and belly convex in a regular manner. Lateral line strongly curved.

Ô Body oblong. Gape short. Upper jaw not emarginate at symphysis, lower jaw without tubercle at the symphysis. Scales large, nearly equal, scales on nape withdrawn to far behind the eye. Teeth predatory. 2.4.5/5.4.2.

## Laubuca Blkr.

Ô' Body oblong or elongate. Scales large or small, unequal, scales on nape starting above the eye. Upper jaw with an incision at the symphysis, taking in the tubercle of the lower jaw. Predatory teeth, in two or three rows 2.4.5/5.4.2.
or 2.5/5.2 or 4.4/4.4.
b. Outline of throat and belly behind axilla strongly emarginate.

Lateral line slightly curved.
Ô Body elongate. Scales small. Gape large, nearly vertical. Teeth predatory, slightly spoon-shaped 4.4/4.4. Pectoral fins elongate.

Macrochirichthys Blkr.
275 Barbini species known up till now.



| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | amblycephalus Blkr. = |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | Barbus amblycephalus Blkr. $\qquad$ Borneo. erythropterus Blkr. = |
| " |  | Barbus erythropterus Blkr. ............................. Java, Borneo. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | bramoides Blkr. = Barbus bramoides Val. = |
|  |  | Barbus bramoides Val. = Barbus wadon Blkr. Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | javanicus Blkr. = Barbus javanicus Blkr. .......... Java, Sumatra. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | koilometopon Blkr. = |
|  |  | Barbus koilometopon Blkr. ............................. Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | gonionotus Blkr. = Barbus gonionotus Blkr. ... Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | Huguenini Blkr. = Barbus Huguenini Blkr. .... Sumatra. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | hypselonotus Blkr. = Barbus hypselonotus |
|  |  | V. Hass. = Barbus hypocoenatus Bull. Féruss. |
|  |  | 1824 (Typographical error) ............................. Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | macrophthalmus Blkr. = |
|  |  | Barb. macrophthalm. Blkr. .............................. Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | platysoma Blkr. = Barbus platysoma Blkr. ...... Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | rubripinna Blkr. $=$ Barbus rubripinna V. Hass. |
|  |  | = Barbus rubripinnis Val. = Barbus orphoides |
|  |  | Val. = Barbus gardonides Val. (specimen from |
|  |  | Java) = Barbus sarananella Blkr. ..................... Java, Siam. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | bunter Blkr. = Barbus bunter Blkr. .................. Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | tetrazona Blkr. = Barbus tetrazona Blkr. .......... Borneo. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | lateristriga Blkr. = Barbus lateristriga Val. ...... Jav., Sum., Bom., Bank., Bil. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | fasciatus Blkr. = Barbus fasciatus Blkr. ............. Sumatra, Banka, Borneo. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | obtusirostris Blkr. = |
|  |  | Barbusobtusirostris V. Hass. ........................... Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | maculatus Blkr. = Barbus maculatus V. Hass. = |
|  |  | Barbus binotatus Kuhl. = Barbus oresigenes |
|  |  | Blkr. 278 = Barbus blitonensis Blkr. = Barbus |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { kusanensis Blkr. = Barbus polyspilos Blkr. ..... Jav., Bali, Sum., Bilit., Bank., } \\ \text { Bint., Nias, Borneo. } \end{array}$ |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | goniosoma Blkr. ............................................. Sumatra. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | marginatus Blkr. = Barbus marginatus Val. .... Java, Sumatra. |
| " | (Capoëta) | beso Blkr. = Varicorhinus beso Rüpp. = Systomus |
|  |  | beso Heck. = Labeo varicorhinus Val. ............. Nile. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | luteus Blkr. = Systomus luteus Heck. .............. Syria. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | albus Blkr. = Systomus albus Heck. ................ Syria. |
| ' | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | amphibius Blkr. = Capoëta amphibia Val. = |
|  |  | Scaphiodon amphibia Heck. .......................... Hindustan. |
|  | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | chola Blkr. = Cyprinus chola Buch. = Systomus |
|  |  | chola McCl . = Capoëta chola Blkr. .................. Bengal. |
| ' | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | chrysosomus Blkr. $=$ |
|  |  | Systomus chrysosomus McCl. ........................ Bengal. |
|  | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | padangensis Blkr. = |
|  |  | Capoëta padangensis Blkr. ............................. Sumatra. |
| ' | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | sumatranus Blkr. = Capoëta tetrazona Blkr. ... Sumatra. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | brevis Blkr. = Capoëta brevis Blkr. .................. Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | leiacanthus Blkr. = Capoëta javanica Blkr. ...... Java. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | oligolepis Blkr. = Capoëta oligolepis Blkr. ...... Sumatra. |
| " | (Systomus) | ) chrysopterus McCl . ....................................... Bengal. |
| " | ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | guganio Blkr. = Cyprinus guganio Buch. ........ Bengal. |
|  | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | tictis Blkr. = Cyprinus tictis Buch. ................... Bengal. |




```
Barbus vulgaris Flem. = Cyprinus barbus L. = Cyprinus barba 281
    Hartm. = Barbus communis Cuv. = Barbus fluviatilis Ag. ........... Europe.
Barbus Mayori Val. .................................................................................. Europe.
    " plebejus Bp. = Barbus tiberinus Bp. ............................................... Europe.
    " eques Bp. = Cyprinus barbus ? Nardo. ........................................ Europe.
    " leptopogon Bp. .............................................................................. Europe.
    " scincus Heck. ................................................................................ Syria.
    " ? longus Heck. (description unknown to me) .............................. Syria.
    " barbulus Heck. ............................................................................. Syria, Persia.
    " mystaceus Blkr. = Cyprinus mursa Güldenst.? =
    Luciobarbus nuptaceus Heck.
    Syria, Casp. sea.
    " schejch Blkr. = Luciobarbus schejch Heck. =
    Luciobarbus schech Heck. ........................................................... Syria.
    " esocinus Blkr. = Luciobarbus esocinus Heck. ............................... Syria.
    " xanthopterus Blkr. = Luciobarbus xanthopterus Heck. ............... Syria.
    " paludinosus Pet. (only the name known to me) .......................... Africa (Mossamb.).
    " gibbosus Pet. (only the name known to me) ................................ Africa (Mossamb.).
    " inermis Pet. (only the name known to me .................................... Africa (Mossamb.).
    " trimaculatus Pet. (only the name known to me) .......................... Africa (Mossamb.).
    " radiatus Pet. (only the name known to me) ................................. Africa (Mossamb.).
Opsaridium zambezense Pet. (only the name known to me, place?) ...... Africa (Mossamb.).
Hemibarbus barbus Blkr. = Gobio barbus T. Schl. .................................... Japan.
Pseudophoxinus zeregi Blkr. = Phoxinellus zeregi Heck. ........................ Syria.
Rohteichthys microlepis Blkr. = Barbus microlepis Blkr. =
        Systomus microlepis Blkr. = Rohtee microlepis Blkr. ......... Sumatra, Borneo.
Rohtee Ogilbii Syk. = Osteobrama Ogilbii Heck. ..................................... Deccan.
    " Vigorsii Syk. = Osteobrama Vigorsii Heck. .................................. Deccan.
    " Alfredianus Blkr. = Leuciscus Alfredianus Val. = Leuciscus
    Duvaucelii Val. (Poiss. XVII p. }58\mathrm{ not pag. }71\mathrm{ fig. 491). ............... Deccan.
    " cotis Blkr. = Cyprinus cotis Buch. = Cyprinus cotio Buch. =
    Abramis gangeticus Swns. = Osteobrama cotis Heck. =
    Leuciscus cotio Val. ..................................................................... Bengal.
    " chrysops Blkr. = Leuciscus chrysops Val. ..................................... Bengal.
    " Blythi Blkr. = Systomus microlepis Blyth (not Blkr). ................... Bengal?
    " bramuia Blkr. = Abramis bramuia Val. ....................................... China.
    " termnalis Blkr. = Abramis terminalis Richds. ............................... China.
    " rhomboidalis Blkr. = Abramis rhomboidalis Richds. =
    Leuciscus rhomboidalis Val.
```



```
        China.
        32 Acanthobrama centisquama Heck. = Trachibrama centisquama Heck. Syria.
        " marmid Heck. = Trachibrama marmid Heck. ............Syria.
        " cupida Heck. = Trachibrama cupida Heck. ................Syria.
        " arrhada Heck. = Trachibrama arrhada Heck. ............ Syria.
        " pekinensis Blkr. = Abramis pekinensis Bas. ............... China.
        " mantschurica Blkr. = Abramis mantschuricus Bas. ... Mongol., Mantschuria.
Rhodeus amarus Ag. = Alburnus Ausonii Marsigl. =
        Cyprinus amarus L. = Leuciscus amarus Cuv. .......................... Europe, Asia minor.
Chanodichthys mongolicus Blkr. = Leptocephalus mongolicus Bas. ...... Mongolia, Mantshur.
    " ? argenteus Blkr. = Leuciscus argenteus Bas. .................. China.
    " ? aethiops Blkr. = Leuciscus aethiops Bas. ........................ China.
Pseudoculter pekinensis Blkr. = Culter pekinensis Bas. .......................... China.
    " exiguus Blkr. = Culter exiguus Bas. .................................... China.
Hemiculter leucisculus Blkr. = Culter leucisculus Bas. ............................. China.
```



```
* Luciosoma (Luciosoma) setigerum Blkr. = Barbus setigerus Val. =
                    Barbus podonemus Blkr
    Java, Sumatra.
* ( \(\quad\) ( ) spilopleura Blkr. .................................................. Sumatra, Siam.
* " (Trinematichthys) trinema Blkr. = Leuciscus trinema Blkr. Sumatra, Borneo.
Perilampus rerio Blkr. = Cyprinus rerio Buch. \(=\) Perilampus Striatus McCl. \(=\)
            Nuria rerio Val. = Esomus striatus Heck. ............................... Bengal.
            " dangila Blkr. = Cyprinus dangila. Buch. = Perilampus
            reticulatus \(\mathrm{McCl} .=\) Esomus reticulatus McCl . ....................... Bengal.
    " ? lineolatus Blkr. = Leuciscus lineolatus Blyth. ....................... Darjeling.
284Esomus danrica Heck. = Cyprinus danrica Buch. \(=\) Cyprinus Danrua
            Buch. \(=\) Cyprinus sutiha Buch. \(=\) Cyprinus jogia Buch. \(=\)
            Esomus vittatus Swns. = Esomus danrua Heck. = Perilampus
            recurvirostris \(\mathrm{McCl} .=\) Perilampus macropterus \(\mathrm{McCl} .=\)
            Perilampus macrourus \(\mathrm{McCl} .=\) Nuria danrica Val. \(=\)
            Cyprin danrica, Cyprin jogia and Cyprin sutiha Val. ............ Bengal.
            " thermoicos Heck. = Nuria thermoicos Val. .............................. Ceylon.
            " thermophilus Heck. = Perilampus thermophilus \(\mathrm{McCl} .=\)
            Nuria thermophylos Val.
                Bengal.
Tinca vulgaris Cuv. \(=\) Cyprinus tinca L. \(=\) Tinca chrysitis Ag. \(=\)
    Tinca italica Bp. ......................................................................................... Europe. Asia minor.
    " ? perennurus Heck. = Cyprinus perenurus Pall. =
        Leuciscus pernurus Val. ....................................................................... Siberia.
Argyreus atronasus Heck. = Cyprinus atronasus Mitch. = Leuciscus
            atronasus Stor. \(=\) Rhinichthys atronasus Ag.
                            N. Am. (N. Y. Massach.)
                            marmoratus Gill. = Rhinichthys marmoratus Ag. ...................... N. Am. (L. Superior.)
            " nasutus Gir. = Leuciscus nasutus Ayr. = Rhinichthys nasutus
            Ag. \(=\) Chondrostoma? nasutum Heck.
                            N. Am. (Massach. Conn.)
                            " obtusus Gir. = Rhinichthys obtusus Ag. ...................................... N. Am. (Huntsville).
    " meleagris Gill. = Rhinichthys meleagris Ag. ............................... N. Am. (Iowa).
    " dulcis Gill. ....................................................................................... N. Am. (Nebraska).
    " nubilus Gir. ..................................................................................... N. Am. (Puget-sound).
    " osculus Gill. ...................................................................................... N. Am. (San Pedro riv.).
    " notabilis Gill. .................................................................................... N. Am. (St. Cruz, Sonora).
    " chrysogaster Blkr. = Agosia chrysogaster Gill. ........................... N. Am. (St. Cruz).
    " metallicus Blkr. = Agosia metallica Gir. ....................................... N. Am. (San Pedro riv).
Chrosomus erythrogaster Raf. \(=\) Luxilus erythrogaster Kirtl. \(=\)
            Leuciscus erythrogaster Stor. = Rutilus? ruber Raf. .............. N. Am. (Ohio, Tennesee, Osag.).
Tiaroga cobitis Gill. ......................................................................................... N. Am. (San Pedro riv.).
Phoxinus Belonii Aldv. = Cobitis fluviatilis Marsigl. \(=\) Cyprinus phoxinus
    L. = Cyprinus aphya Meid. \(=\) Leuciscus phoxinus Cuv. \(=\)
    Phoxinus laevis Ag. = Phoxinus Marsilii Heck. =
    Cyprinus Lumaireul Bonell. \(=\) Phoxinus Lumaireul Heck. ..... Europe.
Phoxinellus alepidotus Heck. = Leueiscus alepidotus Heck. ..................... Europe.
Cirrhina Dussumieri Val. = Isocephalus Dussumieri Heck. ....................... Hindost. (Mysore).
Gobio fluviatilis Ag. = Gobius fluviatilis Marsigl. \(=\) Cyprinus gobio L. \(=\)
        Gobio vulgaris Cuv. = Gobio 285 lutescens De Filippi. ................... Europe.
Gobio uranoscopus Ag. ................................................................................... Europe.
    " venatus Bp. = Cyprinus benacensis Pollini? ..................................... Europe.
    " obtusirostris Val. .................................................................................. Europe.
    " damascinus Val. = Scaphiodon? damascinus Heck. =
    a Gobio? Blkr. ....................................................................................... Syria.
    " rivularis Bas. ............................................................................................ China.
```

```
    | cataractae Val. .............................................................................. N. Am.
    " gelidus Gir. ................................................................................... N. Am.
    " aestivalis Gir. ................................................................................... N. Am.
    " vernalis Gir. ................................................................................... N. Am.
Sarcocheilichthys variegatus Blkr. = Leuciscus variegatus T. Schl. ......... Japan.
* Leptobarbus Hoevenii Blkr. = Barbus Hoevenii Blkr. ........................... Sumatra, Borneo.
* Gnathopogon elongatus Blkr. = Capoëta elongata T. Schl. =
    Devario elongata Heck. .................................................. Japan.
    gracilis Blkr. = Capoëta gracilis T. Schl. =
    Devario gracilis Heck. ....................................................................
Pseudorasbora parva Blkr. = Leuciscus parvus T. Schl. ........................... Japan.
* " pusilla Blkr. = Leuciscus pusillus T. Schl. ....................... Japan.
* Rasbora argyrotaenia Blkr. = Leuciscus argyrotaenia Blkr. =
    Leucisc. cyanotaenia Blkr. = Leucisc. Schwenkii Blkr.
    Java, Bali, Sumatra.
* " lateristriata Blkr. = Leuciscus lateristriatus V. Hass. ................ Java, Sumatra.
* " dusonensis Blkr. = Leuciscus dusonensis Blkr. ........................ Sumatra, Borneo, Siam.
* " kallochroma Blkr. = Leuciscus kallochroma Blkr. .................... Borneo, Banka.
* " sumatrana Blkr. = Leuciscus sumatranus Blkr. ......................... Sumatra.
* " borneënsis Blkr. ............................................................................ Borneo.
* " Einthoveni Blkr. = Leuciscus Eithovenii Blkr. .......................... Born., Bilit., Banka.
* " bankanensis Blkr. = Leuciscus bankanensis Blkr. .................... Banka.
* " leptosoma Blkr. = Leuciscus leptosoma Blkr. .......................... Sumatra.
* " cephalotaenia Blkr. = Leciscus cephalotaenia Blkr. .................. Born., Billit., Banka, Sing.
* " Buchanani Blkr. = Cyprinus rasbora Buch. =
    Leuciscus rasbora McCl. = Opsarius rasbora Heck. =
    Cyprin rasbora Val. = Leuciscus rasbora Cant.?
    Bengal, Pinang?
    " daniconia Blkr. = Cyprinus daniconius Buch. =
    Leuciscus daniconius McCl. = Opsarius daniconius Heck. .... Bengal.
    " haematopterus Cast. lcon. ........................................................ Siam.
    " anjana Blkr. = Cyprinus anjana Buch. = Leuciscus lateralis
    McCl. = Opsarius anjana Heck.
    Bengal.
    ?? bata Blkr. = Cyprinus bata Buch. .......................................... Bengal.
    " ? dandia Blkr. = Leuciscus dandia Val. ..................................... Ceylon.
    " ? elingulata Blkr. = Perilampus elingulatus McCl. =
    Leuciscus elingulatus McCl. = Squalius elingulatus Heck. ..... Bengal.
    " ? teretiuscula Blkr. = Leuciscus teretiusculus Bas. ................... China.
    " tschiliensis Blkr. = Leuciscus tschiliensis Bas. .......................... China.
    " curricula Blkr. = Leuciscus curriculus Richds. ......................... China.
    " ? vandella Blkr. = Leuciseus vandella Richds. ......................... China.
    " ?? cura Blkr. = Cyprinus cura Buch. .......................................... Bengal.
    " ? piscatoria Blkr. = Opsarius piscatorius McCl. ....................... Bengal.
    " elanga Blkr. = Cyprinus elanga Buch. = Bengal elanga Gr. =
        Leuciscus dystomus McCl. = Cirrhina? elanga Val. =
        Seardinius distomus Heck.
        Bengal.
Rasborichthys Helfrichii Blkr. = Leuciscus Helfrichii Blkr. ...................... Borneo.
Elopichthys dauricus Blkr. = Nasus dauricus Bas. ................................... Mongol., Mantschur.
    " bambusa Blkr. = Leuciscus bambusa Richds. =
        Chela? or Pelecus? Richds
            China.
Aspius rapax Ag. = Cyprinus aspius L. = Cyprinus rapax Pall. =
            Cyprinus taeniatus Eichw. Var. Leuciscus aspius Cuv.
            Europe.
            owsianka Czernay. ...................................................................... Europe.
    " Turskyi Blkr. = Squalius Turskyi Heck. =
    Leuciscus (Microlepis) Turskyi. Bp.
    Europe.
```

```
    " microlepis Blkr. = Squalius microlepis Heck. =
        Leuciscus (Microlepis) microlepis Bp. ......................................... Europe.
    " tenellus Blkr. = Squalius tenellus Heck. =
        Leuciscus (Microlepis) tenenus Bp. ............................................. Europe.
    " albus Blkr. = Squalius albus Bp. .................................................... Europe.
    " leptocephalus Heck. = Cyprinus leptocephalus Pall. ................... Asia.
    " chalcoides Heck. = Cyprinus chalcoides Güldenst. ..................... Asia.
    " tarichi Heck. = Cyprinus tarichi Güldenst. ................................... Asia.
    " vorax Heck. .................................................................................. Syria.
    " berag Blkr. = Squalius berag Heck. = Squalius berak Heck. ........ Syria.
    " lepidus Blkr. = Squalius lepidus Heck. ......................................... Syria.
Gila robusta Baird Gill. ........................................................................... N. Am. (Zuni riv.).
    " elegans Baird Gill. ............................................................................ N. Am. (Zuni riv.).
    , conocephala Baird Gill. ................................................................... N. Am. (San Joaquia riv.).
287 , gracilis Baird Gir. .......................................................................... N. Am. (Zuni riv.).
    | Emorii Baird Gir. ............................................................................. N. Am. (Gila riv.).
    " Grahami Baird Gir. ......................................................................... N. Am. (Gila riv.).
    " pulchella Baird Gir. = Tigoma pulchella Gir. .................................... N. Am. (Texas).
    conformis Blkr. = Lavinia conformis Baird Gir. =
    Tigoma conformis Gir. ....................................................................... N. Am. (California).
    " gibbosa Baird Gir. = Tigoma gibbosa Gir. ........................................... N. Am. (Santa Cruz riv.).
    " bicolor Blkr. = Tigoma bicolor Gir. ...................................................... N. Am. (L. Flamath).
    " purpurea Blkr. = Tigoma purpurea Gir. ............................................. N. Am. (Huagui riv.).
    " intermedia Blkr. = Tigoma intermedia Gir. ....................................... N. Am. (Rio San Pedro).
    " obesa Blkr. = Tigoma obesa Gir. ....................................................... N. Am. (Salt-lake.valley)
    " Humboldtii Blkr. = Tigoma Humboldti Gill. .................................... N. Am. (Humboldt riv.).
    " lineata Blkr. = Tigoma lineata Gill. ................................................... N. Am. (Humboldt riv.).
    " Girardi Blkr. = Tigoma gracilis Gill. .................................................. N. Am. (Humboldt riv.).
    " nigrescens Blkr. = Tigoma nigrescens Gill. ....................................... N. Am. (Boca grande, Jonas r.).
    " pulchra Blkr. = Tigoma pulchra Gill. ................................................ N. Am. (Chihchuari riv.).
    " crassa Blkr. = Tigoma crassa Gir. ..................................................... N. Am. (California.).
    " Cooperi Blkr. = Cheonda Cooperi Gir. ............................................. N. Am. (Columbia riv.).
    coerulea Blkr. = Cheonda coerulea Gr. .............................................. N. Am. (Lost riv.).
Ptychocheilus grandis Gir. = Gila grandis Ayr. =
        Ptychocheilus major Ag. ................................................... N. Am. (Californ.).
        " oregonensis Gir. = Cyprinus (Leuciscus) oregonensis
        Richds. = Ptychocheilus gracilis Ag. Pick = Leuciscus
        oregonensis Val - 
        .... N. Am. (Oregon, Col. riv. etc.)
    " rapax Gir. .......................................................................... N. Am. (Californ.).
    " vorax Gill. ........................................................................... N. Am.
    " lueius Gir. ........................................................................... N. Am. (Colorado riv.).
    " elongatus Blkr. = Luxilus elongatus Kirtl. = Leuciscus
        elongatus De Kay = burnoides elongatus Les. = Leuciscus
        productus Stor. = Clinostomus elongatus Gill. ................ N. Am. (Ohio. Wabash).
    " funduloides Blkr. = Clinostomus funduloides Gill. .......... N. Am. (Washington).
    " affinis Blkr. = Clinostomus affinis Gill. .............................. N. Am. (James river).
    " carolinus Blkr. = Clinostomus carolinus Gill. ................... N. Am. (Salem N. C.)
Opsarius (Shacra) Blkr. = Cyprinus shacra Buch. = Opsarins cirratus
            McCl. = Pachystomus schagra Heck. = Barbus
            schagra Val. = Chedri sp? ........................................... Assam.
        ( ") cocsa Blkr. = Cyprinus cocsa Buch. = Leuciscus cocsa
        McCl. = Pachystomus cocsa Heck.
        Bengal.
```



```
    " vetula Heck. .............................................................................. Europe.
    " sapa Heck. = Cyprinus sapa Pall. = Brama secunda foem.
    Marsigl. = Abramis Schreibersii Heck. ..................................... Europe.
    ' melanops Heck. .......................................................................... Europe.
    ' Leuckartii Heck. ........................................................................ Europe.
    - laskyr Nordm. = Cyprinus Iaskyr Güldenst. =
    Cyprinus gastera or lasgyrr Pall. = Blicca laskyr Heck. ............ Europe.
    microlepidotus Ag. .................................................................... Europe
    micropteryx Ag. ........................................................................... Europe.
    ' argyreus Ag. = Cyprinus Baggenhagii Bl. var.? Val. ................... Europe.
    erythropterus Ag. ......................................................................... Europe.
    elongatus Ag. ............................................................................ Europe. (Crimea.)
    " tenellus Val. = Leuciscus tenellus Val. ........................................ Europe. (Crimea.)
    Frivaldskyi Heck. ....................................................................... Natolia.
    chrysoprasias Heck. = Cyprinus chrysoprasius Gm. Pall. ......... Asia.
    gibbosus Heck. = Cyprinus gibbosus Gm. Pall. ......................... Asia.
    | persa. Heck. = Cyprinus persa Gm. Pall. ................................... Persia.
Luxilus americanus Gir. = Cyprinus americanus Lac. = Cyprinus
    chrysoleucus Mitch. = Leuciscus chrysoleucus Stor. = Leuciscus
    Boscii Val. = Leucosomus chrysoleucos Heck. = Stilbe
    chrysoleucus De Kay = Leucosomus 290 americanus Gir. ......... N. Am. (Massach.).
    compressus Gir. = Rutilus compressus Raf. =
    Leuciscus compressus Kirtl. ....................................................... N. Am. (Ohio).
    obesus Gir. = Leuciscus obesus Stor. = Stilbe obesus Ag. ........... N. Am. (Alabama).
    occidentalis Gir. = Leucosomus occidentalis B. Gir. ..................... N. Am. (Posa, Four creek).
```



```
    seco Gir. ....................................................................................... N. Am. (Texas).
    lucidus Gir. ................................................................................ N. Am. (Canadian Riv.).
, versicolor Blkr. = Cyprinus eolus Cossem = Abramis versicolor
    De Kay = Stilbe versicolor Ag.
    N. Am. (New York).
    balteatus Blkr. = Abramis balteatus Richds. =
    Squalius balteatus Heck. = Leuciscus balteatus Val. =
    Richardsonius balteatus Gir
        N. Am. (Columbia riv.).
" lateralis Blkr. = Richardsonius lateralis Gir. ............................... N. Am. (Puget-sound).
Alburnus ? parvulus Blkr = Leuciscus parvulus Val. ............................. Europe (Crimea).
    " bipunctatus Heck. = Phoxinus primus Mars. = Cyprinus
    bipunctatus L. = Leuciscus bipunctatus Cuv. = Leuciscus
    Baldneri Val. = Aspius bipunctat. Ag.
        Europe.
" coeruleus Heck. ..................................................................... Syria.
" lucidus Heck. = Phoxinus secundus Marsigl. = Cyprinus
    alburnus L. = Leuciscus alburnus Cuv. = Aspius ochrodon
    Fitz. = Aspius alburnus Ag. = Aspius alburnoides Selys. ....... Europe
" breviceps Heck. Kner. ............................................................. Europe.
" alborella Heck. = Aspius alborella De Filipp. ......................... Europe.
" scoranza Heck. ........................................................................ Europe.
" fracchia Heck. Kner. ................................................................ Europe.
" scoranzoides Heck. Kner. ....................................................... Europe
" mento Heck. = Aspius mento Ag. = Aspius Heckelii Fitz....... Europe.
" obtusus Heck. .......................................................................... Europe.
" acutus Heck. ........................................................................... Europa.
" cordilla Blkr. = Cyprinus cordilla Savi =
    Leuciscus cordilla Val. ........................................................... Europe.
" delineatus Blkr. = Squalius delineatus Heck. ......................... Europe.
```

```
    " abruptus Blkr. = Leucaspius abruptus Heck. Kner. ................ Europe.
    " niloticus Heck. = Leuciscus niloticus De Joann. =
    Pelecus niloticus Heck.; a Chela? ............................................. Nile.
    " bibie Blkr. = Leuciscus bibie De Joann. =
    Pelecus bibie Heck; a Chela? .................................................. Nile.
291 " sellal Heck. .............................................................................. Syria.
| microlepis Heck. .................................................................... Syria.
" hebes Heck. ............................................................................. Syria.
" mossulensis Heck. .................................................................... Syria.
" capito Heck. .................................................................................. Syria.
" pallidus Heck. ........................................................................... Syria.
" iblis Heck. ................................................................................ Persia.
" schejtan Heck. ........................................................................ Persia.
" caudimacula Heck. ................................................................. Persia.
" megacephalus Heck. .............................................................. Persia.
" maxillaris Heck. = Leuciscus maxillaris Val. =
    Alburnus iblis Heck. ? ? ......................................................... Persia.
    albuloides Heck. = Leuciscus albuloides Val. ......................... Persia.
    clupeoides Heck. = Leuciscus clupeoides Val. ........................ Persia.
    ? rubellus Blkr. = Alburnus rubellus Ag. ............................... N. Am. (L. Superior).
    lepidulus Gir. ......................................................................... N. Am. (Black-warrior r)
    dilectus Gir. = Alburnellus dilectus Gir. ................................ N. Am. (Arkansas).
    umbratilis Gir. = Alburnellus umbratilis Gir. ......................... N. Am. (Arkansas).
    amabilis Gir. = Alburnellus umbratilis Gir. ............................ N. Am. (Rio Nueces).
    ' socius Gir. = Alburnellus socius Gir. ....................................... N. Am. (Texas).
    " megalops Gir. = Alburnellus megalops Gir. ............................ N. Am. (Texas).
Hybopsis (Hybopsis) gracilis Ag. ............................................................ N. Am. (Huntsville).
    " ( ") dorsalis Ag. .......................................................... N. Am. (Burlington, Iowa).
    " (") Storerianus Gir. = Rutilus Storerianus Kirtl.
                            Leuciscus Storerianus Kirtl. ................................. N. Am (Ohia, Russellville).
        ( ") Winchellii Gir. ....................................................... N. Am. (Alabama).
    " (Hudsonius) hudsonius Blkr. = Clupea hudsonia Clint.
                    Leuciscus hudsonius De Kay. = Stolephorus
                    hudsonianus Cozzens. = Catostomus hudsonius
                    Ag. = Hudsonius hudsonia Gir. = Hudsonius
                    fluviatilis Gir. ........................................................
                        N. Am. (Huron, Mich., Huds.
                        riv.).
    " (") amarus Blkr. = Hudsonius amarus Gir. ............. N. Am.
Leucosomus plumbeus Gir. = Gobio plumbeus Ag. ................................ N. Am. (L. Sup., Huron).
    " pulchellus Gir. = Leucisc. pulchellus Stor. =
        Leucisc. argenteus Stor. = Leucosomus chrysoleucus Heck. =
        Leucisc. Storeri Val. = Cheilonemus pulchellus Gir. ........... N. Am. (New. Britt.).
    " dissimilis Gir. ..................................................................... N. Am. (Milk river).
    " pallidus Gir. ....................................................................... N. Am. (Arkansas).
    " inerassatus Gir. ................................................................. N. Am. (Choetaw-ag.).
    " laevigatus Heck. = Cyprinus laevigatus Mus. Paris.
        sec. Heck. .......................................................................... N. Am. (New-York).
        inaequilobus Blkr. = Pogonichthys inaequilobus B. G. ....... N. Am. (S. Joaq. riv.).
        symmetricus Blkr. = Pogonichthys symmetricus B. G. ....... N. Am. (S. Joaq. riv.).
        argyreiosus Blkr. = Pogonichthys argyreiosus Gir. ............. N. Am. (Californ.).
        communis Blkr. = Pogonichthys communis Gir. ................ N. Am. (Nebraska).
        nebracensis Blkr. = Nocomis nebracensis Gir. .................... N. Am. (Nebraska);
        bellicus Blkr. = Nocomis bellicus Gir. ................................. N. Am. (Bl.-warrior riv.).
```

```
    " ? gracilis Blkr. = Leuciscus gracilis Richds. =
    Leucosomus gracilis Heck. ................................................ N. Am. (Saskatch. riv.).
    " ? vittatus Blkr. = Leuciscus vittatus De Kay. ....................... N. Am. (New-York).
    " ? corporalis Blkr. = Cyprinus corporalis Mitch. =
        Leuciscus? corporalis De Kay.
        N. Am. (New-York).
Ceratichthys bigattatus Baird. = Semotilus biguttatus Kirtl. =
    Leuciscus biguttatus De Kay. ......................................................N. Am. (Mahon. r., Ohio).
    " amblops Gir. = Rutilus amblops Raf. .................................. N. Am. (Ohio).
    " leptocephalus Gir. ................................................................ N. Am. (Salem).
Semotilus atromaculatus Gir. = Cyprinus atromaculatus Mitch. =
    Leuciscus atromaculatus De Kay. = Leucisc. iris Val
        N. Am. (N. Y., Carolina).
    | speciosus Gir. ......................................................................... N. Am. (Nebraska).
    " dorsalis Raf. ........................................................................... N. Am. (Ohio).
    " cephalus Raf. = Leuciscus cephalus Kirtl. ............................... N. Am. (Ohio).
    " macrocephalus Gir. ................................................................... N. Am. (Nebraska).
    " ? vandoisulus Blkr. = Leuciscus vandoisulus Val. .................... N. Am.
    " ? rotengulus Blkr. = Leuciscus rotengulus Val. ........................ N. Am.
Leuciscus vulgaris Cuv. = Vandoise Belon. = Cyprinus leuciscus L. =
        Cyprinus jaculus Jur. = Leuciscus argenteus Ag. =
        Leuciscus saltator Bp. = Squalius leuciscus Heck. Kner. ......... Europe.
" rostratus Ag. = Squalius rostratus Heck.
                Europe.
" cavedanus Bp. = Squalius cavedanus Bp. =
        Squalius tiberinus Bp.? = Squalius Pareti Bp.? ........................ Europe.
        chalybaeus Blkr. = Squalius chalybaeus Heck. Kner. .............. Europe.
        rodens Ag. = Squalus rodens Heck. ........................................... Europe.
" dobula Ag. = Capito fluviatilis Gesn. =
        Capito Ausonii s. Cephalus Mars. = Dobula Schoneveldii
        Wil. = Cyprinus cephalus L. ex parte = Cyprinus dobula L
        (nec syn.) = Cyprin. orfus Pall. = Cyprin. idus Bl. tab 36. =
        Leuciscus frigidus Val. = Gardonus cephalus Bp. =
        Squalius dobula Heck. Kner.
        Europe.
" argentatus Fitz. = Mugil s. Cephalus fluviatilis minor Gesn. =
    Capito fluviatilis s. Squalius minor 298 Marsigl. = Cyprinus
    dobula Bl., tab. 5. = Cyprinus Leuciscus Bl., tab. 97. = Leuciscus
    vulgaris Heck. = Squalius lepusculus Heck. Kner. .................. Europe.
' lancastriensis Shaw. = Leuciscus majalis Ag. =
    Squalius majalis Heck. ............................................................ Europe.
" dolabratus Holandre = Squalius? dolabratus Heck. =
    Scardinius? dolabratus Heck.
    Europe.
" illyricus Blkr. = Squalius illyricus Heck. .................................. Europe.
" svallize Blkr. = Squalius svallize Heck. .................................... Europe.
" albus Blkr. = Squalius albus Bp. ................................................. Europe.
" ukliva Blkr. = Squalius ukliva Heck. ............................................ Europe.
" trasimenicus Blkr. = Squalius trasimenicus Bp. ...................... Europe.
" rubilio Bp. = Squalius rubilio Rp. = Leucos rubilio Bp. .......... Europe.
" Fucini Blkr. = Squalius Fucini Rp. ............................................ Europe.
" elatus Blkr. = Squalius elatus Bp. = Leucisc. elatus Val. ........... Europe.
" ochrodon Val. = Aspius ochrodon Ag. .................................... Europe.
" peleponensis Val. .................................................................... Europe.
" stymphalicus Val. ..................................................................... Europe.
" albiensis Val. ........................................................................... Europe.
" burdigalensis Val. ................................................................... Europe.
" sardella Val. = Leuciscus dobula Costa. ................................... Europe.
```

```
| comes Costa. ........................................................................... Europe.
" albidus Costa. ........................................................................... Europe.
" brutius Costa. ......................................................................... Europe.
" vulturinus Costa. = Leuciscus vulturius Val. ........................... Europe.
" fasciatus Val. = Aspius fasciatus Nordm. ................................ Europe.
" orientalis Blkr. = Squalius orientalis Heck. =
    Squalius cephalopsis Heck.
    Syria.
" spurius Blkr. = Squalius spurius Heck.
Syria.
" rutilus L. = Rubellus Marsigl. = Cyprinus rutilus L. = Rothauge
    Bl. = Leuciscus lividus Heck. = Gardonus rutilus Bp. ............. Europe.
" Pausingeri Heck. = Gardonus Pausingeri Bp. ......................... Europe.
" pigus De Filipp. = Cyprinus rutilus Scopoli. =
    Gardonus pigus Bp.
    Europe.
" virgo Heck. Kner. = Nörfling, Erfle Gesn. = Vröwfish Will.
    Orfus Germanorum Marsigl. = Cyprinus idus Fitz. =
    Cyprinus orfus Reis. = Chevaine du Lech Val.
        Europe.
" Meidingeri Heck. Kner. = Cyprinus grislagine Meid. (nec L.) =
    Leuciscus grislagine Ag. Val. (colors only) .............................. E
' Frisii Nordm. = Gardonus Frisii Bp. ........................................ Europe.
" cephalus Heck. = Cyprinus cephalus L. =
    Cyprinus jeses Jur. .................................................................. Europe.
" prasinus Ag. ........................................................................... Europe.
" roseus Bp. = Gardonus? roseus Bp. .......................................... Europe.
" Genei Bp. .................................................................................. Europe.
" Heckelii Nordm. ......................................................................... Europe (Crimea).
" adspersus Blkr. = Leucos adspersus Heck. ................................ Europe.
" rutiloides Selys. = Leucos? rutiloides Heck. ............................... Europe.
" Selysii Heck. = Leucos Selysii Heck. }293\mathrm{ Europe.
" aula Val. = Squalius aula Bp. = Leucos (Cenisophius)
    pauperum Bp. = Leuciscus pauperum De Fil. =
    Leuciscus scardinius De Fil. = Squalius aula Heck. =
    Leucos aula Bp. = Leucos (Cenisophius) scardinus Bp. .......... Europe.
" rubella Bp. = Leucos rubellicus & Leucos Henlei Bp.? =
    Squalius rubella Heck. = Leucos rubella Heck. =
    Leucos cisalpinus Heck. .......................................................... Europe.
" basack Blkr. = Leucos basack Heck. ......................................... Europe.
" chrysopterus De Kay. ............................................................. N. Am.
" vittatus De Kay. ....................................................................... N. Am.
" Agassizii Val. = Ryserle, Ryssling Gesn. = Gryslagine Will.,
    tab. Q 1 fig. 1. = Leuciscus aphya Ag. = Chondrostoma rysela
    Ag.?? = Squalius aphya Heck. = Telestes aphya Bp. =
    Telestes rysela Heck. = Leuciscus (Telestes) muticellus Günth.
    (nec Bp.) = Telestes Agassizii Heck. Kner.
    Europe.
" Savignyi Val. = Telestes Savignyi Bp. = Leuciscus muticellus
    De Fil. according to Bp.
    Europe.
M muticellus Bp. = Telestes muticellus Bp. =
    Squalius muticellus Heck.
    Europe.
```

Relationships with Barbines not yet fully determined


```
" dissimilis Kirtl., De Kay. .......................................................... N. Am. (Lac. Erie).
" Gire Val. .................................................................................. Nile.
" bisarre Val. .............................................................................. Nile.
" cir Val. .................................................................................... Nile.
" lacustris Val. = Cyprinus lacustris Pall. ................................... Sibiria.
" coreënsis Val., Richds
" fintella Val., Richds. ................................................................ China.
| chevanella Val., Richds. .......................................................... China.
" molitorella Val., Richds. ......................................................... China.
" cupreus Val., Richds.
China.
" plenus Richds. = Cyprinus plenus Brouss. .............................. China.
" zeylonicus Benn. (Rasborae affinis) ........................................ Ceylon.
Scardinius erythrophthalmus Bp. = Cyprinus erythrophthalmus L. =
            Leuciseus erythrophthahnus Val. = Scardinius
            hesperidicus Heck. ................................................................ Europe.
            " dergle Heck. Kner. .................................................................. Europe.
            " scardafa Bp. = Leuciscus scardafa Bp. .................................... Europe.
            " plotizza Heck. Kner = Scardinius platizza Bp. ........................ Europe.
            " macrophthalmus Heck. Kner. .................................................... Europe.
            " Hegeri Bp. = Hegerius typus Bp.............................................. Europe.
            " marocchius Blkr. = Leuciseus marocchius Costa. .................... Europe.
            " scarpetta Blkr. = Leuciscus scarpetta Val. .................................. Europa.
                    " affinis Blkr. = Leuciscus affinis Val. .............................................. Europa.
                    " idus Blkr. = Capito fluviatilis which Jesen and Jenting call
            Genus [?]. = Capito fluviatilis coeruleus Marsigl. = Cyprinus
            idus L. = Cyprinus jeses L. Bl. = Cyprinus idbarus Meid. =
            Leuciscus jeses Bp.? = Idus melanotus Heck. Kner.
                                    Europe.
                    " orfus Blkr. = Capito fluviatilis subruber, named Orfum by
                    the Germans = Cyprinus orfus Bl., L. Bl. =
                            Leuciscus orrus Val. = Idus orfus Heck. .................................. Europe.
" miniatus Blkr. = Idus miniatus Heck. ..................................... Europa.
" neglectus Blkr. = Leuciscus neglectus Selys. =
    Idus neglectus Heck.
                            Europe.
Alburnops blennius Gir. ........................................................................... N. Am. (Arkansas).
    " Shumardi Gir. ......................................................................... N. Am. (Arkansas).
296 " illecebrosus Gir. ........................................................................ N. Am. (Arkansas).
    " spirlingulus Blkr. = Leuciscus spirlingulus Val. ....................... N. A. (N. Jers., N. Harm.)
Cyprinella bubalina Gir. = Leuciscus bubalinus Baird Gill. ..................... N. Am. (Arkansas).
" umbrosa Gir. ............................................................................ N. Am. (Canadian r.).
" Gunnisoni Gir. ......................................................................... N. Am. (Utah).
" Reckwithi Gir. ......................................................................... N. Am. (Arkansas).
" Whipplii Gir. .......................................................................... N. Am. (Arkansas).
" suavis Gir. ............................................................................. N. Am. (Texas).
" lepida Gir. .............................................................................. N. Am. (Texas).
" notata Gir. ............................................................................. N. Am. (Texas).
" macrostoma Gir. .................................................................... N. Am. (Texas).
" venusta Gir. .............................................................................. N. Am. (Texas).
" texana Gir. ............................................................................. N. Am. (Texas).
" luxiloides Gir. ........................................................................ N. Am. (Texas).
," lugubris Gir. ............................................................................. N. Am.
" ludibunda Gir. .......................................................................... N. Am.
```

```
    " lutrensis Blkr. = Leuciscus lutrensis Baird Gir. =
    Moniana lutrensis Gir. ........................................................... N. Am. (Arkans., Red. r.).
    " leonina Blkr. = Moniana deliciosa Gir. ................................... N. Am.(Texas, Leon-riv.)
    " deliciosa Blkr. = Moniana deliciosa Gir. ................................. N. Am. (Leon-riv.).
    " proserpina Blkr. = Moniana proserpina Gir. ........................... N. Am. (Texas).
    " aurata Blkr. = Moniana aurata Gir. ......................................... N. Am. (N. Mexico).
    " complanata Blkr. = Moniana complanata Gir. ........................ N. Am. (Texas).
    " laetabilis Blkr. = Moniana laetabilis Gir. ................................. N. Am. (R. Gr. del Norte).
    " pulchella Blkr. = Moniana pulchella Gir. .................................. N. Am. (Arkansas).
    " frigida Blkr. = Moniana frigida Gir. ........................................ N. Am. (Texas).
    " Couchi Blkr. = Moniana Couchi Gir. ...................................... N. Am. (Mexico)
    " rutila Blkr. = Moniana rutila Gir. ............................................ N. Am. (Mexico).
    " nitida Blkr. = Moniana nitida Gir. .......................................... N. Am. (Mexico).
    " formosa Blkr. = Moniana formosa Gir. .................................... N. Am. (Mexico).
    ," gracilis Blkr. = Moniana gracilis Gir. ........................................ N. Am. (Mexico).
    " gibbosa Blkr. = Moniana gibbosa Gir. ..................................... N. Am. (Texas).
    " tristis Blkr. = Moniana tristis Gir. ............................................ N. Am. (Texas).
    " ? gardoneus Blkr = Leuciscus gardoneus Val. ......................... N. Am.
Codoma ornata Gir. .................................................................................. N. Am. (Chihuahua.).
    " vittata Gir. ................................................................................... N. Am. (Mexico).
Smiliogaster Belangeri Blkr. = Leuciscus Belangeri Val. ........................... Bengal.
Culter alburnus Bas. ................................................................................. China.
    " erythropterus Bas
    China.
297 * " mongolicus Bas. ............................................................................ Mongolia.
    " recurviceps Blkr. = Leuciscus recurviceps Richds =
        Aspius recurviceps Richds
        China.
    " machaeroides Blkr. = Leuciscus machaeroides Richds. ................. China.
    " acutus Blkr. = Cyprinus acutus Brouss. Mss. =
        Leuciscus acutirostris Gr. = Leuciscus acutus Richds.
        China.
* Laubuca guttata Blkr. = Cyprinus laubuca Buch. = Perilampus guttatus
            McCl. = Chela guttata Heck. = Leuciscus laubuka Val. ........... Bengal.
            ". dancena Blkr. = Cyprinus dancena Buch. ............................... Bengal.
* Chela anomalura Blkr. = Clupea anomalura V. Hass. = Oxygaster
        anomalura V. Hass. = Cyprinus oxygaster Cuv. =
        Leuciscus oxygaster Val.
        Java, Sumatra, Borneo.
    " hypophthalmus Blkr.
        Sumatra.
    " oxygastroides Blkr. = Leuciscus oxygastroides Blkr. ................... Java, Sumatra, Borneo.
    " bacaila Blkr. = Cyprinus bacaila Buch. = Salmophasia oblonga
        Swns. = Pelecus bacaila Heck. = Opsarius bacaila McCl. ............ Bengal.
    " gora Blkr. = Cyprinus gora Buch. = Oyprinus cora Gr. =
        Opsarius pholicephalus McCl. = Pelecus pholicephalus Heck =
        Salmophasia elongata Swns.
        Bengal.
    " persea Heck. = Perilampus perseus McCl. ................................... Bengal ?, Assam.
" phulo Blkr. = Cyprinus phulo Buch. = Opsarius albulus McCl. =
        Pelecus albulus Heck. = Oyprinus phulchela Buch. Coll. ........... Bengal.
" leucera Blkr. = Opsarius leucerus McCl. =
        Pelecus leucerus Heck. ............................................................... Bengal.
" cachius Blkr. = Cyprinus cachius Buch. = Cyprinus kachki Buch.
        Coll. = Perilampus cachius McCl. ................................................ Bengal.
    " atpar Blkr. = Cyprinus atpar Buch. = Cyprinus loyukula Buch.
        Coll. = Perilampus psilopteromus McCl. ................................... Bengal.
    " macrolepis Blkr. = McCl., Ind. Cypr. Asiat. Res. XIX
        tab. }56\mathrm{ fig. }10\mathrm{ nameless.
        Bengal.
```

```
    " cultella Blkr. = Leuciscus cultellus Val. ....................................... Hindustan.
    " Dussumierii Blkr. = Cyprinus clupeoides Bl.? = Leuciscus
        Dussumieri Val. = Leuciscus clupeoides Heck.?
        Hindust. (Mysore).
    " novacula Blkr. = Leuciscus novala Val. =
        Pelecus novacula Heck. ............................................................... Hindustan.
    " acinaces Blkr. = Leuciscus acinaces Val. .......................................... Hindust. (Mysore).
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." balookee Syk. ............................................................................... Deccan.
" Oweni Syk. ................................................................................... Deccan.
" jorah Syk. ....................................................................................... Deccan.
" teekanee Syk. ............................................................................... Deccan.
" alkootee Syk. ............................................................................... Deccan.
" alburna Heck. ............................................................................. India.
" scalpella Blkr. = Leuciscus scalpellus Val. .................................... Ceylon.
" sardinelIa Blkr. = Leuciscus sardinella Val. ................................. Pegu.
" melanochir Casteln. Mss. ............................................................ Siam.
    " siamensis Casteln. Mss. .............................................................. Siam.
    " cultrata Cuv. = Cyprinus cultratus L = Cyprinus clupeoides Bl.,
        tab. 408 = Pelegus cultratus Ag. = Leuciscus cultratus Val. ......... Europe.
Macrochirichthys uranoscopus Blkr. = Leucisc. uranoscopus Blkr. ......... Sumatra, Borneo,Siam.
        " macrochir Blkr. = Clupea macrochira K. v. H. =
        Leuciscus macrochirus Val.
        Java.
```

            Fossilized Cypriniformes (all from the Molasse period)
    
Thaumaturus sp.? ............................................................................................. ?
Gobio analis Ag. ............................................................................................... Oeningen.
Tinca furcata Ag. .............................................................................................................. Oeningen.
" leptosoma Ag. ........................................................................................... Oeningen.
" micropygoptera Ag. = Tinca microptera Jaeg. .................................. Oeningen.
Leuciscus oeningensis. Ag. ............................................................................ Oeningen.
" latiusculus Ag. ............................................................................... Oeningen.
" pusillus Ag. .................................................................................... Oeningen.
" heterurus Ag. ................................................................................. Oeningen.
" leptus Ag. ........................................................................................ Habichtswald.
. macrurus Ag. .................................................................................... Bonn.
" papyraceus Bronn. ......................................................................... Lignites tert.
" Hartmanni Ag. ............................................................................... Steinheim.
" gracilis Ag. ...................................................................................... Steinheim.
" brevis Ag. ........................................................................................ ?
" acrogaster Reuss. ............................................................................ Bohemia.
. medius Reuss. ................................................................................ Bohemia.
" Stephani H. De Meyer. ................................................................... Bohemia.
299 . Colei H. De Meyer. ......................................................................... Bohemia.
" cephalon Zenk. .............................................................................. Lignites.
" sp. ? ................................................................................................... ?
Aspius gracilis Ag. ........................................................................................... Oeningen.
" Brogniarli Ag.
Puy de Dome.
" furcatus H. De Meyer. ........................................................................ Bohemia.
, elongatus H. De Meyer. ..................................................................... Bohemia.
Scardinius homospondylus Heck. ................................................................. Steinmark.
Rhodeus elongatus Ag. ................................................................................... Oeningen.
" latior Ag. ........................................................................................... Oeningen.

# Racoma McCl., <br> Afghan Collect. of Fish., Calcutt. Journal Nat. Hist. II 1842 p. 576, Blkr. 

Body elongate, compressed, covered with small scales. Jaws enclosed in broad, fleshy lips. Barbels 4, nasal barbels and upper jaw barbels. Snout prolonged. Eyes not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth slightly anterior or inferior. Lower lip lobed. Anal sheath covered with large scales. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony and serrated. Anal fin with few rays, shorter than dorsal fin, acute. Caudal fin scaled only at the base. Pharyngeal teeth ??

Remark. Although I take the genus Racoma in a different meaning as Mr McClelland, it seems to me that it can be retained within the borders described above. It then is most closely related to Schizothorax, and differs mainly from it by the extraordinary development of thick, fleshy lobed lower lip. In this genus can be placed some Afghan species described briefly by Mr McClelland , i.e. Ramoma labiatus McCl ., Racoma brevis McCl ., Schizothorax Edeniana McCl. and Schizothorax Ritchieana McCl. - Racoma labiatus McCL is the type species of this genus and remarkable, not only by its extremely fleshy lips, but also by its at the tips tripartite barbels, which reminds one of the multipartite barbels of Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) heteronema Blkr.

300 Schizothorax Heck.,
Fisch. Cashmir p. 11; Val., Poiss. XVI p. 160;
Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 30; Nachtr. p. 183; Blkr.
Body elongate, compressed, covered with small scales. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. Barbels 4, nasal barbels and upper jaw barbels. Snout acute, prolonged. Eyes not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth slightly anterior or inferior, gape crescent-shaped or in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Lower jaw shorter than upper jaw. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel to the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Anal sheath covered with large scales. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony and serrated. Anal fin with few rays, shorter than dorsal fin, acute, not emarginate. Caudal fin scaled only at the base. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Remark. As I have already indicated above, I restrict the old genus Schizothorax of Heckel after I have separated from it the genus Schizopyge following in its footsteps, and, the genus Opistocheilos on the basis of the structure of the mouth parts, to the species, which according to their lip structure belong to the Cheilognathines, i. e. Schizothorax esocinus Heck., Schizothorax micropogon Heck., Schizothorax planifrons Heck. and Schizothorax Hügelli Heck. Schizothorax intermedius McCl. can also be placed in it and maybe Schizothorax barbatus McCL. and Racoma gobioides as well.

## Balantiocheilus Blkr. Pouch lip carp.

Body elongate, strongly compressed, covered with large scales, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, fleshy lips, upper jaw strongly downward protrusable. No barbels. Snout acute. Anterior suborbital bone oblong-square. Mouth slightly inferior, gape ending anterior to the eye, in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Lower lip hanging from the total margin of the jaw, broad, form-
ing a pouch which is open only posteriorly. Single postlabial groove parallel to the free margin of the jaw, reminding of the shape of the gape. Anal sheath without larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind ventral fins 301 and ending far anterior to anal fin, at the base included in a scaled sheath, posterior simple ray bony and serrated. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin, emarginate. Gill opening rather narrow, vertical, ending below the middle of the gill cover. Interbranchial isthmus wide. Pharyngeal teeth hooked-spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2.

The genus Balantiocheilus in relationship stands between the genera Cyclocheilichthys and Systomus. However, it differs from both, firstly: by the peculiar structure of the lower lip, which turns down from the entirely free edge of the lower jaw and is very broad thereby forming a pouch, which is only open posteriorly; - secondly, by the gill opening which is relatively very narrow, and only forms a vertical slit, which ends medially under the opercles. Otherwise it differs also especially from Cyclocheilichthys by the irregular square shape of the anterior interorbital, and from Systomus by the single horse shoe shaped posterior lip groove.

I possess only one species of this genus and for the rest see nowhere any species described or depicted, which similarly could be placed in it.

> Balantiocheilos melanopterus Blkr. Zwartvinnige zaklipkarper [Black finned Pouch lip carp.] Atl. Cypr. Tab XLIV.

A Balantiocheilos with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 3$ to $31 / 3$ times in its length, width 3 to $21 / 3$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained $43 / 4$ to slightly over 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $31 / 2$ to $34 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width 2 to $12 / 3$ times; eye diameter contained 3 to slightly over 4 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to 2 times the eye diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening circular; snout acute, lightly convex anteriorly, in younger animals slightly shorter than the eye, in adults longer than the eye, hardly sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils rather close to the orbit; rostro-dorsal profile on top of the head sloping, nearly straight, convex on the nape; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone oblong-square, lower part much wider than upper part; lower angle slightly obtusely rounded, anterior angle acute, forward facing; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye, contained $31 / 3$ to $3^{2} / 5$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique, lower jaw slightly ascending, obtuse, not hooked or tubular at the symphysis; lips broad, fleshy, upper lip traversed by numerous transverse grooves, in adults very broad, anteriorly protrusable in an acute lobe; lower lip very broad, back-sheathed from the total margin of the lower jaw and united broadly with the upper lip, forming a pouch which is open only posteriorly; underside of lower jaw without any visible pores; height of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to $14 / 5$ times in its length, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening vertical, narrow, ending below the middle of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth hooked-spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapula triangular, acute, in old animals rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, rounded behind the ventral fins, not ridged; tail depth contained 2 to $13 / 4$ times in the length of the head; free and basal part of with longitudinal stripes, 35 scales in the lateral line, 11 in a transverse row (without 302 the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lower belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, simple tube, not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the middle of the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, in juveniles not lower than the body, in old animals not much lower than the body, depth about twice base length, spine thick, posteriorly


Fig. 57. Balantiocheilos melanopterus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXIX. TL figure 313 mm .
armed with large teeth, with a flexible part longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, ventral fins slightly shorter than ventral fins, contained $61 / 4$ to 7 times in the length of the body, in juveniles reaching the ventral fins, in old animals not reaching the ventral fins; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin, less than twice as high as base length, simple third ray bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $31 / 2$ to slightly over 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, lower part silver, iris yellow; fins pink or yellowish- pink, ventral fins black for the top half. Dorsal, anal and caudal fin with a broad black margin, dorsal fin for the lower front half and caudal fin for basal half with external rays, generally violet.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. 2/9. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus melanopterus Blkr, Bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. I. p. 11. Systomus melanopterus Blkr, Zesde bijdr. ichth. Born. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 429. Zevende bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 449.
Batang buro Palemb.
Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Kahajan, Pengaron, Pontianak, Sintang), in rivers. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Length of 19 specimens $80^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $322^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The beautiful species described here, the only one till now known from this genus, not only lives in the large streams of Sumatra and Borneo, as I see it also very well recognizable depicted in the already various times cited sketchbook of Siamese fishes of Count Fr. de Castelnau, making it clear that it is also found near Bangkok, the capital of Siam.

I discovered it already in the year 1950 and described it first as a Barbus and later as a Systomus, but place it now, on the above mentioned grounds, in a genus of its own. The very broad black free halves of the fins (except for the pectoral fins) are very sharply delimited and make the species easily recognizable at a first glance.

# Amblyrhynchichthys Blkr. - <br> Blunt snout carp. 

Body oblong, strongly compressed, covered with large scales, with an elongate back. Jaws enclosed in simple lips, upper lip strongly downward protrusable. No barbels. Snout very obtuse, truncate. Supramaxillary bones reaching the tip of the snout and there hiding the intermaxillary bones. Anterior suborbital bone shoe-shaped, with the tip of the shoe facing backward. Eyes largely covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, 303 with a small gape, in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Lower lip with a hooked tubercle at the symphysis. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel with the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Gill opening medium-sized, ending below the angle of the preoperculum. No anal sheath covered with larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, at the base included in a scaled sheath, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin, emarginate. Caudal fin scaled at the base only. Pharyngeal teeth wedge-shaped, aggregated 2.3.4/4.3.2.

Remark. The genus Amblyrhynchichthys is undeniable related to Systomus and Albulichthys, but it possesses various peculiarities in its morphology that characterize it as proper genus. It is very peculiar that the pharyngeal teeth are pavement-like arranged here and have entirely flat, obliquely truncated chewing area, a shape which generally is only found in Labeobarbus. But apart from the dentition the genus is remarkable by the shape of the anterior half of the head, by the shoe-shape of the anterior suborbital bone, the tip of which is pointing backwards; and by the extension of the maxillae up till the rostral surface of the snout, which thereby, while entirely covering the intermaxillary bones [premaxillae] anteriorly, are placed between these and the nasal bones. This organization determines the very high and almost vertically truncated shape of the snout, by which the till now only known species of this genus, at the first glance, can be distinguished from all other Cyprinoids. The shape of the trunk and fins for the rest is that of Systomus and Cyclocheilichthys, whereas the broad eyelid membrane, which is largely covering the eye, is also found in the genus Albulichthys. To the generic characters of Amblyrhynchichthys can also be brought the short flat lower jaw, which is provided with a strongly developed bony projection at its symphysis, the thin lips and a double posterior lip groove. Maybe generic value can also be attached to the large bony third undivided dorsal fin ray, which represents a second dorsal fin ray that in length surpasses the length of the eye. Till now I know of this genus only the species that I described already in the year 1850 under the name Barbus truncatus.

> Amblyrhynchichthys truncatus Blkr. -
> Geknotte Stompsnuit karper [Truncated Blunt Snout Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLV.

An Amblyrhynichthys with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 2$ to 4 times in its length, width contained 2 to $21 / 2$ times in its depth. Head obtuse, truncate, contained $41 / 2$ to $5^{2} / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $41 / 2304$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 2$ to $11 / 5$ times in its length, width slightly over 2 to $12 / 3$ times in its length; eyes surrounded by broad adipose skin, eye diameter contained $2^{1 / 3}$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes $3 / 4$ to $5 / 6$ times the eye diameter, palpebral membrane nearly completely covering the iris anteriorly, posteriorly and at the underside, at the upper side covering only the external margin, opening nearly circular or oblong-squarish; snout very obtuse, nearly vertically truncate, elevated, in juve-


Fig. 58. Amblyrhynchichthys truncatus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXVIII, Fig. 2. TL figure 254 mm .
niles and adults about twice as short as the eye, sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the tip of the snout than to the orbit, more forward than sideways facing; rostro-dorsal profile rectangular on the head, rounded at the angle, on the nape sloping, nearly straight; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone shoe-shaped, tip of the shoe facing backward, the shaft of the shoe close to the nostrils; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior part of the pupil, contained 4 times to slightly over 4 times in the length of the head; intermaxillary bone nearly completely hidden below the supramaxillary bone, ascending branch vertical; gape nearly horizontal, lower jaw short, margin thin, at the symphysis with a conical, very conspicuous tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip; lips fine, thin, very short; underside of lower jaw without any visible pores; width of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to $14 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin concave or slightly concave; gill opening vertical, ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth aggregated 2.3.4/4.3.2, each with a flat, smooth, wedge-shaped chewing surface, margins not elevated or tuberculate; scapula triangular, slightly obtuse, acutely rounded at the tip; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; tail height contained $14 / 5$ to 2 times in the length of the head; scales for the free part and the basal part with longitudinal stripes, 36 or 37 scales in the lateral line, 11 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 ⁄ 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lower belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, simple tube, generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not lower or slightly lower than the body, about twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with a flexible part much longer than the head, simple third ray bony, spine-shaped, longer than the eye ; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained slightly over 6 to 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, anal fin acute, emarginate, twice as low or more than twice as low as dorsal fin, less than twice as high as base length, simple third ray medium-sized, bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained 4 to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, lower part silver; iris yellow; fins faintly pink or yellowish, dorsal and caudal fin with a margin of dense dark speckles.
> B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $2 / 16$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

> Syn. Barbus truncatus Blkr, Bijdr. ichth. Born. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. I p. 13. Systomus truncatus Blkr, Bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. II p. 60. Teban galang, Bettet Palemb.
> Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Pontianak), in rivers. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
> Length of 11 specimens $50^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $260^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. I received my first specimens of this species from the Barito near Bandjermasin, but since then I also obtained larger specimens, caught in the Kapoeas near Pontianak and in the Moessi near Palembang. The species therefore inhabits the larger rivers of Borneo and Sumatra. However, it is not restricted to the Indian archipelago, 305 as I saw it also depicted in the sketchbook of Mr De Castelnau, from which it is apparent that it also occurs in Siam near the capital Bankok. The figure of Mr De Castelnau has a length of ca 330 millimetres, so that this species seems to become still remarkably larger than my largest specimens.

## Albulichthys Blkr. -

Albula carp.

Body slightly elongate, strongly compressed, covered with large scales, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper jaw strongly downward protrusable. No barbels. Snout convex. Upper jaw bones not reaching the tip of the snout. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, the acute tip looking upwards. Eyes largely covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth nearly anterior, with a medium-sized gape, in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Lower jaw with a hooked tubercle at the symphysis. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel with the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Gill opening medium-sized, ending below the angle of the preoperculum. Anal sheath not covered by larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above or slightly anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, simple ray bony, serrated on the posterior side. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin, emarginate. Caudal fin for the basal half completely scaled. Pharyngeal teeth incisive, scalpriform 2.3.4/4.3.2, on the chewing surface longitudinally rugose.

Remark. A further study of the species, which I described in the year 1855 under the name of Systomus albuloides, in connection with my new ideas concerning the classification of the Cyprinoids, has taught me that that species equally deserves to be raised to a proper genus as my earlier Systomus truncatus. The habitus of that species, especially of the head, much resembles that of an Albulus. It has the pentagonal with the upwards directed tip of the anterior suborbital bone of Systomus, but together with that the broad, even the pupil somewhat covering, eyelid membrane of Amblyrhynchichthys. The third undivided dorsal fin ray is much developed and bony, as it is in Amblyrhynchichthys. Remarkable is moreover the densely squamation of the entire or almost the entire basal half of the caudal fin, which I do not recognize in any cyprinoid. These characters, added to the peculiar wedge- or chisel shape of the pharyngeal teeth, which 306 are longitudinally ribbed on their chewing surface, give, in my opinion, every right to see in this species a proper genus, which I, because of its resemblance in habitus to Albula, have named Albulichthys.

# Albulichthys albuloides Blkr. Typische Albulakarper [Typical Albula Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLVI Fig. 2. 

An Albulichthys with an oblong or elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 2$ to slightly over 5 times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to 2 times in its depth. Head acute, contained nearly 5 to $51 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $12 / 5$ times in its length, width $13 / 4$ to $12 / 3$ times; eye diameter contained 3 to $3^{1 ⁄ 2}$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the total iris anteriorly and posteriorly, opening oblong-oval, vertical; snout convex, shorter than the eye, sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils very close to the orbit; rostro-dorsal profile convex on all of the head; interorbital line convex or slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, the acute tip pointing upward, depth greater than length, longitudinal ridge in the middle, ascending backward; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone three times to more than three times as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw slightly longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, contained 3 to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, ending below the anterior part of the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip; lips slender, terete; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth scalpriform (incisive) 2.3.4/4.3.2, each with an oblique, flat, longitudinally rugose chewing surface, free margin forming an acute, rounded edge; scapula triangular, obtuse; back angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales with longitudinal stripes on the free part, 38 or 39 scales in the lateral line, 11 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $51 / 2$ or 6 above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lower belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, simple tube, generally not surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above or hardly anterior to the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly lower than the body, higher than base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with very conspicuous teeth, with a flexible part slightly shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral


Fig. 59. Albulichthys albuloides Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXIII, Fig. 2. TL figure 253 mm .
fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained 7 to $71 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin, less than twice as high as base length; caudal fin densely scaled on the total basal half, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 2$ to 5 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, lower part silver, iris yellow; fins yellowish- pink or red; upper part of dorsal fin and posterior part of caudal fin with violetish-dark margins; iris yellow.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 16$ to $1 / 18$. V. 2/9. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones
included.
Syn. Systoma albuloides Blkr, Negende bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 425.
Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Kahajan, Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 5 specimens $132^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $255^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. The teeth, with exception of the two anterior ones of the outer row, have wedge shaped or incisor-like, at the corners rounded, crowns, which show some longitudinal ridges on the chewing surface, which are not extended to 307 the upper edges of the teeth. The symphysal knob of the lower jaw is rather strong developed. Because of the observation of excellent preserved specimens, I can at present positively declare that the species does not possess barbels, which I earlier had slight doubts about. - Till now I know it only from the large rivers of Borneo and Sumatra.

> Hampala Van Hass., Algemeene Konst- en Letterbode 1823 II p. 132. Hampal.

Body oblong-elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout acute, not convex. Supramaxillary bones not reaching the tip of the snout. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, the acute tip pointing upward. Eyes not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, with a large, oblique gape. Lower jaw not shorter than upper jaw with a slightly elevated symphysis. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel with the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Gill opening broad, protracted to a point below the eye. Anal sheath not covered by larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above the base of ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin, emarginate. Caudal fin scaled only at the base. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped 1.3.5/5.3.1.

Remark. Van Hasselt, probably struck by the peculiar habitus of the fish, on Java so common and known under the name Ampalong, Hampel, Hampalong and Soetjo, placed it in a proper genus, which he after the Sundanese name gave the name Hampala. However, he did not characterize it further than by saying that it "most resembles Leuciscus Cuv., but distinguishes itself by two filaments, on each mouth corner" (that means one, on each mouth corner). Mr Valenciennes placed Van Hasselt's Hampala macrolepidota in his genus Capoëta, a less lucky invention, as the three species of Capoëta described by Mr Valenciennes, belong in just as much genera, i.e. in Scaphiodon, Systomus and Hampala.

Heckel, in his "Fische Syriens", originally placed Hampala macrolepidota 308 in his genus Scaphiodon, but recognized his error in the end of the same work, by placing it in his genus Systomus.

Hampala indeed is closely related to Systomus. Fin shape and squamation are the same, but the shapes of the snout and jaws and even of the dentition offer differences, which justify a separation of Systomus.

I count among these the acute snout, the relatively large gape and its oblique position, the little protrusable upper jaw and the length of the lower jaw which is as long as the upper jaw making the gape completely terminal. Because of these peculiarities of the shape a profile is formed that is very different from that of Systomus and related genera and Van Hasselt was right to point at the relationship of Hampala with Leuciscus Cuv., as many species related to Leuciscus present a comparable mouth shape.

Till now I only know two species of the genus, both of which inhabit the Indian archipelago. In habitus, fin structure and squamation they have very much in common and can primarily be distinguished from each other as follows
I. 28 scales in the lateral line. A large, oblong, vertical, black spot between dorsal and ventral fins. Upper and lower part of caudal fin with a broad black-violet margin.

Hampala macrolepidota V. Hass.
II. 31 scales in the lateral line. Middle of the flanks with 2 round black spots, the anterior one between the dorsal and ventral fins, posterior one hardly behind the anal fin. Upper and lower part of caudal fin without black-violet margin.

Hampala ampalong Blkr.

> Hampala macrolepidota K. v. H., Algem. Konst- en letterbode 1823 II p. 132. Grootschubbige Hampal [Large-scaled Hampal]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLIII.

A Hampala with an oblong or elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $5^{1 / 3}$ times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to 2 times in its depth. Head acute, contained 4 to $43 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{1 / 4}$ to $3^{2 / 3}$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to $13 / 5$ times in its length, width $14 / 5$ to $21 / 3$ times; eye diameter contained 3 to 5 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes $3 / 4$ to $11 / 4$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout acute, not or slightly convex, with the upper jaw in younger animals shorter than the eye, in old animals longer than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile sloping downward on the head, nearly straight or slightly concave, convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight or slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone irregularly pentagonal, lower part ${ }^{309}$ broader than upper part, lower margin nearly horizontal, rounded anteriorly and posteriorly, other margins more or less concave, superior angle acute, close to the nostrils, pointing upward, complete upper half of the bone traversed by a strongly curved crest, ending in branches at the underside; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong, obliquely quadrangular, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; jaws equal or nearly equal, upper jaw slightly forward protrusable, in juveniles ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, in old animals ending below the middle of the eye or below the anterior half of the eye, contained 3 to $22 / 3$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels slightly longer or shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle, on the underside on each branch some little conspicuous pores in one longitudinal row; lips medium-sized, terete, not rugose; width of gill cover contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $12 / 3$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening broad, ending below the posterior suborbital bone. Pharyngeal teeth slightly


Fig. 60. Hampala macrolepidota K. v. H. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVIII, Fig. 2. TL figure 292 mm .
hooked to spoon-shaped 1.3.5/5.3.1; scapula triangular, obtuse, with a rounded angle; back rather elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, lightly angular on the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained slightly over 2 times to $14 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales striped ray-like from a reticulate or simple common centre, often granulated, 28 scales in the lateral line, 9 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which 5 $(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on lower belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior ones in those rows larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line moderately curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube, generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly to much lower than the body, much higher but less than twice as high as base length, spine thin, posteriorly serrated or rough with little conspicuous or hardly visible teeth, with the flexible part much shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $61 / 2$ to $72 / 5$ times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin, but less than twice as low, much higher than to about twice as high as base length, simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained 4 to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellow; snout and forehead often deeply olive; scales on back, flanks and tail generally each at the base with a transverse, crescent-shaped, violetish or blackish band; a transverse elongate black spot or band between dorsal and ventral fin, frequently diffuse, more rarely missing; dorsal and caudal fin beautiful pink, front part of dorsal fin and upper and lower part of caudal fin with a deeply violet margin; other fins white-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$ or $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ to $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Capoëta macrolepidota Valenc., Poiss. XVI p. 214 tab. 477; Cant., Catal. Mal. Fish. P. 267;
Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichthyol. Midden-Oost-Java p. 21.
Capoète bordé Val., Poiss. XVI p. 214 tab. 477.
Scaphiodon macrolepidotus Heck., Fisch. Syriens, p. 31.
Systomus macrolepidotus Heck., Fisch. Syriens, Nachtr. p. 184.
Ampalong Mal. Batav. Hampal, Hambal, Hampalong Sund.
Wader, Sutjo Javan.
Sabaju Lampong., Kabarouw Benkul.

Hab. Java (Batavia, Tjibiliong, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Pondokgedeh, Seogol, Parongkalong, Pandjullu, Ngawi, Surabaya, Grati, Lesti), in rivers and lakes.<br>Borneo (Bandjermasin, Sambas), in rivers.<br>Sumatra (Pangabuang, Palembang, Lahat, Padang, Meninju), in rivers and lakes. Length of 40 specimens $45^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ to $340^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

310 Remark. This species was discovered on Java by Kuhl and Van Hasselt. I received it also from Borneo and Sumatra; and Mr Cantor mentions it from Pinang and Tenasserim. My specimens from East Java and the Lampongs differ from the remaining ones by a more slender body and more acute and relatively longer head. On Java the species is rather common. In Batavia it is one of the most frequent occurring species. It also lives in the mountain streams till more than 2000 feet above sea level, and reaches a length that surpasses that of my largest specimens.

Hampala ampalong Blkr. -<br>Tweevlekkige Hampal [Two spotted Hampal]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLVI fig. 1.

A Hampala with an oblong compressed, body, depth of body contained $41 / 3$ to $43 / 4$ times in its length, width contained nearly 2 to $13 / 4$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained nearly 4 to $41 / 5$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 3 to $3^{11 / 4}$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $13 / 5$ times in its length, width contained $2^{1 / 4}$ to nearly 2 times in its length; eye diameter contained slightly over 4 to $41 / 3$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout acute, not convex, with the upper jaw longer than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on the head, nearly straight or nearly concave, convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight; anterior suborbital bone triangular, length greater than depth, rounded at the underside, front and back, the acute tip pointing upward, close to the nostrils, middle with a longitudinal ridge which is ramose anteriorly; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-squarish, twice to nearly twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; jaws equal, upper jaw slightly forward protrusable, ending below the anterior half of the eye, contained $2^{1 / 2}$ to $23 / 5$ times in the length of the head; gape strongly oblique, barbels thin, slightly or not longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle, on the underside on each branch some little conspicuous pores in one longitudinal row; lips medium-sized, terete, not rugose; width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to $13 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening broad, ending below the posterior suborbital bone. Pharyngeal teeth slightly hooked to spoon-shaped 1.3.5/5.3.1; scapula triangular, obtuse, rounded; back moderately elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, lightly angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained about $21 / 3$ times in the length of the head; scales with ray-like stripes from a common centre, 31 scales in the lateral line, 9 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lower belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows hardly or not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line moderately downward curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly lower than the body, much higher but less than twice as high as base length, spine mediumsized, posteriorly serrated with small teeth, with a flexible part considerably shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $61 / 3$ to $62 / 3$ times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, much higher but much less than


Fig. 61. Hampala ampalong Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXVII, Fig. 1. TL figure 146 mm .
twice as high as base length, third ray simple, rather thin, bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 2$ to $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body bluish-green, lower part silver, iris yellow; 2 round or oblong black spots on each flank, anterior one placed 311 between dorsal fin and ventral fin above the lateral line, posterior one slightly behind the base of the anal fin in the lateral line; fins yellowish or faintly pink, or dorsal and caudal fin pink, the others pearly.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $* / 17 / 8$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Capoëta ampalong Blkr, Diagn. Nieuwe vischs. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 594.
Hab. Borneo (Pontianak), in rivers. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Length of 3 specimens $120^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $149^{\prime \prime \prime}$

Remark. Hampala ampalong is very closely related to Hampala macrolepidota Val. However, it is easy recognizable by the two black spots on each side of the body, one of which is placed between the dorsal fin and the ventral fin, and the other a little behind the origin of the anal fin. In specimens of Hampala macrolepidota of the same size as the ones described above, the head is shorter, the lower jaw symphyseal knob much less developed and the upper jaw shorter. Moreover there are three scales less in the lateral line.

## Hypselobagrus Blkr. - <br> Tambra.

Body oblong, covered with large scales, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels, or 2 upper jaw barbels or no barbels. Snout conical, prominent. Mouth slightly inferior, gape ending anterior to the eye, in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Dorsal fin starting in front or above ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, simple ray bony, not serrated on the posterior side. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin.

Subg. Hypselobarbus Blkr. 4 barbels, nasal and upper jaw barbels.
" Gonoptoctopterus Blkr. 2 barbels, upper jaw barbels.
" Tambra Blkr. No barbels.

Remark. In his essay "on the Fishes of the Dukhum" Mr Sykes described and depicted three species under the names Barbus mussullab, Barbus kolus, and Cyprinus ambramioides, which show the habitus of the genus Cyclocheilichthys, however offer more peculiarities in the organization, which have induced me too perceive them tentatively as belonging to a proper genus. From the figures and descriptions of Mr Sykes nothing special can be gathered concerning the posterior lip groove, the shape of the anterior suborbital bones and the covering of the base of the dorsal fin, which I suspect do not differ fundamentally from those in Cyclochelichthys.
${ }^{312}$ However, the dorsal fin spine is extremely slender and without teeth while the anal fin is not concave and in the two first mentioned species even extremely convex or squarely rounded. Moreover remarkable is the peculiar upwards curvature of the lateral line above or a little in front of the vent (which however seems to be lacking in Cyprinus abramioides) and wart-like covering of the cheeks or of the snout with large pores, the last of which are said not to occur constantly. Furthermore the dorsal fin has from 10 to 20 branched rays.

These species in all respects deserve a closer examination. Barbus mussullah, because it possesses 4 barbels, belongs to the subgenus Hypselobarbus; - Barbus kolus, because of its 2 barbels, to the subgenus Gonoproctopterus; - and Cyprinus abramioides Syk., which has no barbels at all, to the subgenus Tambra, which name the species bears in Deccan. This last one because of its long dorsal fin maybe should be raised to a proper genus. Heckel indeed brings it to his genus Gibelion, which however is not acceptable and based on generically very different species, as Cyprinus catla belongs to the genus Catla and Varicorhinus bobree probably belongs to the genus Gymnostomus.

Systomus McCl., Ind. Cyprinid., Asiat Research. Vol. XIX p. 284;<br>Heck. Fisch. Syr. p. 26; Poiss. XVI p. 299. -<br>Lalawak.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper lip strongly downward protractile. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels, or 2 upper jaw barbels or no barbels. Snout short, obtuse or slightly obtuse, not prolonged. Supramaxillary bones not reaching the tip of the snout. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, the acute tip facing upward. Eyes not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth slightly anterior or inferior, gape not or slightly oblique, in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Lower jaw shorter than upper jaw with a more or less visible tubercle at the symphysis. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel to the margin of the mouth, not united with the groove on the opposite site. Gill opening ample, prolonged to a point below the preoperculum. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above or slightly behind ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, base enclosed in a scaled sheath, posterior simple ray bony, serrated or smooth. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin. 313 Caudal fin scaled only at the base. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2 or 2.3.4/4.3.2 or with a rod-like neck, tuberculate 1.3.4/4.3.1 or 2.3.4/4.3.2.

```
Subg. Barbodes Blkr. 4 barbels, nasal and upper jaw barbels.
    " Capoëta Val. 2 barbels, upper jaw barbels.
    " Systomus McCl., No barbels.
```

Remark. The genus Systomus, as described here, mainly differs from Barbus by a stocky body, a short snout, thin lips, a more or less terminal mouth, a dorsal fin surrounded by a scale sheath, a pentagonal anteriormost suborbital bone with a sharp upwards directed angle, and by the double posterior lip groove as the groves of both sides are not joint in the middle.

Mr McClelland was the first to erect the genus Systomus, however, he gave it another meaning as the one described above, i.e. "Intermaxillares protractile, dorsal and anal short, the former opposite to the ventrals and preceded by a spinous ray: body elevated, and marked by two or more distinct dark spots, or diffuse spots either on the fins or opercula, prominence on the apex of the lower jaw obscure". The first and last mentioned of these characters Systomus has in common with other related genera and to the mentioned markings not only no generic value can be attached, even as a specific character it can only be used cautiously in a restricted sense.

Mr Valenciennes accepted the genus Systomus, but restricted its meaning to that of species, which agree with his genus Barbus but completely lack barbels, which did not prevent him to include various of these species in his genus Leuciscus. In the same way I myself have placed several species in Systomus, which in this work were separated for the indicated reasons.

Heckel, accepting the same generic name, gave a new meaning to it by the diagnosis he published, i.e. "Dentes cochleares 2.3.5/5.3.2. Os anticum. Labia mollia subteretia. Cirri 2 in angulo oris, aut nulli. Pinna dorsalis basi brevis; analis brevior illa radio osseo super pinnas ventrales incipiens. Tractus intestinalis $2-2^{1 / 2}$ long. Corp." [Teeth spoonshaped 2.3.5/5.3.2. Mouth terminal. Lips soft, slightly terete. Two barbels in the angle of the mouth, or no barbels at all. Dorsal fin with short base, beginning above the ventral fins, anal fin shorter than dorsal fin with a bony ray. Intestinal tract $2-2^{1 / 2}$ times as long as the body.]

One can remark concerning this diagnosis that the formula of the teeth does not fit on all species; that the mouth opening in various species is more inferior than terminal; that the dorsal fin spine in some species is implanted behind the basis of the ventral fins, and that the numerous species of this genus with 4 barbels are excluded, notwithstanding the fact that Heckel himself was one of the principal ${ }^{314}$ opponents of the value of barbels for defining the genera of Cyprinoids.

I have tried to give natural borders to this genus. This was not difficult for the numerous species I have in my possession, but numerous other species I only know from existing, usually short, descriptions, and usually insufficient figures, and therefore it is impossible to determine in how far the characters given by me are applicable to all those species.

My subgenus Barbodes comprises species, which according to older notions on the genera would all belong to Barbus.

I have retained the name Capoëta for the species that possess only upper jaw barbels, and to which Capoëta amphibia Val. belongs.

Furthermore I have conceived the subgenus Systomus in the way in which Mr Valenciennes accepts the genus Systomus.

The Sunda Islands are rich in species of Systomus, just like the rivers of South Asia. Many species are very closely related and demand a detailed investigation to define them sharply. I have succeeded smoothly in that definition as far as the species of my own collection are concerned, however with the existing recourses it is impossible to define sharply many only superficially known species.

My collection comprises 35 species of Systomus, 22 of which belong to the subgenus Barbodes, 5 to Capoëta and 8 to Systomus. 29 of those species inhabit the rivers of the Sunda Islands, i.e. 21 of Barbodes, 5 of Capoëta and 3 of Systomus, the remainder of my species are native to Bengal.

All my species of Barbodes possess a serrated dorsal fin spine and large scales the number of which in the lateral line varies between 23 and 38 .

They can be placed into three groups.
The first of these groups is characterized by a convex anal fin with 9 to 10 branched rays. To this group belongs Systomus (Barbodes) marginatus Blkr.

In both remaining groups the anal fin is constantly concave and contains only 5 to 7 branched rays. One of these groups moreover is characterized by the strong development of the dorsal fin spine, which is also armed with relatively large teeth. The scales are generally longitudinally striped, either only on the free half, or also on the basal half. To these belong Systomus (Barbodes) amblycephalus Blkr, Systomus (Barbodes) koilometopon Blkr. and a number of other species.

In the third group the dorsal fin spine is remarkably less well developed and only armed with small, little visible teeth, whereas the scales are constantly radially striped, as the stripes run from a common ${ }^{315}$ centre to the perimeter of the scale. In this group can be placed Systomus (Barbodes) maculates Blkr, Systomus (Barbodes) lateristriga Blkr. and some other species.

Among the extra-archipelagic species of Barbodes rather many can be placed in still another group, namely in that in which the dorsal fin spine is entirely smooth or without teeth. To this group belong all African species. The dorsal spine in some species becomes even so slender, that it hardly can retain the name spine. To this group belong Systomus (Barbodes) bynni (Cyprinus bynni Forsk.), Systomus (Barbodes) surkis (Barbus surkis Rüpp.), Systomus (Barbodes) intermedius (Barbus intermedius Rüpp.), Systomus (Barbodes) perince (Barbus perince Rüpp.), Systomus (Barbodes) pallidus (Barbus pallidus Smith), Systomus (Barbodes) Burchelli (Barbus Burchelli Smith), etc. However, I am in some doubt concerning these and other extra archipelagic species with regard to the squamation of the dorsal and anal fin. All the scale sheaths of dorsal and anal fins in all species of my collection are indeed well developed, and very obvious, whereas I find them not only undescribed in the Barbus species with unserrated dorsal spine, they are also not or very doubtfully indicated in the existing figures. Moreover, I observe the same void in the descriptions and figures of more species of Barbodes with a serrated dorsal spine, of which the existence of a scale sheath is without doubt.

Of the more than 90 species, which according to my opinion, till now can be placed in the genus Systomus, almost 60 belong to the subgenus Barbodes. The subgenera Capoëta and Systomus together account for only a third of those species.

Of the subgenus Capoëta I possess five species, which can easily be distinguished from each other. Two of these, Systomus (Capoëta) padangensis and Systomus (Capoëta) sumatranus have a serrated, the remaining ones, Systomus (Capoëta) brevis,

Systomus (Capoëta) leiacanthus and Systomus (Capoëta) oligolepis an unserrated dorsal spine. Systomus (Capoëta) padangensis because of its multi-rayed anal fin (A 3/8 or $3 / 9$ ) is what Systomus (Barbodes) marginatus is in the subgenus Barbodes. Systomus (Capoëta) sumatranus and Systomus (Capoëta) oligolepis offer the peculiarity that the lateral line is lacking on the posterior half of the body which one also finds in various Bengalese species of the subgenus Systomus, whereas both remaining species are more related to Systomus luteus Heck. and Systomus albus Heck. from Syria, but differ principally by a lesser number of scales in the lateral line and one ray less in the dorsal fin.

The extra-archipelagic species of Capoëta are not numerous. Apart from both mentioned Heckelian species and the typical species of Mr Valenciennes. ${ }^{316}$ (Capoëta amphibia), Cyprinus chola Buch. and Systomus chrysostomus McCl . can be placed therein with some certainty. I have summed up among these species also Varicorhinus beso Rupp., however this species apparently also has a strong relationship with the genus Hypselobarbus.

Concerning the subgenus Systomus, which comprises all species without barbels, I posses of these 6 species, but only three of them belong to the Sunda Islands. Those three species mutually have a large relationship, and distinguish themselves from the extra-archipelagic ones by a very strong developed much serrated dorsal spine, rather numerous scales, 33 to 37 in, and 8 to 9 above the lateral line and a blunt obliquely truncated snout. All of them seem to grow much larger than the extra-archipelagic species. Earlier I was of the opinion that they were endemic to the Sunda Islands, however the album of Siamese fishes of Count Francis De Castelnau has taught me that Systomus (Systomus) bulu very probably also inhabits the rivers of Siam and that related species are also found there. For the rest the remaining species of this subgenus are restricted to South Asia. In several of these species the dorsal fin loses its more or less spinous character, which has induced Mr Valenciennes to place them in his very complicated genus Leuciscus (Leuciscus stigma Val., Leuciscus Duvaucelii Val., Leuciscus thermalis Val., etc). Of the Bengalese species of Systomus I posses Cyprinus sophore Buch., Cyprinus phutunio Buch. and Cyprinus cosuatis Buch., which all are described in more detail in my Nalezingen op de ichthyologische fauna van Bengalen en Hindostan. None of these species has more than 25 scales in a longitudinal row and the dorsal spine is serrated only in Systomus ticto, Systomus phutunio and Systomus gelius.

My archipelagic species of Systomus can be adequately separated from each other and distinguished from the remaining known species according to the following scheme.
I. 4 barbels, nasal and upper jaw barbels (subg. Barbodes). V. 2/8. D 2/8 or 4/9.

1. Anal fin with rays $3 / 5$ to $3 / 7$.
A. Dorsal spine thick, armed with large teeth.
a. 35 to 38 scales in the lateral line. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$.
$\dagger$ Length of head greater than depth. Caudal fin with a longitudinal, intramarginal, blackish stripe on both lobes.
Ô 37 or 38 scales in the lateral line, 9 above lateral line, without longitudinal stripes or with very sparse longitudinal stripes.
Depth of head contained $31 / 2$ to $33 / 5$ times in its length. Dorsal fin without black spot.
$\hat{O}^{\prime} 36$ scales in the lateral line, 8 above the lateral line, free half and basal half with conspicuous longitudinal, slightly 317 ray-like stripes. Depth of body contained $23 / 5$ to 3 times in its length. Dorsal fin with a large black spot anteriorly.

Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi Blkr.
t' Depth of head equal to length. Caudal fin without any stripes.
Ô 35 or 36 scales in the lateral line, 7 above lateral line, with conspicuous longitudinal stripes, slightly ray-like. Depth of body contained about 3 times in its length.

Systomus (Barbodes) amblycephalus Blkr.
b. 30 to 33 scales in the lateral line. Length of head greater than depth.
$\dagger 7$ scales above the lateral line. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. Barbels about equal to the eye diameter.
Ô 32 or 33 scales in the lateral line. Width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth. Depth of tail contained $11 / 2$ to $13 / 5$ times in the length of the head.

Systomus (Barbodes) erythropterus Blkr.
O' 30 or 31 scales in the lateral line. Width of gill cover contained twice in its depth. Depth of tail contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 2$ times in the length of the head.

Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides Blkr.
$t^{\prime} 6$ scales above lateral line.
Ô Barbels shorter than the eye.
O Anal fin with rays $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7.31$ to 33 scales in the lateral line. Dorsal fin starting slightly behind to hardly behind the base of the ventral fins. Upper jaw barbels longer than nasal barbels.
ó Width of gill cover contained $1^{2 / 3}$ to $13 / 4$ times in its height. Depth of the body contained $31 / 4$ to 3 times in its length. Head acute. Rostrodorsal profile concave on the nape.

Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus Blkr.
ó Width of gill cover contained twice in its depth.
Ô Head acute, depth contained $11 / 4$ times in its length. Depth of the body contained 3 times in its length. Rostro-dorsal profile very concave on the nape.

Systomus (Barbodes) koilometopon Blkr.
$\hat{O}^{\prime}$ Head obtuse, depth contained $11 / 5$ to $11 / 8$ times in its length. Depth of the body contained $31 / 2$ to $3^{1 / 4}$ times in its length. Rostro-dorsal profile not concave on the nape.

318 Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus Blkr.
$\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Anal fin with rays $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. 30 scales in the lateral line. Dorsal fin starting above base of ventral fins.
ó Depth of body contained about $33 / 4$ times in its length. Barbels nearly equal in length, slightly shorter than the eye. Rostro-dorsal profile nearly straight.

Systomus (Barbodes) Huguenini Blkr.
$\hat{O}^{\prime}$ Barbels longer than the eye. Dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins.
O Anal fin with rays $3 / 5$ to $3 / 7.30$ to 31 scales in the lateral line.
ó Depth of body contained $3^{11 / 4}$ times in its length.
Rostro-dorsal profile convex.
Systomus (Barbodes) hypselonotus Blkr.
c. Less than 30 scales in the lateral line, 6 above the lateral line. Dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, anal fin with rays $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$.
$\dagger \quad 28$ or 29 scales in the lateral line. Depth of body contained about 4 times in its length. Length of head greater than depth.
Ô Eye diameter contained $2 \frac{1}{3}$ to $21 / 2$ times in the length of the head.
Barbels shorter than the eye.
Systomus (Barbodes) macrophtalmus Blkr.
$t^{\prime} 26$ scales in the lateral line. Depth of body contained nearly $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times in its length. Depth of head equal to length.
$\hat{O}$ Eye diameter contained nearly 3 times in the length of the head. Barbels not shorter than the eye.

## Systomus (Barbodes) platysoma Blkr.

B. Dorsal spine medium-sized or weak, armed with small teeth. Scales striped with rays originating from a common centre. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$.
a. 31 to 34 scales in the lateral line, 5 above the lateral line.
$\dagger$ Depth of the body contained nearly 4 to $32 / 5$ times in its length. Depth of head contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 6$ times in its length. Nasal barbels slightly or not shorter than the eye. Upper and lower part of caudal fin with a wide violetblack margin.

Systomus (Barbodes) rubripinna Blkr.
b. 23 to 27 scales in the lateral line.
$\dagger 6$ scales above lateral line. Dorsal and anal fin not emarginate.
Ô Barbels shorter than the eye. Depth of the body contained about $3^{1 / 3}$ times in its length. Snout obtuse.

Systomus (Barbodes) bunter Blkr.
$319 t^{\prime} 5$ scales above the lateral line.
Ô Body with transverse black bands. Depth of the body contained $24 / 5$ to $31 / 2$ times in its length.
O 4 broad transverse black bands bordered with yellow. Depth of head contained about $11 / 4$ times in its length. Dorsal and anal fins acute. Upper jaw barbels not much longer than the eye.

Systomus (Barbodes) tetrazona Blkr.
$\mathrm{O}^{\prime} 2$ broad transverse black bands and a black longitudinal band on the tail. Head obtuse, depth contained slightly more than once to once in its length. Dorsal and anal fins obtuse. Upper jaw barbels much longer than the eye.

## Systomus (Barbodes) lateristriga Blkr.

$\hat{O}^{\prime}$ Body with 6 black longitudinal bands.
O Depth of the body contained $31 / 3$ to 4 times in its length; head acute, depth contained $11 / 2$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length. Dorsal and anal fin acute, emarginate. Upper jaw barbels longer than the eye.

Systomus (Barbodes) fasciatus Blkr.
$\hat{O}^{\prime \prime}$ Body without transverse or longitudinal bands.
O Head very obtuse, truncate, depth contained about $1 \frac{1}{5}$ times in its length. Depth of the body contained $43 / 5$ times in its length. Upper jaw barbels longer than the eye. Dorsal and anal fins acute, not emarginate.

Systomus (Barbodes) obtusirostris Blkr.
O' Head acute or slightly acute. Barbels considerably longer than the eye. Dorsal and anal fins acute. Depth of the body contained slightly over 3 to $41 / 2$ times in its length.

Ó Nucho-dorsal line strongly convex. Scales on the middle of the flanks not much larger than the other scales, 3 between lateral line and ventral base.

Systomus (Barbodes) maculatus Blkr.
Ó' Nucho-dorsal line nearly straight or hardly convex. Scales on the middle of the flanks much larger than those on the anterior and posterior part of the body, 2 between lateral line and ventral base.

320 Systomus (Barbodes) goniosoma Blkr.
2. Anal fin obtuse, convex, rays $3 / 9$ or $3 / 10$. Dorsal spine thick, armed with medium-sized teeth. 28 or 29 scales in the lateral line, 5 above the lateral line.
A. Head obtuse, length equal to depth or slightly greater. Barbels much shorter than the eye. Dorsal and caudal fin with a rather broad black margin.

Systomus (Barbodes) marginatus Blkr.
II 2 barbels, upper jaw barbels only (subgenus Capoëta).

1. Dorsal spine medium-sized, dentate. Barbels much shorter than the eye. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$.
A. 38 or 39 scales in the lateral line, 7 above the lateral line. Lateral line visible on all of the body. A. $3 / 8$ or $3 / 9$. V 2/9. Depth of the body about $41 / 2$ times in its length.
Scales without stripes or with very sparse stripes.
B. 21 scales in the lateral line, 6 above lateral line. Lateral line not visible behind the vent. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. V $2 / 8$. Depth of the body contained $2^{3} / 4$ to 3 times in its length. 4 transverse blackish-violet bands on the body. Scales striped with rays originating from a common centre.
Systomus (Capoëta) sumatranus Blkr.
2. Dorsal spine thin, without teeth. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. V. $2 / 8$ or $2 / 9$. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$.
A. 24 to 27 scales in the lateral line, 5 above lateral line. Lateral line visible on all of the body. Scales striped with rays originating from a common centre.
a. Depth of the body contained $31 / 4$ to $31 / 3$ times in its length. Head contained $41 / 2$ to nearly 5 times in the length of the body. Head-tail bands diffuse, silver.

Systomus (Capoëta) brevis Blkr.
b. Depth of the body contained $32 / 5$ to $35 / 6$ times in its length. Head contained 5 to $51 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Tail with a round, blackish-violet spot.

Systomus (Capoëta) leiacanthus Blkr.
B. 16 scales in the lateral line, 4 above lateral line. Lateral line visible only on the anterior part of the body. Scales with longitudinal stripes on the free half and on the basal half.
a. Depth of the body contained $3^{1 / 4}$ to $3^{1 / 3}$ times in its length.

321 Systomus (Capoëta) oligolepis Blkr.

III No barbels (subgenus Systomus)

1. Dorsal spine thick, serrated with large teeth. Snout obtuse, truncate. Teeth 2.3.4/4.3.2.
A. 36 or 37 scales in the lateral line. Anal fin with rays $3 / 5$ to $3 / 6$.
a. 9 scales above the lateral line. Head contained $61 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Depth of the body contained about $2^{2 / 3}$ times in its length. Depth of dorsal fin contained nearly twice in the depth of the body, much less than twice as high as base length. V. 2/8.

## Systomus (Systomus) Waandersi Blkr.

b. 8 scales above lateral line. Head contained $42 / 3$ to $52 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Depth of the body contained 3 to $32 / 5$ times in its length. Dorsal fin not much lower than the body, twice as high as base length. V. 2/9.

Systomus (Systomus) bulu Blkr.
B. 33 to 35 ? scales in the lateral line, 8 above the lateral line.

Anal fin with rays $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$.
a. Head contained slightly over 5 to $51 / 3$ times in the length of the body.

Depth of the body contained $31 / 2$ times in its length. Dorsal fin not much lower than the body, much less than twice as high as base length. V. 2/9.

Systomus (Systomus) lawak Blkr.

# Systomus (Barbodes) belinka Blkr. Staartvinbandige Lalawak [Caudal fin band Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXI fig. 1. 

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong compressed body, depth of body contained $33 / 5$ to $31 / 2$ times in its length, width contained $2^{1 / 4}$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained $43 / 4$ to $51 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $31 / 2$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 5$ to $11 / 6$ times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to $13 / 5$ times in its length; eye diameter contained $2^{1 / 4}$ to $23 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained 1 to $11 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile hardly or not concave between the snout and the nape, very convex on the nape; interorbital line slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth slightly or not greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins truncate or convex, upper margins concave united into an upward facing angle close to the nose; lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongatequadrangular, length more than 322 twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained about 3 times in the length of the head; gape strongly oblique, barbels thin, upper jaw barbels slightly longer than nasal barbels, slightly longer or shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, little conspicuous tubercle, lower part without visible pores; lips thin, terete, not conspicuously rugose; width of gill cover contained nearly 2 times to 2 times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth slightly hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; more or less tuberculate on the chewing surface; scapula triangular, obtuse, rounded; back strongly elevated, angular, higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $14 / 5$ to 2 times in the length of the head; scales generally without visible longitudinal stripes, seldom with very sparse stripes, 37 or 38 scales in the lateral line, 15 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $9(81 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 15 or 16 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in the depth of the body,


Fig. 62. Puntius (Barbodes) belinka Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVII, Fig. 1. TL figure 94 mm .
much deeper but much less than twice as deep as base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, with a flexible part not or hardly longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $61 / 2$ to 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins reaching or nearly reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, simple third ray medium-sized, bony only on the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $31 / 3$ to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellow, upper part dark; scales on back, flanks and tail each with a small transverse, crescent-shaped, dark or violet band; fins pink, upper part of dorsal fin, except for the top of the tip, broadly blackish-dark, caudal fin with a longitudinal, intermarginal dark-violet band on each lobe.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. V. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Belinka Mal. Sumatr.
Hab. Sumatra (Padang, Solok, Sinkara), in rivers and lakes.
Length of 9 specimens $61^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $113^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. For a long time I have taken these specimens for juvenile specimens of Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi, till I came in the possession of proper juvenile specimens of the last mentioned species, which have taught me that they totally agree in habitus with the older specimens and disagree essentially from Systomus (Barbodes) belinka.

The most important of these differences have been noted in the description of Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi. The coloration of Systomus (Barbodes) belinka also inclines more to bluish, and that of Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi more to olive. In the species in question the tail is lower in relation to the length of the head.

My specimens all originate from the west coast of Sumatra, where it occurs up to high in the drainage areas. I cannot determine whether the species becomes larger than my largest specimens

> 323 Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi Blkr. Schwanefeld's Lalawak. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXVII.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 3 to $23 / 5$ times in its length, width contained $2 \frac{2}{3}$ to $31 / 4$ times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained slightly over 5 to 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{11 / 2}$ to nearly 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 6$ to $11 / 8$ times in its length, width contained about $13 / 5$ times in its length; eye diameter contained $2^{1 / 3}$ to 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 5$ times to once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to $11 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile strongly concave between snout and nape, strongly convex on the nape; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth slightly or not greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins convex, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils; traversed about the middle by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, depth greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length about twice as great as depth; about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior part of the eye, contained about 3 times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, generally slightly longer than the eye, nasal barbels generally slightly shorter than upper jaw barbels; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse tubercle, the underside without visible pores;


Fig. 63. Puntius (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXV, Fig. 3. TL figure 256 mm .
lips thin, terete, lightly transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to 2 times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; on the chewing surface rugose-tuberculate; scapula obtuse, rounded; back strongly elevated, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $12 / 3$ to nearly $11 / 2$ times in the length of the head; scales generally for the free half and sometimes also the basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes; 36 in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $8\left(7 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 14 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, descending below rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in the depth of the body, much deeper but much less than twice as deep as base length; spine thick, posteriorly serrated with rather large teeth, with a flexible part not much longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $51 / 2$ to $6^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins reaching or nearly reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not much deeper than base length, simple third ray medium-sized, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 5$ to $32 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellow, upper part dark; scales on back, flanks and tail generally with a small, violetish band at the base; dorsal fin for the basal half beautiful red, for the top half violet-black, the tip itself red, however; pectoral fins, ventral fins and anal fin pink, caudal fin pink, upper and lower margin red, with a longitudinal, intramarginal violet-dark band on each lobe.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus Schwanefeldii Blkr, Nieuwe tient. Vischs. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 517 (partly).

```
Hab. Sumatra (Pangabuang, Palembang, Moara-kompeh, Padang, Solok, Sinkara), in rivers and lakes.
Borneo (Pontianak), in rivers.
```

Length of 7 specimens $88^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $260^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. My above mentioned description of Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi is, as became apparent since then, made on the basis of two different species, i.e. after large specimens of Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi and smaller ones of Systomus (Barbodes) belinka, which I earlier took for juvenile specimens of the first mentioned species. A mistake, which I only recognized after I also had received smaller specimens of Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi. I can now determine specific differences. In Systomus (Barbodes) belinka I constantly find one or two scales more in the lateral line and one longitudinal row more above the lateral line. Furthermore, the scales are totally not striated or show only very few faintly visible longitudinal small stripes. Moreover the body is constantly more slender and in none of my specimens anything can be observed of the large black dorsal fin blotch of Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi.

Till now I only know this species from Sumatra and Borneo.

> Systomus (Barbodes) amblycephalus Blkr. Rondkoppige Lalawak [Round-headed Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXVIII.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about 3 times in its length, width contained nearly 3 times in its depth. Head obtuse, contained about 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, about $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about once in its length, width about $12 / 5$ times; eye diameter contained about $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about $12 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes nearly $11 / 2$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile convex nearly everywhere, not convex only in the region of the occiput and nape; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth about equal to length, lower part nearly horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins short, convex, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward facing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, crest, not parallel to the lower margin; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, length nearly twice as great as depth; about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior part of the eye, contained 3 times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels slightly longer than nasal barbels, hardly longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short, hardly visible tubercle, underside without conspicuous pores; lips thin, terete, not rugose; width of gill cover contained about $14 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; branchial opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; on the chewing surface rugose-tuberculate, internal 2 teeth in the longest row conical, acuminate at the tip without chewing surface; scapula triangular, obtuse, rounded; back strongly elevated, angular, much 325 higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained about $12 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales for the free half and basal half with longitudinal or slightly ray-like stripes, 35 or 36 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in posterior scales larger than those in


Fig. 64. Puntius (Barbodes) amblycephalus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVI, Fig. 2. TL figure 283 mm .
flanking rows; lateral line curved, reaching rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting slightly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much less than twice as low as the body, considerably less high but very much less than twice as high as base length, spine very thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with a flexible part hardly longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, not very much lower than dorsal fin, not very much higher than base length, simple third ray medium-sized, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained nearly 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellow, upper part dark; scales on back, flanks and tail each with a small, violetish transverse band at the base; dorsal and caudal fin pink or yellowish with a dark margin, pectoral fins pink or yellowish, ventral fins and anal fin whitish.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. 7/17/7, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus amblycephalus Blkr, Achtste bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. VIII p. 166.
Hab. Borneo (Pengaron), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen 290"'。

Remark. Closely related to Systomus (Barbodes) erythropterus Blkr, the species in question differs primarily from it by a more convex profile, 3 to 4 scales more in the lateral line, shorter barbels, a less curved lateral line, a lower dorsal fin, a lower and much more blunt head, etc. By its scale formula it also approaches Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi, but it has a different habitus, a much more blunt and higher head, no black dorsal fin spot or caudal fin bands, etc.

The species till now has become know to me by only one specimen form southern Borneo, and is, as far as is known, the Cyprinoid species which is the most easterly restricted in the Archipelago. Systomus (Barbodes) maculates Blkr. and a few other

# Cyprinoids indeed also inhabit in the most eastern part of southern Borneo, but their distribution is also extended far westerly in the Archipelago till Sumatra and Singapore. 

Systomus (Barbodes) erythopterus Blkr. Roodvinnige Lalawak [Red finned Lalawak]<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIX.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $32 / 5$ to $31 / 6$ times in its length, width contained $22 / 3$ to 3 times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained $53 / 4$ to $61 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, slightly over 4 to $44 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 5$ to $1^{1 / 4}$ times in its length, width about $13 / 5$ times; eye diameter contained $2^{2} / 3$ to 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once 326 to $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to $11 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between forehead and nape, especially in younger animals slightly concave, strongly convex on the nape; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins generally convex, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, depth greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length less than twice as great as depth, generally less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained 3 to $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw and nasal barbels nearly equal in length, slightly to not longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short, hardly visible tubercle, underside without conspicuous pores; lips thin, terete, lower inner surface transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; tuberculate on the chewing surface, internal 2 teeth in the longest row conical, acuminate without chewing surface;


Fig. 65. Puntius (Barbodes) erythropterus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXVI, Fig. 1. TL figure 249 mm .
scapula triangular, obtuse, rounded; back strongly elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to about $13 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales for the free half with longitudinal stripes, for the basal half not or hardly striped; 32 or 33 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7\left(6^{1} / 2\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained about $12 / 5$ times in the depth of the body, not much less than twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with rather large teeth, with a flexible part considerably longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained 6 to 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, much higher but much less than twice as high as base length, simple third ray medium-sized, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $3^{2} / 3$ to 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellow, upper part dark; scales on back, flanks and tail generally with a violetish transverse band at the base; fins beautiful red or pink, dorsal and caudal fin generally with a dark margin.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus erythropterus Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 15. Lalawak, Wader-mejrah Mal. Javan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Surabaya, Kediri), in rivers.
Borneo (Pengaron), in rivers.
Length of 13 specimens $125^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $248^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
I discovered this species in 1848 in Surabaya and described it at the above mentioned place after a specimen with a length of $175^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Since then I also found it in Batavia, while I also received it simultaneously with the related Systomus (Barbodes) amphycephalus Blkr. from south-east Borneo. In relationship it 327 stands between the lastmentioned species and Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides. In habitus it resembles Systomus (Barbodes) amblycephalus most, however, it has less scales in the lateral line, the heads much less blunt and longer than high, etc. Its main differences with Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides lie in the relative width of the gill cover, the relative height of the tail and the constantly larger number of scale in the lateral line. On Java they are much less common than Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides.

> Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides Blkr. Bleiachtige Lalawak [Bleak-like Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XL.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $3 \frac{1}{4}$ to 3 times in its length, width contained $2 \frac{2}{3}$ to slightly over 3 times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained $51 / 2$ to $63 / 5$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $43 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 5$ to $11 / 8$ times in its length, width $13 / 5$ to $11 / 2$ times; eye diameter contained $2^{1 / 2}$ to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between snout and nape in younger animals slightly concave, in old animals concave, strongly convex on the nape; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth slightly greater than


Fig. 66. Puntius (Barbodes) bramoides Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXV, Fig. 2. TL figure 225 mm .
length, lower margin oblique, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, slightly obliquely descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, depth much greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length less than twice as great as depth, less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained 3 to $31 / 3$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels slightly longer than nasal barbels, not or slightly longer or shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, little conspicuous tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained about 2 times in its depth, lower margin slightly convex or nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; on the chewing surface rugose-tuberculate; scapula triangular, obtuse, rounded; back elevated, angular, higher than the convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 2$ times in the length of the head; scales for the free half and generally also for the basal half with slightly ray-like stripes; 30 or 31 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7(61 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales larger than in flanking rows; lateral line curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $13 / 4$ times in the depth of the body, much higher but much less than twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with a flexible part considerably longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained 6 to $63 / 4$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins in younger animals reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, in old animals ventral fins 328 not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, simple
third ray medium-sized, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 5$ to $34 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellow, upper part tinged with pink and a dark colour; fins faintly pink-hyaline, ventral fins, anal and caudal fin with red tips, upper part of dorsal fin generally with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus bramoides Val., Poiss. XVI p. 119, Fisch. Syr. p. 28. Barbeau brémoide Val. Poiss XVI p. 119. Barbus bremoides Val., Poiss. XVI p. X. Barbus wadon Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 14. Lawak, Lalawak, Wadon Mal. Gengehek, Turap-hawu, Regis Sundan. Wader, Lukas Javan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Tjibitong, Tandjong-oost, Buitenzorg, Tjampoa, Tjikao, Parongkalong, Kuningan, Banjumas, Ngawi, Surabaya), in rivers.
Length of 27 specimens $95^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $248^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. My earlier Barbus wadon, described on the basis of juvenile specimens from Surabaya, does not differ specifically from Barbus bramoides Val. like I believed earlier. The description of Barbus bramoides in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons certainly concerns the species described here, of which I observed a large number of specimens of different sizes. It is closely related to Systomus (Barbodes) erythropterus and mainly differs from it only by a more blunt profile, less wide gill cover, a higher caudal fin, and one or two scales less in the lateral line.

On Java this species is very common. With Systomus (Barbodes) rubripinnis it is the most commonly caught species of the genus, at least in the lower regions.

> Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus Blkr. Javaansche Lalawak [Javanese Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. tab XLII.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 4$ to 3 times in its length, width contained $2^{2} / 3$ to 3 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, contained slightly over 5 to 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $43 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $11 / 6$ times in its length, width $13 / 5$ to $12 / 3$ times; eye diameter contained slightly over 3 to $32 / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 2$ to $13 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to $13 / 5$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, much broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute, lightly convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile concave between snout and nape, convex on the nape; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth about equal to length, lower margin oblique, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins slightly concave, united into an acute upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, depth slightly or not greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length twice or more than twice as great as depth, more than twice as low 329 as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained $31 / 3$ to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels much longer than to twice as long as nasal barbels, more than twice to less than twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a short, obtuse, little conspicuous tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, transversely lightly rugose; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to $1^{3 / 4}$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked-slightly spoonshaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; on the chewing surface more or less tuberculate; scapula triangular, ob-


Fig. 67. Puntius (Barbodes) javanicus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVII, Fig. 2. TL figure 302 mm .
tusely rounded; back elevated, angular, higher than the convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; depth of tail contained $12 / 3$ to $12 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales for the free half and for the basal half with longitudinal stripes; 31 to 33 scales in the lateral line, 11 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6(51 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows generally larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube which does not reach the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting hardly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in the depth of the body, twice as deep to not much less than twice as deep as base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with rather large teeth, with a flexible part not much longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins generally longer than ventral fins, contained 53/4 to 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins in younger fishes generally reaching the ventral fins, in adults generally not reaching the ventral fins; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, considerably higher to much less than twice as high as base length, simple third ray bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained 4 to $4 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellow, scales on back, flanks and tail each with a transverse crescent shaped violet-dark band at the base; fins pink-greenish, pectoral and ventral fins of a fainter colour, unequal more or less speckled.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 18 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus javanicus Blkr, Verslag verz. vissch. van Oost-Java, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 403. Lawak, Lalawak Mal. Turu-behaw Sundan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Krawang, Bekassi, Tjiandjur, Parongkalong, Ngawi, Gempol), in rivers. Length of 33 specimens $139^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $315^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Of all species in my collection Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus Blkr. is most closely related to Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus Blkr. However, it can be distinguished by a higher body, a more acute and relatively larger head, a more pointed snout, a concave profile anterior to the nape, and a remarkable broader gill cover (the
width of which in Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus goes twice in its length) etc. The differences are very apparent when equal sized specimens of both species are compared. On the other hand the species in question is related to Systomus (Barbodes) bramoides, but can easily be distinguished from it by the formula of its anal fin rays and of the longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line. 330 It is especially common in the river Tjitarum and is sometimes caught in large quantities in its delta branches and from there taken overseas to the market in Batavia.

> Systomus (Barbodes) koilometopon Blkr. Spitskoppige Lalawak [Acute headed Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXI.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong compressed body, depth of body contained about 3 times in its length, width contained about $2^{2} / 3$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, contained 5 to $5^{1 / 4}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to nearly 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 4$ times in its length, width about $11 / 2$ times; eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $12 / 5$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile strongly concave on crown and nape, convex on the anterior part of the back; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth about equal to length, lower margin oblique, anterior and posterior lower margins convex, upper margins slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, depth hardly greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth; more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, hardly sticking out in front of the eye, contained $3^{2} / 5$ to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape strongly oblique; barbels slender, upper jaw barbels much longer than nasal barbels, about twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, little conspicuous tubercle, underside on both branches with some little conspicuous pores in a longitudinal row; lips thin, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin convex; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; on the chewing surface more or less tuberculate; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back strongly elevated, angular, higher than the convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; depth of tail contained about $13 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales with longitudinal stripes or ray-like stripes originating from a common centre on the free half and on the basal half; 31 scales in the lateral line, 11 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, descending below the rostrocaudal line, each scale marked by an simple tube not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting hardly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained about $12 / 5$ times in the depth of the body, about twice as high as base length, spine very thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with a flexible part considerably longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $5 \frac{1}{4}$ to $5^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the body, reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fins; anal fin acute, emarginate, not much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, much less than twice as high as base length, simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 4$ to nearly 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellowish or pink; fins yellowish-hyaline or pink-hyaline.


Fig. 68. Puntius (Barbodes) koilometopon Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXX, Fig. 1. TL figure 155 mm .
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 18 / 8$, short flanking ones included.Syn. Barbus koilometopon Blkr, Descr. Specier. Pisc. Javan. Nov., Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 347. Lalawak, Lawak Mal. Batav.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Bekassi), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $153^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $164^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Among the archipelagic species of Barbodes there are three, which have in common, six scale rows above the lateral line, six or seven branched rays in the anal fin, barbels that are shorter than the eye, 31 to 33 scales in the lateral line, and the origin of the dorsal fin slightly posterior to the basis of the pelvic fins. These species are the above described Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus, Systomus (Barbodes) koilometopon and Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus.

The species in question however can be separated with enough sharpness from both its relatives; from Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus, by its remarkably more slender gill cover, lower, more acute head and more concave profile of the nape; - and from Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus likewise by the more concave profile and much more pointed and lower head and moreover by a relatively remarkably higher body.

The two specimens of my collection are the only ones that I have seen till now.

> Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus Blkr. Hoekruggige Lalawak [Angle-backed Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLI.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 2$ to $31 / 4$ times in its length, width contained $21 / 2$ to $23 / 4$ times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained $51 / 2$ to $61 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $4 \frac{4}{5}$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head


Fig. 69. Puntius (Barbodes) gonionotus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXVIII, Fig. 1. TL figure 227 mm .
contained $11 / 5$ to $11 / 8$ times in its length, width contained $13 / 5$ to about $11 / 2$ times in its length; eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ to $12 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $11 / 2$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, strongly convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile in younger animals nearly straight between snout and nape; interorbital line convex; nape strongly convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth about equal to length, lower margin oblique, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or slightly truncate, upper margins slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, depth not much greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth; more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, hardly sticking out in front of the eye or ending below the anterior margin of the eye, contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels much longer than nasal barbels, more than twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip, lower part without visible pores; lips thin, terete, lightly transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; on the chewing surface tuberculate; 332 scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, higher than the convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $13 / 4$ to $12 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales for the free half and for the basal half with longitudinal, slightly ray-like stripes, 31 scales in the lateral line, 11 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows larger than those in the flanking rows; lateral line curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a generally simple tube not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting slightly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate,
height contained $12 / 5$ to $11 / 2$ times in the depth of the body, slightly less than twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, with a flexible part not much longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained nearly 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, in younger animals pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, considerably lower than dorsal fin, much higher, but much less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray medium-sized, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 4$ to slightly over 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, iris yellow, upper part darkish; dorsal, pectoral and caudal fins pink-hyaline or yellowish-hyaline, ventral and anal fins white.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus goniotus Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. v. kunst. wet. XXIII Ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 15. Lalawak, Lawak Mal. Wader, Beder, Luntjar, Tawes, Javan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Surabaya), in rivers.
Length of 3 specimens $119^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $240^{\prime \prime \prime}$
Remark. The convex head, which is a little longer than high, the blunt snout, the straight profile, and the slender gill cover, are the main characters by which the species in question distinguishes itself from the related species Systomus (Barbodes) koilometopon and Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus. In habitus it agrees more with Systomus (Barbodes) erythropterus and Systomus (Barbodes) amblycephalus, however the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin and the formulas of the scale rows and anal fin rays give safe characters on the basis of which it cannot be mistaken for any of these species.

The species seems to be rather rare on Java, as they have only been sent to me from the two principal capitals of this island.

> Systomus (Barbodes) Hugenini Blkr. Hugenin's Lalawak.
> Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXII fig. 3.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $33 / 4$ times in its length, width contained nearly 3 times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, about $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 4$ times in its length, width nearly twice; eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, 338 opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, slightly truncate, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on top of the head and on the nape, nearly straight, at the front part of the back convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth hardly greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins convex, upper margins slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a longitudinal crest nearly parallel to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, depth much greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length less than twice as great as depth, less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, hardly sticking out in front of the eye, contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, nasal and upper jaw barbels nearly equal in length, slightly shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, little conspicuous tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained nearly twice in its depth, lower margin slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding,


Fig. 70. Puntius (Barbodes) Hugenini Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXII, Fig. 3. TL figure 170 mm .
2.3.5/5.3.2; on the chewing surface more or less tuberculate; scapula triangular, rounded at the tip; back elevated, angular, considerably higher than the convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained nearly $13 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales on the free half and on the basal half with longitudinal or slightly raylike stripes, 30 scales in the lateral line, 11 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, about 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, depth contained about $11 / 3$ times in the depth of the body, much higher but much less than twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, with a flexible part not much longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about $61 / 3$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin, about twice as high as base length, the simple third ray slender, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $3^{2} / 3$ to $33 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, scales on back, flanks and tail each with a small, transverse violetish band at the base; iris yellow, upper part darkish; dorsal, fins yellowish or pink, unequal, with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus Hugenini Blkr, Diagn. Nieuwe vischs. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IV p. 294.
Hab. Sumatra, in the river Ombiling.
Length of sole specimen $179^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Related to Systomus (Barbodes) gonionotus and Systomus (Barbodes) hypselonotus, the species in question mainly distinguishes itself from both species by its more slender body and a nearly straight profile from the forehead till the dorsal fin. Moreover it differs from the first mentioned species by one ray less in the anal fin, longer barbels, especially longer snout barbels, which however remain shorter than the eye, by the implantation of the dorsal fin above the pelvic fins, etc., - and from Systomus (Barbodes) hypselonotus by shorter barbels.

# 334 Systomus (Barbodes) hypselonotus Blkr. Hoogruggige Lalawak [High-backed Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIV fig. 3. 

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $31 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about $2 / 3$ times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained slightly over 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, about $33 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $11 / 5$ times in its length, width about $13 / 4$ times; eye diameter contained about $2^{1} / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $3 / 4$ to $5 / 6$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, slightly truncate, much shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile nearly straight between snout and nape, at the nape convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, length hardly or not greater than depth, lower margin nearly horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal crest parallel to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, length about twice as great as depth, deeper anteriorly than posteriorly; about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained about 3 times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, considerably longer than the eye, nasal barbels slightly shorter than upper jaw barbels; lower jaw at the symphysis with a low, obtuse, little conspicuous tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, not conspicuously rugose; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; on the chewing surface tuberculate, 2 internal teeth in longest row conical and acuminate at the tip; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, higher than the convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained about $12 / 3$ times in the length of the head; scales for the free half and for the basal half not or hardly striped, 30 or 31 scales in the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, about 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate; depth contained $12 / 5$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in the depth of the body, much higher but much less than twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, with a flexible part longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer


Fig. 71. Puntius (Barbodes) hypselonotus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXIV, Fig. 3. TL figure 72 mm .
than ventral fins, contained about $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, nearly reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray slender, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $32 / 5$ to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; dorsal, fins yellowish or pink-hyaline, upper part of dorsal fin dark or with darkish speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. 305 or $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus hypselonotus V. Hass. Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 132: Val., Poiss. XVI p. 126; Blkr, Descript. spec. pisc. javan. nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 349.
Barbus hypoeconatus Bull. Féruss. 1824 Zoöl. P. 375.
Barbeau hypsylonote Val., Poiss. XVI p. 126.
Regis Sundan.
Hab. Java (Tjampea), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $75^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $78^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
${ }^{3355}$ Remark. The short description of this species in the large histoire naturelle des Poissons is totally insufficient for the recognition of the species and for this reason I would be uncertain concerning the identity of my specimens if I would not have been in the possession of a drawing of Barbus hypselonotus, left by Van Hasselt and taken after a specimen of 71 mm length, caught in Lebek, in the residency of Bantam.

The species can be recognized by its long upper jaw barbels, strongly armed dorsal spine, high body, blunt snout and by its 30 or 31 scales in the lateral line and 6 longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line.

The two specimens of my collection are the only ones that I have seen till now. The species therefore seems to be rare.

> Systomus (Barbodes) macrophthalmus Blkr. Grootoogige Lalawak [Large-eyed Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXI fig. 2.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about 4 times in its length, width contained about $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head obtuse, contained about $5^{1 / 4}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $11 / 5$ times in its length, width about $12 / 3$ times; eye diameter contained $21 / 3$ to $21 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once or nearly once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, slightly truncate, nearly twice as short as the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostrodorsal profile convex, nearly straight between snout and nape; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth hardly or not greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a longitudinal crest not parallel to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, very low, length about three times as great as depth, three to about four times as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels slightly longer than nasal barbels, much less than twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, short, little conspicuous tubercle, at the underside with 4 little conspicuous pores on each branch placed in a longitudinal row; lips thin, terete, with hardly or not visible transverse ridges; width of gill cover contained


Fig. 72. Puntius (Barbodes) macrophthalmus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXV, Fig. 1. TL figure 96 mm .
$13 / 4$ to $14 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly concave or straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2; tuberculate at the tip only; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $11 / 2$ to $12 / 3$ times in the length of the head; scales on the free half frequently, on the basal half rarely with longitudinal stripes, 28 or 29 scales in the lateral line, 11 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ above the lateral line, about 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line curved, reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much ${ }^{336}$ lower than the body, twice or nearly twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with a flexible part not much longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $61 / 3$ to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins reaching or nearly reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, much higher than but much less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $3^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; fins yellowish- pink, upper part of dorsal fin with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $1 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus macrophthalmus Blkr, Versl. verz. visschs. Oost-Java, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 404. Wader Jav. Lawak, Lalawak Mal.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Surabaya), in rivers.
Length of 5 specimens $75^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $115^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. In my above mentioned description the printing error is present, that the width of the head would go only $1 \frac{1}{5}$ in its length and that the lateral line would contain 26 scales. In relationship the species stands between Systomus (Barbodes) hypselonotus and Systomus (Barbodes) platysoma, however it is easily recognizable by the formula of its scales, the height of the body, the length of the barbels, the relatively very large eyes, etc.

> Systomus (Barbodes) platysoma Blkr. Platlijvige Lalawak [Flat-bodied Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXX fig. 2.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly $21 / 2$ times in its length, width contained about 3 times in its depth. Head obtuse, contained about $52 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained once in its length, width about $11 / 2$ times; eye diameter contained nearly 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, obliquely truncate, much shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile convex, slightly concave only above or anterior to the eyes, interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth hardly or not greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a longitudinal crest not parallel to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely oblong-quadrangular, depth greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length less than twice as great as depth, less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior half of the eye, contained about 3 times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, nasal and upper jaw barbels nearly equal in length, hardly or not longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, short, little conspicuous tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, lightly transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained $14 / 5$ to $15 / 6$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; rugose-tuberculate on the


Fig. 73. Puntius (Barbodes) platysoma Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXX, Fig. 2. TL figure 178 mm .
chewing surface; scapula 337 triangular, obtuse, rounded; back strongly elevated, angular, higher than very much deepened belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained about $12 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales with longitudinal, slightly raylike stripes on the free half and the basal half, about 26 scales in the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1} / 2\right)$ above the lateral line, about 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows slightly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube, generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much less than twice as low as the body, much higher, but much less than twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, with a flexible part slightly longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about $52 / 3$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins nearly reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $3 \sqrt[1]{4}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; fins yellowish-pink-hyaline, upper part of dorsal fin with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 12$ or $1 / 13$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus platysoma Blkr, Verslag verzam. vissch. Oost-Java, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 404.
Wader Javan.
Hab. Java (Surakarta), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $180^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. On Java Systomus (Barbodes) platysoma seems to be the substitute of Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi Blkr. of Sumatra. In relationship it stands most closely to Barbus balleroides Val. from which it differs however (judging from the short description of Mr Valenciennes) by less scales on a longitudinal and a transverse row. It is easy recognizable by its extremely high body, the low number of scales in a longitudinal row with simultaneously a strongly developed and with large teeth armed dorsal spine, etc.

I discovered it in the year 1846, during a short sojourn in Surakarta, in a single specimen, the only one that I ever laid my eyes on.

> Systomus (Barbodes) rubripinna Blkr. Blaauwruggige Lalawak [Blue-backed Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXI fig. 3.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 4 to $32 / 5$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head obtuse, contained $43 / 4$ to $61 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to nearly 5 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 6$ times in its length, width $11 / 3$ to $13 / 5$ times; eye diameter contained 3 to $32 / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 2$ to 2 times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to nearly 2 times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile generally convex everywhere, sometimes slightly concave between crown and nape; interorbital line convex; 388 anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth about equal to length, lower margin oblique, anterior and posterior lower margins concave, truncate or convex, upper margins


Fig. 74. Puntius (Barbodes) rubripinna Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXIII, Fig. 3. TL figure 228 mm.
concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, horizontal crest not parallel to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongatequadrangular, depth not much greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length twice or nearly twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, nasal barbels slightly or not shorter than the eye, upper jaw barbels generally considerably longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, short, little conspicuous tubercle, lower part on each branch with 3 or 4 pores, arranged in a longitudinal row, often not visible; lips medium-sized, terete, lightly transversely striped; width of gill cover contained nearly 2 to $12 / 3$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; branchial opening ending below the posterior rim of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; rugose-tuberculate on the chewing surface; scapula triangular, strongly obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, higher than the convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $13 / 4$ to $13 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales with ray-like stripes originating from a common centre, 31 to 34 scales in the lateral line, 10 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line curved, reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin in younger animals starting above the base of the ventral fins, in adults above or hardly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained $12 / 5$ to $13 / 4$ times in the depth of the body, much deeper but very much less than twice as deep as base length, spine thick, posteriorly lightly serrated with small teeth, with a flexible part hardly shorter or hardly longer than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $53 / 4$ to nearly 7 times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, not or slightly emarginate, much lower but very much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, much higher but very much less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled at the base only, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $4 \frac{1}{2}$ to $42 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; gill cover with a large fiery spot; tail in younger animals often with a round, diffuse, violet spot in the lateral line close to the base of the caudal fin; scapular region in juveniles and old fishes generally
with an oblong, transverse, violet spot; fins at the base yellowish- pink, posterior part of pectoral and caudal fins, anterior half of ventral and anal fins red; anterior part of dorsal fin, upper and lower part of caudal fin with a margin of a deeper violet.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus rubripenna V. Hass., Algemeene Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 132.
Barbus rubripennis Val., Poiss. XVI p. 146; Blkr, Verslag verzam. vissch. Oost. Java, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IX p. 406.
Barbeau au nageoires rouges Val., Poiss. XVI p. 146.
Barbus gardonides Val., Poiss. XVI p. 118 (partly)
Barbus orphoides Val., Poiss. XVI p. 146?
Barbeau orphoide Val., Poiss. XVI p. 146?
Barbus saranella Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd.-Oost-Java p. 16.
Marotja, Marotjotja Mal. Bat; Sisik-milik, Ampa Sund. Brek, Pekisseh, Lundjar, Wader Jav.
339 Hab. Java (Batavia, Tjibitong, Bekassi, Serang, Rankasbetong, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Banjumas, Purworedjo, Pasuran, Grati, Ngantang), in rivers and lakes.
Length of 52 specimens $60^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $248^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I suspect Mr Valenciennes has placed specimens of the above described species, which he seems to have observed in specimens sent to the Netherlands by Kuhl and Van Hasselt, to his Barbus gardonides. I posses Barbus gardonides from Calcutta but not from Java, where it does not seem to occur. It distinguishes itself from Barbus rubripinna V. Hass. principally by two longitudinal scale rows more, of which one row lies above the lateral line and one below the lateral line. In specimens of both species of the same size I moreover find, that in Barbus gardonides Val. the head goes only $33 / 4$ times in the length of the body without the caudal fin and in that of Barbus rubripinna V. Hass amply 4 times. In that of Barbus gardonides Val. the height of the head goes $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ in its length, in that of Barbus rubripinna V. Hass. only $11 / 6$ times. In that of Barbus gardonides Val. the width of the gill cover goes only $12 / 3$ times in its height, in that of Barbus rubripinna V. Hass. almost 2 times, etc. Therefore there is no doubt that both belong to different species.

The description of Barbus rubripinnis Val. in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons is very short and insufficient and taken from juvenile specimens of not even four inches. The dorsal spine erroneously is said to be without serrations.

It seems to me that Barbus orphoides Val. can be reduced to Systomus (Barbodes) rubripinnis. The description of Mr Valenciennes is made after larger specimens than those that served for his description of Barbus rubripinnis. Indeed Mr Valenciennes also mentions that in Barbus orphoides the dorsal fin spine is not serrated and that there are only 27 scales in a longitudinal row, however the dorsal spine teeth in older specimens sometimes are also so small, that they easily escape attention, and when the scales have not been properly preserved their count could easily be mistaken by a few. In my opinion that Barbus orphoides Val. and Barbus rubripinnis Val. are the same species, I am strengthened by the statement of Mr Valenciennes that he would have taken his specimens of Barbus rubripinnis for juvenile specimens of Barbus orphoides if the habitus did not differ and the dorsal spine was not weaker. My specimens of Systomus (Barbodes) rubripinna have the dorsal spine relatively thicker as they become older, whereas the shape is rather variable in specimens from different localities. My specimens from Paseruan all have the head much more blunt and the body more slender than those from West Java.

340 A more detailed comparison of the specimens which I described in 1849 far removed from my cabinet, under the name of Barbus sarananella, taught me that they are neither specifically different from Systomus (Barbodes) rubripinna, so that Barbus sarananella can be removed from the series of species.

The species in question is very common in Batavia and belongs there to the most commonly caught Cyprinoids. It is extended far over Java, but seems to be restricted to the lower regions of the drainage areas. Till now I did not receive it from any of the other Sunda Islands, which somewhat surprises me as the species is not restricted to Java, as it also occurs near Bangkok judging from the several times cited sketchbook of Count Fr. de Castelnau.

> Systomus (Barbodes) bunter Blkr. Soendasche Lalawak [Sundanese Lalawak]. Atl. Cyp Tab. XXVIII fig. I.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $3^{1 / 3}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $2^{2 / 3}$ times in length of body without caudal fin. Head obtuse, convex, contained about 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 6$ to $1^{1 / 7}$ times in its length; eye diameter contained about $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in the postocular part of the head; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile lightly concave between forehead and nape, strongly convex on the nape, interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained nearly $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels slightly longer than nasal barbels, shorter than the eye; depth of gill cover less than twice as great as length, lower margin nearly straight; scapula obtuse, rounded; back elevated, angular, higher than convex belly; depth of tail contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in the length of the head; about 24 scales in the lateral line, 10 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 ⁄ 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, about 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, not emarginate, about twice as low as the body, not or only slightly higher than base length, spine thin, posteriorly serrated with conspicuous small teeth, with a flexible part shorter than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, not emarginate, considerably lower than dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray nearly completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 3$ to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, scales on back, flanks and tail each with a small oblong, transverse, violetish band at the base; fins pink or red, with an uneven dark margin; without visible dorsal or caudal spot.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus bunter Blkr, Descr. spec. pisc. Javan. nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 350, Bunter Sundan.
Hab. Java (Tjampea), in rivers.
Length of described specimen $115^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

341 Remark. The single specimen that I possessed of this species, has got lost. However, I had had a figure made if it, to which I had to restrict myself when I made the description.


Fig. 75. Puntius (Barbodes) bunter Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVIII, Fig. 3. TL figure 101 mm .

The species is related to Systomus (Barbodes) maculates, but distinguishes itself from it by much shorter barbels, a more blunt head, a convex dorsal fin, and, if my figure concerning this is right, which I dare say with certainty, by one longitudinal scale row more above the lateral line.

> Systomus (Barbodes) tetrazona Blkr. Vierbandige Lalawak [Four-banded Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIII fig. 2 .

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $3^{1 / 3}$ times in its length, width contained about $21 / 2$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, slightly convex, contained $42 / 3$ to $43 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $3^{2} / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $11 / 4$ times in its length, width nearly 2 times; eye diameter contained about $23 / 5$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile convex on nape and head, slightly concave between nape and occiput; interorbital line slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, length hardly greater than depth, lower margin oblique, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, horizontal crest not parallel to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, depth greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as anterior suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly downward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels considerably longer than nasal barbels, not much longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, hardly visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, without visible transverse stripes; width of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to


Fig. 76. Puntius (Barbodes) tetrazona Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLIII, Fig. 7. TL figure 56 mm .

145 times in its depth, lower margin slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped with a rod-like neck, 2.3.4/4.3.2, (or 2.3.5/5.3.2 ??); 2 internal teeth in longest row conical, acuminate at the tip; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins ridged; depth of tail contained about $14 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales on the middle of the body not conspicuously larger than scales on the anterior and posterior parts of the body, ventral scales striped with rays originating from a common simple or reticulate centre, 24 scales in the lateral line, 9 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which 5 $\left(4^{1} / 2\right)$ above the lateral line below the dorsal fin, 3 between the lateral line and the base of the ventral fins and the vent, 7 or 8 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line slightly curved, reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained about $11 / 2$ times in the depth of the body, much higher but much less than twice as high as base length, spine mediumsized, posteriorly serrated with well visible small teeth, with a flexible part slightly shorter than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $52 / 3$ to $53 / 4$ times in the length 342 of the body, nearly reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, not or slightly emarginate, much lower but less than twice as low as dorsal fin, much higher but much less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base ; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $4 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink; upper part of the head blackish-violet; body with 4 transverse, broad, blackish-violet bands bordered with yellow, $1^{\text {st }}$ nucho-scapular band broader at the top than at the bottom, broadly rounded, $2^{\text {nd }}$ dorso-ventral band descending from the total base of the dorsal fin and ending obtusely slightly below the lateral line, 3 rd from dorsal to anal fin broader in the middle than at the top and lower side, reaching the anterior anal rays, $4^{\text {th }}$ caudal band broader in the middle than at the top and lower side covering all of the tail; fins pink, anterior and upper part of dorsal fin with a dark margin.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus tetrazona Blkr, Act. Soc. Scient. Ind. Neerl. II Tiende bijdr. ichth. Borneo p. 14.
Hab. Borneo (Kahajan), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $57^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. This beautiful marked species is very easily recognizable by its four broad transverse blackish-violet body bands, of which both anterior ones, which are broadly rounded ventrally, end approximately on the middle of the flanks, whereas both posterior ones extend to the lower edge of the body. It is related to Systomus (Barbodes) lateristriga Blkr, however, apart from the colour markings, easily distinguishable from
that species by a more acute and lower head, more pointed dorsal and anal fin, lower upper jaw barbels, etc.

My specimen from the Krajang river is the only one till now observed by me.

> Systomus (Barbodes) lateristriga Blkr. Zijstrepige Lalawak [Side striped Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXII fig. 2.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 2$ to $2^{4 / 5}$ times in its length, width contained $2^{2} / 3$ to $2^{1 / 4}$ times in its depth. Head obtuse, convex, contained $43 / 4$ to $5^{2 / 5}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{2 / 5}$ to $41 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained slightly more than once to once in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times in its length; eye diameter contained about $21 / 2$ to $32 / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $13 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, not sticking out in front of the mouth, in younger animals shorter than the eye, in very old fishes not shorter than the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile generally concave or slightly convex between snout and nape, strongly concave at the nape; interorbital line slightly concave, interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, length hardly or not greater than depth, lower margin convex, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, the middle traversed by a longitudinal, generally ramose crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, length not to slightly greater than depth, much less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly downward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior part of the eye, contained 3 to $22 / 3$ times in the length of the head; gape strongly oblique; barbels thin, nasal barbels slightly or not longer than the eye, upper jaw barbels 343 much longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis without visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips fleshy, terete, lightly transversely rugose on the oral surface; width of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to $14 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked-spoon-shaped, 2.3.4/4.3.2; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded;


Fig. 77. Puntius (Barbodes) lateristriga Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXII, Fig. 2. TL figure 176 mm .
belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; depth of tail contained about $12 / 3$ to $13 / 5$ times in the length of the head; back elevated, angular, higher than convex belly; scales on the middle of the body not conspicuously larger than scales on the anterior and posterior parts of the body, with vertical ray-like stripes originating from a common simple or reticulate centre, 23 to 25 scales in the lateral line, 10 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 3 between the lateral line and the base of the ventral fins and the vent, 7 or 8 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, the posterior scales in this row not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the pectoral fins, in juveniles acute, hardly or not emarginate, in adults often obtuse, generally convex, depth contained $12 / 5$ to over 2 times in the depth of the body, not much higher to not higher than base length, spine tapering, posteriorly serrated with well visible small teeth, with a flexible part not too much shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, slightly longer than ventral fins, contained 6 to $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body, reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins; ventral fins in younger animals acute, in adults slightly obtuse to obtusely rounded, reaching or not reaching anal fin; anal fin in younger animals acute, obtuse, not or hardly emarginate, in old animals very much less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained nearly 4 to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, upper part of the head violet particularly in old animals; iris yellow, upper part dark; body with 3 transverse broad violet bands, the $1^{\text {st }}$ band occipito-nuchal, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ band dorso-axillary, the $3^{\text {rd }}$, a dorso-ventral band, ending acutely below the lateral line, at the top totally or largely covering the base of the dorsal fin; upper part of the back behind the fin and on the middle of the height of the tail with a blackish-violet longitudinal band; caudal band starting above or slightly anterior to anal fin and prolonged unto the middle of the caudal fin; on several specimens a roundish, vio-let-black supra-anal spot opposite the anterior part of the anal fin; fins pink, dorsal and anal fin red at the base, caudal fin and intramarginal rays red; anal fin with a violet border.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 13$ or $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus lateristriga Val., Poiss. XVI p. 120; Blkr, Bijdr. ichth. fauna v. Blitong, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 95.
Barbeau au trait latéral Val., Poiss. XVI p. 120. Dokkum Sundan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Buitenzorg, Tjampea, Sadingwetan, Tjipanas), in rivers.
Sumatra (Telokbetong, Lahat, Solok), in rivers.
Borneo (Bangkajang), in rivers.
Singapura, in rivers.
Banka, in rivers.
Biliton (Tjirutjup), in rivers.
Length of 18 specimens $60^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $180^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Kuhl and Van Hasselt also observed this species in West Java in Sadingwetan, 344 which name was mistakenly taken by Mr Valenciennes for the name of the endemic species.

The species is very easy recognizable by its colour pattern. Apart from the transverse flank bangs and the longitudinal tail stripe, usually a round black-violet spot is found above the anal fin base.

In older specimens the neck is much more convex than in the younger ones and the dorsal and anal fins become very blunt and rounded in these specimens. My largest specimen seems to belong to the final adult age.

The Dokkum is spread over the Sunda Islands, but nowhere seems to occur in high numbers. In Batavia it is very rare.

# Systomus (Barbodes) fasciatus Blkr. Gebande Lalawak [Banded Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIII fig. 6. 

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 2$ to 4 times in its length, width contained slightly over 2 to $21 / 4$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, contained $43 / 4$ to slightly over 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to nearly 2 times in its length; eye diameter contained $2^{2} / 5$ to $3^{1 / 4}$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $12 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once to slightly over once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile on forehead and crown sloping, nearly straight, convex on the nape; interorbital line slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, length hardly or not greater than depth, lower margin obliquely convex, anterior and posterior lower margins obliquely convex or truncate, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal obliquely descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, depth not or hardly greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye or hardly anterior to the eye, contained $31 / 4$ to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, nasal barbels shorter than upper jaw barbels, not or hardly shorter than the eye, upper jaw barbels slightly to considerably longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, hardly visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, lightly transversely striped; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.4/4.3.2; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, much deeper than belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular on the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; depth of tail contained about $14 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales on the middle of the body not conspicuously larger than scales on the anterior and posterior parts of the


Fig. 78. Puntius (Barbodes) fasciatus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVIII, Fig. 1. TL figure 106 mm .
body, ventral scales striped with rays originating from a common simple or reticulate centre, 26 to 27 scales in the lateral line, 10 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5\left(4 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ above the lateral line, also anterior to the dorsal fin, 3 or 4 between the lateral line and the base of the ventral fins and the vent, 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not or hardly reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube surpassing the centre of the scale; 345 dorsal fin starting above the base of the pectoral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained $11 / 5$ to $11 / 4$ times in the depth of the body, much less than twice as deep to slightly less than twice as deep as base length, spine very thin, posteriorly rough with conspicuous small teeth, with a flexible part, in younger animals slightly longer, in older animals slightly shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $51 / 3$ to $61 / 3$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins reaching or nearly reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, not or slightly emarginate, not much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained 4 to $41 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, flanks goldenred, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; body with 6 longitudinal dark-violet bands, $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ bands nucho-dorsal, $3^{\text {rd }}$ postoculo-caudal band reaching the upper part of the base of the caudal fin, $4^{\text {th }}$ oculo-caudal band reaching the middle of the base of the caudal fin, $5^{\text {th }}$ scapulo-caudal band reaching the lower part of the base of the caudal fin and $6^{\text {th }}$ ventral band reaching the anal fin; fins pink or red, anal fin of a fainter colour than the other fins.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $5 / 17 / 5$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus fasciatus Blkr, Nalez. ichthyol. faun. Banka, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 190.
Hab. Sumatra (Moarakompeh), in rivers.
Banka (Marawang), in rivers.
Borneo (Kahajan), in rivers.
Length of 4 specimens $93^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $120^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Systomus (Barbodes) fasciatus is easily recognizable by its longitudinal dark body bands, long barbels, slender lightly serrated dorsal fin spine, acute profile, etc.

My specimens from Banka and Borneo are shorter in their shapes than that of Sumatra, but completely marked in the same way. The lower most of the 6 bands usually is only faintly visible and in one of my specimens hardly visible.

> Systomus (Barbodes) obtusirostris Blkr. Stompsnuitige Lalawak [Blunt-snouted Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIV fig. 1.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $42 / 5$ times in its length with caudal fin, about $31 / 3$ times in its length without caudal fin, width of the body contained about $13 / 4$ times in its depth. Head obtuse, convex, strongly truncate, contained 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{2 / 3}$ to $33 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $11 / 5$ times in its length, width about $14 / 5$ times; eye diameter contained about $23 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout strongly obtuse, truncate, much shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils open, placed about halfway between the orbit and the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils very large; rostro-dorsal profile convex everywhere; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth greater than length, lower margin convex, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close


Fig. 79. Puntius (Barbodes) amblyrhynchus nom. nov. Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLIII, Fig. 5. TL figure 47 mm .
to the nostrils, lower half with a longitudinal crest not parallel with the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, length about twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw not longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye, 346 contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape strongly oblique; barbels thin, nasal barbels not or hardly shorter than the eye, upper jaw barbels longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, well visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips fleshy, terete, without visible stripes or sheaths; length of gill cover less than twice as great as depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; branchial opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapula triangular, obtuse, rounded; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged?; depth of tail contained about $13 / 5$ times in the length of the head; back slightly elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; scales on the middle of the body not conspicuously larger than scales on the anterior and posterior parts of the body, with vertical ray-like stripes originating from a common simple or reticulate centre, 23 or 24 scales in the lateral line, 9 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line lightly curved, nearly reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, not emarginate, depth contained about $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the depth of the body, much higher but much less than twice as high as base length, spine thin, posteriorly serrated with well visible small teeth, with its flexible part not or hardly shorter than the head; pectoral fins and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins nearly reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, not emarginate, much lower than base length but much less than twice as low, nearly twice as high as base length; the simple third ray thin, nearly completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $33 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, back with an oblong, longitudinal violet-blue spot at the base of the spine; fins yellowish- or pink-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $2 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus obtusirostris v. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 123; Bull. Féruss. 1824 Zoöl. P. 375; Val., Poiss. XVI p. 125; Blkr, Descr. pisc. javan. Nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 353. Barbeau à museau obtus Val., Poiss. XVI p. 125. Bunter Sundan.
Hab. Java (Tjampea), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $49^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Amongst its related species Systomus (Barbodes) obtusirostris can be easily recognized by its blunt head with truncated snout and not bulging upper jaw, which, when the mouth is open seems even shorter than the lower jaw; and also by its more pointed and relatively large fins, the not concave dorsal and anal fins, etc. My specimen is the only one I have observed till now, so that the species seems to be very rare.

> Systomus (Barbodes) maculatus Blkr. Gevlekte Lalawak [Maculated Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIII fig. 4, XXXIV fig. 6.7.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained slightly over 3 to $41 / 2$ times in its length with caudal fin, nearly $21 / 2$ to 3 times in its length without caudal fin; width of the body contained nearly 2 to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, convex, contained $4^{2 / 5}$ to $5^{2 / 3}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{1 / 2}$ to $4^{2 / 5}$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $11 / 6$ to $11 / 3$ times in its length, width $13 / 4$ to $13 / 5$ times; eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in 347 the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to $11 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute or slightly obtuse, convex, not sticking out in front of the mouth, shorter than the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile strongly convex on the head, convex on the nape, between crown and nape sometimes slightly concave; interorbital line slightly convex or convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth not or slightly greater than length, lower margins concave oblique, convex, anterior and posterior lower margins convex or truncate, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal crest, sprouting a branch at the underside; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone quadrangular, depth not or hardly greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length about twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone, third suborbital maximally convex, twice to more than thrice as thin as the eye; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained $3^{1 / 3}$ to $3^{11 / 2}$ times in the length of the head; gape oblique; barbels fleshy, nasal barbels slightly to much longer than the eye, upper jaw barbels always much longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a short, obtuse tubercle, underside with about 5 pores on both branches, not always visible, placed in a longitudinal row; lips fleshy, terete, on the oral surface with conspicuous transverse stripes; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to 2 times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior rim of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked-spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapula triangular, obtusely or slightly obtusely rounded; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; back slightly elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; depth of tail contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in the length of the head; scales on the middle of the body not conspicuously larger than scales on the anterior and posterior part of the body, slightly to not oblique (lower angle of free margin placed not or only slightly anterior to upper angle), striped with rays originating from a common simple or reticulate centre; 23 or 27 scales in the lateral line, 10 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line below the dorsal spine, 3 between the lateral line and the base of the ventral fins and the vent, 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in 3 longitudinal rows, middle scales in medial row sometimes larger than the others, not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line curved, reaching the rostro-caudal line, but rarely descending below it, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin above or hardly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, angular, depth contained $11 / 4$ to $14 / 5$ times in the depth of the body, much deeper than base length, but much less than twice as deep, spine tapering, posteriorly serrated with conspicuous small teeth, with its flexible part slightly to considerably shorter than the head ; pectoral fins acute, at the tip frequently rounded, reaching or not reaching the ventral fins, contained $5 / 5$ to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body; ventral fins slightly obtuse, rounded at the tip, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, not emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, much higher but generally much less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained 4 to $42 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green or olive, lower part silver or golden; iris yellow, upper part dark; no supra-ocular dark spot; scales on back, flanks and tail each with a transverse violetish band at the base, seldom centre darkish with a round spot, rarely also with a violet-blue longitudinal head-tail band above the lateral line or with several large violet-black spots placed in a longitudinal


Fig. 80a, b, c. Puntius (Barbodes) maculatus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXIII, Fig. 1, XL, Fig. 1, XLIII, Fig. 6. TL figures $145,121,71 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively.
row; larger or smaller violet-blue spot on the back close to the base of the anterior dorsal rays and on the tail in the lateral line close to the base of the caudal fin and sometimes also on the belly close to the anterior base of the anal fin; fins yellowish- or pink-hyaline or carmine-red, generally with an unequal lightly speckled margin of dark spots, anal fin at the anterior part of the base sometimes with a small violet-blue spot.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.Syn. Barbus maculatus V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en letterb. 1823 II p. 132; Bullet. Féruss. 1824, Zoöl; Val. Poiss. XVI p. 147.
Barbus binotatus Kuhl; Val., Poiss. XVI p. 126; Blkr, Verslag vissch. Oost-Java, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 408.
Barbeau tacheté Val., Poiss. XVI p. 147.
Barbeau aux deux marques Val., Poiss. XVI p. 126.
Barbus oresigenes Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 17.
Barbus blitonensis Blkr, Bijdr. ichthyol. Blitong, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 96
Barbus kusanensis Blkr, Zesde bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 429.
Barbus polyspilos Blkr, Descript. spec. pisc. Jav. nov. Nat.T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 352.
Bunter Sund; Wader Jav; Tanah, Sepadak Benkul.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Serang, Perdana, Tjibiliong, Tjimanok, Pandeglang, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Tjitjurup, Tjipanas, Tjiandjur, Garut, Patengan, Pandjallu, Amberawa, Diëng, Pasuruan, Malang, Bator, Ngantang, Grati, Bondowosso), in rivers and lakes.
Sumatra (Benkulen, Padang, Priaman, Meninju, Solok, Telokbetong, Lahat), in rivers and lakes.

> Borneo (Prabukarta, Bangkajang), in rivers. Biliton (Tirutjup), in rivers.
> Singapura, in rivers.
> Bali (Boleling), in rivers.
> Nias, in rivers.
> Length of 166 specimens $38^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $149^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Systomus (Barbodes) maculatus was mentioned for the first time at the above mentioned place by Van Hasselt, but first described, however only very briefly, by Mr Valenciennes. I possess a copy of a figure of this species, left by Van Hasselt, which has entirely the habitus and colour markings of my juvenile specimens. Mr Valenciennes wrongly places this species in the group of his genus Barbus with unserrated dorsal fin spine, after having it first described under the name Barbus binotatus and placed it rightly between species with a serrated dorsal fin spine.

Systomus (Barbodes) maculatus is a very widely spread species, as I have received it already from eight different Sunda Islands. It is also one of the species van Cyprinoids which stretches the most far eastwards, till Bali and the east coast of Borneo. On Java it is, especially in the mountain streams, very common. However, because of its usually small size it is not in demand as a source of food. It lives till high in the mountains, as I myself have even encountered it in the small lakes of the mountain plains of Diëng, in central Java at a height of more than 6000 feet above sea level.

The few sharp characters, which I found in the nominal species, which I earlier described under the names Barbus oresigenes, Barbus bilitonensis, Barbus kusanensis and Barbus polyspilos, give me ocassion to try ${ }^{349}$ to collect a large number of them, in order to, if possible fix these characters with more certainty. Thus I have examined now more than 160 specimens and instead of reaching the desired goal, I have come to the conclusion that all four mentioned species are not specifically different from Systomus (Barbodes) maculatus, notwithstanding important differences in habitus and height of the body and head and in colour pattern.

My specimens, from which I described Barbus oresigenes, belong to the more slender forms without back spot and tail spot, blunter head and longer barbels.

The specimen from Biliton after which I drafted the description of Barbus bilitonensis, belongs to a less slender variety with angular back and a large back spot.

The specimen from the Kusan river in eastern Borneo, after which the description of Barbus kusanensis was taken, belongs to the most thick-set forms of the species, with a relatively large head and without black spot.

Also the specimens that have served for my description of Barbus polyspilos, belong the less slender form, in which scales are marked with small brownish gray spots and the profile is little convex.

The numerous differences in shape and colour markings are not or only partly depending on age, gender and place of occurrence.

My specimens from Borneo, Banka and Biliton all have a relatively high body, both juvenile and older specimens, however on Java and Sumatra higher and slender forms occur together. The back spot and tail spot often disappear in older specimens, however in juvenile specimens they are not always present either. On the contrary I posses adult specimens, however only of the thick-set variety, in which the back spot is not only present but extremely large and distinct.

The head-tail band is only present in specimens of juvenile and intermediate age, however only in a few specimens. When it is present, this band always runs across the scale row situated immediately above the lateral line. In some specimens it is divided in various larger and smaller spots.

The round scale spots of my earlier Barbus polyspilos seem to depend on a certain roughness in the mating season.

Systomus (Barbodes) goniosoma Blkr. Hoekige Lalawak [Angular Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIII fig. 3.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $3^{2} / 5$ times in its length with caudal fin, about $2^{2} / 3$ times in its length without caudal fin; width of the body contained about $2^{1 / 3}$ times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained slightly over 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly 4 times in length of body 350 without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times in its length, width nearly $12 / 3$ times; eye diameter contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained nearly about $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $12 / 5$ their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout acute, not sticking out in front of the mouth, not or hardly shorter than the eye; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile on all of the head sloping, straight, on the nape sloping, slightly convex; interorbital line nearly straight; anterior suborbital bone obliquely pentagonal, depth about equal to length, lower margin obliquely convex, anterior and posterior lower margins truncate or convex, upper margins concave, especially the anterior one, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal crest, sprouting a branch at the underside; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone quadrangular, depth not or hardly greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length slightly more than twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone maximally convex, slightly more than twice as thin as the eye; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye, contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape oblique; barbels thin, nasal and upper jaw barbels nearly equal in length, considerably longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, little conspicuous tubercle, underside with about 5 pores on both branches, placed in a longitudinal row; lips fleshy, terete, on the oral surface lightly transversely striped; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 4$ times in its height, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapula triangular, strongly obtusely rounded; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; back strongly elevated, angular, not much higher than strongly convex belly; depth of tail contained about $15 / 6$ times in the length of the head; scales on the middle of the body very conspicuously larger than the scales on the anterior and posterior part of the body and strongly oblique (lower half of free margin placed rather far anterior to the upper half of the free margin) and with ray-like stripes originating from a common simple or reticulate centre, 24 scales in the lateral line, 10 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line below the dorsal spine, only 2 between the lateral line and the base of the ventral fins and the vent, 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in 3 longitudinal rows, posterior scales in medial row nearly equal in size to those in the flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting slightly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, hardly emarginate, depth contained about $13 / 4$ times in the depth of the body, much higher but much less than twice as high as base length, spine medium-sized, posteriorly serrated with numerous well visible small teeth, with its flexible part contained about $11 / 2$ times in the length of the head; pectoral fins


Fig. 81. Puntius (Barbodes) goniosoma Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXI, Fig. 1. TL figure 146 mm
acute, slightly longer than ventral fins, contained about $61 / 3$ times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins angular, obtuse, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin slightly acute, hardly emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, considerably higher than base length but much less than twice as high, the simple third ray nearly totally cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body slightly olive, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; dark or violet supra-ocular spot; scales on back, flanks and tail each violetish at the base; fins pink or pink-hyaline, with uneven dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 14 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Benkulen), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $146^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Systomus (Barbodes) goniosoma belongs to the group of the genus which have in a longitudinal series only about 25 scales which from a common centre are radially striped, ${ }^{651}$ a short weakly serrated dorsal fin spine and long barbels. In this group it can be recognized by its acute profile, high body, very angular back profile, low head, large scales at the centre of the body, a dorsal fin that is placed entirely behind the pelvic fins, and a strongly curved lateral line. Moreover it has two scales between the undivided pelvic fin ray and the lateral line and between the vent and the lateral line. Maybe the dark spot above the gill cover is also characteristic for this species just like the presence of only 14 branched anal fin rays as the caudal fin does not bear traces of an unnatural development.

> Systomus (Barbodes) marginatus Blkr. Gerande Lalawak [Margined Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXII fig. 1.

A Systomus (Barbodes) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $31 / 4$ times in its length, width contained $22 / 3$ to 3 times in its depth. Head obtuse, contained $52 / 5$ to nearly $61 / 2$ times in
length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $43 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained once to $11 / 5$ times in its length, width nearly $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times; eye diameter contained $21 / 4$ to $23 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained nearly once to slightly over once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, slightly truncate, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between snout and nape nearly straight or slightly concave, convex on the nape; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth hardly or not greater than length, lower margin oblique, anterior and posterior lower margins concave, truncate or convex, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a longitudinal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, depth greater anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice to less than twice as great as depth, twice to nearly twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately vertically downward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained 3 to $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels much longer than nasal barbels, twice or nearly twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, short tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, lightly transversely rugose; depth of gill cover twice or nearly twice as great as width, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior rim of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked-spoon-shaped, 2.3.4/4.3.2; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, higher than convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; depth of tail contained about $11 / 2$ times in the length of the head; the free half of the scales with slightly ray-like stripes, the basal half generally not striped on the anterior part of the body, sparsely striped slightly ray-like on the posterior part of the body, 28 or 29 scales in the lateral line, 10 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in this row generally larger than those in the flanking rows; lateral line slightly curved, reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, lightly emarginate, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $12 / 3$ times in the depth of the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with medium-sized teeth, with its flexible part 352 not much longer than the head ; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins


Fig. 82. Puntius (Barbodes) obtusirostris Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXII, Fig. 1. TL figure 177 mm .
generally slightly longer than ventral fins, contained 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin obtuse, lower margin straight or convex, nearly twice as low to considerably less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not or only slightly higher than base length, the simple third ray bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 4$ to 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; generally with an oblong, transverse, blackish scapular spot; scales on back, flanks and tail each with a crescent-shaped, transverse blackish-violet band at the base; fins pink-hyaline, pectoral, ventral and anal fins of fainter colour than the others, dorsal and caudal with a black margin.
B. 3. D $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 9$ or $3 / 10$. C. $8 / 17 / 8$ or $9 / 17 / 9$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus marginatus Val., Poiss. XVI p. 122; Blkr, Nieuwe tientall. vischs Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 518.
Barbeau bordé Val., Poiss. XVI p. 122.
Lawak, Lalawak Mal. Bat., Regis, Gingehek Sundan, Kapyah Lampong.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Lebak, Rankasbetong, Sadjira, Tjikaniki, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Tjiandjur, Tjipanas, Kuningan, Lelles, Parongkalong, Surakarta, Ngawi, Pasuruan, Grati, Malang, Ngantang, Lesti), in rivers and lakes.
Sumatra (Pangabuang, Pajakombo, Trussan, Priaman, Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 63 specimens $65^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $201^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Systomus (Barbodes) marginatus belongs to a proper type in the subgenus Barbodes, externally recognizable by a relatively multi-rayed not concave anal fin, a character that I cannot recover in any Barbodes species known to me.

The species is generally spread across Java, but is more common in the higher than in the lower stretches of the drainage areas. It is also present in the mountainous areas of Sumatra.

> Systomus? (Barbodes) carassioides Blkr. Twijfelachtige Lalawak [Doubtful Lalawak].

A Barbodes with 4 barbels, bony ray in dorsal and anal fin, dorsal spine serrated (Heck.).
Syn. Barbus carassioides Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 29.
Hab. Borneo.
Remark. Heckel mentions this species in his Fische Syriens. I do not know if it is described anywhere in more detail, but it appears from Heckel's list of Cyprinoids that it has four barbels and a serrated dorsal fin spine.

I posses five new species of Barbodes from Borneo i.e. Systomus (Barbodes) Schwanefeldi, Systomus (Barbodes) amblycephalus, Systomus (Barbodes) erythopterus, Systomus (Barbodes) tetrazona and Systomus (Barbodes) fasciatus. Maybe Heckel's species belongs to one of the three first mentioned ones, however without further data nothing can be decided concerning this.

5 to $5^{2 / 3}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{2 / 3}$ to slightly over 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width 2 to $13 / 4$ times; eye diameter contained nearly 3 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes $3 / 4$ to once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on top of the head, nearly straight, anterior to the eyes and on the nape convex; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth slightly greater than length, margins concave except for the lower nearly horizontal margin; two upper margins united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a simple, low, longitudinal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate, nearly square, more than twice as low as the $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the lower margin of the eye or hardly anterior to the eye, contained $31 / 2$ to $31 / 3$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, twice or more than twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, not rugose; width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to $13 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly convex or nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior rim of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth slightly hooked-spoon-shaped or slightly with a rod-like neck, 2.2.4/4.2.2; scapula triangular, slightly obtusely rounded; back rather elevated, slightly angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained 2 to slightly over 2 times in the length of the head; scales generally without visible stripes, for the free part sometimes with sparse, diverging stripes; 38 or 39 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lowest part of the belly in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward, curved only anteriorly, hardly or not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much lower than the body, not much higher than base length, spine medium-sized, posteriorly serrated with very conspicuous teeth, with its flexible part slightly shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins hardly longer than ventral fins, contained slightly over 7 to $61 / 3$ times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin slightly acute, not or hardly emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, hardly or not higher than base length, the simple third ray bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained 4 to nearly 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body bluish-green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; scales on back, flanks and tail


Fig. 83. Puntius (Capoëta) padangensis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXIV, Fig. 1. TL figure 98 mm .
generally each with a small, transverse blackish or dark band at the base; fins hyaline or yellowish, dorsal and caudal fin with a slight blackish margin, lower part of dorsal rays blackish.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 8$ or $3 / 9$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Capoëta padangensis Blkr, Diagn. nieuw. Vischs. Sumatra Tient. I-IV, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 593.

Bako Mal. Sumatr.
Hab. Sumatra (Padang, Meninju, Sinkara), in rivers and lakes.
Length of 3 specimens $61^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $116^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
${ }^{354}$ Remark. This species has a peculiar habitus, somewhat resembling that of the genus Dules. Because of its 8 or 9 branched anal fin rays it reminds of Systomus (Barbodes) marginatus Blkr, however the anal fin is pointed and not blunt and rounded as in the last mentioned species, thesnout barbels of which place it outside the subgenus Capoëta. It is easily recognizable by numerous distinct characters. In the meantime I believe it maybe is most closely related to Systomus (Barbodes) marginatus. Till now I only received it from the west coast of Sumatra.

Systomus (Capoëta) sumatranus Blkr. Sumatrasche Lalawak [Sumatran Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXII fig. 1.

A Systomus (Capoëta) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $23 / 4$ to 3 times in its length, width contained about $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained $4 \frac{1}{3}$ to $4^{1 / 4}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 3 to $3^{1 / 4}$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained slightly more than once in its length, width contained about $12 / 3$ times in its length; eye diameter contained about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile concave on top of the head, convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight; anterior suborbital bone obliquely pentagonal, depth hardly greater than length, higher posteriorly than anteriorly, lower margin nearly horizontal, convex, anterior margin short, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a low longitudinal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone thin, elongate, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head; gape strongly oblique; barbels less than twice as short as the eye; lower jaw without visible tubercle at the symphysis, lower part without visible pores; lips thin, terete, not rugose; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth slightly hooked to compressed, 2.3.4/4.3.2; suborbital bone triangular, obtuse with a rounded angle; back elevated, angular, higher than elevated belly; belly nearly flat anterior to ventral fins, ridged behind ventral fins; depth of tail contained about $13 / 4$ times in the length of the head; scales striped with rays originating from a common centre, 21 in a longitudinal row, 11 in a vertical row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which 6 $\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 8 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lowest part of the belly in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in medial row not smaller than those in flanking rows; lateral line sloping downward, ending above or behind the tip of the pectoral fins, each scale marked by a simple tube surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, hardly emarginate, not much less than twice as low as the body, not much higher than base length, spine thin, posteriorly serrated with conspicuous small teeth, with its flexible part slightly shorter than the


Fig. 84. Puntius (Capoëta) sumatranus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. II, Fig. 11. TL figure 40 mm .
head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained about $52 / 3$ times in the length of the body, reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins reaching the anal fin; anal fin not or slightly emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body beautiful green, lower part silver, snout beautiful red; iris pink or yellow, upper part dark; scales on the free margin with a dark border; 4 transverse ${ }^{355}$ blackish-violet bands on the body, $1^{\text {st }}$ ocular band on the nape united with the lateral band from the opposite side, ventrally ending on the preoperculum, $2^{\text {nd }}$ dorso-ventral band much broader at the top than below, starting slightly anterior to the dorsal fin and ending a little anterior to the base of the ventral fin, $3^{\text {rd }}$ dorso-anal band slightly curved backward, equally broad everywhere, starting a little behind the dorsal fin, entering the posterior part of the anal fin and ending on the anterior part of the anal fin, $4^{\text {th }}$ caudal band close to the base of the caudal fin; dorsal and ventral fins nearly totally black, red only at the base and bordered with red; other fins beautiful red.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 12$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Capoëta tetrazona Blkr, Nalez. vischf. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 262.
Hab. Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 5 specimens $30^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $42^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. This small but elegant species is very easily recognizable by its four black body bands, high body, serrated dorsal fin spine, numerous scales, the lateral line ending above the pelvic fins, etc. It has much in common with certain small species of Systomus from Bengal, with regard to colour markings and lateral line, which in Systomus sophore McCl ., Systomus ticto McCl ., Systomus phutunio Val., Systomus gelio Val. (all in my possession) and probably also in other species, just like in Capoëta oligolepis and the species in question is interrupted and ends at a smaller or larger distance from the caudal fin.

I have changed the species name "tetrazona", because it was already given to a species of the subgenus Barbodes.

> Systomus (Capoëta) brevis Blkr. -
> Korte Lalawak [Short Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIV fig. 2.

A Systomus (Capoëta) with an oblong compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 3$ to $31 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head slightly acute, contained $41 / 2$ to nearly 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 5$ to $33 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about twice in its length; eye diameter
contained about 3 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile on top of the head sloping, nearly straight, convex on the nape; interorbital line slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth hardly greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, convex, 2 upper margins nearly straight or concave, united into an upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly simple crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital oblong-quadrangular, more than twice as low as anterior suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained slightly over 3 to $3^{1 ⁄ / 3}$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, not rugose; width of gill cover contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior rim of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth slightly hooked to grinding, 1.3.4/4.3.1; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, much deeper than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; depth of tail contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in the length of the head; scales with sparse ray-like stripes originating from a common centre, 35624 to 26 scales in the lateral line, 9 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lowest part of the belly in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line lightly curved, hardly reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, much lower than the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine thin, totally without teeth, with its flexible part not or hardly longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins reaching or nearly reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, but considerably less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; fins yellow-ish-hyaline or pink-hyaline; head-tail band broad, silver, diffuse quasi subcutaneous.

[^6]

Fig. 85. Puntius (Capoëta) brevis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVI, Fig. 3. TL figure 68 mm .

Remark. Among my species of the subgenus Capoëta, there are three in which the dorsal fin spine is entirely smooth, without any trace of teeth. Two of these are related to each other by the formula and structure of the scales, the lateral line that extends till the caudal fin base, and its general habitus. These species are the one in question and Systomus (Capoëta) leiacanthus. They are separated only by less important characters. In the species in question the shape of the body is more thick-set and the head relatively larger, whereas a longitudinally faintly bordered silver coloured band and the absence of a dark tail spot facilitate the diagnosis. It seems to inhabit the rivers of Central and East Java.

> Systomus (Capoëta) leiacanthus Blkr. Gladdoornige Lalawak [Smooth-spined Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIV fig. 5 .

A Systomus (Capoëta) with an oblong compressed body, depth of body contained $35 / 6$ to $32 / 3$ times in its length, width contained $2^{1 / 3}$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained 5 to $5^{1 / 3}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly 4 to slightly over 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 5$ times in its length, width nearly 2 to $12 / 3$ times; eye diameter contained $2^{2} / 3$ to $23 / 4$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes about once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile slightly concave between forehead and nape, convex on the nape; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth hardly greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal, 2 upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a simple longitudinal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital oblong, ${ }^{357}$ quadrangular, more than twice as low as anterior suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye or hardly anterior to the eye, contained $31 / 2$ to $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, not much shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, not rugose; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth slightly hooked to grinding, 1.3.4/4.3.1; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $13 / 4$ to $13 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales with sparse ray-like stripes originating from a common simple or reticulate centre, 24 to 27 scales in the lateral line, 9 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5\left(4 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lowest part of the belly in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows larger than those in the flanking rows; lateral line lightly curved, reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, much lower than the body, not much higher than base length, spine thin, totally without teeth, with its flexible part slightly longer or not longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained about 6 times in the length of the body, hardly or not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, considerably higher than base length but much less than twice as high, the simple third ray slender, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 4$ to nearly 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, scapular region golden; scales on the back and on the upper part of the flanks each with a small transverse darkish or violetish


Fig. 86. Puntius (Capoëta) leiacanthus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVI, Fig. 1. TL figure 86 mm .
band at the base; tail in younger animals generally with a profoundly blue round spot in the lateral line, close to the base of the caudal fin; iris yellow, upper part dark; dorsal fins and caudal fin orangepink more or less bordered with dark spots, other fins yellowish or hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 12$. V. $2 / 8$ or $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Capoëta javanica Blkr, Versl. verz. vissch. Oost-Java Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 412.
Hab. Java (Pasuruan, Grati, Gombong), in rivers and lakes.
Length of 23 specimens $69^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $90^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Systomus (Capoëta) leiacanthus is closely related to Systomus (Capoëta) brevis and mainly distinguishes itself from it by a more slender body, a smaller head, a dark round tail spot and the absence of a silver longitudinal lateral band. The species seems to be restricted to Central and East Java. As the species name, already was given to Systomus (Barbodes) javanicus, I had to alter it, since my earlier Barbus javanica and Capoëta javanica have been placed under one and the same generic name.

> Systomus (Capoëta) oligolepis Blkr. Grootschubbige Lalawak [Large-scaled Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIII fig. 5 .

A Systomus (Capoëta) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 2$ to $31 / 4$ times in its length, width contained 2 to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times 358 in its depth. Head slightly obtusely convex, contained about $41 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $31 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $11 / 5$ times in its length, width contained about $13 / 4$ times in its length; eye diameter contained about $2^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes about $3 / 4$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile concave on all of the head and on the nape; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth hardly greater than length, lower margin nearly horizontal and convex at the lower sides, lateral upper margins straight or slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a low,


Fig. 87. Puntius (Capoëta) oligolepis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. II, Fig. 12. TL figure 46 mm .
longitudinal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital oblong-quadrangular, about twice as low as the first suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a hardly visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, not rugose; width of gill cover contained nearly twice in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.4/4.3.2 or 1.3.4/4.3.1; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained nearly 2 times in the length of the head; scales reticulate at the centre, with longitudinal stripes on the free half and the basal half, 16 scales in the lateral line, 7 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $4\left(3^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 6 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lowest part of the belly in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in medial row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line curved, not visible on the tail; dorsal fin starting slightly in front of the ventral fins, acute, not emarginate, considerably lower than the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine very thin, totally without teeth, with its flexible part slightly shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained nearly 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, not emarginate, nearly twice as low as dorsal fin, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, the simple third ray very thin, totally or nearly totally cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body shiny metallic green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; scales on back, flanks and tail each with an oblong, nearly square or crescent-shaped violet-black band at the base; fins beautiful red, with a broad black margin.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 12$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $5 / 17 / 5$ or $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Capoëta oligolepis Blkr, Diagn. Nieuw vischs. Sumatra Tient. V-X Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IV p. 296.

Hab. Sumatra (Priaman, Meninju), in rivers and lakes.
Length of 5 specimens $30^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $47^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. I discovered this species early in 1853 in specimens that I owe to the famous traveller Ida Pfeifer. Later I received another specimen from Prianam from Mr H. Diepenhorst. The species is remarkable because of the low number of scales, by the lack of lateral line tubes on the posterior half of the body, and in relationship closely approaches certain small species of Systomus from Bengal, from which it is distinguished by its upper jaw barbels.

> 359 Systomus (Systomus) Waandersi Blkr, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XVI p. 358, Waandersche Lalawak [Waanders' Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXVI.

A Systomus (Systomus) with a rhomboid-oblong compressed body, depth of body contained about $2^{2 / 3}$ times in its length, width contained about 3 times in its depth. Head obtuse, strongly convex, contained about $6^{1 / 5}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $42 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained hardly more than once in its length, width contained about $12 / 5$ times in its length; eye diameter contained about $23 / 4$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, strongly convex, much shorter to less than twice as short as the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile concave between forehead and nape, very convex on the nape; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth much less than twice as great as length, lower part not much broader than upper part, lower margin convex, lower lateral margins slightly truncate or concave, upper margins concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal crest strongly ascending at the back; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone twice as low as first suborbital bone, lower margin convex; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior part of the eye, contained about $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, conspicuous tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete; width of gill cover contained slightly over twice in its depth, lower margin slightly concave; gill opening nearly vertical, ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.4/4.3.2, rugose-tuberculate on the chewing surface; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back strongly elevated, angular, much higher than convex belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular on the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; depth of tail contained about $11 / 2$ times in the length of the head; scales on the free part with longitudinal stripes, on the basal part with


Fig. 88. Puntius (Puntius) Waandersi Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXIV, Fig. 2. TL figure 293 mm .
very sparse longitudinal stripes or none at all; 36 or 37 scales in the lateral line, 16 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $9(81 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 14 or 15 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lowest part of the belly in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, not or hardly larger than those in the flanking rows; lateral line slightly curved, not or hardly reaching the dorso-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting hardly behind the base or above the posterior part of the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained nearly twice in the depth of the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine thick, posteriorly armed with very conspicuous medium-sized teeth, with its flexible part considerably shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, the simple third ray thick, nearly completely bony; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $3 \%$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; fins yellowish- or pink-hyaline, dorsal and caudal fin more or less bordered with dark.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Java (Ngawi), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $310^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
${ }^{360}$ Remark. Most closely related to Systomus bulu, the species in question primarily distinguishes itself from that species by a body shape that still more approaches a high diamond, one longitudinal scale row more above the lateral line, a smaller head with a more convex snout, a remarkably less developed dorsal fin spine, one ray less in the pelvic fins, etc.

I have named it in honour of my friend the major of the artillery J.T. van Bloemen Waanders, who discovered it in Ngawi and benevolently put at my disposal this specimen together with other natural history specimens.

Systomus (Systomus) bulu Blkr.<br>Vierde Bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat T. Ned Ind. II p. 207. -<br>Draadloze Lalawak [Barbelless Lalawak].<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXV.

A Systomus (Systomus) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $32 / 5$ to 3 times in its length, width contained $21 / 2$ to 3 times in its depth. Head obtuse, obliquely slightly truncate, contained $42 / 3$ to $52 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{1 / 4}$ to nearly 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 4$ times to hardly more than once in its length, width contained $15 / 6$ to $12 / 3$ times in its length; eye diameter contained $2^{1 / 2}$ to nearly 3 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once to slightly more than once times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, truncate, elevated, in juveniles twice as short, in adults less than twice as short as the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils very close to the orbit; rostro-dorsal profile with an obtuse angle on the head, rounded at the angle, concave between forehead and nape, very convex on the nape; interorbital line convex or slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth greater than width at the upper part, lower part much broader than upper part, rounded at the angles; lower and upper lateral margins concave, upper margins united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal crest strongly ascending at the back; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone less than twice as low as first suborbital bone, lower margin convex; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior part of the eye, contained slight-
ly over 3 to nearly 3 times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, well visible tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth grinding, 2.3.4/4.3.2; scapula triangular, obtuse, rounded at the tip; back strongly elevated, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained 2 to $13 / 4$ times in the length of the head; scales with longitudinal stripes on the free part, on the basal part with very sparse longitudinal stripes or none at all; 36 or 37 scales in the lateral line, 13 or 14 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $8\left(7 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, on the lower part of the belly in 3 longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row larger than those in the other rows; lateral line lightly curved, not or hardly reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much lower than the body, about twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with its flexible part much longer than 361 the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly shorter than the ventral fins, contained 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly the ventral fins, ventral fins reaching or nearly reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, about twice as high as base length, the simple third for the largest part bony; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $32 / 5$ to 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, lower part silver; scales on back and flanks in adolescent and older animals generally for the basal part marked with a transverse, violet-dark small band, the transversely placed small bands more or less in a row, resembling oblique transverse bands; iris yellow, upper part dark; dorsal and caudal fin red, generally with a margin of dense dark speckles, pectoral, ventral and anal fins yellowish or pink.


Fig. 89. Puntius (Puntius) bulu Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXVI, Fig. 2. TL figure 254 mm .
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 16$ to $1 / 18$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Bulu-bulu Mal. Bandjermas.
Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Kahajan, Pontianak), in rivers.
Sumatra (Palembang, Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 10 specimens $96^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ' to $300^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The species in question is not rare in the large streams of Borneo and Sumatra, but it does not seem to occur on Java, where it is replaced by Systomus (Systomus) lawak. It is most closely related to Systomus (Systomus) Waandersi but distinguishes itself from it by a longitudinal scale row less above the lateral line, by a much larger and less blunt head, by a less high body, a remarkably less strongly developed dorsal fin spine, a lower tail relative to the head length, one ray more in the pelvic fins, etc. Judging from a figure found in the several times cited sketchbook of Mr de Castelnau, this species also lives in the rivers of Siam.

Systomus (Systomus) lawak Blkr.<br>Verslag verzamel. Visschen van Oost-Java, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IX p. 411. Ongebaarde Lalawak [Unbarbelled Lalawak]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXIV fig. 4.

A Systomus (Systomus) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $3^{11 / 2}$ times in its length, width contained $22 / 3$ to 3 times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained slightly over 5 to $51 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{1 / 2}$ to $3^{3 / 4}$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times, width contained about 2 times in its length; eye diameter contained $2^{1 / 2}$ to $2^{3 / 4}$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes $3 / 4$ times to once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, convex, obliquely truncate, about twice as short as the eye, hardly sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile hardly concave between snout and nape, convex on the nape; interorbital line slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone triangular, depth about equal to length, posterior margin nearly vertical, tip rounded, pointing forward, in the middle traversed by a longitudinal keel; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone more than twice as low as anterior suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior part of the eye, contained about $31 / 3$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; lower jaw at the 362 symphysis with a conical tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, without visible ridges; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening nearly vertical, ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth 2.3.4/4.3.2, with 2 or 3 tubercles at the tip, (slightly hooked to grinding); scapula triangular, acute or slightly acutely rounded; back strongly elevated, angular, much deeper than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded or hardly ridged; depth of tail contained about $13 / 4$ times in the length of the head; scales on the lower half and basal half with longitudinal, slightly raylike stripes; 33 to 35 ? scales in the lateral line, 13 or 14 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $8\left(7^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 14 or 15 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line lightly curved, reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much lower than the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine rather thick, posteriorly armed with medium-sized, very conspicuous teeth, with its flexible part longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching or hardly reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching or hardly reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, considerably


Fig. 90. Puntius (Puntius) lawak Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XL, Fig. 2, TL figure 102 mm.
lower than dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, its simple third ray bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $3^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow; fins pink or yellowish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. 2/9. A. $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Lawak or Lalawak Mal. Bat.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Surabaya), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $86^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $108^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. This species is easy recognizable among its relatives by the formula of its anal fin rays $(=3 / 6$ or $3 / 7)$ which in other specis is $=3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. Otherwise it is most closely related to Systomus bulu Blkr, but distinguishes itself by even less scales on the lateral line, a remarkable less developed dorsal fin spine, a lower head, etc.

## Cyclocheilichthys Blkr. - <br> Circle-lip carp.

Body oblong, strongly compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales, back strongly angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper jaw strongly downward protrusable. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels, or 2 upper jaw barbels or none. Snout conical, prominent. Anterior suborbital bone triangular, the acute tip pointing forward. Mouth slightly inferior, hardly oblique gape ending anterior to the eye, in shape of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Lower jaw shorter than upper jaw with a more or less tuberculate symphysis. One postlabial groove, parallel to the free margin of the jaw, following the shape of the gape. 363 Anal sheath without larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind the ventral fins and ending far anterior to the anal fin, at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin. Ventral fin with rays $2 / 9$. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped or slightly spoon-shaped, on both sides 7 to 10 , in three rows.

```
Subg. Cyclocheilichthys Blkr. 4 barbels, nasal and upper jaw barbels.
    " Siaja Blkr. 2 barbels, upper jaw barbels only.
    " Anematichthys Blkr. No barbels.
```

Remark. I possess a number of no less than 12 archipelagic species, which I earlier, as I too much accepted the generic characters of Mr Valenciennes, almost all have described partly as species of Barbus, partly as species of Capoëta, partly as species of Systomus, according as to they possessed four, two or no barbels.

A more detailed investigation of those species made me realize, that they are so closely related in habitus and structure of body, head, lips and fins, that they can be placed in a single natural genus. This species in relationship stands between Barbus and Systomus, as defined by myself. It is mainly distinguished from Barbus by a high and flat body and an angular back and a broad scale sheath that envelops the basis of the dorsal fin, and from Systomus primarily by the cone-shaped snout, elongated triangular, with the tip anteriorly directed anterior suborbital bone, and a single horse shoe shaped posterior lip groove which lies parallel to the free lower lip edge. More for the convenience of grouping the species than on the basis of the weight of the characters I divide the genus in the three aforementioned subgenera, according to the absence or the presence of two or four barbels. I posses four species of the subgenus Cyclochelichthys, five of Siaja and three of Anemathichthys.

Amongst the known extra-Archipelagic species I do not see a single one that I could place with some certainty in the genus Cyclocheilichthys. However, it seems that the eastern part of South Asia feeds species of this genus. I see at least one species depicted in the often quoted sketchbook of Siamese fishes of the Count F. De Castelnau, which appears to me to concern a juvenile specimen of Cyclocheilicthys armatus.

The species of my collection can be separated from each after the following scheme.

364 I. Nasal and upper jaw barbels. (Subg. Cyclocheilichthys).
a. Lateral line bifid or trifid on each scale. 40 scales in the lateral line, 6 above the lateral line. Lower jaw at the symphysis with a slightly hooked tubercle.
$\dagger$ Distance between the tip of the snout and the nape contained $21 / 4$ to $21 / 2$ times in the distance between occiput and posterior dorsal ray. Depth of tail contained less than twice in the length of the head. Nucho-dorsal line strongly convex.

## Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) enoplos Blkr.

$\dagger^{\prime}$ Distance between the tip of the snout and the nape contained only twice in the distance between occiput and posterior dorsal ray. Depth of tail contained more than twice in the length of the head. Nucho-dorsal line hardly convex.

Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) macracanthus Blkr.
b. Lateral line marked by a simple tube on each scale. 37 or 38 scales in the lateral line, 7 above the lateral line. Lower jaw at the symphysis without tubercle or with a hardly visible one.
$\dagger$ Head contained $5^{1 / 4}$ to $5^{2 / 3}$ times in the length of the body. Depth of tail contained $12 / 3$ times in the length of the head. No dark caudal spot.
$t^{\prime}$ Head contained $42 / 3$ to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Depth of tail contained twice to slightly more than twice in the length of the head. Round, blackish caudal spot.

## Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) repasson Blkr.

II Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels only (subg. Siaja)
a. 59 or 60 scales in the lateral line, 9 above the lateral line. D. $4 / 14$ to $4 / 18$, spine thin.
$\dagger$ Depth of body contained 5 to $43 / 4$ times in its length. Height of anal fin more than twice as great as length. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins.

> Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) microlepis Blkr.
b. 34 to 37 scales in the lateral line, 6 or 7 above the lateral line. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$, spine thick. Depth of body contained $32 / 3$ to $41 / 3$ times in its length.
$\dagger$ Barbels simple. Inframaxillary bones diverging behind the symphysis and converging again posteriorly. Black caudal spot.
Ó Dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins. 7 scales above the lateral line.
O Depth of body contained $3^{2 / 3}$ times in its length. 35 scales in the lateral line. Ventral fins contained 5 times in the length of the body. Barbels very thin, more than 3 times as short as the eye.

Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) macropus Blkr.
$O^{\prime}$ Depth of body contained nearly 4 times to $41 / 3$ times in its length. 37 scales in the lateral line. Ventral fins contained $61 / 2$ to 7 times in the length of the body. Barbels more than twice as short as the eye.

Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) siaja Blkr.
O' Dorsal fins starting slightly behind the base of the ventral fins. 6 scales above lateral line.
O Depth of body contained $33 / 4$ times in its length. 34 scales in the lateral line.
Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) Deventeri Blkr.
$t^{\prime}$ Barbels branched. Inframaxillary bones equidistant everywhere. No black caudal spot.
Ò Dorsal fin starting above the basis of the ventral fins. 6 scales above lateral line.
O Depth of body contained $33 / 4$ to $3^{2 / 3}$ times in its length. 35 scales in the lateral line.

Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) heteronoma Blkr.

III No barbels (Subg. Anematichthys).
a. 34 to 36 scales in the lateral line, 7 above lateral line. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$, spine robust.
$\dagger$ Dorsal fin starting behind the base of the ventral fins. Depth of body contained $31 / 5$ to 4 times in its length. Black caudal spot.
Ó Head contained $41 / 4$ to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Depth of tail contained twice in the length of the head. Scales on body each with a squarish, blackish spot at the base. P. 1/16.

## Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) apogon Blkr.

Ó Head contained $44 / 5$ to $5^{1 / 4}$ times in the length of the body. Depth of tail contained $13 / 4$ to $14 / 5$ times in the length of the head. P 1/14.

Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) apogonides Blkr.
$t^{\prime}$ Dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins. No caudal spot, but a black head-tail band. Depth of the body contained $41 / 3$ times in its length.
O Head contained nearly 5 times in the length of the body. Depth of tail contained $2^{1 / 4}$ to $2^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head. Pectoral fins violet, dorsal and caudal fin with a broad black margin. P. 1/16 or 1/17.

Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) jantochir Blkr.

> 366 Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) enoplos Blkr. Grootdoornige Kringlipkarper [Large-spined Circle-lip Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXXVII fig. 3.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to slightly over 4 times in its length, width contained $21 / 4$ to about $21 / 2$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained slightly over 5 to $51 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to $41 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to nearly $11 / 2$ times, width contained 2 to $14 / 5$ times in its length; distance between the tip of the snout and the nape contained $2^{1 / 4}$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in the distance between occiput and posterior dorsal ray; eye diameter contained $31 / 4$ to 4 times in the length of the head, diameter contained $11 / 2$ to nearly 2 times in the postocular part of the head; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly and at the top than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout acute, convex, slightly sticking out in front of the mouth, in younger fishes shorter than the eye, in adults longer than the eye; nostrils much closer to the orbit


Fig. 91. Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) enoplos Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXVII, Fig. 2, TL figure 221 mm.
than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile slightly concave or convex between nape and snout, convex on the nape; interorbital line slightly convex to slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone oblong, triangular, depth considerably less than twice the length, posterior margin nearly vertical, convex, tip acute, pointing forward, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length about twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye, contained about $3^{2 / 5}$ to $34 / 5$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels longer than nasal barbels, about twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip, underside on both branches with 3 or 4 hardly visible pores, placed in a longitudinal row; lips medium-sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to $14 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior rim of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked-slightly spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2, 2 internal teeth in largest row conical, acuminate at the tip, chewing surface not concave; scapula triangular, rounded at the tip; back angular, elevated, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained less than twice in the length of the head; scales for the lower half and basal half with longitudinal stripes; 40 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, the scales on the lowest part of the belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a dichotome or branched tube; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much lower or slightly lower than the body, twice or more than twice as high as base length, spine very thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, with its flexible part longer than the head, contained 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the body without caudal fin; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained 6 to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, considerably less than twice as low as dorsal fin, twice or more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 4$ to $42 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; cheeks and forehead with very thin, dense, transverse faintly pink stripes, not always visible; fins yellowish, dorsal and caudal fin with a dark margin.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 16$ or $1 / 17$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus enoplos Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 16.
Hab. Java (Surabaya, Ngawi), in rivers.
Length of 5 specimens $148^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $450^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. My species of the subgenus Cyclocheilichthys belong to two types. One type is recognizable by a more slender body, a more slender and more pointed head, and especially by the peculiarity that the lateral line tubes on each scale are double, on some scales even triple, a peculiarity that I do not know from any other Cyprinoid species.

To these types belong Cyclocheilichthys enoplos, which I discovered in 1848 in Surabaya, and Cyclocheilichthys macracanthus, a Sumatran species, which seem to replace the Javanese species on Sumatra.

Both species have a very close relationship which each other and even the same formulas of the scales and fin rays, however they definitely differ from each other by the shape of the head, tail, etc.

The species in question does not seem to occur on West Java. The western most locality known to me is the large Solo river near Ngawi, in the residence Madiun, from where the largest of my specimens was sent to me.

> Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) macracanthus Blkr. Langdoornige Kringlipkarper [Long-spined Circle-lip Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXIV.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to slightly over 4 times in its length, width contained 2 to $2 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained $4^{3 / 4}$ to slightly over 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $34 / 5$ to $33 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $11 / 2$ times, width contained $15 / 6$ to $13 / 4$ times in its length; distance between the tip of the snout and the nape contained twice in the distance between occiput and the posterior part of the dorsal ray; eye diameter contained 3114 to nearly 4 times in the length of the head, diameter contained $13 / 5$ to $12 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once to $11 / 4$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout acute, convex, slightly shorter than the eye or (at a higher age) slightly longer, not or hardly sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between nape and forehead nearly straight or slightly concave, lightly convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight or slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular, length not much less than twice the depth, posterior margin nearly vertical, convex, tip acute pointing forward, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length about twice as great as height, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained $32 / 5$ to $31 / 3$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly


Fig. 92. Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) macracanthus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXIV, Fig. 2, TL figure 257 mm .
oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels longer than nasal barbels, about twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip, underside on both branches with about 4 pores, placed in a longitudinal row; lips medium sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 4$ times in its height, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, $2.3 .5 / 5.3 .2$ or 2.3.4./4.3.2, internal 2 teeth or 1 tooth in largest ${ }^{368}$ row conical, acuminate at the tip, chewing surface not concave; scapula triangular, rounded at the tip; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained more than twice in the length of the head; scales with longitudinal stripes on the free half and often also on basal half; 40 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6\left(5^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the lowest part of the belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line straight, sloping downward slightly only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a dichotome tube; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly higher than the body, more than twice as deep as base length, spine very thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, the flexible part longer than the head, contained about 3 times in the length of the body without caudal fin; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained 6 to $63 / 4$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, considerably less than twice as low as dorsal fin, twice or more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 2$ to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; cheeks, snout and forehead with transverse, very thin faintly pink, not always visible stripes; iris yellow, upper part dark; fins yellowish, dorsal and caudal fin with a margin of dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 17$ or $1 / 18$. V. 2/9. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus macracanthus Blkr, Nieuw. tient. vischs. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 516. Djolli Palemb.
Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Length of 3 specimens $230^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $270^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The species in question has the forked lateral line tubes and most peculiarities in the shape in common with Cyclocheilichthys enoplos, however it differs from it by its relatively lower and longer head, little concave profile between snout and nape and little convex profile of the nape itself, a more slender tail, which height goes more than twice in the length of the head, and because the distance from the snout to the nape goes only two times in the distance from the back of the head to the posteriormost dorsal fin ray.

All my specimens originate from the Moessi, where they were caught near the capital Palembang.

> Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) armatus Blkr. Gewapende Kringlipkarper [Armed Circle-lip Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXV. [Tab. XXIV, fig. 1]

A Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) with an oblong compressed body, depth of body contained $35 / 6$ to $3^{1 / 3}$ times in its length, width contained 3 to $2^{3 / 4}$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained $51 / 4$ to $5^{2} / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to $41 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head


Fig. 93. Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) armatus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXIV, Fig. 1. TL figure 203 mm .
contained about $12 / 5$ times, width contained about 2 times in its length; distance between the tip of the snout and the nape contained $31 / 2$ to $32 / 5$ times in the distance between occiput and posterior dorsal ray; eye diameter contained nearly 3 to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, diameter contained once to $11 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than $3 / 4$ times to slightly more than once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout acute, convex, 369 in younger animals shorter than the eye, in old animals hardly or not shorter than the eye, not or hardly sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile concave between snout and nape, convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight or slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular, length much less than twice the depth, posterior margin nearly vertical, lower part rounded, tip acute, pointing forward, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly, twice or nearly twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye, contained $32 / 3$ to $33 / 5$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, nasal barbels about twice as short as upper jaw barbels, upper jaw barbels twice or more than twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis without visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained about twice in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapula triangular, acutely rounded at the tip; back strongly elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, rounded behind ventral fins, not ridged; depth of tail contained about $1^{2 / 3}$ times in the length of the head; scales on the free half and generally also on basal half with longitudinal or slightly ray-like stripes; 37 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 14 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the lowest part of the belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in those rows larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally not surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much
lower than the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine very thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with the flexible part not much longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $61 / 4$ to $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins hardly reaching or not reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, twice or nearly twice as high as base length, the simple third ray medium-sized, only basal half bony; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 2$ to 5 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; cheeks, snout and forehead with transverse, very thin, generally pink, not always visible stripes; iris yellow, upper part dark; fins hyaline-pink or yellowish, dorsal and caudal fin more or less speckled with dark.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 17$ or $1 / 18$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $8 / 17 / 8$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus armatus Val., Poiss. XVI p. 121.
Barbeau armé Val., Poiss. XVI p. 121.
Barbus Valenciennesii Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. M.O. Java p. 17.
Lawak, Lalawak Mal. Bat; Wader Javan; Seren, Sakka Sundan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Perdana, Parongkalong, Surabaya), in rivers.
Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 15 specimens $120^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $232^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The species that I earlier considered only hesitatingly as a species different from Barbus armatus Val. and described under the name Barbus Valenciennesii, since then appeared to me not easily to different from the above mentioned one.

It belongs to a different type of the subgenus Cyclochelichthys than Cyclocheilichthys 370 enoplos and Cyclocheilichthys macracanthus, because of its single lateral line tubes, more thick-set body and more blunt profile.

To this type belong two of my species, Cyclocheilichthys armatus and Cyclocheilichthys repasson, which stand in approximately the same relation to each other as Cyclocheilichthys enoplos and Cyclocheilichthys macracanthus, and whose differences can be found in the length and height proportions of the head, the eyes and the tail, to which can be added some peculiarities in the colouration.

Cyclocheilichthys armatus can primarily be distinguished from Cyclocheilichthys repasson by a higher body, shorter and more blunt head, a higher tail and the absence of a blackish tail spot. It is not rare on Java and seems to occur especially frequently in the Kalimas in East Java. However, it also occurs in the rivers of Sumatra, from where I received it from Lahat, on the higher part in the area of the Moessi, in the Palembang residency.

> Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) repasson Blkr. Vierdradige Kringlipkarper [Four-barbelled Circle-lip Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXVI.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly $3^{2} / 3$ to $3^{1 / 2}$ times in its length, width contained $2^{1 / 2}$ to $2^{2} / 3$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained $42 / 3$ to $4^{3} / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $32 / 3$ to $35 / 6$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times, width contained about 2 times in its length; distance between the tip of the snout and the nape contained $23 / 4$ to nearly 3 times in the distance between occiput and posterior dorsal ray; eye diameter contained $22 / 3$ to 3 times in the length of the head, diameter contained nearly once to once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $2 / 3$ times to nearly once their


Fig. 94. Cyclocheilichthys (Cyclocheilichthys) repasson Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXV, Fig. 1. TL figure 224 mm .
diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout acute, convex, in younger animals and in old fishes shorter than the eye, hardly sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile concave between snout and nape, strongly convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight or slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular, length not much greater than depth, posterior margin nearly vertical, convex, tip acute, pointing forward, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length about twice as great as depth; twice or more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye, contained $32 / 3$ to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels longer than nasal barbels, twice or more than twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis without visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained nearly twice to slightly more than twice in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapula triangular, slightly acutely rounded; back strongly elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained twice to slightly over twice in the length of the head; scales on the free half and on the basal half with longitudinal stripes or slightly ray-like stripes; 37 or 38 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the lowest part of the belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in this row larger than those in 371 flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not or not much lower than the body, not much less than twice as high as base length, spine very thick, posteri-
orly serrated with large teeth, with the flexible part considerably longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $53 / 4$ to 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, twice or nearly twice as high as base length, the simple third ray bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $3^{4} / 5$ to $41 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; snout, cheeks and forehead with transverse, very thin, reddish, densely packed stripes, not always visible; scales on back, flanks and tail each with a quadrangular, triangular or crescent-shaped blackish-violet spot, tail with a large, round black-violet spot in the lateral line, bordering on the base of the caudal fin; fins pink, dorsal and caudal fin with a dark margin.

## B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 17$ or $1 / 18$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus repasson Blkr. Diagn. beschrijv. nieuwe vischs. Sumatra Tient. V tot X, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IV p. 295.
Repasson Lampong.
Hab. Sumatra (Pangabuang, Moerakompeh), in rivers.
Length of 3 specimens $170^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ' to $220^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Cyclocheilichthys repasson is very closely related to Cyclocheilichthys armatus, however distinguishes itself from it by a larger head and larger eyes, more pointed snout, a tail spot and scale spots. Moreover in Cyclocheilichthys armatus the height of the tail goes only $12 / 3$ times in the length of the head and on the contrary the distance from the snout tip to the neck $32 / 5$ to $3^{1 / 2}$ times in the distance from the back of the head till the posteriormost dorsal fin ray. In Cyclocheilichthys armatus often also one anal fin ray more present than in Cyclocheilichthys repasson, however this character is not constant.

I have only obtained the species described here from the rivers of East Sumatra.

> Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) microlepis Blkr. Kleinschubbige Kringlipkarper [Small-scaled Circle-lip Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXVIII fig. 2.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) with an elongate or oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 5 to $43 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head acute, contained $43 / 4$ to nearly $51 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $34 / 5$ to $41 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $1^{2 / 3}$ to $1^{1 / 2}$ times in its length, width $2^{1 / 4}$ to $15 / 6$ times; eye diameter contained $2^{2} / 3$ to 3 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes $3 / 5$ to $3 / 4$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute, convex, in younger and in old animals shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile nearly straight or slightly concave between snout and nape, convex on the nape; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular, length less than twice as great as depth, posterior margin nearly vertical, lower margin nearly horizontal, tip acute, 372 pointing forward, lower half with a low, longitudinal, not branched crest, close to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely elongate-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly; twice or more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained $31 / 2$ to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, twice or more than twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, their free parts not rugose; width of gill cover contained $13 / 5$ to nearly 2 times in its height, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening nearly vertical,


Fig. 95. Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) microlepis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXVII, Fig. 3. TL figure 222 mm .
ending below the anterior part of the gill cover or below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapula triangular, slightly obtusely or slightly acutely rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained more than twice in the length of the head; scales on the free half and often also on the basal half with longitudinal or slightly ray-like stripes; 59 or 60 scales in the lateral line, 17 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $9(81 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 17 or 18 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the lowest part of the belly in five longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in this row slightly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting slightly above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly lower than the body, slightly higher than its base length, spine thin, posteriorly serrated with conspicuous, small teeth, with the flexible part longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly shorter than ventral fins, contained 6 to $61 / 4$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, twice or nearly twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 4$ to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow; dorsal and caudal fins pink with a border of dark speckles, other fins pink-yellowish or yellowish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $4 / 14$ or $4 / 15$ to $4 / 17$ or $4 / 18$. P. $1 / 16$ to $1 / 18$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Capoëta microlepis Blkr, Vierde bijdr. ichthyol. Borneo, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. II p. 206.
Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Pontianak), in rivers.
Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Length of 4 specimens $135^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $272^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The long multi rayed dorsal fin armed with a serrated spine and the two upper jaw barbels of the species in question might remind one of a Cyprinion. Investi-
gation of the mouth parts however, reveals that it belongs to the Cheilognathines and the structure of its lips and the habitus of the head and the body point to a place in the genus Cyclocheilichthys, whereas its two upper jaw barbels indicate they belong to the subgenus Siaja.

However, it diverges from all other known species of Siaja, firstly by its numerous dorsal fin rays and the slender and finely toothed dorsal spine, secondly by the numerousness of its scales, both in the longitudinal and the transverse rows.
${ }^{373}$ Siaja microlepis therefore is sharply characterized in the large family of the Cyprinoids. In general habitus it most approaches Cyclocheilichthys macracanthus, however its small scales, numerous dorsal fin rays and slender dorsal fin spine make it easy to recognize it at first glance.

Till now I have received of this species only specimens caught in the Barito, the Kapuas and the Moessi, the three largest rivers of the Indian Archipelago.

> Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) macropus Blkr. Grootvoetige Kringlipkarper [Large feet Circle-lip Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXVII fig. 2.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $32 / 3$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head acute, contained $41 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{11 / 3}$ to $3^{1 / 4}$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained nearly $11 / 2$ times, width contained nearly twice in its length; eye diameter contained about $25 / 6$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes about $3 / 5$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout acute, convex, shorter than the eye, not or hardly sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between snout and nape slightly concave, lightly convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight or slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular, length much less than twice as great as depth, posterior margin nearly vertical, rounded at the underside, tip acute, pointing forward, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-obliquely quadrangular, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly; about twice as low as anterior suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending in front of the eye, contained about $32 / 3$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels very thin, very short, about three times as short as the eye, hardly visible; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, hardly visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly convex or nearly straight; gill opening nearly vertical, ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.4/4.3.2; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; back elevated, strongly angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained twice in the length of the head; scales on the free half and often also on the basal half with slightly ray-like stripes, 35 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7(61 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the lowest part of the belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in that row slightly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not or hardly reaching rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not or hardly lower than the body, not much less than twice as high as base length, spine very thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with the flexible part longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins considerably shorter than ventral fins, contained about $61 / 4$ times in the length of the body, reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins contained


Fig. 96. Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) macropus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXV, Fig. 2. TL figure 92 mm .
about 5 times in the length of the body, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, about twice as high as base length, the simple third ray medium-sized, bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $34 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, lower ${ }^{374}$ part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; scales on the upper part of the body bordered with gold; tail with a round, blackish-violet spot in the lateral line close to the base of the caudal fin; fins faintly pink, dorsal and caudal fins with a darkish border and more or less speckled with dark.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$ or $1 / 16$. V. 2/9. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Borneo (Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $97^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I received my single specimen of the here described species some years ago from Pontianak. It has a large resemblance with Siaja siaja, however can easily be separated from it by a higher body, extremely thin and small lip barbels, 2 scales less in a longitudinal row, relatively very large pelvic fins, the formula of the pharyngeal teeth and very thin and sort barbels.

> Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) siaja Blkr. Tweedradige Kringlipkarper [Two-barbelled Circle-lip carp].

> Atl. Cypr. XXXIX fig. 3.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 3$ to nearly 4 times in its length, width contained 2 to $22 / 3$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained $42 / 5$ to $43 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{2} / 5$ to $33 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in its length, width about twice; eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes $3 / 4$ times to once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout acute, convex, slightly shorter than the eye, not or hardly sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit


Fig. 97. Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) siaja Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXIX, Fig. 3. TL figure 189 mm .
than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between snout and nape slightly concave, convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight or slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone oblong, length much less than twice as great as depth, posterior margin nearly vertical, rounded at the underside, tip acute, pointing forward, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, nearly horizontal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, more than twice as low as first suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye, contained $31 / 3$ to $33 / 5$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, about twice as short as the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to 2 times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening nearly vertical, ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapula triangular, at the tip slightly acutely rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained slightly over twice to $2 \frac{1}{3}$ times in the length of the head; scales in younger animals not or hardly striped, in old animals on the free half and often also on the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, 37 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 14 or 15 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the lowest part of the belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in this row larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple, short tube not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly or hardly lower than the body, much less than twice to nearly twice as high as base length, spine very thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with the flexible part in younger animals 375 shorter than the head, in old animals longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $61 / 4$ to 7 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than the dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, nearly twice to twice as high as base length, the simple third ray bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only
at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained slightly over 4 to $4 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; cheeks and snout with numerous transverse, very thin red stripes; iris yellow, upper part dark; suprascapular region often with an oblong violet-black spot; tail generally with a round black spot in the lateral line, close to the base of the caudal fin; scales on back, flanks and tail each with a black, transverse triangular or crescent-shaped black-violet spot; pectoral fins yellowish or pink, other fins pink or red, dorsal and caudal membranes generally more or less speckled with dark or blackish spots.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { B. 3. D. } 4 / 8 \text { or } 4 / 9 \text {. P. } 1 / 18 \text {. V. 2/9. A. } 3 / 5 \text { or } 3 / 6 \text {. C. } 8 / 17 / 8 \text { or } 7 / 17 / 7 \text {, short flanking ones included. } \\
& \text { Syn. Capoëta enoplos Blkr, Vijfde bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. II p. } 431 . \\
& \text { Capoëta siaja Blkr, Vijfde bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. II p. } 432 . \\
& \text { Siaja Mal. Sum. } \\
& \text { Hab. Borneo (Sambas, Pontianak), in rivers. } \\
& \text { Sumatra (Padang, Solok, Meninju, Sinkara), in rivers and lakes. } \\
& \text { Length of } 8 \text { specimens } 97^{\prime \prime \prime} \text { to } 198^{\prime \prime \prime} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. Capoëta siaja, which I earlier took for a proper species and only briefly mentioned at the cited place, since then, after having received several specimens of different size, has appeared to be the same species as Capoëta enoplos. The shoulder spot and the tail spot are missing in some specimens, probably as a result of a less well state of preservation. I have used the sweet-sounding inland name of the species as subgeneric one and also retained it as specific name, because the name enoplos already has been given to a species of the subgenus Cyclocheilichthys. Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) siaja possesses again the entire type of the genus, of which only Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) microlepis forms an exception by its multi-rayed dorsal fin. It is characterized by its 37 scales in the lateral line, by its relatively short pelvic fins, which go $61 / 4$ to 7 times in the length of the body and by the height of the body itself, which goes almost 4 to $41 / 3$ in its length, and by the length of its barbels.

## Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) Deventeri Blkr. Van Deventer's Circle-lip carp. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXVII fig. 1.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 3 $3 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about $2 / 3$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, contained slightly over 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width about twice; eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute, convex, shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile slightly concave between snout and nape, convex on the nape; interorbital line ${ }^{376}$ slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular, length less than twice as great as depth, posterior margin nearly vertical, lower margin nearly horizontal, tip acute, pointing forward, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, not branched crest, close to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, more than twice as low as the $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly downward protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye or hardly anterior to the eye, contained about $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; barbels thin, slightly shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with an obtuse, hardly visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to grinding 2.3.5/5.3.2 (?); scapula triangular, obtusely


Fig. 98. Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) Deventeri Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXVII, Fig. 3. TL figure 100 mm .
rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins ridged; depth of tail contained about twice in the length of the head; several scales on the basal and free half with longitudinal ray-like stripes originating from a common centre, about 34 scales in the lateral line, 11 or 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6(51 / 2)$ above the lateral line, about 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting slightly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly lower than the body, not much less than twice as high as base length, spine medium-sized, posteriorly serrated with well visible teeth, with the flexible part longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained about 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, lightly emarginate, nearly twice as low as dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray slender, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow; several scales on back, flanks and tail with a transverse, thin band at the base, consisting of dark speckles; tail with a diffuse, round, blue-violet spot in the lateral line, close to the base of the caudal fin; fins orange-yellow or pink, dorsal and caudal fin bordered with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 12$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Capoëta Deventeri Blkr, Verslag verzam. visschs. Oost-Java, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 413.
Hab. Java (Grati), in the lake.
Length of sole specimen $113^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I am not completely sure about the numbers of the lower pharyngeal bone teeth of this species. During a more detailed investigation of these teeth in my single specimen I have counted only 3.4/4.3, however I can see the traces of sockets of teeth that have dropped out, without being able to give their numbers.

Siaja Deventeri has much in common with Siaja siaja and Siaja macropus, but it easy recognizable by the position of the dorsal fin entirely behind the basis of the pelvic fins and by the presence of only 6 longitudinal scale rows above the lateral line.

The only known catch locality of this species is the lake of Grati, in East Java, from where I received my specimen by the benevolence of MrS . van Deventer, now Inspector of Finance, after which I have named this species.

> 377 Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) heteronema Blkr. Franjedradige Kringlipkarper [Fringe-barbeled Circle-lip Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXIX fig. 1.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $33 / 4$ to $32 / 3$ times in its length, width contained $21 / 4$ to $31 / 3$ times in its depth. Head slightly obtuse, contained nearly 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 5$ to $31 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $12 / 5$ times, width contained about $13 / 4$ times in its length; eye diameter contained about $21 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $2 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout obtuse, strongly convex, shorter than the eye, hardly sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between forehead and nape slightly concave, convex on the nape; anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, depth hardly or not greater than length, lower margin horizontal, anterior and posterior lower margins short, convex or truncate, upper margins nearly straight or slightly concave, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, lower half traversed by a longitudinal, horizontal crest, parallel to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongatequadrangular, length more than twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels fleshy at the base, thick, divided into 7 to 9 threads, threads partly united at the base, unequal in length, longest threads hardly or not shorter than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, conspicuous tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips thin, terete, not conspicuously rugose; width of gill cover contained $13 / 4$ to nearly 2 times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth


Fig. 99. Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) heteronema Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXIX, Fig. 1. TL figure 110 mm .
hooked to spoon-shaped, 1.2.4/4.2.1; scapula triangular, tip acutely rounded; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins ridged; depth of tail contained about twice in the length of the head; several scales on the free half with generally sparse slightly ray-like stripes, basal half not striped; 35 scales in the lateral line, 12 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $6(51 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 13 or 14 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales in this row not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line hardly curved, not reaching rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly lower than the body, nearly twice as high as base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, with the flexible part not much longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained about 6 times in the length of the body, reaching the ventral fins; ventral fins reaching or nearly reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, nearly totally cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $31 / 2$ to $3^{2} / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow, upper part dark; fins pinkorange or red, caudal fin bordered with dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. 1/16. V. 2/9. A. 3/5 or 3/6. C. 7/17/7, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus heteronema Blkr, Zevende bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V. p. 446
Hab. Borneo (Sambas), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $108^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $114^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. A more detailed investigation of my specimens has made me realise, ${ }^{3778}$ that the snout barbels in this species do not exist as I believed earlier. What I have taken as such in one of my specimens, now seems to me to be a torn thread-like piece of skin. On the right side of the same specimen, where the skin is entire, I can not perceive any trace of a snout barbel, no more than in the other specimen. The species is very peculiar because of its multibranched upper jaw barbels, a structure, which, as far as is known to me, has not been found in any other fish in the family of Cyprinoids. It exhibits still another peculiarity, which I can not find in any other species of Cyclocheilichthys. In all other species namely, both lower jaw bones have a concave lower edge, leaving an elongate oval space in between them. As those bones posteriorly approach each other closely and are united at the sympyses. In Siaja heteronema that oval space does not exist, as the lower jaw bones are not concave, and are closely aligned along their entire length.

As otherwise the species is entirely build after the type of Cyclocheilichthys, I do not believe that in these peculiarities in the structure of the lower jaw and the barbels a motive should be sought to raise it to a proper genus.

Cyclocheilichthys (Anemathichthys) apogon Blkr. -
Baardelooze Kringlipkarper [Beardless Circle-lip carp].
Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXIX fig. 2.

A Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 5$ to $32 / 5$ times in its length, width contained $2^{1 / 2}$ to $22 / 3$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained $4^{3 / 4}$ to $4 \frac{1}{4}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{11 / 2}$ to $3^{11 / 3}$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $1^{2} / 5$ times, width contained about twice in its length; eye diameter contained nearly 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute, convex, in younger fishes shorter than the eye, in adults shorter than the eye, not sticking out
in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between snout and nape concave, convex at the nape; interorbital line slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular, posterior margin shorter, vertical, tip acute, pointing forward; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone slender, oblong-quadrangular, twice or more than twice as low as anterior suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly nearly vertically downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye, contained slightly over 3 times to 3 times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; lower jaw with a tubular, conical, short, obtuse symphysis, the lower part without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained $13 / 5$ times to 2 times in its height, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; branchial opening nearly vertical, ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoonshaped 2.3.4/4.3.2; scapula triangular, obtuse, with a rounded angle; back strongly elevated, angular, much deeper than belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins ridged; depth of tail contained twice to nearly twice in the length of the head; scales with ray-like stripes on the free half and the basal half; 34 or 35 scales in the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a transverse row except the lowest ventral scales of which $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 15 in a longitudinal row between the occiput and the dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row except the posterior ones smaller than those 379 in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, undivided tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting slightly behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, considerably lower than the body, twice to nearly twice as deep as base length, spine robust, armed with large teeth posteriorly, with its flexible part not or not much longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $51 / 3$ to 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or surpassing the base of the ventral fins; ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower but much less than twice as low as dorsal fin, not much deeper than its base length, its undivided third ray bony only for the basal half;


Fig. 100. Cyclocheilichthys (Anemathichthys) apogon Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXIX, Fig. 2. TL figure 206 mm .
caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $31 / 2$ to 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part green, lower part silver; snout and forehead olive or violetish; cheeks generally with numerous very thin, vertical, slightly wavy parallel red stripes; iris yellow; scales on back, flanks and tail nearly all with a transverse, triangular spot, crescent shaped or oblongquadrangular, black; tail in younger fishes and generally also in adults with a round, black spot in the lateral line, close to the base of the caudal fins; fins red or pink, on the upper part of the dorsal fin, the posterior part of the caudal fin and the lower part of the anal fin generally more or less speckled with dark.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { B. 3. D. } 4 / 8 \text { or } 4 / 9 . \text { P. } 1 / 16 . \text { V. 2/9. A. } 3 / 5 \text { or } 3 / 6 \text {. C. } 7 / 17 / 7 \text {, short flanking ones included. } \\
\text { Syn. } & \text { Barbus apogon Kuhl name, Val., Poiss. XVI p. } 299 \text {. } \\
& \text { Systomus apogon Val., Poiss. XVI p. 299; Blkr, Zesde bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. } \\
\quad \text { Ind. III p. 428. } \\
& \text { Redang Sundan.; Lawak, Lalawak Mal. Batav. } \\
\text { Hab. } \quad \text { Java (Batavia, Bekassi, Buitenzorg), in rivers. } \\
& \text { Borneo (Prabukarta, Sambas), in rivers. } \\
& \text { Sumatra (Palembang, Lahat, Solok), in rivers. } \\
\text { Banka (Toboali, Marawang), in rivers. } \\
\text { Length of } 13 \text { specimens } 81^{\prime \prime \prime} \text { to } 210^{\prime \prime \prime} .
\end{array}
$$

Remark. The species in question was already known to Kuhl and Van Hasselt, however it was first described at the above mentioned place by Mr Valenciennes on the basis of specimens sent by Kuhl and Van Hasselt. However this description leaves to be desired and is erroneous with regard to the formula of the fin rays (D. 2/10. P. 12. V. 9. A. 7 C. 20). In a fresh condition it is easily recognizable by the square black spots at the basis of each scale. Java feeds a closely related species in which the scale spots are crescent-shaped and it moreover differs by a remarkably smaller head, a more slender dorsal fin spine and with regard to the higher tail relative to the head length.

Cyclocheilichthys (Anemathichthys) apogon is not rare on Java and because I also received it from Borneo, Banka and Sumatra, it seems to be one of the most widely distributed species of its genus. On Java and Sumatra it is found rather high in the highlands (Buitenzorg, Solok).

## Cyclocheilichthys (Anemathichthys) apogonoides Blkr. Javasche Kringlipkarper [Javanese Circle-lip Carp].

 Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXX fig. 3.A Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $31 / 3$ times in its length, 380 width contained $2^{1 / 2}$ to 3 times in its depth. Head acute, contained $4 \frac{1}{5}$ to $5^{1 / 4}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{1 / 2}$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $1^{2} / 5$ times, width contained twice to nearly twice in its length; eye diameter contained 3 to $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes once to slightly more than once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acutely convex, in younger and old animals shorter than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile concave between snout and nape, convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight ; anterior suborbital bone oblong-triangular, posterior margin shorter, vertical, tip acute, pointing forward, length much less than twice as great as depth; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone thin, oblong-quadrangular, twice or more than twice as low as anterior suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained slightly over 3 times to $32 / 5$ times in the length of the head; gape rather oblique; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, short,


Fig. 101. Cyclocheilichthys (Anemathichthys) apogonoides Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXX, Fig. 3. TL figure 171 mm .
obtuse tubercle, the lower part without visible pores; lips medium-sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained twice to $13 / 4$ times in its height, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening nearly vertical, ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.4/4.3.2. or 1.3.4/4.3.2; scapula triangular, obtuse, with a rounded angle; back strongly elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, ridged behind ventral fins; depth of tail contained $13 / 4$ to $14 / 5$ times in the length of the head; scales on the free half and generally also on the basal half with ray-like stripes; 36 scales in the lateral line, 13 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 15 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the lowest part of the belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting behind the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, considerably lower than the body, nearly twice to twice as deep as base length, spine thick, posteriorly armed with large teeth, with the flexible part slightly longer or not longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $51 / 2$ to 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching the base of the ventral fins or slightly surpassing them; ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray bony only for the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $32 / 3$ to $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow; scales on back, flanks and tail generally nearly all with a stripe on the base or an oblong, thin, transverse, dark spot; tail in younger animals and generally also in old animals with a round, blackish spot in the lateral line, close to the base of the caudal fin; fins pink, of uneven colour, generally more or less speckled with dark.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $4 / 14$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Systomus apogonides Blkr, Verslag verzam. vissch. Oost-Java, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 410. Lawak, Lalawak Mal. Bat.; Tjorendjang Sund.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Bekassi, Pandjallu, Ngawi, Surabaya, Pasuruan), in rivers.
Length of 71 specimens $82^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $176^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. I have named this species "apogonoides" for its close relationship with Cyclocheilichthys (Anemathichthys) apogon. Complete series of specimens of various sizes of both species have enabled me to determine the specific differences with certainty. They are principally found in the relatively much smaller head in the species in question; in ${ }^{3811}$ the larger height of the tail relative to the head; in the remarkably more slender dorsal fin spine, and the more crescent-shaped than square scale spot, whereas moreover in this case specific meaning can be attached to the smaller number of pectoral fin rays. Moreover in older specimens the snout remains shorter than the eye, which is not the case in Anematichthys apogon. It also does not seem to become as large as the latter species.

The species seems to be found often in the river Tjitarum (Krawang) and to be spread all over Java, till rather high in the highlands (lake of Pandjalloe). I have not received it from outside Java.

> Cyclocheilichthys (Anemathichthys) janthochir Blkr. Violethandige Kringlipkarper [Violet-handed Circle-lip Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXVIII fig. 3 .

A Cyclocheilichthys (Anematichthys) with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about $41 / 3$ times in its length, width contained $21 / 3$ to $2^{1 / 4}$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained nearly 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $32 / 3$ to $34 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $13 / 5$ times in its length, width about twice; eye diameter contained about $31 / 3$ times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes about once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acutely convex, hardly or not longer than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile between snout and nape slightly concave, convex on the nape; interorbital line nearly straight or slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone oblongtriangular, length nearly twice as great as depth, posterior margin vertical, tip acute, pointing forward; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone thin, oblong-quadrangular, twice or more than twice as low as anterior suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly nearly vertically downward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye, contained $3^{1 / 2}$ to $3^{2} / 3$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, short, obtuse tubercle, underside without visible pores; lips medium sized, terete, transversely rugose; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening nearly vertical, ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.3.4/4.3.2; scapula obtuse, obliquely truncate posteriorly; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; depth of tail contained $21 / 4$ to $2^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head; scales on the free half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes; 35 scales in the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $7\left(6^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 15 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, scales on the lowest part of the belly in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly lower than the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine rather thick, posteriorly armed with very conspicuous teeth, with the flexible part not or hardly longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained slightly over 6 times to $61 / 3$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, about twice as high as base length, the simple third ray bony only for the basal half; caudal fin 382 scaled only at the base,


Fig. 102. Cyclocheilichthys (Anemathichthys) janthochir Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXI, Fig. 3. TL figure 194 mm .
with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 4$ to $41 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris yellow; cheeks with numerous, densely packed, vertical, very thin, reddish stripes; snout and forehead deeply olive; thin oculo-caudal black-violet band in the lateral line; scales on back, flanks and tail all with a crescent-shaped, transverse, violetish stripe; dorsal and caudal fin pink or carmine-red with a broad, blackish border, anterior part of dorsal fin with a large, diffuse, trigonal deeply violet spot; pectoral fins violetish, ventral and anal fins pearly or yellowish.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 16$ or $1 / 17$. V. $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $6 / 16 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Systomus janthochir Blkr, Zevende bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 448.
Hab. Borneo (Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens 195'" and 202"'.
Remark. Of both remaining species of Anematichthys, the species in question is already easily recognizable by is more slender body and because the dorsal fin begins above and not behind the pelvic fins. The recognition moreover is still made easier by remarkably more slender tail relative to the head length, by the black longitudinal body stripe, the broad black dorsal fin and caudal fin edges, and the violet pectoral fins.

As far as the present knowledge reaches, this species is proper to West Borneo, where it inhabits the Kapuas.

Barbus Cuv.,
Regn. anim. ed. 1a II p. 192; Heck., Fisch. Syriens p. 27;
McCl ., Ind Cyprin. Asiat. Research. XIX p. 26. Barbel.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales, back slightly or moderately angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, fleshy lips, upper jaw downward protrusable. Barbels 4, nasal
and upper jaw barbels. Snout more or less prominent. Mouth inferior or slightly inferior, gape not oblique or slightly oblique, ending anterior to the eye, mouth in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Single postlabial groove, parallel to the free margin of the jaw following the shape of the gape. Anal sheath without larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, no scaled sheath at the base, posterior undivided ray bony, dentate. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped, on each side 7 to 10 in three rows.

Remark. As the genus Barbus is defined above, the species placed in this work in Labeobarbus, Cyclocheilichthys and Systomus are excluded from it. ${ }^{383}$ All species from the Indian Archipelago, which were mentioned by earlier writers and also earlier by myself, as species of Barbus, partly belong to the mentioned genera, partly to Leptobarbus, Luciosoma and Barbichthys.

I do not know this genus from nature, but further studies are needed to determine it with more certainty than is possible with the present data.

Labeobarbus Rupp.,
Neue Nachtr. Beschreib. U. Abbild. Neuer Fische im Nil entdeckt 1835 p. 14. Lip barbel.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back slightly or moderately angular. Jaws sometimes enclosed in terete, sometimes lobed, lips, upper jaw strongly downward protrusable. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Snout more or less prominent. Mouth inferior or slightly inferior, gape not or hardly oblique, ending anterior to the eye, closed mouth reminding of the shape of a horse shoe. Single postlabial groove parallel to the free margin of the jaw following the shape of the gape. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Dorsal fin starting above or anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray without teeth. Ventral fins with rays $2 / 8$. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped or slightly spoon-shaped, on each side 7 to 10 in three rows.

Remark. As the genus Barbus was erected by Cuvier, it comprised the four barbelled species of various genera that have been erected since. Of those genera, the genera of the Phalacrognathines Opistocheilus Blkr, Schizopyge Heck. and Oreinus McCl. and the genera of the Cheilognathines, Schizothorax Heck., Racoma McCl., Aulopyge Heck. and Hypselobarbus Blkr. could easily and by sharp characters be separated from Barbus Cuv.

It was more difficult after the separation of these genera to arrange the very numerous remaining species of Barbus Cuv. The many varieties in shape in numerous species was bound to lead to an attempt to arrange them under different genera. However, in order to test these splittings successfully the availability of all those shape varieties was necessary and a basic study of the more or less accurately described species of the various writers, a study for which the first condition was that all species would be carefully examined for all characters of any importance. Among those characters I count the lip structure, the shape and extension of the posterior lip groove, the ${ }^{584}$ shape of the anterior suborbital bone, the being scaled or not of the basis of the dorsal fin, the extend of the gill opening, the shape and formula of the pharyngeal bones etc.

However, when one cannot examine the species from nature, one remains completely
in uncertainty concerning this for many species described and depicted by excellent ichthyologists and one also wonders whether the figures given by them in which the dorsal fin bases are depicted scaleless, indeed represent nature or not.

Mr Rüppell raised to a proper genus those Barbels in which the lower lip is lobe-like extended. But if the genus Labeobarbus would only be distinguished from Barbus by the lower lip lobe, it would not be acceptable, as that lobe in various species exhibits all degrees of development, so that one can hardly distinguish a trace of it in some.

Heckel has separated still other species from Barbus Cuv. under the generic name Luciobarbus, based on the insignificant difference in the number of pharyngeal teeth and a more pointed snout than the common species of Barbus. If this genus cannot be defined in a more natural and sharper way, it is no more tenable than Labeobarbus, according to the definition of Mr Rüppell.

The species of this genus, placed by recent ichthyologists in Barbus, Labeobarbus and Luciobarbus therefore are in need of a revision.

I was unable to proceed with this, as I am entirely restricted to my own collection, which, except for one Bengalese species, only contains archipelagic species.

However, the study of these species has induced me to place a large number of species till now arranged under Barbus in Systomus, whereas I placed a number of other species with the addition of some related ones with only two and without barbels in the genus Cyclochelichthys.

I was able to determine sharply the characters of this genus, but the not being available of a sufficient series of the numerous shape varieties of Barbus Cuv. puts me out of the possibility to describe with sufficient sharpness the borders of Barbus proper or possibly other genera that could be separated from it. Therefore I have only provisionally accepted the genus Labeobarbus Rüppell, but restricted it to those species in which the posteriormost dorsal fin spine is not serrated. When studied from nature, maybe one will find that Labeobarbus thus defined is liable for further splitting according to absence of presence of a scale sheath at the dorsal fin basis, etc.

This scale sheath in all my species is clearly developed, but judging from the existing figures, seems $\sqrt{385}$ to be lacking in various species from the continent.

My collection contains only four species that I can place in Labeobarbus, based on the foregoing contemplations. Three of these species have become known by Mr Valenciennes under the names Barbus tambra, Barbus soro and Barbus douronensis, whereas the fourth was first described by me under the name of Labeobarbus tambroides. On Java they are very common Lip Carps, which are also kept in ponds and there can reach a high age, as the native inhabitants takes care of their feeding and do not dare to remove them from the ponds because of a superstitious respect. All these species can be placed in the genus Labeobarbus as defined by Mr Rüppell, but the differences on lobe shape of the lower lip shows that this genus cannot be based on this character only, as the lower lip lobe is already hardly visible in Barbus douronensis, and in Barbus soro the lower lip simply is wrapped downwards or backwards over its entire width without showing a separate lobe. For the rest those four species are extremely closely related. All of them have the dorsal fin spine well developed, but without any trace of teeth, the dorsal fin base covered by a rather high scale sheath, very large scales of which only 21 to 28 go in the lateral line and only 4 above
the lateral line, the anterior suborbital bone obliquely square or pentagonal, the gill covers radial-like finely ribbed, the anal fin not or little noticeably concave and with 5 or 6 branched rays, the pelvic fins with 8 branched rays, the posterior lip groove going round the entire jaw edge and the pharyngeal teeth with wrinkly knobby masticating surfaces.

My species have a large relationship with some South Asiatic species, which with a similar general shape and an almost equal scale formula, show the same differences regarding the more or less lobe shaped elongation of the lower lip and of which some reach a length of 5 or 6 foot. These species are Labeobarbus macrolepis Heck., Barbus tor Val., Barbus megalepis McCl ., Barbus progeneius McCl ., Barbus putitora McCl ., Barbus hexagonolepis McCl ., Barbus macrocephalus McCl . Till now the species, with the exception of Barbus macrolepis Heck., have been made known too little, notwithstanding the fact that all of them have been depicted, and for this reason the correct differentiation of these and the archipelagic species is somewhat hampered. However, it seems to me that my four species can be sufficiently distinguished from the aforementioned ones and all others of the genus with the following scheme

[^7]A. 21 to 28 scales in the lateral line, 4 above the lateral line.
a. Lower lip with a well developed, very conspicuous lobe.
$\dagger \quad$ Upper lip prolonged into a lobe. 24 to 26 scales in the lateral line. D. 4/9 or 4/10. P. $1 / 15$ or $1 / 16$. Depth of the body contained 4 to $41 / 3$ times in its length. Head acute, contained $43 / 4$ to $51 / 4$ times in the length of the body, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its length.

Labeobarbus tambroides Blkr.
$t^{\prime}$ Upper lip round, not prolonged. 22 or 23 scales in the lateral line. D 4/8 or $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. Depth of body contained slightly over 4 times to slightly over 5 times in its length. Head contained nearly 5 to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the body, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $12 / 5$ times in its length.

Labeobarbus tambra Blkr.
b. Lower lip with a hardly visible lobe or simply back-sheathed over its total width. Upper lip round, not prolonged. D $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$.
$\dagger$ Lower lip over the total length simply back-sheathed. 26 to 28 scales in the lateral line. Depth of body contained $41 / 4$ to 5 times in its length. Head contained 5 to 6 times in the length of the body, depth contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length.

Labeobarbus soro Blkr.
$t^{\prime} \quad$ Lower lip with a hardly distinguishable lobe. 21 to 23 scales in the lateral line. Depth of body contained $41 / 4$ to $42 / 3$ times in its length. Head contained $51 / 2$ to nearly 6 times in the length of the body, depth contained $11 / 5$ to $11 / 3$ times in its length.

Labeobarbus tambroides Blkr,<br>Overz. Ichth. Faun. Sumatra, Nat T. Ned. Ind. VII p. 92. -Tambra-achtige Lipbarbeel [Tambra-like Lip Barbel]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXIII.


#### Abstract

A Labeobarbus with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $41 / 3$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head acute, not or hardly convex, contained $43 / 4$ to $51 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{1 / 5}$ to slightly over 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times, width contained $13 / 4$ to $13 / 5$ times in its length; eye diameter contained slightly over 3 to 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter 387 contained $11 / 4$ to $13 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head; distance between the eyes once to $14 / 5$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout acute, in younger animals shorter than the eye, in adults longer than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth, nearly straight or slightly convex; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile nearly straight or slightly convex on the head, convex on the nape; anterior suborbital bone obliquely pentagonal, length not or hardly greater than depth, lower margin oblique, convex, anterior and posterior lower margins generally concave, anterior margin oblique, posterior margin nearly vertical, upper margins concave (posterior margin much shorter than anterior margin) united into an acute, forward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a longitudinal crest ascending posteriorly; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length about twice as great as height, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye, contained nearly 3 to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels slightly longer than nasal barbels, slightly longer to considerably longer than the eye; lips very broad, fleshy, transversely striped on the oral surface, upper lip protracted into a lobe which generally is obtusely rounded, lower lip into a lobe, generally longer than that of the upper lip, obtusely or acutely rounded; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse well visible tubercle, underside without visible pores; gill cover ray-like rugose, width contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2, on the chewing surface partly rugose-tuberculate; scapula obtusely or slightly acutely rounded, obliquely




Fig. 103. Labeobarbus tambroides Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXIII, TL figure 320 mm .
truncate posteriorly; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins obtusely ridged; back elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; scales on the free half and basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes; 24 to 26 scales in the lateral line, 9 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $4(31 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, middle and posterior scales in medial row nearly equal, larger than anterior scales, but not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line slightly curved, sloping downward anteriorly, nearly straight posteriorly, not or hardly reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, hardly lower to considerably lower than the body, twice to much less than twice as high as base length, spine medium-sized, posteriorly totally glabrous, without teeth, with the flexible part slightly longer to considerably longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $51 / 2$ to 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not or hardly reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not or hardly reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, in younger animals hardly emarginate, in adults not emarginate, considerably lower to not lower than dorsal fin, more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, nearly totally cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, nearly equal, contained $33 / 4$ to $41 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part silver; total body sometimes orange-green; iris yellow, upper part dark; all scales on the body towards the base with a membrane with a metallic copper or violetish splendid conspicuous sheen; fins yellowish or pink or, but more rarely, slightly olive, frequently more or less speckled with dark.
B. 3. D. $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$. P. $1 / 15$ or $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Tambra and Hampal Sundan.
Hab. Java (Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Tjipanas, Banjubiru, Ngantang), in rivers.
Sumatra (Benkulen, Padang, Meninju, Solok, Pajakombo, Lahat), in rivers and lakes.
Length of 14 specimens $88^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $430^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Labeobarbus tambroides Blkr. constantly differs from Labeobarbus tambra 388 by the presence of the upper lip lobe, which already is much developed in the smallest specimens, and by a larger and more pointed lower lip lobe. Moreover various other differences exist, which however are not apparent in specimens of different sizes. Labeobarbus tambroides always has one to four scales more in the lateral line, the back higher and more angular, and the profile of the head less or even not at all convex. The older the specimens are, the more the differences become apparent. In two of my specimens of both species of $430^{\prime \prime \prime}$ [TL], one perceives at first glance various differences, apart from those of the lip structure and squamation. The specimen of Labeobarbus tambra has a remarkably more slender body and head and simultaneously has a remarkably more concave snout. Some measurements taken with these specimens, relate to each other as follows.


On Java Labeobarbus tambroides is not as common as Labeobarbus tambra, however on the other hand it is not rare in the freshwater of West Sumatra, whereas I have not yet received Labeobarbus tambra from there.

Among the South Asiatic species of Labeobarbus there are several, which are equally very closely related to the species in question. To these belong especially Labeobarbus macrolepis Heck., Barbus tor Val. and Barbus progeneius McCl ., which all possess a very much developed lip lobe and only 25 to 27 scales in a longitudinal row.

However, Labeobarbus macrolepis Heck. distinguishes itself by a larger head, one scale more in the lateral line, 2 to 3 rays more in the pectoral fin, 1 ray less in the dorsal fin, a much longer anal fin, etc. - Barbus tor and Barbus progeneius have the same formula of the lateral line scales, but judging from the figure of Mr MacClelland there are only 3 scale rows above the lateral line in Barbus progeneius (including the one of the dorsal fin scale sheath) and this species moreover 889 has a much more slender body with a very low back, a much shorter dorsal fin and lower anal fin, etc., whereas Barbus tor in habitus seems to depart little from the species in question and mainly differs from it by its relatively larger head and thinner not lobe-shaped upper lip.

> Labeobarbus tambra Blkr,
> Descr. specier. Pisc. Jav. Nov. Nat. T. Ned. Ind. XIII p. 355. Vorstelijke Lipbarbeel [Royal Lip-barbel]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXII.


#### Abstract

A Labeobarbus with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained slightly over 4 to slightly over 5 times in its length, width contained 2 to $1^{1 / 2}$ times in its depth. Head slightly acute, convex, contained nearly 5 to $51 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly 4 to $41 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times, width contained $1^{33 / 4}$ to $1^{33 / 5}$ times in its length; eye diameter contained $3^{11 / 2}$ to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $1^{2} / 5$ to $2^{11 / 4}$ times in the postocular part of the head; distance between the eyes $1^{1 / 1 / 4}$ to $2^{1 / 3}$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acute, not to nearly twice as long as the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile on snout and nape convex, on forehead and crown nearly straight or slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone obliquely pentagonal, length not or hardly greater than depth, lower margin obliquely convex; anterior and posterior lower margins generally concave, anterior margin oblique, posterior margin nearly vertical, upper margins concave or slightly concave (posterior margin generally much shorter than anterior margin) united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a longitudinal crest strongly ascending posteriorly; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone quadrangular, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length twice to less than twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye or hardly anterior to the eye, contained 3 to $3^{1 ⁄ 4}$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, upper jaw barbels generally slightly longer than nasal barbels, slightly to much longer than the eye; lips very broad, fleshy, transversely striped on the oral surface, upper lip not lobed, lower lip protracted into a medium-sized, broad, obtuse lobe; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse, short tubercle, underside on both branches with several conspicuous pores, placed in a longitudinal row, not always visible; gill cover ray-like rugose, width contained $12 / 3$ to nearly 2 times in its depth, lower margin slightly concave to slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2, on the chewing surface tumid or rugose-tuberculate; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, slightly angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; back elevated, angular, much




Fig. 104. Labeobarbus tambra Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXII, Fig. 2. TL figure 330 mm .
higher than the belly; scales on the free half and basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes; 22 or 23 scales in the lateral line, 8 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $4(31 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, middle and posterior scales in medial row nearly equal, larger than anterior scales, but not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line slightly curved, lightly concave anteriorly, nearly straight posteriorly, not reaching or hardly reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, slightly lower to considerably lower than the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine thin, posteriorly totally glabrous, without teeth, with the flexible part not shorter to much shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $51 / 2$ to slightly over 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching 390 the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, generally convex, in older animals rounded at the tip, slightly lower to slightly higher than dorsal fin, more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, nearly completely cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, nearly equal, contained $41 / 4$ to about $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, or dark- or slightly-olive to olive ; flanks and lower part silver or golden-green; iris yellow, upper part dark; all scales on the body towards the base on the membrane with a metallic copper or violetish splendid conspicuous sheen; fins yellowish or faintly pink or, in old animals slightly olive or slightly violet.
B. 3. D. $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$, sometimes also $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$, seldom also $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. 5/17/5 or 7/17/7, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus tambra Val., Poiss. XVI p. 143; Heck., Fisch. Syriens p. 29.
Barbeau tambra Val., ibid.
Tambra Sundan.
Hab. Java (Buitenzorg, Lebak, Parongkalong, Bandung, Petengan, Kuningam, Lelles, Pandjallu, Banjubiru, Bator, Ngantang), in rivers and lakes.
Length of 9 specimens $150^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $652^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Mr Valenciennes in his description of this species does not mention the lobe-like elongated lower lip, which peculiarity he surely could not observe in the dry specimen examined by him.

The species is easy to distinguish from the related South Asiatic ones by the low number of scales in the lateral line. It has this character of only 22 or 23 lateral line scales in common with Labeobarbus douronensis, however in this species the lower lip lobe is so little developed, that it is hardly visible, whereas the body is remarkably higher in specimens of the same length, and the back more angular, with a simultaneous less convex profile of the snout.

Labeobarbus tambra occurs all over Java, but avoids the turbid river mouths. It is often kept in ponds, and reaches a length of more than three foot. Its meat is not unpalatable and is judged as very good in the interior of Java where one cannot get sea fish.

Labeobarbus soro Blkr. -Soro-Lipbarbeel [Soro-Lip-barbel]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XX

A Labeobarbus with an oblong or slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 4$ to 5 times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head slightly acute, convex, contained 5 to 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $1^{11 / 4}$ times in its length, width 2 to $12 / 3$ times; eye diameter contained $31 / 2$ to nearly 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 2$ to $13 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head; distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $12 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, opening nearly circular; snout slightly acutely convex, in younger animals shorter than the eye, in old animals longer than the eye, not sticking out in front of the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile convex on crown and nape, nearly straight or slightly concave only on the forehead; interorbital line nearly straight or slightly concave; anterior suborbital bone obliquely pentagonal, length not or hardly greater than depth, lower margin obliquely convex; 391 anterior and posterior lower margins concave, anterior margin oblique, posterior margin nearly vertical, upper margins concave (posterior margin much shorter than anterior margin) united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a longitudinal crest which ascends posteriorly; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length less than twice as great as depth, twice or less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior rim of the eye, contained nearly 3 to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, nasal barbels not or slightly longer than the eye, upper jaw barbels much longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse very conspicuous tubercle, underside without conspicuous pores; lips fleshy, transversely rugose on the oral surface, upper lip terete, not protracted, lower lip broad, simply backsheathed for the total width, broad between lateral sheaths, behind the symphysis fused with lower jaw; gill cover rugose ray-like, width contained $11 / 2$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior rim of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding 2.3.5/5.3.2, on the chewing surface rugosetuberculate; scapula triangular, obtusely rounded; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; back rather elevated, angular, higher than the belly; scales on the basal half and free half with longitudinal stripes or slightly ray-like stripes, 26 to 28 scales in the lateral line, 8 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $4(31 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 9 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, posterior scales not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line lightly curved, nearly reaching the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above or hardly anterior to the base of the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, only slightly lower than the body,


Fig. 105. Labeobarbus soro Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XX, Fig. 2. TL figure 284 mm .
much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, spine tapering, totally glabrous, with the flexible part not or only slightly longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $52 / 3$ to $53 / 4$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, not or hardly emarginate, in older animals convex, not much lower than dorsal fin, much more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe hardly longer than lower lobe, contained nearly 4 to $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part slightly olive to golden or silver; iris yellow; scales on back, flanks and tail each with a transverse, crescent-shaped, violet band at the base; fins yellowish or pink-greenish.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus soro Val., Poiss. XVI p. 144; Heck., Fisch Syr. p. 29; Blkr, Overz. ichth. faun.
Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. VIII p. 90
Barbeau soro Val., Poiss. XVI p. 144.
Soro Sundan; Wader Javan.
Hab. Java (Darma, Garut, Surakarta, Banjubiru), in rivers and ponds.
Sumatra (Benkulan, Solok, Padang), in rivers.
Length of 8 specimens $90^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $290^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Labeobarbus soro is found in many places in the interior of Java and reaches a length of almost a meter. I have observed specimens of that size in the ponds of Darma, a pleasure-ground of the old sultans of Cheribon at the south-eastern foot of the Tjermai, as well as in the so-called blue-water (Banjoebiroe) in the residence Pasuruan. These fishes are often kept 302 in ponds and very tame, so that they little shrink from people and come close to them to obtain food thrown to them. In many places, like in Banjubiru and Darma, they live in a kind of inviolability, as no native because of a kind of superstition will dare to take them out of the pond, while the fishes that leave the pond voluntarily are caught and consumed without any fear. This does not prevent however, that the soro in the ponds has dangerous enemies in some birds of prey, and
in the pond of Darma I saw various specimens, whose age was estimated by the inhabitants there as ca 100 years, with deep scars on the back, according to them the result of wounds inflicted by birds of prey.

Kuhl and Van Hasselt already recorded the Soro in the freshwater of Bantam.
However, the species was first described by Mr Valenciennes, but not extensive enough to distinguish it from its South Asiatic relative.

It its most closely related to Barbus putitora McCl ., Barbus hexagonolepis McCl . and Barbus macrocephalus McCl . From the last mentioned species it is easy to distinguish by its relatively much shorter head; - from Barbus hexagonolepis by its much more pointed profile and ordinary shape of the visible part of the scales; - from Barbus putitora similarly by its much more pointed head, which in Barbus putitora would be very blunt. It would not seem superfluous to me that it should be compared to Barbus putitora in more detail, as it could be only a cultured or climatic variety of it. The distinction with which this species was treated and still is treated by the Javanese and especially by the distinguished Javanese, does not make it entirely improbable that in the Hindu age of Java this species was brought here from Hindustan, whereas is also must be mentioned that this species also is found in the east of China.

> Labeobarbus douronensis Blkr. Semah-Lipbarbeel [Semah-Lip-barbel]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XXI.

A Labeobarbus with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $4^{1 / 4}$ to $42 / 3$ times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head slightly acutely convex, contained $51 / 5$ to nearly 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly 4 to $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $11 / 5$ to $11 / 3$ times, width contained $13 / 5$ to $14 / 5$ times in its length; eye diameter contained 3 to $4^{1 / 4}$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $12 / 5$ to 2 times in the postocular part of the head; distance between the eyes slightly more than once to $13 / 4$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, the opening nearly circular; snout slightly acutely convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth, in younger animals shorter than the eye, in old animals longer than the eye; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal profile on head and nape convex; interorbital line convex or nearly straight; anterior suborbital bone obliquely pentagonal, length not or hardly larger than height, lower margin obliquely convex; anterior and posterior lower margins concave, anterior margin oblique, posterior margin nearly vertical, upper margins concave (posterior margin much shorter than anterior margin) united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, traversed around the middle by a longitudinal crest ascending posteriorly; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely ${ }^{393}$ quadrangular, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length twice to much less than twice as great as depth, twice as low to much less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, strongly vertically downward protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye or hardly anterior to the eye, contained 3 times to $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head; gape slightly oblique; barbels thin, nasal barbels not to slightly longer than the eye, upper jaw barbels slightly to much longer than the eye; lower jaw at the symphysis with a conical, obtuse very conspicuous tubercle, underside without conspicuous pores; lips fleshy, transversely rugose on the oral surface, upper lip terete, not prolonged, lower lip broad, not lobed or lobed only over a very short distance, between the lateral folds behind the symphysis rather broadly fused with the lower jaw; gill cover ray-like rugose, width contained $12 / 3$ to $14 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior rim of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to slightly spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2, on the chewing surface rugose-tuberculate; scapula triangular,


Fig. 106. Labeobarbus douronensis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXI, Fig. 2. TL figure 276 mm.
obtusely rounded; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the flanks, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; back rather elevated, angular, higher than the belly; scales on the basal half and free half with longitudinal stripes or slightly ray-like stripes, 21 to 23 scales in the lateral line, 8 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $4\left(3^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 7 or 8 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in this row not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line curved, slightly to not descending between the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above or hardly anterior to the ventral fins, acute, emarginate, not much lower than the body, much less than twice as deep to nearly twice as high as base length, spine tapering, totally glabrous, with the flexible part slightly longer to not longer than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins slightly longer than ventral fins, contained $51 / 3$ to $53 / 4$ times in the length of the body, not or nearly reaching the ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching the anal fin; anal fin acute, not or hardly emarginate, in old animals slightly convex, not much lower than dorsal fin, much more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, bony only at the base; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, upper lobe slightly to not longer than lower lobe, contained nearly 4 to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part olive -golden or silver; iris yellow or red; scales on back, flanks and tail each with a oblong, diffuse, transverse violetish spot on the base; fins yellow-ish- pink or red.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$. P. $1 / 14$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus douronensis val., Poiss. XVI p. 141; Blkr, Overz. Ichth. Faun. v. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. VII p. 91.
Barbeau douro Val., Poiss. XVI p. 141
Barbus douronensis Heck., Fisch. Syr. P. 29.
Barbus tambra Schl., Verh. Over de vereischten van Natuurk. afbeeldingen p. 45 fig. 11. Sore Sund.; Wader Jav, Sund.; Semah Benkul.
Hab. Java (Buitenzorg, Tjitjurup, Tjitarik), in rivers. Sumatra (Benkulen, Telokbetong, Solok), in rivers.
Length of 20 specimens $98^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $350^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Build after the type of Barbus soro Val. and very closely related to it, Labeobarbus douronensis is distinguished from it principally by larger and less numerous scales on a longitudinal series. In specimens of medium age of both species it is moreover apparent, that in Labeobarbus soro the profiles 394 of head and back are stronger and more constantly convex, the body more slender and the upper jaw longer juvenile specimens of both species are difficult to distinguish in another way than by the number of scales.

I have no reasons for not placing my above described specimens in Barbus douro Val. I keep this name, although it is based on a misconception. The name "dourr" referred to by Mr Valenciennes, neither is the name of a place, nor of a species, but probably a corruption of a "wader", under which name the Javanese indicate various species.

Labeobarbus douronensis together with Labeobarbus tambra is the species of the genus which has the least scales in a longitudinal series. However, it moreover differs from Labeobarbus tambra by the not worth mentioning development of the lip lobe, a higher body, a more angular back and higher head with simultaneously more acute, hardly more convex snout, etc.

I know this species as far as Java is concerned only from the western part of the island or so called Sunda-lands, where it mainly inhabits the mountain streams.

I also note here that the figure of Mr Schlegel, referred to above, to me seems to concern the species in question and not Barbus tambra Val., at least not Labeobarbus tambra according to my description.

Hemibarbus Blkr. -<br>False barbel.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back low, not higher than the belly. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper jaw strongly downward protrusable. Barbels 2 , upper jaw barbels. Snout not or hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone elongate, with an acute, forward pointing tip. Eyes not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth slightly inferior, gape ending anterior to the eye, in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Lateral line slightly curved. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, the posterior simple ray bony, without teeth. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth strongly acute 4/4.

Remark. The abovementioned writers of the Fauna Japonica have produced two Japanese Cyprinoids as Gobio, although neither of these two species can be placed in that genus. One Gobio, described and depicted under the name ${ }^{395}$ of Gobio esocinus belongs to the genus Pseudogobio of the Labeonines. The other species, depicted and described under the name Gobio barbus, is more closely related to the genus Gobio, but is distinguished from it by its dorsal fin spine and single rowed pharyngeal teeth.

The genus in relationship stands between Cyclocheilichthys and Barbus. It would, be possible, also because of the shape of the anteriormost suborbital bone, to place it in the subgenus Siaja of Cyclocheilichthys, if the scale sheath of the dorsal fin and a branched ray in the anal fin were not lacking, characters whose weight is translated internally by only one row very sharp pharyngeal teeth.

From Barbus, at least from a series of species of this genus, the genus Hemibarbus differs externally only by the presence of only two barbels. I would consider it only as a subgenus of Barbus if not exactly also the dentition departed too much of Barbus.

Gobio barbus T. Schl. till now is the only species that can be placed in Hemibarbus.

## Pseudophoxinus Blkr. - <br> False smelt.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with small scales, back slightly elevated. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute, convex, not or hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth anterior, gape slightly oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Jaws equal. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Lateral line moderately curved. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins and ending rather far anterior to anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray bony, without teeth. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth with a rod-like neck 5/4.

Remark. I propose the genus Pseudophoxinus at the cost of the genus Phoxinellus Heck. in which Heckel placed two species which differ remarkably from each other. It differs from Phoxinellus by a totally different habitus of head and body, regular scales over the entire body and a bony not serrated dorsal spine. Phoxinellus zeregi Heck. is the only known species that can be placed in this genus till now.

## Rohteichthys Blkr. - <br> Siriding carp.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with small scales, back elevated, 396 angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper lip hardly protrusable. No barbels. Snout short, not prolonged. Anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, with an acute, forward pointing tip. Eyes not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, gape medium-sized, oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Lower jaw with a tubercle at the symphysis, slightly hooked at the tip. Single postlabial groove parallel to the margin of the mouth. Branchial opening ending below the eye. Nuchal scales staring anterior to gill cover. Lateral line running along the middle of the flanks. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind the base of the ventral fins, at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin with few rays, shorter than dorsal fin. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Ventral fins 2/9. Caudal fin scaled only at the base. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Remark. I base this genus on the species which I earlier named Systomus and Rohtee microlepis. It is very closely related to Rohtee Syk., but differs primarily from it by the poorly rayed anal fin and wider gape. The formula of the anal fin rays $=3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$ is entirely that of Systomus, Labeobarbus and related genera, whereas that formula in Rohtee ( $=17$ to 47 ) answers more to that of Abramis.

Rohteichthys microlepis till now is the only known species of the genus.

> Rohteichthys microlepis Blkr. Kleinschubbige Siriding-karper [Small scaled Siriding Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab XLVII.

A Rohteichthys with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $31 / 3$ to 4 times in its length, width contained $21 / 2$ to 3 times in its depth. Head acute, contained slightly over 4 to $41 / 2$ times in length


Fig. 107. Rohteichthys microlepis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XL, Fig. 3. TL figure 295 mm.
of body with caudal fin, $3^{2} / 5$ to $33 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to $13 / 5$ times in its length, width $21 / 6$ to $2 \frac{1}{4}$ times; eye diameter contained 3 to 4 times in the length of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once to slightly more than once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening circular; snout acute, slightly convex, in younger animals slightly shorter than the eye, in old animals not shorter than the eye, not protruding anterior to the snout; nostrils close to the orbit; rostro-dorsal profile strongly concave between snout and nape; interorbital line slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone triangular, tip acute, pointing forward, rounded posteriorly, length much greater than depth, with a longitudinal, nearly horizontal ridge on the lower half; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone 3 times to more than 3 times as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; upper jaw slightly shorter than lower jaw, strongly downward and forward protrusable, contained about $23 / 5$ times in the length of the head, ending slightly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye; gape strongly oblique; lower jaw strongly ascending, at the symphysis with a slightly conical, low tubercle; lips broad, especially the lower lip, thin, simple; lower jaw on the lower part of each branch with 6 to 8 conspicuous pores, 397 placed in one longitudinal row; width of gill cover contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in its height, lower margin slightly convex or nearly straight; gill opening ending below the pupil. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped to grinding, 2.3.5/5.3.2; scapular bone triangular, obtuse; back strongly elevated, angular, much higher than the belly; belly flat anterior to the ventral fins, angular at the flanks; behind the ventral fins acutely ridged; scales without longitudinal stripes or with hardly visible longitudinal stripes, 70 to 72 scales in the lateral line, 26 or 27 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which 13 or 14 above the lateral line, 28 to 30 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in five longitudinal rows, nearly equal in size; lateral line nearly straight, sloping downward only anteriorly, not descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a short, simple tube generally surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin, closer to anal fin than to ventral fins, acute, emarginate, depth contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $12 / 5$ times in the depth of the body, much higher than base length, spine thick, posteriorly serrated with large teeth, with the flexible part no shorter or not much shorter than the head; pectoral fins slightly acutely rounded, ventral fins slightly obtusely rounded, nearly equal in length, contained $51 / 2$ to 6 times in the length of the body, pectoral fins reaching or slightly surpassing the base of the ventral fins; anal fin acute, emarginate, about twice as low as the body, much less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray bony only on the basal half; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute,
contained $32 / 3$ to more than 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, lower part silver; iris yellow; tail with a round, blackish, diffuse spot close to the middle of the base of the caudal fin; fins yellowish- pink, dorsal fin with a margin of dense, dark speckles.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 9$. A $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 16$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, the short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus microlepis Blkr, Bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. I p. 12.
Systomus microlepis Blkr, Derde bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. II p. 60.
Rohtee microlepis Blkr, Enum. spec. pisc. Arch. Ind. p. 153.
Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin), in rivers.
Sumatra (Lahat, Palembang), in rivers.
Length of 3 specimens $95^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $308^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The physiognomy of this species in many ways is similar to that of Rohtee Vigorsii Syk., however according to my present view it belongs to a proper generic type, primarily because of its little rayed anal fin.

I described it originally in March 1850, after a juvenile specimen of only $95^{\prime \prime \prime}$ length from Bandjermasin, under the name of Barbus microlepis and placed it later in the genus Systomus. Since then I received a few larger ad excellent preserved specimens, which enabled me to remarkably improve my earlier description in many ways.

Rohtee Syk.,<br>Fish of the Dukhun, Transact. Zoöl. Soc. II p. $364=$<br>Osteobrama Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 43. -<br>Roнtee.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with small or medium-sized scales, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout short, not prolonged. Eyes not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior or slightly anterior, gape short, oblique, ending 398 anterior to the eye. Lower jaw with a tubercle at the symphysis, slightly hooked at the tip. Gill opening wide. Nuchal scales starting above or anterior to gill cover. Lateral line running along the middle of the flanks. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above or behind the base of the ventral fins, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin elongate, with many rays. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Caudal fin scaled only at the base. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped, 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Remark. Colonel Sykes erected this genus in the year 1838 in his treatise "on the Fishes of the Dukhun" however he gave it the following insufficient definition: "Carps with a lozenge shaped body, rather long dorsal and anal fins, the former seated on the angle of the back, with the first complete ray serrated posteriorly; scales minute". He placed four species from Deccan in it, i.e. Rohtee Ogelbii Syk., Rohtee Vigorsii Syk., Rohtee pangut Syk. and Rohtee ticto Syk. The two last mentioned species answer not even to the definition, which Mr Sykes himself gave of his genus Rohtee and indeed belong to the genus Systomus, as it is defined in this work.

Heckel reformed the genus Rohtee of Mr Sykes and gave it the name Osteobrama with a more distinct definition as follows: "dentes cochleariformes 2.3.5/5.3.2. Os subinferum, obliquum; labia teretia; cirri nulli. Pinna dorsalis brevis, radio osseoserrato, vel ante super pinnas ventrales incipiens; analis basi nelongata. Corpus compressum. Tractus intestinalis 2 long. Corp." [teeth spoon-shaped 2.3.5/5.3.2. Mouth slightly inferior, oblique, lips terete, no barbels. Dorsal fin short with a bony, serrated ray, beginning
in front of or above the ventral fins. Intestinal tract $2-2^{1 / 2}$ times as long as the body.] Heckel placed in this genus both species of Rohtee depicted by Mr Sykes, as well as Cyprinus cotis Buch.

On p 56 of the $17^{\text {th }}$ volume of the large Histoire naturelle Mr Valenciennes similarly described a species of Rohtee under the name Leuciscus Duvaucelii, however, in fig. 488 depicted under the name Leuciscus Alfredianus and under this name also mentioned in the table of contents of the $17^{\text {th }}$ volume, which species is a totally different one from that which in the same volume on $p 71$ similarly is described under the name Leuciscus Duvaucelii and depicted on tab 491. I am surprised that Mr Valenciennes has placed Leuciscus Duvaucelii on p. 58 in his genus Leuciscus, as he himself says of it "Le premier rayon de la dorsale est fort et un peu dentelé." [the first ray of the dorsal fin is strong and slightly serrated] As I have demonstrated it can be placed in Systomus.

I am inclined to accept that Leuciscus rhomboidalis Val. described after a Chinese illustration, similarly belongs to Rohtee.

For the rest the five species differ still rather considerably from each other. The formula of the anal fin rays differs considerably $=47$ ?, $36,36,28$ and 17. 309 The number of scales seems to vary between 50 and more than 70 . The snout varies from rather blunt and convex to pointed, and the mouth opening from more or less inferior to entirely terminal.

Acanthobrama Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. $43=$<br>Trachibrama Heck. -<br>Spine bream.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with medium-sized or small scales, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper jaw slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout short, not prolonged. Mouth anterior, gape oblique. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind the base of the ventral fins, posterior simple ray bony, without teeth. Anal fin with many rays, longer than dorsal fin. Lateral line moderately curved. Nuchal scales starting above gill cover or preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth with a rod-like neck $5 / 5$, smooth.

Remark. This genus forms a link between the Barbels and the Breams. Heckel has made known four species from Syria, Acathiobrama marmid, Acanthobrama cupida, Acanthobrama centisquama and Acanthobrama arrhada. Mr Basilewski has added to this two more species from China and Mongol, under the names Abramis pekinensis and Abramis mantschuricus, which species mainly distinguish themselves by larger scales from the Syrian ones.

Rhodeus Ag.,
Mem. Neuchat. I 1836; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 26.; Heck. Kner, Fisch. oestr. Mon. p. 100. Bitterling.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales, back and elevate belly convex. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout obtuse, short, convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth nearly terminal, gape small, oblique. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin slightly elongate, but with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending above anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, without teeth. Anal fin equal in length to dorsal fin, with few or
with many rays. Lateral line visible only on the anterior part of the body. Nuchal scales starting behind the eye. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like 5/5.

400 Remark. The genus Rhodeus to me seems to be most closely related to Acanthobrama Heck., from which it differs only by the relatively long dorsal fin with very slender spine, the short, only in the posterior shoulder area visible lateral line and by the knife-like shape of the pharyngeal teeth.

The latest diagnosis of the genus known to me is that of Heckel and Kner in their work on the fishes of the Austrian monarchy. The there presented illustration of the only known species of the genus with regard to the dorsal fin does not answer to the diagnosis of Heckel and Kner, as this fin starts behind the pelvic fins and is even largely situated above the anal fin. The figure of Rhodeus amarus Ag. in Bloch seems to be more accurate concerning this.

Chanodichthys Blkr. = Leptocephalus Basil.,
Ichth. Chin. Boreal in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Impér. Natural. Moscou X 1855 p. 234. Bandang carp.

Body oblong-elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales, unequal in size, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute. Mouth anterior, gape oblique. Lower jaw not protruding anterior to upper jaw, low, not hooked at the symphysis. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, without teeth. Anal fin with many rays, longer than dorsal fin. Swimbladder trilobed. Lateral line slightly curved. Nuchal scales starting above gill cover. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales.

Remark. The genus Chanodichthys in relationship stands between Acanthobrama and Culter. Mr Basilewski mentions it under the name Leptocephalus Pall., a name unknown to me as a Cyprinioid genus and also not included in the Nomenclator of Mr Agassiz and which moreover became current as a genus from the Helmichthyoid family. Therefore I had to choose another genus name which I have derived from the undeniable resemblance in habitus of the typical species (Leptocephalus mongolicus Basil.) to the genus Chanos. It seems to me that Leuciscus aethiops Basil. despite it shorter anal fin belongs to Chanodichthys as well or at least to a very closely related type.

## 401 Pseudoculter Blkr. - <br> Thorn-knife carp.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales, back low. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout short, not prominent. Mouth superior, gape slightly vertical. Lower jaw protruding anterior to upper jaw, narrow. Belly not ridged. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, without teeth. Anal fin with many rays, longer than dorsal fin. Lateral line running along the middle of the flanks, hardly curved. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Swim-bladder bilobed.

Remark. I exclude Culter pekinensis Basil. and Culter exiguus Basil. from the genus Culter based on the not keeled belly, the hardly curved lateral line that is situated on the
middle of the flank, and the bipartite swimbladder, characters, probably accompanied by others of importance, which cannot be gathered from the short description of Mr Basilewski. The known ones however, are in themselves already of enough value to place these species in a genus different from Culter. It seems to be closely related to Chanodichthys.

Hemiculter Blkr. -<br>Bone-knife carp.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized or small scales, back low. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout short, not prominent. Mouth anterior or slightly superior, gape oblique. Lower jaw hardly protruding anterior to upper jaw. Belly not ridged. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, without teeth. Anal fin truncated. Lateral line maximally down-folded anteriorly, next very close to the ventral line, curved upward posteriorly. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Swim-bladder bilobed.

Remark. The species briefly described by Mr Basilwski under the name Culter leucisculus is neither a Culter, nor a Pseudoculter. It must differ from the species of these genera as Mr Basilewski expresses himself "nucha non abbreviata, maxilla inferiore Leucisco simili" [nape not shortened, lower jaw similar to Leuciscus], whereas the "pinna analis abbreviata" [the shortened anal fin] and the strongly curved lateral line, just like in Chela, similarly makes them depart remarkably from it. Therefore I have provisionally 402 placed it under a proper genus name, which genus I suspect, after a more detailed knowledge of the species, to be a natural one.

> Aulopyge Heck.,
> Fish. Syr. p. 31: Susswasserf oestreich. Monarch. p. 95. -
> Ukliva or Ostrul.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, not covered with scales. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Snout acute, prominent. Simple nostrils on both sides. Gape inferior, in shape reminding of a horse shoe, when the mouth is closed. Lower jaw shorter than upper jaw. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, serrated. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth scalpriform 4/4. Female with a fleshy process attached to the first rays of the anal fin, perforated by urogenital and anal canal.

Remark. Of this peculiar genus till now is only known the species, first described and depicted by Heckel in the above named work under the name Aulopyge Hügelii. The female of Aulogype has a cloaca tube anterior in the anal fin from which the generic name is derived.

Meda Gir.,<br>Cypr. Fish. Unit. Stat., Proceed. Acad. Nat. Sc. Philad. VIII p. 191. Bare carp.

Body oblong, compressed, bare, scaleless. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout not or hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth nearly terminal, with an oblique gape ending below the eye. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line hardly curved. Belly not keeled.

Dorsal fin with few rays, starting slightly behind ventral fins, posterior simple ray solid, bony, posteriorly grooved but without teeth. Anal fin? Isthmus narrow. Pharyngeal teeth thin, prehensile, 1.4/4.1, no chewing surface.

Remark. Meda seems to be a natural and peculiar genus, which however, just like the Meda fulgida Gir., the only known species, was only briefly described by Mr Girard. Neither from the genus nor from the species anything is mentioned concerning the anal fin. In habitus the genus seems to resemble Phoxinus and Phoxinella.

408 Chedrus Swains.,
Nat. Hist. Fish. II (1839) p. 285 = Pachystomus Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 48. partly. -Cell-jaw carp.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back low. Jaws covered by lips, upper jaw slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth anterior, gape oblique, ending anterior to or below the eye. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Snout and jaws with very densely packed, hexagonal cells. Suborbital bones maximally developed. Scapular bone maximally developed. Thoracic triangle scaleless. Lateral line moderately curved. Belly not keeled anterior to ventral fins. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending above the beginning of the anal fin, with few rays, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, not longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth hooked with a rod-like neck 4.5/5.4.

Remark. Swainson erected this genus based on the species depicted in the illustrations of Indian Zoology under the name Cyprinus chedra Hamilt., but he described it only with a few words "Jaws equal; tuberculated; dorsal fin placed very near to the caudal". The species itself he named Chedrus Grayi.

The honey-comb-like cells, not only on the snout, but also on the lips or jaws, the chest area being partly scaleless and the extraordinary development of the suborbital bones and of the scapula, in my opinion give every right in relation to the remaining characters to see in Chedrus a very natural genus that can be sharply distinguished from related ones like Leuciscus, Alburnus etc.

Heckel did not seem to have noted the genus Chedrus Swns. and placed the species which belonged to it, in his genus Pachystomus. This genus however is not acceptable as too indefinitely described. In any case the name Chedrus, which was already proposed in the year 1839, should be retained. Heckel placed 8 species in his genus Pachystomus, however, knew none of these from nature. Those species therefore belong partly to Chedrus and partly to Morara and the subgenus Shacra of the genus Opsarius.

> Plargyrus Raf.,
> Ichth. Oh.; Gir., Cypr. Fish. Un. Stat. Proc. Ac. Nat. Sc. Phil. VIII p.195. Hypsolepis Baird. - Bare-brest carp.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large, high, short scales, 404 back rather elevated. Jaws equal, covered by thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth anterior, gape medium-sized, oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line slightly curved. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above or anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, with few rays, posterior
simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, not or only slightly longer than dorsal fin. Thoracic region scaleless. Isthmus narrow. Pharyngeal teeth prehensile, compressed 2.4/4.2., provided with a chewing surface.

Remark. Judging from the beautiful illustration of Leuciscus frontalis Ag., which is placed in Plargyrus, Plargyrus is related to Chedrus Swns. Both because of the bareness of the chest and the large pores or cells on the forehead, snout and lower jaw. Mr Girard sums up 6 species of this genus, however from none of these species I find anything described about the being scaled or not scaled of the chest area, which therefore must be further investigated.

Catla Val.,<br>Hist. nat. poiss. XVII p. $305=$ Gibelion Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 24 ex parte $=$ Spoon-Jaw carp.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales, back elevated, angular. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute, slightly depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone oblong-rounded, on the longitudinal diameter nearly horizontal. Other suborbital bones thin. Mouth anterior, oblique gape ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, moderately protrusable. Lower jaw with wide, slightly spoon-shaped branches, not shorter than upper jaw, symphysis without tubercle. Single postlabial groove parallel to the free margin of the lower jaw, in shape reminding of a horse shoe. Lower lip back-folded, hanging from the total free margin of the jaw. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly anterior to ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin with few rays, much shorter than dorsal fin. Lateral line strongly curved. 405 Gill opening wide, ending below the eye. Gill covers not rugose. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated, on the chewing surface obliquely truncate, flat, at the tip lightly hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2.

Remark. The genus Catla was proposed by Mr Valenciennes, and indeed can be considered as a very natural genus. It is related to my genera Thynnichthys and Hypophthalmichthys, however easy to separate from these by its many rayed dorsal fin and the lower lip which is folded back along the entire edge of the jaw. Some specimens which I posses have given me the opportunity to take the above mentioned characters from nature. As a generic character probably can be added the posteriorly triangular relatively very broad upper jaw bone.

## Hypophthalmichthys Blkr. -Low-eye carp.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales, back elevated, angular. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute, slightly depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone oblong-oval or triangular, directed obliquely downward and backward. Mouth anterior [terminal], oblique gape ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, moderately protrusable. Lower jaw with elevated branches, longer than upper jaw, with a hardly visible tubercle at the symphysis. Eyes posterior or inferior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, with few rays, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin with many rays, much longer than dorsal fin. Lateral line strongly curved. Gill covers strongly ray-like rugose.

Remark. I base the genus Hypophthalmichthys on three closely related East- Asiatic species, known in science under the names Leuciscus molitrix Val., Leuciscus nobilis Gray and Cephalus mantschuricus Basil.

The genus belongs to the group of Catla and Thynnichthys, but distinguishes itself; - from the first by the short dorsal fin, which starts behind the pelvic fins and the on the contrary multi-rayed anal fin, which is much longer than the dorsal fin (which in Catla is exactly the reverse) and probably also by the differently formed posterior lip groove; - 406 from Thynnichthys, to which it is most closely related, similarly because it has a dorsal fin that starts behind the pelvic fin and is much shorter than the anal fin, by wrinkly radiated gill covers, a strongly curved lateral line, totally posterior to even inferior eyes and the prognathous lower jaw.

## Thynnichthys Blkr. - <br> Tunny carp.

Body oblong-elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales, back elevated, angular. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute, slightly depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, tip pointing downward. Mouth anterior, oblique gape ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, moderately protrusable. Lower jaw with slender branches, slightly obliquely compressed, not shorter than upper jaw, with a small tubercle at the symphysis. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel to the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Eyes posterior or slightly superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, with few rays, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin with few rays, shorter than dorsal fin. Lateral line hardly curved. Gill opening ending below gill cover. Gill covers not rugose. Pharyngeal teeth masticatory, aggregated, on the chewing surface obliquely truncate, flat, 2.4.5/5.4.2.

Remark. I base the genus Thynnichthys on two species from Borneo and Sumatra, which are remarkable because of their Tuna-like habitus. In relationship the genus is close to Catla. The small mouth slit, the not concave upper jaw and not hook-like lower jaw, and the pavement-like pharyngeal jaw teeth point at its more peaceful habits than those of Rasbora, Opsarius, Aspius, etc. For the rest it differs from Catla in several characters. In Catla the dorsal fin is multi-rayed ( $4 / 14$ or $4 / 15$ ), the lower jaw strongly developed and has adopted a spoon-like shape, the lower lip is broad and folded downwards from the entire free edge of the lower jaw, while the very broad gill opening runs till below the eyes and the pharyngeal teeth, although they belong to the dentes aggregati, have the masticating surfaces slightly concave and the points somewhat hook-like.

Both my species can be recognized as follows.

407 I. 70 to 75 scales in the lateral line, 17 or 18 above the lateral line. Depth of body contained $41 / 4$ to $42 / 5$ times in its length.

## Thynnichthys polylepis Blkr.

II. 58 to 60 scales in the lateral line, 12 above the lateral line. Depth of body contained about 5 times in its length.

# Thynnichthys polylepis Blkr. Veelschubbige Tonijnkarper [Much scaled Tunny Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. LI fig. 4. 

A Thynnichthys with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 4$ to $42 / 5$ times in its length, width contained slightly over 3 times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained $42 / 5$ to $43 / 5$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $31 / 3$ to $31 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its length, width 2 to slightly over 2 times in its length; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained 3 to $33 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ to nearly 2 times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to $11 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, on the forehead not or hardly concave, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, in younger animals considerably shorter than the eye, in old animals slightly shorter, tip located anterior to the middle of the eye, anterior profile rounded, slightly crescentshaped; nostrils not or hardly closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hardly twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone triangular or slightly pentagonal-heartshaped, width larger than length (height), base pointing upward and slightly forward, sides convex or with an obtuse angle, united into a slightly acute downward and backward pointing angle, no visible crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as height; about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones low, $3^{\text {rd }}$ bone broader than $4^{\text {st }}$ bone, far removed from the posterior margin of the preoperculum; jaws equal, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw thin, hidden below nasal bones, moderately downward protrusable, not emarginate at the symphysis, ending anterior to the eye, contained $41 / 2$ to 5 times in the length of the head; lower jaw not emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself hardly tuberculate or hooked; branches slightly obliquely compressed, underside with little conspicuous pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, without conspicuous transverse stripes, groove in the upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth to the inframaxillary symphysis, gape moderately oblique; width of gill cover contained $13 / 5$ to $12 / 3$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill membrane behind the gill cover prolonged into an obtusely rounded lobe; gill opening ending below the anterior part of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth aggregated, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each strongly compressed, with an obliquely truncate, flat chewing surface; dorsal line of the body higher than convex ventral line; belly


Fig. 108. Thynnichthys polylepis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLI, Fig. 1. TL figure 173 mm .
flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, unequal in size on the total body, dorsal scales not smaller than those on the flanks, with numerous concentric stripes, well visible with the aid of a lens, on the basal half no longitudinal stripes visible with the naked eye, on the free half none or sparse stripes, 70 to 75 scales in the lateral line, about 33 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which 17 or 18 above the lateral line, about 30 in a longitudinal row between the occiput and the dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in 7 to 9 longitudinal rows, 408 scales in all rows unequal in size; lateral line curved anteriorly, broad, obliquely descending to a point behind the ventral fins, nearly straight posteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube generally surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, obtusely rounded; dorsal fin starting hardly anterior to ventral fins, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, not lower or not much lower than the body, much deeper but much less than twice as high as base length, simple $4^{\text {th }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, not much shorter than the head; pectoral fins scaleless at the base, acute, not or hardly longer than ventral fins, contained $61 / 2$ to 7 times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin scaleless, acute, emarginate, considerably less than twice as low as dorsal fin, rather much less than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray slender, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe slightly longer than upper lobe, contained $35 / 6$ to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body bluish-green, lower part silver; iris yellowish, upper part dark; lobe of gill membrane with a dark postopercular spot; fins faintly pink, dorsal and caudal fin more or less speckled with dark.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$ or $4 / 10$. P. $1 / 17$ or $1 / 18$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 8$ or $6 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 3 specimens $88^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $181^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. In my contributions to the ichthyology of Borneo, the species in question is mentioned (not described) as Leuciscus thynnoides. Till now I did not receive Thynnichthys thynnoides from Borneo and the mentioning of it amongst the fishes of Borneo was based on the fact that I had not examined the species in question in detail and took it for the same as Thynnichthys thynoides. However it certainly is different from the last mentioned, and distinguished by remarkably more scales on a longitudinal and a transverse row and moreover by a higher body and a higher and less acute head.

> Thynnichtys thynnoides Blkr. Slanke Tonijnkarper [Slender Tunny Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. LI fig. 2.

A Thynnichthys with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained about 5 times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained about $41 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $31 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained $12 / 5$ times, width contained slightly over 2 times in its length; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained about 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about twice in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about $11 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on the head, slightly concave on the forehead, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, not or hardly shorter than the eye, tip located anterior to the middle of the eye, anterior profile rounded with an
obtuse angle; nostrils hardly closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hardly twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone triangular heart-shaped, width slightly larger than length (height), base upward and slightly forward pointing; sides convex, united into a rounded, downward and backward pointing angle, middle traversed by a longitudinal crest, the underside sprouting branches and descending downward and backward; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongatequadrangular, much higher 409 anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones low, $3^{\text {rd }}$ bone broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ bone, far removed from the posterior margin of the preoperculum; jaws equal, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw thin, hidden below the nasal bones, moderately downward protrusable, not emarginate at the symphysis, ending anterior to the eye, contained slightly over 4 times in the length of the head; lower jaw not emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself hardly tuberculate, not hooked; branches slightly obliquely compressed, lower part without visible pores; lips thin, without visible transverse stripes, groove in the upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth to the symphysis of the lower jaw; gape moderately oblique; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 5$ times in its height, lower margin nearly straight; gill membrane behind the gill cover prolonged into a rounded lobe, ; gill opening ending below the anterior part of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth aggregated 2.4.5/5.4.2, each strongly compressed, with an obliquely truncate, flat chewing surface; dorsal line of the body convex, higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, dorsal scales hardly smaller than those on the flanks, scales on the flanks unequal in size everywhere on the body, with numerous concentric stripes, well visible with the aid of a lens, on the basal half no longitudinal stripes visible with the naked eye, on the free half none or sparse stripes, 58 to 60 scales in the lateral line, 20 or 21 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which 12 above the lateral line, about 25 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line anteriorly with a broad curve, strongly obliquely descending to a point behind the ventral fins, nearly straight posteriorly, not much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dor-


Fig. 109. Thynnichthys thynnoides Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLII, Fig. 1. TL figure 131 mm . [N.B. In the Atlas Ichtyologue Cypr. Tab XIX, Fig. 2, a second, lager specimen of this species is figured as well.]
sal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, obtusely rounded; dorsal fin starting above ventral fins, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, hardly lower than the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, the $4^{\text {th }}$ ray simple, thin, cartilaginous, considerably shorter than the head; pectoral fins scaleless at the base, acute, not longer than ventral fins, nearly reaching ventral fins, contained about 7 times in the length of the body, the simple ray slender; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin scaleless, acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin, but much less than twice as low, much higher than base length, but much less than twice as high, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe slightly longer than upper lobe, contained about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body bluish-green or olive, lower part silver; iris yellowish, upper part dark; lobe of gill membrane with a dark postopercular spot; fins faintly pink, dorsal and caudal fin more or less speckled with dark.
B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 18$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus thynnoides Blkr, Diagn. beschr. vischs. Sumatra, Tient. I-IV, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 599.
Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $135^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I discovered this species in the year 1852 and described it under the name of Leuciscus thynnoides after the only specimens that I possess of it. It seems to be very rare.

> Amblypharyngodon Blkr. $=$ Mola Heck., Fisch Syr. Addend. et corrig. p. 257. Blunt teeth carr.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with 410 small scales, back slightly elevated, angular. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute, slightly depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone triangular, tip pointing downward. Mouth anterior, oblique gape ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, moderately protrusable. Lower jaw not shorter than upper jaw, branches thin, flat, slightly obliquely compressed, at the symphysis with a small tubercle. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel with the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Eyes posterior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins and ending hardly anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, with few rays, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin with few rays, shorter than dorsal fin. Lateral line hardly curved. Gill opening ending below the eye. Gill cover not rugose. Pharyngeal teeth molariform, on the chewing surface oblong-rounded and transversely rugose, 1.2.3/3.2.1.

Remark. The genus Amblypharyngodon was already recognized by Heckel. In the addenda and corrigenda of his Fische Syriens he mentioned by the way that Cyprinus mola Buch. belonged to a proper genus, which he named Mola and briefly characterized with the words "Dentes semilunares [crescent-shape teeth] 1.2.3/3.2.1." As the name Mola was already given to a genus of Gymnodonts (Mola Risso), it cannot be retained, reason why I propose that of Amblypharyngodon. I first was of the opinion that the species belonging to Thynnichthys could be placed in Amblypharyngodon and indeed they are most closely related to them. However, the genus Thynnichthys has a differently build dentition, a character that is externally translated in the placement of
the dorsal fin anterior or above the pelvic fins and its ending far anterior to the anal fin, as well a the small mouth slit ending under the gill cover.

As belonging to the genus Amblypharyngodon I count Cyprinus mola Buch. and moreover Leuciscus microlepis from Bengal and Leuciscus melettina Val. from Bombay.

Devario Heck.,<br>Fische syriens p. 25, Nachtr. p. 184. Devari.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales, back and belly strongly convex. Jaws covered by terete, simple lips. 411 No barbels. Snout short, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth nearly terminal, gape small. Anal sheath not covered with larger scales. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin elongate, with many rays, largely placed opposite the elongate, with many rays anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Lateral line strongly curved, close to the ventral line. Nuchal scales starting behind the eye. Pharyngeal teeth.???

Remark. After Heckel had erected in 1842 the genus Devario after Cyprinus devario Buch. and Perilampus ostreographus McCl ., species which he did not know from nature, he reviewed the genus in 1847 and placed therein, apart from both mentioned ones, still 6 Japanese species described and depicted by Mr Schlegel under the name Capoëta. The naturalness of the genus was spoiled because of this, as two of these Japanese species, Capoëta elongata T. Schl. and Capoëta gracilis T. Schl. belong to the genus Gnathopogon and the 4 others to the genus Acheilognathus.

I accept Devario in the sense that was original given to it by Heckel, and add yet two species, Devario McClellandi and Devario cyanotaenia, which are depicted in the Indian Cyprinidae but not described.

As I was not able to investigate any species from nature and the structure of the lips and dentition are not described, the placement of the genus is still uncertain, and it would not surprise me if it would belong to the Phalacrognathes and indeed near Acheilognathus.

Luciosoma Blkr, Nalez. vischf. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX p. 236. Pike carp

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back very low, broad. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper jaw slightly protrusable. Barbels 4 , fleshy nasal and upper jaw barbels or no barbels. Snout acute, not protruding anterior to the mouth, tip located anterior to the eye. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, tip acute, pointing upward. Posterior suborbital bones much more developed than anterior bones. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, with a broad, oblique gape ending below the eye. Upper jaw emarginate at the symphysis. Lower jaw not or hardly shorter than upper jaw, hooked at the symphysis, the hook entering the intermaxillary incision. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel to the free margin of the jaw, not united 412 with the groove on the opposite side. Scales on the body nearly equal in size, nuchal scales starting far behind the eye. Belly not keeled. Ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly. Lateral line strongly curved, much closer to the ventral line than to the dorsal line. Dorsal fin with few rays, largely located
above the anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins longer than the head, the first ray solid. Anal fin with few rays, not or not much longer than dorsal fin. Gill opening ending below the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked, slightly spoon-shaped or predatory 2.4.4/4.4.2 or 2.4.5/5.4.2.

Subg. Luciosoma Blkr. Nasal and upper jaw barbels.
" Trinematichthys Blkr. No barbels.

Remark. I based this genus on the species described and depicted by Mr Valenciennes under the name Barbus setigerius. That species however, has not much relationship with the genus Barbus, but on the contrary in organization stands closer to Aspius and Chela and can be considered as a transition between those genera. The genus is characterized by its more or less pike-like slender body, its low back, dorsal fin implanted partly above the anal fin, a not keeled belly, a low fleshy thick back, a wide gape reaching till below the eyes, a hooked lower jaw and concave upper jaw, relatively very broad posterior suborbital bones, long pectoral fins, three rowed pharyngeal teeth, etc.

Apart from Barbus setigerius I possess two more species of this genus, one closely related to Luciosoma setigerum, the other remarkable because of the complete absence of barbels and earlier described by me under the name Leuciscus trinema. This is a species however, which in general structure of body, head and fins answers completely to Luciosoma, and which I only on the basis of the absence of barbels have placed in a subgenus, which I propose to name Trinemathichthys.

I used to be of the opinion that the genus is endemic to the Sunda Islands, but from a figure of Count Francis de Castelnau I gather that it also occurs in Siam, just like various other genera that till very recently were only known from the Sunda Islands.

The species of my collection can easily be separated from each other as follows.
I. Nasal and upper jaw barbels (Subg. Luciosoma)
A. 42 or 43 scales in the lateral line, 6 above the lateral line.
a. Blackish head-tail band. Caudal fin with on a blackish longitudinal band on both lobes. Ventral fin prolonged into a rather long thread.

Luciosoma (Luciosoma) setigerum Blkr.
b. Flanks with blackish round spots in one longitudinal row. Caudal fin with 3 longitudinal, blackish bands. Ventral fin hardly prolonged.

Luciosoma (Luciosoma) spilopleura Blkr.
II. No barbels. (Subg. Trinematichthys)
A. 43 to 45 scales in the lateral line, 6 above the lateral line.
a. Ventral fins and anal fin prolonged into a thread. Caudal fin on both sides with a blackish longitudinal band.

Luciosoma (Trinematichthys) trinema Blkr.

Luciosoma (Luciosoma) setigerum Blkr, Nalez. vischf. v. Sumatra, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IX p. 264. Vierdradige Snoekkarper [Four barbeled Pike Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. LI fig. 3.

A Luciosoma (Luciosoma) with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 53/4 to $61 / 2$ times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to nearly 2 times in its depth. Head acute, contained 5 to $52 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, 4 to $41 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $13 / 4$ times, width contained $21 / 4$ to 2 times in its length; eye diameter contained $33 / 4$ to about 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $11 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; snout acute, in younger animals not longer than the eye, in old animals only slightly longer than the eye, not protruding anterior to the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils oblong, large, more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on the head, nearly straight, convex on the nape; interorbital line convex; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone very broad, much larger than $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone, posterior lower margin strongly convex; jaws nearly equal when the mouth is closed, upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior half of the eye, contained twice to slightly more than twice in the length of the head; gape large, strongly oblique; nasal barbels fleshy, inserted between the nasal bones and the anterior suborbital bones, twice or more than twice as long as the eye; upper jaw barbels much longer than the eye, but much less than twice as long; lower jaw strongly ascending, at the symphysis with a conical tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip; lips mediumsized, terete; height of gill cover less than twice as great as width, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.4.4/4.4.2 or 2.4.5/5.4.2; scapular bone triangular, acute; back low, lightly convex, lower than the belly; scales on the free half with ray-like stripes, 42 or 43 scales in the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a transverse row of which $6\left(5 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ above the lateral line, about 25 ? in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; 414 lateral line curved downward, much closer to the ventral line than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube; dorsal fin starting far behind the ventral fins, placed with the middle opposite the anterior anal rays, acute, emarginate, in younger animals not higher than the body, in old animals higher than the body, about twice as high as base length, the simple ray cartilaginous on the posterior side, thin, flexible, without teeth, not shorter or not much shorter than the body; pectoral fins acute, broad, reaching the ventral fins, contained 5 to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body ventral fins broad, $1^{\text {st }}$ ray prolonged into a thread, bifid at the tip, reaching the anal fin, contained about $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body,


Fig. 110. Luciosoma (Luciosoma) setigerum Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLI, Fig. 2. TL figure 197 mm .
caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 3$ to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body; anal fin acute, emarginate, not much lower to not lower than the dorsal fin, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, the simple ray thin on the posterior side, totally cartilaginous, flexible; Colour: upper part of the body green, a deeper green on the margins of the scales, lower part silver; iris yellowish or pink, blackish-violet head-tail band in old animals continuous, in younger animals sometimes consisting of about 30 round spots arranged in a longitudinal row, merging on the upper half of the tail with the band on the upper part of the caudal fin; fins yellowish or faintly pink, dorsal, anal and caudal fin on the basal half beautiful red, caudal fin on the middle of both lobes with a blackish-violet longitudinal band.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$ or $3 / 7$ or $3 / 8$. P. $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus setigerus Val., Poiss. XVI p. 153 fig. 469.
Barbeau setigère Val., ibid.
Barbus podonemus Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd.-Oost-Java p. 18. Wader Javan.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Bekassi, Rankasbetong, Lebak, Kediri, Surabaya, Gempol), in rivers. Sumatra (Lahat, Solok), in rivers.
Length of 18 described specimens $90^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $205^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. The description and figure of this species in the large Histoire naturelle des Poissons leave to be desired in more than one way. Thus the figure shows nothing of the longitudinal band and caudal fin bands, whereas the dorsal fin with almost its entire length is placed above the anal fin. I therefore described it at the start of 1849 during my stay in Ambawara in Central Java, far away from my cabinet, as a proper species based on specimens from Surabaya, whose state of preservation moreover left to be desired. Since then I observed many specimens on which my new description was based. However these specimens were all lost as a result of a theft of stoppered jars from my cabinet by one of my servants who sold the jars to Chinese buyers after throwing away their contents. For this reason I was unable to give further detail of certain peculiarities, I missed earlier, like those of the shape of the anteriormost suborbital bone, the being nippled of the inner side of the lips, the number of scales in a row between the head and the origin of the dorsal fin, etc.

> 415 Luciosoma (Luciosoma) spilopleura Blkr,
> Nalez. vischf. Sumatra, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. IX p. 265. Gevlekte Snoekkarper [Spotted Pike-carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. LI fig. 1.

A Luciosoma (Luciosoma) with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 6 times in its length, width contained $13 / 4$ to nearly 2 times in its depth. Head acute, contained slightly over 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $32 / 3$ to $33 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times, width contained about $21 / 4$ times in its length; eye diameter contained about $32 / 5$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about $12 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; snout acute, slightly convex, not longer than the eye, not protruding anterior to the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils oblong, large, more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on the head, nearly straight, convex on the nape; interorbital line convex; anterior suborbital bone oblong, length much greater than depth, rounded posteriorly,


Fig. 111. Luciosoma (Luciosoma) spilopleura Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXII, Fig. 1. TL figure 105 mm.
anteriorly very acute, lower margin convex, oblique; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, much lower than $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone, length more than twice as great as depth; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone very broad, much larger than $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone, posterior lower margin strongly convex; jaws equal when the mouth is closed, upper jaw moderately downward protrusable, ending below the anterior half of the eye; contained twice in the length of the head; gape large, strongly oblique; nasal barbels fleshy, inserted between the nasal bones and the anterior suborbital bones, about twice as long as the eye; upper jaw barbels thinner than nasal barbels, only slightly longer than the eye; lower jaw strongly ascending, at the symphysis with a conical tubercle, slightly hooked at the tip; lips medium-sized, terete, on the external surface totally glabrous, on the internal (oral) surface covered with numerous short papillae; width of gill cover contained $1 \frac{1}{4}$ to $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly concave; gill opening wide, ending below the posterior part of the eye. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, 2.4.5/5.4.2; scapular bone triangular; back low, lightly convex, lower than the belly; scales on the free half and generally also on the basal half with longitudinal stripes or slightly ray-like stripes, about 42 scales in the lateral line, 8 or 9 in a transverse row of which (on the middle of the body) $6\left(5 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ above the lateral line, about 21 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line curved downward, much closer to the ventral line than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube, generally surpassing the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting far behind the base of the ventral fins, placed with the middle rays opposite the anterior anal rays, acute, emarginate, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in the depth of the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, the simple ray thin on the posterior side, flexible, totally cartilaginous, without teeth, much shorter than the body, pectoral fins acute, broad, reaching the ventral fins, contained $42 / 3$ to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body, ventral fins acute, broad, $1^{\text {st }}$ ray slightly prolonged, reaching or nearly reaching the anal fin, contained about $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, contained about 4 times in the length of the body; anal fin acute, emarginate, not lower than the dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, the simple ray thin on the posterior side, flexible, totally cartilaginous; Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris pink or yellow; on both flanks 13 oblong-round bluish-violet spots placed in a longitudinal row; fins yellowish or pink-hyaline; caudal fin pink with 3 longitudinal blackish-violet bands, middle band on the middle of the rays, side bands starting on the short side rays of the fin and ending on the posterior margin of the fin inside the tips; dorsal and anal fin around the middle with a longitudinal, darkish band.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 14$. V. 2/8. A. 3/6 or 3/7. C. $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $108^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

416 Remark. This species is closely related to Luciosoma setigerum, however certainly a proper species. It is primarily recognizable by its single row of large elongated not numerous lateral spots and three dark caudal fin bands, of which the middle one covers the centre of the fin and the lateral ones begin on the short edged rays and leave the fin tips free; moreover by the longitudinal dorsal and anal fin bands, the little elongated pelvic fins, the relatively large eyes, longer barbels, etc.

I also see this species well recognisable depicted in the album of Siamese fishes of Count de Castelnau.

## Luciosoma (Luciosoma) trinema Blkr. Driedradige Snoekkarper [Three threaded Pike-carp]. <br> Atl. Cypr. Tab. LII fig. 4.

A Luciosoma (Trinematichthys) with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $53 / 4$ to 6 times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ times to nearly twice in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained slightly over 5 to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $4^{1 / 5}$ to $4^{1 / 4}$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained about $12 / 3$ times in its length, width nearly 2 times; eyes superior, eye diameter contained nearly 4 to slightly over 4 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $12 / 3$ to $13 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $12 / 5$ their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, rounded, not convex, hardly or not longer than the eye, tip located approximately anterior to the middle of the eye; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils about twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone oblong, nearly triangular, length greater than depth, at the shorter base convex or with an obtuse backward pointing angle, upper and lower sides longer, at the front united into a slightly acutely rounded forward and slightly upward pointing angle, in the middle traversed by a longitudinal, backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, hardly deeper posteriorly than anteriorly, length about twice as great as depth, less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones very broad, nearly reaching the posterior margin of the preoperculum, not much thinner than the eye diameter; especially oral margins of jaws acute par-


Fig. 112. Luciosoma (Luciosoma) trinema Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLI, Fig. 3. TL figure 218 mm .
ticularly anteriorly, upper jaw hardly shorter than lower jaw, moderately downward protrusable, profoundly emarginate at the symphysis, ending below the pupil, contained slightly more than twice in the length of the head; lower jaw emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with a medium-sized hook entering the intermaxillary incision, branches obliquely compressed, the lower part with several little visible pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, terete, on the oral surface transversely rugose, groove of upper lip short, ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending hardly behind the posterior margin of the eye. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, lightly hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a superficial, oblong, hardly conspicuous small fossa; dorsal line of the body convex, not much higher than convex belly; belly between pectoral and ventral fins flat, broad, rest lightly compressed, rounded, not ridged; scales oblique (lower angle conspicuous, located anterior to conspicuous upper angle) on the free half and generally also on the basal half with longitudinal or slightly ray-like stripes, towards the tail gradually decreasing in size, 43 to 45 scales in the lateral line, 9 in a transverse row of which $6(51 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 21 or 22 in a longitudinal row between the occiput and the dorsal fin, scales 417 in the thoraco-gular region much smaller than the postaxillary scales; lateral line strongly curved in a regular manner, much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal profile, posteriorly gradually ascending and ending at the middle of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube, generally not surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, tip acute; dorsal fin starting far behind the base of the ventral fins, placed with the posterior rays opposite the anterior anal rays, scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, depth contained $11 / 3$ to $11 / 4$ times in the depth of the body, much higher than base length but much less than twice as high, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, much shorter than the head; pectoral fins inserted nearly horizontally, scaled at the base, longer than the head, contained $42 / 3$ to $42 / 5$ times in the length of the body, reaching the ventral fins, the simple ray solid; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, without thread much shorter than pectoral fins, $2^{\text {nd }}$ simple ray prolonged into a thread, reaching the posterior part of the anal fin; anal fin at the base lightly scaled, acute, emarginate, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous, prolonged into a thread nearly reaching the caudal fin, without thread not or hardly lower than dorsal fin, higher than base length; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained slightly over 4 to $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver, anterior part of the back and upper part of the head deeply olive ; iris yellow; dark-violet head-tail band on the anterior half of the body little conspicuous or composed from separate spots, on the tail rather broad, very conspicuous, located above the lateral line; fins pink or yellowish- pink; dorsal and anal fin anteriorly at midheight with 2 to 4 blackish-violet spots, caudal fin on the middle of both lobes with a broad, blackish-violet longitudinal band, upper band merging with head-tail band.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 15$ or $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 6$ or $3 / 7$. C. $5 / 17 / 7$ or $5 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus trinema Blkr, Diagn. beschr. nieuw. vischs. Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 600.

Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Sintang), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $175^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $226^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I discovered this species in the year 1852 and described it after my specimen from Palembang. Since then I received a larger and better preserved specimen from the interior of West Borneo. The species seems to be rare on both islands or at least inhabits rather the higher regions of the drainage areas.

Perilampus McCL.,<br>Ind. Cypr. Asiat. Res. XIX p. 39; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 45. Brush carp.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back lower than belly. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. Barbels 4 , nasal barbels fleshy, upper jaw barbels very long, setaceous. Snout acute, low, not protruding anterior to the mouth, tip located anterior to or above the upper margin of the eye. Eyes posterior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, oblique gape ending anterior to the eye. Scales on the body nearly equal in size, nuchal scales starting behind the eye. Belly not keeled. Ventral fins 418 inserted in the lowest part of the belly. Lateral line strongly curved, very much closer to the ventral line than to the dorsal line. Dorsal fin with several rays, partly located above the anal fin, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin with many rays, much longer than dorsal fin. Caudal fin hardly emarginate.

Remark. I accept the generic name Perilampus of Mr MacClelland only for a few species that were placed in it by him, and conceive it only in restricted sense. The way Mr MacClelland described it, it also contained species of Chela, Laubuca, Devario and Esomus. At least his Perilampus devario and ostreographus belong to Devario; his Perilampus percus, psilopterus and cachius to Chela; his Perilampus recurvirostris, macropterus and thermophilus to Esomus; his Perilampus guttatus to Laubucca and his Perilampus aequipinnatus maybe to Opsarius. All those species are excluded by the above given diagnosis, which defines a genus that is closely related to Esomus and principally differs from it by a lateral line which runs visible closely to the belly profile, short pectoral fins, which are shorter than the head, a multirayed anal fin, which is remarkably longer than the dorsal fin. The dentition is not known and one finds oneself also in uncertainty regarding the peculiar stucture of the jaws. But it may be expected that these will not differ from those in Esomus.

Heckel accepted the genus Perilampus McCl . in a completely different sense and liked to restrict it to the characters of Chela with long, pelvic fins implanted closer to the pectoral fins. Of both species he placed in Perilampus, Perilampus psilopteromus McCl . belongs to Chela and Perilampus macropterus McCl . to Esomus, as was already said above.

> Esomus Swains.,
> Nat. Hist. Fish. II p. 285; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 44 = Nuria Val., Poiss. XVI p. 181. Nuria.

Body elongate or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back not or hardly lower than belly. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. Barbels 4, nasal barbels fleshy, close to upper jaw barbels, upper jaw barbels very long, setaceous. Snout short, low, not protruding anterior to the mouth, tip located anterior to or above the upper margin of the eye. Anterior suborbital bone triangular, tip acute, pointing downward. Eyes posterior, not covered by palpebral $419 \mathrm{mem}-$ brane. Mouth anterior, strongly oblique gape ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw at the symphysis prominent, not emarginate. Lower jaw not or hardly shorter than upper jaw, without tubercle or hook at the symphysis. Scales on the body nearly equal in size, nuchal scales starting behind the eye. Belly not keeled. Ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly. No visible lateral line. Dorsal fin with few rays, totally or largely located above the anal fin, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Gill opening ending below the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth acute, not or hardly curved 5/5.

Remark. Swainson based his genus Esomus on Cyprinus danrica Buch. and described it rather well. Mr Valenciennes described the same genus about three years later with the name Nuria.

Esomus is a natural and sharply characterized genus, which as far as relationship is concerned, stands between Chela, Luciosoma and Laubuca, genera in which the dorsal fin is placed partly or entirely above the anal fin. It misses the sharply keeled belly of Chela and Laubuca, just like the multi-rayed anal fin of these genera. The structure of the mouth parts in essence answers to that of Laubuca, although the lower jaw is remarkably higher. Similarly the scales start further behind the eyes. The genus moreover is peculiar by its long barbels, of which the upper jaw barbels are brush-like stiff, at least in the single species in my possession. Moreover, the barbels, just like in the remaining four barbeled species, are real snout barbels and upper jaw barbels, and not all four upper jaw barbels (lipbarbels following the terminology of Mr Valenciennes) as indicated by Mr Valenciennes. The fact is, at least in Esomus danrica, that the snout barbels are implanted far posterior at the edge of the snout close to the upper jaw barbels. The nearness may be the reason they were mistaken for upper jaw barbels. The dentition moreover possesses the peculiarity that it consists of only 5 slender, not or hardly curved, acute teeth which are placed in a single row.

Apart from this dentition and by the peculiarity of the upper jaw barbels, Esomus differs from Lusiosoma, the genus with which it has the most close relationship, by the structure of the mouth parts, as in Luciosoma the gape is very wide, the upper jaw concave at the symphysis, and the lower jaw provided with a process at the symphysis, which fits in the incision of the upper jaw.

420 Tinca Rond.;<br>Cuv., Règn. Anim. ed. $1^{\text {a }}$ II p. 193; Ag., Mém. Neuch. I; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 38; Heck. Kner., Fisch. oestr. Mon. p. 75. -<br>Tench.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with small scales, back angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, small, oblique gape ending anterior to the eye. Lower jaw not shorter than upper jaw, not hooked at the symphysis. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray cartilaginous, without teeth. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin, with few rays. Caudal fin slightly emarginate. Belly not keeled. Lateral line slightly curved. Pharyngeal teeth clavate $4 / 5$. Swimbladder bilobed.

Remark. After having proposed the genus Gobio, which he defined on its short dorsal and anal fins without spines and by its barbels, Cuvier distinguished the genus Tinca from it only by its very small scales. Mr Agassiz added to that as generic character the plumpness of the body, the small concaveness of the caudal fin, and the clubshape of the pharyngeal teeth. Heckel defined the formula of these teeth and added to the diagnosis also the roundness of the dorsal and anal fin, the dorsal fin being implanted posterior to the pelvic fins, the mouth opening being terminal and the mucousness of the scales.

Mr Valenciennes has made various objections against the characters drafted by Cuvier and Mr Agassiz. He is of the opinion that the genera Gobio Cuv. and Tinca Cuv. in essence do not differ from each other and that therefore one of both names has to be removed from the row of the genera. The objections of Mr Valenciennes judged properly, indeed are not without weight, however, when one keeps in mind the differences in habitus of Tinca and the species of Gobio, which with regard to the body shapes are build on entirely different types, otherwise necessarily subjective characters gain a higher value and they can serve to translate as it were the more important character of the general structure of the body, which cannot be easily expressed in words. Together with most of the more recent ichthyologists I therefore believe that Tinca Cuv. indeed represents a natural genus, and that there is no reason to unite it with Gobio.

421 Argyreus Heck.,<br>Fisch Syr. p. 50; Gir., Cypr. Unit. Stat. Proceed. Acad. Nat. Scienc.<br>Philad. VIII p. 185. -<br>Rhinichthys Ag., Lake super. p. 353 = Agosia Gir. 1.c. p. 186. -<br>Nose carp.

Body elongate, slightly terete, covered with small, membranous scales, back low. Jaws, upper jaw enclosed in a terete, simple lip, lower jaw with a cartilaginous edge, not labiate? Barbels 2 , upper jaw barbels. Snout conical, more or less protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth inferior, gape in shape reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed, ending anterior to the eye. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line straight. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above or hardly behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, shorter than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, 1.4/4.2 or 2.4/4.2 or 4/4.

Remark. In 1842 Heckel based the genus Agyreus on Cyprinus atronasus Mitch. Mr Agassiz placed the same species in his genus Rhinichthys, which he erected in 1850 after his Rhinichthys marmoratus and then still considered to belong to the Catostomines. However much both these genera are the same, one would not conclude that from the diagnoses of both ichthyologists. Mr Girard restored the generic name proposed by Heckel and placed no less than 9 different species in it.

If Argyreus and Rhinichthys had not been erected by Heckel and Mr Agassiz, I would not hesitate to place the genus in the Chondrostmines. The structure of the mouth parts, as I see them depicted in Argyreus atronasus Heck. and Rhichthys marmoratus Ag. in every way give occasion to that and Mr Girard even talks of a cartilaginous membranous sheath, which in some species would loosely cover the lower jaw, just like it is generally found in Chondrostomines and Labeonines. The genus should be investigated in more detail concerning its place in the natural system.

The genus Agosia, proposed by Mr Girard, would mainly differ from Argyreus as its pharyngeal teeth, although of the same shape as in Argyreus, possess a chewing plane and are placed in a single row (4/4). It will probably have to be combined with Argyreus. If Argyreus indeed belongs to the Cheilognathines, it is related to Gobio.

4222 Chrosomus Raf.,<br>Ichth. Ohiens.; Agass., Fish. Tennessee River, Amer. Journ. Sc. and Arts $2^{\text {d }}$ Ser. Vol. XVII, Ichth. Faun. Pacif. Slope N. Amer. Ibid. Vol. XIX. Color carp.

Body elongate, fusiform, covered with small, membranous scales. Jaws covered by simple lips. No barbels. Head slightly conical, snout slightly acute, protruding a little anterior to the mouth. Mouth terminal. Lateral line hardly curved, hardly interrupted, nearly continuous. Dorsal fin with few rays, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays. Pharyngeal teeth slightly hooked at the tip $5 / 5$, chewing surface thin.

Remark. Mr Agassiz considers the genus Chrosomus as very closely related to Phoxinus, from which it also only seems to differ by a more continuing lateral line, a more spindle shaped body, a more acute head, a shorter lower jaw, some peculiarities in the structure of scales and lateral line and a somewhat differently shaped and formulated pharyngeal jaw teeth. Chrosomus erythogaster till now is the only known species.

> Tiaroga Gir., Cypr. Fish. Unit. Stat. Acad. Nat. Scienc. Philad. VIII p. 204. Tiaroga.

Body elongate, slightly fusiform-compressed, covered with small scales. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Head slightly conical, depressed. Snout not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth terminal, gape medium-sized, oblique. Upper jaw slightly longer than lower jaw. Eyes superior. Lateral line not or hardly curved. Dorsal fin with few rays, beginning posterior to the ventral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays. Isthmus very broad. Pharyngeal teeth predatory 1.3/3.1, no chewing surface.

Remark. Mr Girard places only one species in this genus, his Tiaroge cobitia. In relationship it seems to stand between Chrosomus and Phoxinus, and to differ from these by a smaller gill slit and the formula of its pharyngeal teeth, which do not possess a chewing plane.

## Phoxinus Rondel.;

Ag., Mem Neuchat. I; Heck., Fisch Syr. p. 50; Heck. Kner, Fisch. oestr. Mon. p. 210. Pril.

Body elongate, fusiform, covered with very small scales, back 423 low. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout obtuse, convex, hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone not elongate. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, gape slightly oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, lower jaw shorter than upper jaw, not hooked at the symphysis. Dorsal fin beginning posterior to the ventral fins and ending hardly anterior to the anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray cartilaginous, without teeth. Anal fin not longer than dorsal fin, with few rays. Caudal fin moderately emarginate, lobes acute. Belly not keeled. Lateral line hardly curved. Isthmus very broad. Pharyngeal teeth predatory 2.4/4.2 or 2.5/4.2.

Remark. The genera Gobio and Tinca, in the classification placed far away from Phoxinus, to me appear to be related. Phoxinus has the scales and the small concave
caudal fin of Tinca and resembles Gobio in body shape and dentition. It differs from both genera by the absence of barbels and a far posteriorly implanted dorsal fin. Cyprinus phoxinus L. till now is the only known species of this genus.

> Phoxinellus Heck.,
> Fisch. Syr. p. 49: Heck., Fisch. oestr. Mon. p. 245 -
> Bare skin carp.

Body elongate, fusiform, skin scaleless, scales only in the lateral line, back low. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout obtuse, convex, hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone not elongate. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, gape slightly oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, lower jaw shorter than upper jaw, not hooked at the symphysis. Dorsal fin beginning posterior to the ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray cartilaginous, without teeth. Anal fin not longer than dorsal fin, with few rays. Caudal fin strongly emarginate, lobes acute. Belly not keeled. Lateral line moderately curved. Pharyngeal teeth grinding 5/4.

Remark. The genus Phoxinellus, as it is copied above, with some changes, from Heckel, is very closely related to Phoxinus, but distinguishes 124 itself from it by the absence of scales on the body except for the lateral line (which ends approximately above the vent), as well as by single rowed pharyngeal teeth.

Heckel placed in the genus, apart from the typical European species (Phoxinellus alepidotus) also a species from Syria, which he named Phoxinellus zeregi. However, this species cannot belong the same genus, as it differs from the European species by a totally different habitus of its body and snout, regular scales over the entire body and a rather well developed dorsal fin spine. This species therefore will have to be placed in a proper genus of its own, which I propose to name Pseudophoxinus.

Cirrhina Cuv.,<br>Regn. Anim. ed. 1¹ II p. 193; Valenc. Poiss. XVI 217 = Isocephalus Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 39. -<br>Snout barbel carp.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales, back elevated. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. Barbels 2, nasal barbels. Snout convex, not or hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior, oblique gape ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, lower jaw slightly shorter than upper jaw, not hooked at the symphysis. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray cartilaginous, without teeth. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin, with few rays. Caudal fin with a deep incision, lobes acute. Belly not keeled. Lateral line slightly curved. Pharyngeal teeth...?

Remark. When Cuvier proposed the genus Cirrhina, he simply characterized it as having a larger dorsal fin than Gobio and the barbels in the middle of the upper lip. He placed in it only Cyprinus cirrhosus Bl. and later also Cyprinus mrigala Buch. and Cyprinus nandina Buch.

Mr MacClelland accepting the Cuvierian name in a slightly changed form as Cirrhinus, described it in a totally different way as follows: "Lower jaw composed of two
short limbs loosely attached together in front, where instead of a prominent apex, there is a depression; lips soft and fleshy with four cirri; dorsal without spiny rays". By this diagnosis the genus was reduced to the Acheilognathines, however the 9 species considered to belong to Cirrhinus by Mr MacClelland, belong to different genera, to Rohita, Morulis, Labeo, Hypselobarbus, etc., just like the Cuvierian species belong to the genera Mrigala and Rohita.
425 Mr Valenciennes gave a new diagnosis of Cirrhina and drafted the characters of it as follows "elles n'ont que deux barbillons, les maxillaries (rostrales mihi) seuls ou les anterieurs sont restés; les labiaux manquent, elles ont une dorsale de moyenne étendue, sans épines; tous les rayons sont flexibles et les lèvres, minces, ne donnent à la bouche aucune forme particulière. Le museau n'est pas avancé au dessous (dessus) de l'ouverture orale" [they have only two barbels, only the maxillary barbels \{my rostral barbels\} or the anterior ones have remained, the lipbarbels are lacking, they have a medium sized dorsal fin, without spines, only flexible rays, and the thin lips, do not give the mouth a special shape. The snout is not extended below the mouth opening.]. By this diagnosis the position of Cirrhinus in the classification again was made entirely doubtful, and moreover the species, placed by Mr Valenciennes in his genus Cirrhinus, belong to very different genera. It seems however, that it was the intention to include only the round lipped species in the genus, by which it would have been arranged in the department of the Cheilognathines.

It is in this sense that I have conceived and described this genus above. However, in this way remain excluded all species of Cuvier and of Mr MacClelland and also various species of Mr Valenciennes himself. Heckel did not accept the genus Cirrhina but resolved it in his genus Isocephalus, which is nothing else then a combination of very diverse species, none of which was examined by Heckel from nature. It comprises species of Cirrhina, Mrigala, Labeo and even of Schismatorhynchos.

I exclude from Cirrhina all species that with regard to their lip structure belong to the Phalacrognathines and then the genus is immediately sharply defined by its snout barbels (in the absence of lip barbels) and the absence of a dorsal fin spine. The species, which then remain, are small in number, and as I know none of these species from nature and the existing descriptions and figures do not shed enough light over them, their lip structure remains to be defined further. Judging from the illustration of Mr Valenciennes, Cirrhina Dussumieri Val. definitively seems to be a Cirrhina in the sense described in the diagnosis and it is also this species that I consider to be the type and at the same time the only one known of the genus till now.

> Gobio Cuv.,
> Règn. an. ed. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ II p. 193; Ag., Mém. Neuch. I;
> Heck. Fisch. Syr.; Heck. Kner, Fisch. oestr. Monarch. p. 90; Gir., Cypr. N. Am. Proc. Ac. Nat. Sc. Phil. VIII 1856 p. 188. Gudgeon.

Body elongate, fusiform-compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales, back low. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper jaw moderately protrusable. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout convex, prolonged, not or slightly protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone elongate. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. 426 Mouth anterior, gape nearly horizontal, ending
anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, lower jaw shorter than upper jaw, not hooked at the symphysis. Dorsal fin starting in front or hardly behind ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray cartilaginous, without teeth. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin, with few rays. Caudal fin deeply emarginate, lobes acute. Belly not keeled. Lateral line hardly curved. Pharyngeal teeth predatory teeth 2.5/5.2, or 2.4/4.1, or 3.5/5.2. Swimbladder bilobed.

Remark. The species presented by authors as extra-European species of Gobio in habitus exhibit such large differences with the European or typical species, that they do deserve a new detailed investigation to further determine their true relationships. For some of these species it can be determined with certainty that they do not belong to Gobio. Thus Gobio barbus T. Schl. from Japan in my opinion is a Pseudogobio. - Gobio bendilisis Val. from Bengal probably belongs to the genus Opsarius; Gobio angra McCl. Val. most probably to Labeo. The Abysinian species, described and depicted by Mr Rüppell as Gobio hirticeps and Gobio quadrimaculatus, I rather consider to belong to the genus Chrossocheilos. Gobio boga McCl ., Gobio pangusia McCl ., and Gobio isurus McCl . are species of Labeo. Gobio ricnorhynchos McCl . and Gobio malacostomus McCl . belong to the genus Schismatorhynchos. The archipelagic species, which I myself earlier described as belonging to Gobio, are further defined by me as being species of Lobocheilos. Moreover, Heckel is inclined to consider Gobio damascinus Val. as a species of his genus Scaphiodon and the habitus of Gobius cataractae Val considerably departs, especially with regard to the head, from the typical European species. When both last species are included in Gobio, 10 species of the genus are now known.

## Sarcochelichthys Blkr. Fleshy lip carp.

Body slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back low. Jaws enclosed in terete, very fleshy lips? No barbels. Snout convex, strongly elevated, truncate, protruding anterior to the mouth. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth nearly terminal, gape slightly oblique, small, ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw not emarginate at the symphysis, lower jaw shorter than upper jaw, not hooked at the symphysis. 427 Lateral line hardly curved. Dorsal fin starting anterior to ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray flexible, without teeth. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin, with few rays. Caudal fin with a deep incision, lobes acute. Belly not keeled.

Remark. I erect the genus Sarcocheilichthys on the basis of the species described and figured by the famous authors of the Fauna Japonica with the name Leuciscus variegates. This species departs so much in habitus from the other the remaining Leuciscinnes, that it appears to me to be the type of a genus of its own. However, the characters cannot be sufficiently determined from the data of Mr Schlegel, as not enough attention has been paid there to peculiarities of the structure of jaws and lips and the dentition has not been mentioned at all.

I am even not averse to the idea that the species belongs to the Labeonines, and therefore has a jaw and lip structure which answers to that, a point however, which should be examined further after nature. It seems to be an intermediate form between the Labeonines and the Catostomines and is not without a certain resemblance to Gobio and Morara as well.

Elopichthys Blkr. -<br>Lizard carp.

Body elongate, slightly compressed, covered with medium-sized scales, back very low. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute, prolonged, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Nasal bones strongly developed. Mouth anterior, gape oblique, large, ending below the eye. Upper jaw slightly protrusable, not emarginate at the symphysis? Lower jaw not shorter than upper jaw, at the symphysis with a tubercle, hooked at the tip. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind the base of ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, with few rays, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Anal fin with several rays, not longer than dorsal fin. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly. Lateral line strongly curved. Swimbladder trilobed.

Remark. The genus Elopichthys, which I propose to name so because of the resemblance of the habitus of the species with Elopus saurus L., is related to 128 Aspius and Opsarius. I suspect that the upper jaw is not concave at its outer edge, however the existing descriptions and illustrations of both known species give no information concerning that. I suspect this on the basis of similarity in structure of the snout with those of Thynnichthys and Catla, whose sharp and peculiar habitus is caused by a further development of the nasal bones. In Elopichthys these bones are extremely strong developed, at least I do not believe that Mr Richardson, in his diagnosis of Leuciscus bambusa, mentioning the "intermaxilaribus robustis duris acie instructis" [the robust, hard intermaxillary (= premaxillary) bones set in a row] has had the intermaxillary [= premaxillary], but the nasal bones in front of him and that its intermaxillary bones, just like in Thynnichthys, are thin and hidden under the nasal bones when they are not expanded [protruded]. I myself at least committed a similar mistake during my first investigation of the species of Thynnichthys. However a further examination soon taught me this, as the thin intramaxillary bones with a pair of tweezers could easily be pulled out from under the nasal bones. It seems to me that if my opinion regarding the above mentioned assertion of Mr Richardson is correct, one of the generic characters of the genus Elopichthys will have to be sought in the extraordinary development of the nasal bones. The dentition is not known, but it will probably also be found to differ from that of Aspius and Opsarius. Among the generic characters of Elopichthys moreover can be brought the long tail, the large distance between the dorsal and the anal fin, the shortness of the anal fin and the tripartite swimbladder.

## Aspius Ag.,

Mem. Soc. Neuch. I.; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 46; Heck. Kner, Fisch. oestr. Mon. p. 142. Schied.

Body elongate, slightly terete, covered with medium-sized or large scales, back low, not or hardly higher than the belly. Jaws covered by simple lips, upper jaw protrusable, emarginate at the symphysis, lower jaw prominent, at the symphysis with a tubercle entering the intermaxillary incision. No barbels. Snout acute, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth superior, gape strongly oblique, ending below the eye. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line strongly curved, closer to the ventral line than to the dorsal line. Belly not keeled anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins not ridged. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending hardly anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins much shorter than the head. Anal fin with 120
several rays, much longer to slightly longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, cylindrical, smooth 3.5/5.3 or 2.5/5.2.

Remark. Aspius is closest related to Alburnus, but sharply separated from it by larger mouth slit, which extends till under the eye, and the not being keeled of the belly behind the pelvic fins, whereas the teeth formula is also somewhat different. It also remains a question here, to what extend generic value can be attached to these characters. Having a keeled or a rounded belly in certain genera, [as] in Systomus and Cyclocheilichthys, at most is a character for the distinguishing of species. The large mouth slit in generic respect seems to be of more value. Anyway the species of Aspius have a peculiar habitus, which is caused by the large mouth slit, by the small superior eyes and the acute snout being more elongated. The genus is based on Cyprinus aspius L., whereas Heckel has also placed some Asiatic species in Aspius. I believe that his Squalius berag and Squalius lepidus can also be placed in it and moreover Squalius albus Bp., Squalius Turkyi Heck., Squalius microlepis Heck., Squalius tenellus Heck. and maybe some other species.

Gila Baird Gir.,<br>Rep. Exped. Zuni a. Colorado rivers, Fish. p. 148; Gir., Cypr. Fish. Un. Stat. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sc. Phil. VIII p. 205. = Tigoma Gir. ib. p. 205 = Cheonda Gir., ib. p. 207 Gila.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with small or medium-sized unequal scales, back rather elevated. Jaws nearly equal, covered by terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute, prolonged, depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth terminal, gape large, oblique, ending below the eye. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line slightly or moderately curved. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fin and ending above or hardly anterior to anal fin, with few rays, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Pectoral fins partly inserted in the lowest part of the belly. Anal fin with several rays, not or only slightly longer than dorsal fin. Tail thin. Isthmus narrow. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, compressed 1.4/5.2 or 2.5/5.2.

Remark. Togoma Gir. and Cheonda Gir. differ too little from Gila, to consider them as genera different from it, reason why they are united here. The 430 genus in habitus most resembles Aspius, and seems to be its substitute in America just like Ptychocheilus Ag. I even doubt it very much whether it can be separated as a genus from Ptychocheilus. However, I don't know any figure of Ptychocheilus, the species of which seem to possess a squatter, strong tail and a smaller gill opening, whereas Mr Agassiz mentions the broad transeverse plied lips of Ptychocheilus.

## Ptychocheilus Ag.,

Ichth. faun. Pacif. Sl. N. Am., Am. J. Sc. Arts $2^{\text {d Ser. Vol. XIX; Gir., Cypr. Fish. Unit. }}$ Stat., Pros. Ac. Nat. Sc. Phil. VIII p. 208 = Cıinostomus Gir., ib. p. 211 -Ply-lip Carp

Body oblong or elongate, fusiform- compressed, covered with medium-sized unequal scales. Jaws enclosed in fleshy, simple lips. No barbels. Head elongate, snout acute, prolonged, hardly protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth terminal or slightly terminal, gape large. Lower jaw sometimes longer than upper jaw. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line moderately curved. Belly not
keeled Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins, with few rays, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Anal fin with several rays, not or hardly longer than dorsal fin. Tail robust. Isthmus medium-sized. Pharyngeal teeth predatory $2.4 / 4 / 2$ or $2.5 / 4.2$ or $2.5 / 5.2$ or $1.4 / 4.2$, no chewing surface.

Remark. Ptychocheilus seems to be closely related to Aspius and with the very closely related genus Gila to replace Aspius in the new world. - Clinostomus Gir. essentially cannot be distinguished from it, and I am even inclined, as is already mentioned above, to neither consider Gila Baird as essentially different.

Opsarius McCl.,<br>Ind. Cypr. Res. Asiat. Soc. Beng. XIX p. 295, 413; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 53. Shakra.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large or medium-sized scales, back lower than elevated belly. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels, or 2 upper jaw barbels or none. Snout acute, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth anterior, gape strongly oblique, ending below the eye or behind the eye. Upper jaw emarginate at the symphysis. 431 Lower jaw not shorter than upper jaw, at the symphysis generally hooked with a bony tubercle. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above or mostly behind ventral fins and ending slightly anterior to or above anal fin, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin with several rays, longer than dorsal fin. Lateral line strongly curved, closer to the ventral line than to the dorsal line. Teeth predatory 2.3.5/5.3.2 or 2.3.4/4.3.2.

Subg. Shacra Blkr. - Nasal and upper jaw barbels.
" Bendilisis Blkr. - Upper barbels only.
" Opsarius Blkr. - No barbels.
Remark. The genus Opsarius was first proposed by Mr MacClelland, however not entirely in the same sense as it is described above. He also included the species of Chela, a genus which was already accepted earlier by Buchanan and Cuvier, species moreover, which depart remarkably from those of Opsarius as accepted here.

It is difficult to define the borders between Aspius and Opsarius. The difference mainly seem to lie in the fact that the body in Opsarius is remarkably more compressed and also more slender in habitus with the belly line more convex than the back line, and that the pharyngeal jaw teeth are placed in three rows.

I do not know the genus from nature. It does not seem to occur in the Indian archipelago, but on the contrary to be represented in numerous species in the waters of South-Asia and the Japanese islands, whereas one species seems to occur even in the Nile.

By far the most species have no barbels. I have placed all these species in the subgenus Opsarius, while I propose the subgeneric name Bendilisis for the species with two barbels and Shacra for those with four barbels. The numerous species in various peculiarities are rather variable, in particular however in the place of implantation of the dorsal fin. In the Japanese species the dorsal fin is implanted above the pelvic fins, which is also the case in some Bengalese species. In most cases however, the dorsal fin starts at a smaller or larger distance from the pelvic fins and usually ends only above the first part of the anal fin. Among the Japanese species there are a few, which posses
shapes that answer more to those in Aspius, however they differ rather sharply from these by a dorsal fin that starts above the pelvics and three rowed pharyngeal jaw teeth. 432 In the meantime I am of the opinion that a general review of all species is necessary after the in this work proposed concentration of the genera placed in the genera Abramis, Scardinius, Leuciscus, Alburnus, Aspius and Opsarius, and at the same time one should look for foundations for their better determinations.

## Leptobarbus Blkr. - <br> Slender carp.

Body oblong-elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back low angular. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper lip slightly forward protrusable. Barbels 4, nasal and upper jaw barbels. Snout acute, depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, tip acute, pointing upward. Mouth anterior, gape oblique, ending hardly anterior to the eye, in form reminding of a horse shoe when the mouth is closed. Lower jaw shorter than upper jaw, symphysis without tubercle. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel with the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Gill opening ending below the preoperculum. No anal sheath covered with larger scales. Lateral line lightly curved. Dorsal fin starting above ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray flexible, nearly totally cartilaginous. Anal fin shorter than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth spoon-shaped, on the chewing surface pluri-crenulate at the margins 2.3.5/5.3.2.

Remark. I now consider to belong to a proper genus the species, which I already described in the year 1851 under the name Barbus Hoeveni. The flexible cartilaginous posteriormost branched dorsal fin ray, the flat sharp snout, the oblique mouth slit and the notched chewing pad edges of the pharyngeal teeth remove it from the genus Barbus, while these characters in connection with the entirely scaleless dorsal fin base prohibit placing it in one of the genera that are closely related to Barbus. The general structure and habitus make the genus approach Luciosoma and Rasbora, from which it otherwise still differs in important characters. The lower jaw bones seen from below, together form a complete horse shoe, as both branches leave an elongate round space between them. There are only 3 unbranched dorsal fin rays and not four, as in Barbus and related genera.

Till now I do not know a second species of this genus, which according to our present state of knowledge is restricted to Sumatra and Borneo.

> 433 Leptobarbus Hoevenii Blkr. Van der Hoeven's Slender Carp. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLVIII.

[^8]

Fig. 113. Leptobarbus Hoevenii Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXXI, Fig. 2. TL figure 266 mm.
the eye, in old animals not longer than the eye, not protruding anterior to the mouth; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout; rostro-dorsal line on all of the head sloping, nearly straight or slightly convex, on nape convex; interorbital line slightly convex; anterior suborbital bone irregularly pentagonal, lower margin oblique, anterior and posterior lower margins short, anterior margin truncate, posterior margin oblique, upper margins strongly concave, much longer than lower margins, united into an acute, upward pointing angle close to the nostrils, with a longitudinal crest close to the lower margin of the bone; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone very low, elongate, higher anteriorly than posteriorly; upper jaw not longer than lower jaw, only slightly forward protrusable, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained nearly 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, oral margin acute; gape oblique; barbels thin, nasal and upper jaw barbels nearly equal in length, considerably longer than the eye; lower jaw without tubercle at the symphysis, oral margin acute, lower part on each branch with 6 or 7 pores placed in a longitudinal row; lips thin, terete, on the oral surface lightly transversely striped; width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to $12 / 3$ times in its height, lower margin nearly straight; gill opening ending below the posterior part of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth hooked to spoon-shaped, $2.3 .5 / 5.3 .2$, margins of chewing surface especially in older animals pluricrenulate, however, 2 internal teeth in longest row not crenulate; scapular bone triangular, strongly obtuse; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the sides, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; back slightly elevated, not much higher than convex belly; scales on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, 36 to 38 scales in the lateral line, 19 in a vertical row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, not larger than those in the flanking rows; lateral line curved, descending below the rostro-caudal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or nearly reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin starting above the ventral fins, base without scaled sheath, acute, not or hardly emarginate, not or only slightly lower than the body, twice to more than twice as high as base length, spine very thin, nearly totally cartilaginous, flexible, not much shorter to no shorter than the head; pectoral and ventral fins acute, nearly equal in length, contained $62 / 3$ to $71 / 3$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins not reaching ventral fins, ventral fins not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, not or slightly emarginate, considerably lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, twice or more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray very slender, to-
tally cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $41 / 4$ to $3^{3} / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body olive, lower part silver, iris yellow, upper part dark; oblong, transverse, blackish scapular spot; scales on back, flanks and tail each with an oblong, transverse violet spot at the base; fins beautiful pink or red, caudal fin generally with a dark margin.
B. 3. D. $3 / 7$ or $3 / 8$. P. $1 / 16$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Barbus Hoevenii Blkr, Vierde bijdr. Ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 207.
434 Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Bandjermassin, Kahajan, Pengaron, Pontianak), in rivers.
Length of 12 specimens $114^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $278^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. One of my smallest specimens in the centre of each lobe of the caudal fin has a broad, violet-black transverse band, of which there is no trace in any of my other specimens. For the rest it offers no differences, so it can only be considered as a variety.

The species does not seem to be rare in the large drainage areas of Borneo and East Sumatra.

## Gnathopogon Blkr. - <br> Jaw thread Carp.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back low. Jaws enclosed in thin, simple lips. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout acute, slightly depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth anterior, gape oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting in front or above ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Pectoral fins shorter than the head. Anal fin with few rays ( $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$ ). Lateral line hardly curved. Teeth....?

Remark. I propose the genus in question based on two Japanese species depicted and described in the Fauna Japonica under the names Capoëta elongata and Capoëta gracilis. Gnathopogon is closest related to Rasbora, however it belongs to a different generic type as its dorsal fin is placed opposite the pelvic fins, the almost straight lateral line that runs across the centre of the body, and the upper jaw barbels, in addition to which probably can be mentioned unknown particularities in the structure of the mouth parts and pharyngeal teeth.

## Pseudorasbora Blkr. - <br> False Paraai

Body slightly elongate or elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back low. Jaws enclosed in thick, fleshy lips, upper lip moderately protrusable. No barbels. Snout acute, depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, tip acute, pointing upward. Mouth superior, gape short, nearly vertical, ending far anterior to the eye. Jaws without incision of tube at the symphysis. 435 Postlabial groove on both sides simple, longitudinal, separated from the groove on the opposite side by a wide isthmus. Eyes posterior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Belly flat, strongly obtuse. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above or hardly in front ventral fins and ending far anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays (16 or 17). Lateral line hardly curved. Gill opening ending below the middle of the gill cover. Pharyngeal teeth hooked-compressed 5/5.

Remark. The genus Pseudorasbora in habitus has a very large similarity with Rasbora Blkr, but it differs from it by a very small vertical mouth slit, different structure of jaws and lips, only single rowed pharyngeal teeth and little curved lateral line. The genus seems to be restricted to the freshwater of Japan.

Rasbora Blkr. -<br>Paraal.

Body slightly elongate or elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back low. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout acute, slightly depressed, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Anterior suborbital bone pentagonal, tip acute, pointing upward. Mouth anterior, gape strongly oblique, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior part of the eye. Upper jaw with a short process towards the symphysis, symphysis itself emarginate, taking in the hook of the lower jaw. Lower jaw not shorter than upper jaw, emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself hooked with a bony tubercle. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel to the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Eyes slightly superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays ( $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$ ). Lateral line strongly curved, much closer to ventral line than to dorsal line. Gill opening ending below the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth slightly spoon-shaped to hooked 2.4.5/5.4.2 or 2.4.4/4.4.2 or 3.5/5.3.

436 I base the genus Rasbora on a number of species with an obvious similarity in general habitus, shape and structure of the fins and organization of the mouthparts. It is related to Opsarius McCl . were Heckel also placed the species already known prior to my research in Opsarius

However, these species and a number of others, discovered by myself in the Indian archipelago, can be placed in a separate natural genus, which can easily be distinguished from Opsarius as it is defined above, by its short anal fin, which in none of the species has more than 5 or 6 branched rays, and by the small mouth slit ending before the eye or at most reaches the anterior eye rim.

I posses of Rasbora no less than eleven species. One of those species, originating from Bengal, probably is the same as Buchanan's Cyprinus rasbora. The remaining ten species all live in the rivers of the Sunda Islands and have been described by myself for the first time, although one of them, Rasbora lateristriga, was already known to Van Hasselt. They all show a large similarity with each other in general shape of body, head, fins, squamation and configuration of the lateral line, however they are sufficiently distinguishable from each other by characters found in the numbers of the scales, and pectoral fin rays, the implantation place and height of the dorsal fin, the height of the body, the length of the head and the coloration. The last mention character is very characteristic for many species.

For the rest I have placed in the above given list of species of Rasbora, various species under escort of a question mark, as their true relationship can only be determined after detailed research.

The species of my collection with the following scheme can be separated from the remaining known ones and from each other.
I. 5 scales above the lateral line, less than 40 in the lateral line.
A. 30 to 36 scales in the lateral line.
a. Dorsal fin closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the anal fin. Depth of body contained $42 / 3$ to $61 / 4$ times in its length.
$\dagger$ Body with longitudinal violet or black bands.
Ó 3 lightly curved bands on the body, upper bands shaped from more or less merging spots, anteriorly prolonged into a scapular-rostral band, posteriorly prolonged up to the posterior margin of the tail.
O 31 to 33 scales in the lateral line, head contained $43 / 4$ to $5^{1 / 3}$ times, pectoral fins contained $51 / 3$ to 6 times in the length of the body. P. 1/14 or 1/15. V. 2/8.

Rasbora cephalotaenoa Blkr. 437
Ó One single band on the body.
437 O Rostro-caudal band more or less curved on the middle of the flanks. Dorsal and anal fin with a blackish band anteriorly.
Ô 30 to 32 scales in the lateral line. Head contained $41 / 3$ to $53 / 4$ times, pectoral fins contained $51 / 3$ to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the body. P. 1/12. V. 2/7.

## Rasbora Einthoveni Blkr.

O' Scapulo-caudal band straight. Round violet spot close to the anterior part of the base of the caudal fin. Fins without bands.
Ô 30 or 31 scales in the lateral line. Head contained $5 \frac{1}{4}$ to $61 / 4$ times, pectoral fins contained $51 / 2$ to 6 times in the length of the body. P. 1/15 or 1/16. V. $2 / 8$.

## Rasbora lateristriata Blkr.

$\mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime}$ Blackish band starting in a large spot above the anal fin and extending from there to the posterior margin of the caudal fin. Postscapular region with a blackish round spot. Anal and dorsal fins without bands.
O 30 scales in the lateral line. Head contained $41 / 2$ to $5^{11 / 4}$ times in the length of the body, pectoral fins contained $41 / 2$ to $51 / 3$ times in the length of the body. P. 1/12 or 1/13. V. 2/7.

## Rasbora kallochroma Blkr.

†' Body without violet of blackish bands.
Ó Dorsal fin hardly closer to ventral fins than to anal fin, lower than the body. Caudal fin very wide posteriorly, with a black margin, contained 4 to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body.
O 32 to 36 scales in the lateral line. P. $1 / 13$ to $1 / 16$. Head contained $42 / 3$ to nearly 6 times, pectoral fins contained 5 to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the body.

Rasbora dusonensis Blkr.
Ó Dorsal fin considerably closer to ventral fins than to anal fin, not lower than the body. Caudal fin without black margin, contained $33 / 4$ to 4 times in the length of the body.

O 32 scales in the lateral line. P. 1/13. V. 2/7. Head 6 to slightly over 6 times, pectoral fins contained about $53 / 4$ times in the length of the body.

Rasbora leptosoma Blkr.
b. Dorsal fin placed halfway between ventral fins and anal fin. Depth of the body contained slightly over 4 to slightly over 5 times in its length.
$438+$ Head-tail band silver, the upper part traversed by a thin bluish band.
Ó 30 scales in the lateral line. Head contained 5 to 6 times, pectoral fins contained $5^{1 / 3}$ to $5^{2 / 3}$ times in the length of the body. P. $1 / 12$ or $1 / 13$. V. $2 / 7$ to $2 / 9$.

Rasbora argyrotaenia Blkr.
c. Dorsal fin closer to anal fin than to ventral fins. Depth contained $51 / 2$ to $5^{2 / 3}$ times in its length.
$\dagger$ Head-tail band silver, traversed in the middle by a thin bluish band.
Ó 32 scales in the lateral line. Head contained nearly 6 to slightly over 6 times, pectoral fins contained $53 / 4$ to 6 times in the length of the body. P. 1/13. V. 2/8.

Rasbora borneënsis Blkr.
B. Less than 30 scales in the lateral line. No violet or black bands on the body.
a. Dorsal fin much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the anal fin.

Depth of body contained about $41 / 2$ times in its length.
$\dagger 27$ or 28 scales in the lateral line. Head contained slightly over 5 times, pectoral fins contained about $53 / 4$ times in the length of the body. P. 1/14. V. 2/8.

## Rasbora Buchanani Blkr.

b. Dorsal fin placed halfway between ventral fins and anal fin. P. 1/13.
$\dagger 25$ or 26 scales in the lateral line. Depth of the body contained 5 to $51 / 3$ times in its length. Head contained about 5 times, pectoral fins contained 5 to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the body. Anal fin without black spot. $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bones very broad. Pharyngeal teeth 2.4.5/5.4.2.

## Rasbora sumatrana Blkr.

$t^{\prime} 22$ to 24 scales in the lateral line. Depth of body contained about $4 \frac{1}{2}$ times in its length. Head contained about $41 / 2$ times, pectoral fins contained 6 times in the length of the body. Anal fin with a large black spot at the tip. $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bones slender. Pharyngeal teeth 3.5/5.3.

Rasbora bankanensis Blkr.

## Rasbora cephalotaenia Blkr. Gebande Paraai [Banded Paraai]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. L fig. 5.

A Rasbora with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 5 to $52 / 3$ times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to nearly 2 times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained $43 / 4$ to $51 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{2} / 3$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless;


Fig. 114. Rasbora cephalotaenia Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XVII, Fig. 3. TL figure 128 mm .
depth of head contained $13 / 5$ to $4391 \frac{1}{2}$ times in its length, width nearly 2 to $13 / 4$ times; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes slightly more than once to nearly $11 / 2$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the posterior margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile sloping on the head sloping, nearly straight or slightly concave, on nape and back convex; interorbital line slightly convex; snout acute, not convex, slightly to no shorter than the eye, tip located anterior to the superior part of the eye; nostrils not much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a generally slightly acutely rounded or slightly truncate downward pointing angle, traversed by an obliquely backward descending longitudinal crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, higher posteriorly than anteriorly, length less than twice as large as depth, twice to less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones broad, nearly reaching the posterior margin of the preoperculum, less than twice to twice as low as the eye diameter; jaws nearly equal, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw moderately forward protrusable, profoundly emarginate at the symphysis, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained nearly $2^{2} / 3$ to 3 times in the length of the head; lower jaw emarginate versus the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with an hook or very conspicuous tubercle, entering the intermaxillary incision, obliquely compressed branches on the lower part with one longitudinal row of pores, not always visible; lips thin, oral surface transversely striped; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth towards the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained $12 / 5$ to $11 / 2$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the preoperculum; Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a superficial, oblong or oval well visible small fossa; dorsal profile convex, not or hardly higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, angular at the sides, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scale nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, caudal scales conspicuously smaller than those on the middle of the flanks, 31 to 33 scales in the lateral line, $10(91 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three to five longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row hardly increasing in size posteriorly, larger than those in the flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, three times or more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly and ending on the middle of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or not reaching the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, slightly obtuse at the tip; dorsal fin placed between
ventral fins and anal fin, much closer to ventral fins than to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, convex, not or hardly lower than the body, twice or nearly twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, considerably shorter than the head; pectoral fins scaleless at the base, acute, contained $5^{1 / 3}$ to 6 times in the length of the body, not or hardly reaching the ventral fins, the ray simple, thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, shorter than pectoral fins, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled, low sheath, emarginate, considerably to slightly lower than the dorsal fin, considerably to slightly higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe hardly longer than upper lobe, contained 4 to $42 / 3$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body faintly green or olive, golden or silver at the flanks, lower part silver; rostro-opercular band violet-blue or black, generally interrupted by the eye; iris silver or yellow; every scale on the back with an oblong, transverse, thin, violetish spot at the base; flanks with 3 longitudinal, violet-blue or black bands, 2 upper head-tail bands closely together, composed from roundish spots (one on each scale), the lower one with some accessory spot below and in front, in one longitudinal line, lower axillo-anal band ending close to the posterior anal ray, continuous, not interrupted; fins pink or pink-hyaline, more or less speckled with 440 dark, caudal fin in the middle generally with a longitudinal violet-blue or black band, confluent with the head-tail bands.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 14$ or $1 / 15$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus cephalotaenia Blkr, Bijdr. ichth. Fauna Biliton, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 97.
Hab. Borneo (Kahajan), in rivers.
Banka (Merawang, Baturussak), in rivers.
Biliton (Tjirutjup), in rivers.
Length of 17 specimens $70^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $128^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I described this species already in the year 1851 after specimens from the island Biliton, but since then I received still other specimens from Banka and Borneo.

Among all its relatives it is recognizable by its peculiar band markings, 31-33 scales in the lateral line, 14 or 15 branched pectoral fin rays, etc.

Rasbora Einthoveni Blkr. Einthoven's Paraai. Atl. Cypr. Tab XLIX fig. 5.

A Rasbora with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $42 / 3$ to 5 times in its length, width contained $12 / 3$ to nearly 2 times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained $41 / 3$ to $53 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $31 / 2$ to $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained $11 / 2$ to $11 / 3$ times in its length, width nearly 2 to $13 / 4$ times; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained $22 / 3$ to 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to $11 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes nearly once to $11 / 4$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the posterior margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight or slightly convex, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, shorter than the eye, tip located anterior to the upper part of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils about twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united into a slightly acutely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular or nearly triangular, much higher posteriorly than anteriorly, length less than twice as large as depth, nearly twice to much less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone much broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, nearly reaching the posterior margin of the preoperculum, twice to much less than twice as thin as the eye diameter; jaws


Fig. 115. Rasbora Einthoveni Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXI, Fig. 1. TL figure 83 mm .
nearly equal, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw moderately forward protrusable, at the symphysis conspicuously emarginate and slightly behind the symphysis strongly emarginate, from there anteriorly bilobed, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained 3 to nearly 3 times in the length of the head; lower jaw moderately emarginate towards the symphysis, the symphysis itself provided with a very conspicuous hook entering the intermaxillary incision, obliquely compressed branches on the lower part without visible pores; lips thin, oral surface without visible transverse stripes; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained $13 / 5$ to $11 / 2$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior suborbital bones. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2 or 2.4.4/4.4.2, each below the hook with a oblong, superficial slightly visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body convex, not or hardly lower than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, scales on the middle of the flanks larger than on the rest of the body, 30 to 32 scales in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5(41 / 2)$ above 441 the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row nearly equal in size, not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly and ending on the middle of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin, much closer to ventral fins than to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, convex, slightly lower than the body, about twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, slightly shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, contained $51 / 3$ to $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body, not or hardly reaching the ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute or acutely rounded, contained $63 / 4$ to $71 / 2$ times in the length of the body, not or hardly reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled, low sheath, acute, moderately to not emarginate, considerably lower to twice as low as dorsal fin, much higher to slightly higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute or slightly acutely rounded, contained 4 to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, olive or dark, lower part pearly or pink; iris yellow or silver; very conspicuous dark rostro-caudal band, intersecting the lateral line anteriorly and posteriorly, on the tail generally a lot broader than anteriorly, frequently more or less curved downward, in old animals thinner than in juveniles, tapering again above the base of the caudal fin and prolonged up to the tips of the middle rays of the caudal fin; fins pink to hyaline or pink, dorsal and anal fin anteriorly generally with an oblique, dark, intermarginal band towards the tip.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 12$. V. $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short ones on the sides included.

Syn. Leuciscus Einthovenii Blkr., Vijfde bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. II p. 434.
Hab. Borneo (Kahajan), in rivers. Biliton (Tjirutjup), in rivers. Banka (Marawang, Toboali, Barurussak), in rivers. Singapore, in rivers.
Length of 32 specimens $30^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $85^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. The first specimen of this species that I laid my eyes on originated from Borneo. Since then I received numerous other specimens, both from Borneo and from Biliton, Banka and Singapore.

The species in relationship stands between Rasbora cephalotaenia and Rasbora lateristriga, but is distinguished from both, apart from a somewhat convex head profile, by having only 12 branched rays in the pectoral fin and the oblique bands on the dorsal and anal fin, and moreover still from Rasbora cephalotaenia by a single body stripe and from Rasbora lateristriga as that stripe already starts on the snout, curves downwards on the flanks and for the rest extends till the posterior edge of the caudal fin.

It seems to stay within smaller size than both forenamed species.

> Rasbora lateristrata Blkr. Zijstreepige Paraai [Lateral stripe Paraai]. Atl. Cypr. tab. XLIX fig. 2.

A Rasbora with an elongate body, depth of body contained $51 / 4$ to $5^{2} / 3$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained $51 / 4$ to $6^{1 / 4}$ times in length of body with caudal fin, slightly over 4 times to $43 / 4$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained $11 / 3$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times in its length, 442 width 2 to $14 / 5$ times; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained nearly 3 to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter slightly more than once to $11 / 2$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to $11 / 4$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, shorter than the eye, tip placed anterior to the posterior part of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils more than twice as large as


Fig. 116. Rasbora lateristrata Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XVII, Fig. 2. TL figure 118 mm .
anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded or slightly truncate downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblongquadrangular, considerably deeper posteriorly than anteriorly, length only slightly larger than posterior height, much less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones broad, nearly reaching the posterior margin of the preoperculum, much thinner than the eye diameter; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw not shorter than lower jaw, slightly forward protrusable, at the symphysis profoundly emarginate, ending anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained nearly 3 to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head; lower jaw strongly emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with a rather elevated hook, entering the intermaxillary incision, branches obliquely compressed, lower part with some pores, not always visible, in one longitudinal row; lips thin, no visible transverse stripes; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to $1^{2 / 3}$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly convex to slightly concave; gill opening ending below posterior margin of the eye. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a oblong or oval, superficial, well visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body convex, not or hardly lower than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, scales on the middle of the flanks larger than on the rest of the body, 30 or 31 scales in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in the medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in those rows hardly or not larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly and ending on the middle of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, slightly acutely or slightly obtusely rounded; dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin, considerably closer to ventral fins than to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, convex, not or only slightly lower than the body, about twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, hardly or not shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, not scaled at the base, considerably longer than the ventral fins, contained $5 \frac{1}{2}$ to 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, not reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled, low sheath, acute, emarginate, only slightly lower than the dorsal fin, considerably higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, nearly equal, contained 4 to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver; iris silver; head-tail band silver, subcutaneous, generally hardly visible, head-tail band violet-blue, frequently only posteriorly visible, belly above the anterior base of the anal fin generally with a round or oblong violet-blue or blackish spot; fins hyaline or pink-hyaline, caudal fin posteriorly generally slightly bordered with dark.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 15$ or $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.
443 Syn. Leuciscus lateristriatus V. Hass., Algem. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. 132, Bullet. Féruss. 1824 Zoöl.; Blkr, Overz. ichth. faun. van Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 94.
Tjetjerreh Mal. Bat.; Parai, Gallengung Sund.
Hab. Java (Perdana, Tandjong-oost, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Tjipanas, Bandung, Pandjallu, Banjumas), in rivers and lakes.
Sumatra (Telokbetong, Lahat, Pajakombo, Meninju), in rivers and lakes.
Length of 20 specimens $55^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $121^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Rasbora lateristriata was first described by myself, but all the same, judging from an illustration, which is in my possession, was already known to Van Hasselt who at the above referred place mentioned it under the name Leuciscus lateristriatus. It
is related to the longitudinally with violet or black banded species Rasbora cephalotaenia, Rasbora Einthoveni and Rasbora kallochroma, but it distinguishes itself from all those by its relatively smaller head, by the longitudinal bluish-violet body stripe only extending from the gill cover till the caudal fin basis and which is straight, by its more angular backline and by the spot close to the basis of anterior part of the anal fin. Moreover it has the head profile straight and not somewhat convex as in Rasbora Einthovenii and it also misses the oblique bands on the dorsal and anal fin of this species, the only one of the archipelagic species, with which it might be mistaken.

Till now Rasbora lateristriata has only become known to me from Java and Sumatra. It seems to grow larger than the three above mentioned species.

> Rasbora kallochroma Blkr. Fraaikleurige Paraai [Nice coloured Paraai]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. L fig. 1.

A Rasbora with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained about 5 times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its length. Head acute, not convex, contained $41 / 2$ to about $51 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{1 / 2}$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to $11 / 2$ times, width contained $13 / 5$ to $12 / 3$ times in its length; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained nearly 3 times to 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once to $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $12 / 5$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; ros-tro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight or slightly convex, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, much shorter than the eye, tip located anterior to the upper part of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides much longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded or slightly truncate downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, much deeper posteriorly than anteriorly, length less than twice as great as depth, less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones broad, reaching the posterior margin of the preoperculum, not much thinner than the eye diameter; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw not shorter than lower jaw, moderately forward protrusable, at the symphysis conspicuously emarginate and slightly behind the symphysis strongly emarginate, from there


Fig. 117. Rasbora kallochroma Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XX, Fig. 1. TL figure 85 mm .
bilobed anteriorly, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, contained about 3 times in the length of the head; lower jaw strongly emarginate towards the symphysis, 444 symphysis itself provided with a uniform tubercle, entering the intermaxillary incision, branches nearly horizontally compressed, lower part without visible pores; lips thin, no visible transverse stripes; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth nearly up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained about $12 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly concave or nearly straight; gill opening ending below posterior suborbital bones; Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a oblong, superficial, little visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body convex, not or hardly higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, scales on the middle of the flanks conspicuously larger than on the rest of the body, 30 scales in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, about 12 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in medial row larger than those in lateral rows; lateral line strongly curved, more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly and ending at the lower base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin, closer to ventral fins than to anal fin, acute, convex, not or hardly lower than the body, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, slightly shorter than the head; pectoral fins scaled at the base, acute, contained $41 / 2$ to about $51 / 3$ times in the length of the body, reaching or nearly reaching the ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins acute, not or hardly reaching the anal fin, contained $61 / 2$ to 7 times in the length of the body; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled, low sheath, acute, slightly emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin, considerably higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained 3 to $4 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green or darkish, lower part pink or silver; several scales on the back with an oblong, transverse, trigonal dark spot at the base; iris silver or yellow; flanks in the postscapular region with a roundish black spot and above the anal fin about the middle of the depth of the body with a very large quadrangular or oblong or irregular black spot, posteriorly and at the bottom generally prolonged into a band entering the middle of the base of the caudal fin; between postscapular and supra-anal spot generally with some black droplets in one longitudinal row; fins pink-red or hyaline, ventral fins and anal fin at the tip lightly bordered with black.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 12$ or $1 / 13$. V. $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus kalochroma Blkr, Nieuwe bijdr. ichth. faun. Born., Nat. T. Ned. Ind. I p. 272.
Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Sambas), in rivers.
Banka (Baturussak), in rivers.
Length of 14 specimens $48^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $85^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Rasbora kallochroma, just like the related Rasbora Einthoveni, has the profile of the head somewhat convex, only seven branched rays in the pelvic fins and 12 or 13 branched rays in the pectoral fins, but it distinguishes itself from Rasbora Einthoveni by higher $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bones and longer pectoral fins and for the rest it is easily recognizable by its colouration. A little posterior to the axil a round black spot is found, which only reaches the lateral line with its lower side. The flanks from that spot to above the vent is without proper band markings. Above the anal fin 445 a second larger black spot is found, now elongated round, then again more squarish in shape, which similarly lies slightly above the lateral line, and which stretches to slightly before the anal fin. From the posterior margin of this spot a blackish band runs to the posterior edge of the caudal fin.

I described Rasbora kallochroma already in 1850 after ill preserved specimens from Borneo. Later I received also excellently preserved specimens from Banka, which have enabled me to greatly improve my earlier description.

Rasbora dusonensis Blkr. -<br>Duson's Paraai.<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLIX fig. 3.

A Rasbora with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained nearly 5 to $5^{1 / 2}$ times in its length, width contained nearly twice to $12 / 3$ times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained $42 / 3$ to about nearly 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly $31 / 2$ to $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained $12 / 5$ to $1^{2 / 3}$ times contained times in its length; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 4$ to $11 / 2$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, in younger animals shorter than the eye, in adults not shorter than the eye, tip placed anterior to the upper part of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils about twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone generally triangular (only in old animals frequently broadly truncate at the tip, nearly quadrangular), base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly obtusely or acutely rounded (or truncate) downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblongquadrangular, not or hardly lower posteriorly than anteriorly, length less than twice to twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones low, rather far removed from the posterior margin of the preoperculum, $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone much thinner than the $3^{\text {rd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone twice to more than twice as thin as the eye; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw not shorter than lower jaw, hardly forward protrusable, at the symphysis conspicuously emarginate, ending anterior to the eye, contained slightly over 3 to about $32 / 5$ times in the length of the head; lower jaw moderately emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself with a rather elevated tubercle entering the intermaxillary incision, slightly hooked, branches obliquely compressed, lower part with some visible pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, lightly transversely striped; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to $12 / 5$ times in its depth, lower margin slightly nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below posterior suborbital bones. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a oblong,


Fig. 118. Rasbora dusonensis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XIX, Fig. 1. TL figure 163 mm .
superficial, well visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body convex, generally lower than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales oblique (lower angle of the free part placed anterior to the upper part), caudal scales very conspicuously smaller than those on the middle of the flanks, scales on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, 32 to 36 scales in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal 446 fin, the lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube not or hardly reaching the centre of the scale; scapular bone short, triangular, acutely or slightly acutely rounded; dorsal fin placed about halfway between ventral fins and anal fin, closer to ventral fins than to anal fin, acute, convex, depth contained slightly more than once to $11 / 3$ times in the depth of the body, about twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, not much shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, lightly scaled at the base, much longer than ventral fins, contained 5 to $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body, reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, not reaching anal fin, ray undivided, slender; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled, low sheath, acute, emarginate, considerably lower than dorsal fin but less than twice as low, slightly higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, nearly equal, contained 4 to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part pearly-silver; iris silver or yellow; silver head-tail band quasi subcutaneous, frequently not visible without removing scales; fins hyaline or pink-hyaline or yellowish-hyaline, caudal fin with a broad black border.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 13$ to $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ to $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus dusonensis Blkr, Bijdr. ichth. Kenn. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. I p. 14.
Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin, Kahajan, Pengaron, Prabukarta, Sambas, Pontianak), in rivers.
Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Length of 64 specimens $55^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $166^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Rasbora dusonensis seems to be a very common species in the rivers of Borneo as I have usually found one or more individuals in collections of freshwater fishes that I had the pleasure to receive from the various parts of Borneo. The species also grows larger than all other archipelagic species of the genus. From Sumatra I only received it from Palembang, and from the album of Siamese Fishes of Count de Castelnau I perceive it also lives in the Meinam in Siam.

The body is without markings except for a weakly transparent silverish band, which one observes in nearly all species of Rasbora, however as a rule the caudal fin posteriorly is very broad and sharply black rimmed, which greatly facilitates the recognition of the species. It belongs indeed to the type of Rasbora lateristriata and distinguishes itself moreover from the related species as the dorsal fin is situated only a little closer to the pelvic fins than to the anal fin, and is less deep than the body, the caudal fin fits 4 to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body, and the pelvic fins possesses 8 branched rays. In habitus it resembles Rasbora lateristriata most. Moreover it is remarkable that in Rasbora dusonensis the number of scales in the lateral line varies from 30 to 33 .

> Rasbora leptosoma Blkr. Slanke Paraai [Slender Paraai] Atl. Cypr. tab. XLIX fig. 1.

A Rasbora with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $51 / 2$ to $53 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head acute, not or hardly convex, contained 6 to slightly over


Fig. 119. Rasbora leptosoma Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLIII, Fig. 4. TL figure 89 mm .

6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $4474 \frac{1}{2}$ to $43 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained about $12 / 5$ times in its length, width about twice; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained $23 / 5$ to 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to $11 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, slightly convex or nearly straight, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not or hardly convex, shorter than the eye, tip placed anterior to the upper part of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils twice or more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, not or hardly higher posteriorly than anteriorly, length about twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital much broader than $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones, rather far removed from the posterior margin of the preoperculum, about twice as thin as the eye diameter; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw longer than lower jaw, slightly forward protrusable, at the symphysis moderately emarginate, ending below the anterior margin of the eye, contained about $22 / 3$ times in the length of the head; lower jaw strongly emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with a well visible hook, entering the intermaxillary incision, branches obliquely compressed, lower part with some visible pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, not conspicuously transversely striped on the oral surface; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below posterior suborbital bones; Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a oblong, superficial, slightly visible small fossa, scapular bone triangular, with an acute angle; dorsal line of the body convex, not or hardly lower than convex ventral line; belly slightly flattened anterior to ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with longitudinal stripes, stripes little visible, however, scales on the middle of the flanks larger than on the rest of the body, about 32 scales in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line strongly curved, more than twice as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly, ending on the lower part of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube more or less reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin placed between ventral fins and anal fin, considerably closer to ventral fins than to anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, convex, slightly to hardly higher than the body, about twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, longer than the head; pectoral fins scaleless at the base, acute, contained about $53 / 4$ times in the length of the body, not reaching the ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, contained slightly over 7 to $71 / 3$ times in
the length of the body, not or hardly reaching the anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin, much higher than base length, but much less than twice as high, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 4$ to 4 times in the length of the body; Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part pearly-silver; silver peritoneum visible under the diaphanous skin; iris silver or yellow; silver head-tail band mainly visible when scales have been removed; fins yellowish- hyaline, dorsal and caudal fin and scales on back and sides more or less speckled with dark.

```
B. 3. D. 2/7 or 2/8. P. 1/13. V. 2/7. A. 3/5 or 3/6. C. 7/17/7, short flanking ones included.
Syn. Leuciscus leptosoma Blkr, Nalez. vischfauna Sumatra, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. IX Pag. 269.
Hab. Sumatra (Lahat), in rivers.
Length of 4 specimens 65'"' to 94'"'.
```

448 Remark. This species is most closely related to Rasbora borneënsis, but distinguishes itself from it by the more anterior placement of the dorsal fin, one ray less in the pelvic fin, less clearly striped scales, a deeper incised lower jaw hook, a higher dorsal fin and longer anal fin, etc. My four specimens all originate from Lahat, in the interior of Palembang.

> Rasbora argyrotaenia Blkr. Zilverbandige Paraai [Silver-striped Paraai]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. L fig. 6.

A Rasbora with an oblong-elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained slightly over 4 to slightly over 5 times in its length, width contained twice to $2 \frac{1}{3}$ times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained 5 to 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to $41 / 2$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless, depth of head contained about $11 / 4$ to $12 / 5$ times in its length, width twice to $12 / 3$ times; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained $2^{2} / 3$ to 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes once to $11 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, shorter than the eye, tip placed anterior to the upper part of


Fig. 120. Rasbora argyrotaenia Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXI, Fig. 3. TL figure 104 mm .
the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly obtusely rounded or slightly truncate downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblongquadrangular, not or slightly higher posteriorly than anteriorly, length generally less than twice as great as depth, twice or less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones broad, nearly reaching the posterior margin of the preoperculum, much thinner than the eye diameter, but much less than twice as thin; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw not shorter than lower jaw, moderately forward protrusable, lightly emarginate at the symphysis, ending anterior to the eye, contained about $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head; lower jaw lightly emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with a small tubercle, entering the intermaxillary incision, branches obliquely compressed, lower part generally with some little visible pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, no visible transverse stripes; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained $11 / 2$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior suborbital bones; Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a oblong, superficial, little visible small fossa, dorsal profile convex, not or not much lower than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, scales on the middle of the flanks larger than on the rest of the body, 30 in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, scales in those rows not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, three times or more than three as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly and ending on the middle of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone short, triangular, acutely or slightly acutely rounded, dorsal fin placed about halfway between ventral fins and anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, convex, depth contained slightly more than once to $11 / 3$ times in the depth of the body, twice or less than twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, slightly to not shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, lightly scaled at the base, considerably longer 449 than ventral fins, contained $51 / 3$ to $52 / 3$ times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, slightly higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe generally slightly longer than upper lobe, contained slightly over 4 times to $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body; Colour: upper part of the body faintly green, lower part of head and belly silver, behind the belly hyaline; scales on the anterior part of the body on the middle of the flanks each with a transverse, crescent-shaped thin band, composed from dark speckles; silver head-tail quasi subcutaneous band broader posteriorly than anteriorly, on top a thinner or broader shining-green, after death blue bordered with blue or nearly covered with blue, frequently not visible because of the bluish band covering it; iris silver, fins, except on the caudal fin, white-hyaline, on the middle length or depth of the anterior margin sometimes decorated with a blood-red spot; caudal fin beautiful yellow, base frequently darkish-violet.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 12$ or $1 / 13$. V. $2 / 7$ to $2 / 9$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 7$ or $7 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus argyrotaenia Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 21. Leuciscus cyanotaenia Blkr, ibid. p. 21.
Leuciscus Schwenkii Blkr, Act. Soc. Reg. Sc. Ind. Neerl. III Zesde Bijdr. Vischf. Sumatra p. 47. Tjetjerreh Mal.; Parai Sund.; Wader, Lundjar-andong, Lundjar-pareh Jav.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Perdana, Tjibiliong, Tjiringin, Serang, Tandjong-oost, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Tjitjurup, Parongkalong, Banjumas, Gombong, Ambarawa, Purworedjo, Surakarta, Patjitan, Surabaya, Pasuruan, Grati, Ngantang, Lesti, Malang, Bondowosso), in rivers and lakes.

Sumatra (Palembang, Lahat, Telokbetong, Padang, Trussan, Meninju, Pajakombo), in rivers and lakes.
Bali (Boleling), in rivers.
Length of more than 100 specimens $45^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $106^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Closely related to Rasbora lateristriata Blkr. and Rasbora dusonensis Blkr, the species in question differs from these however by a remarkably less slender body, a little developed knob at the symphysis of the lower jaw, the absence of the upper anal fin spot, a further posterior position of the dorsal fin, remarkably less deep anal fin, etc.

On Java the species is very common and is found till rather high in the various drainages. The specimens from the higher regions usually have a more slender body and as a rule exhibit the blue stripe on the silver lateral band more clearly. Earlier I described this variety as a proper species under the name Leuciscus cyanotaenia, but since then I have observed so numerous stepwise transitions between both forms that it has become clear that all belong only to a single species.

Similarly Leuciscus Swenkii, which I described as a new species at the referred place after a specimen from Sumatra, now seems to me not to differ specifically from Rasbora argyrotaenia. The transverse crescent-shaped black-brown scale bands or spots recorded of that specimen often are also present in the specimens of Rasbora argyrotaenia from Java.

> A50 Rasbora borneënsis Blkr. Borneosche Paraai [Bornean Paraai]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. L fig. 4.

A Rasbora with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $51 / 3$ to $52 / 3$ times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head acute, slightly convex, contained nearly 6 to slightly over 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $43 / 5$ to $4^{3 / 4}$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless, depth of head contained about $12 / 5$ times in its length, width about twice; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained $23 / 5$ to nearly 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to slightly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostrodorsal profile on the head sloping, slightly convex, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, slightly convex, shorter than the eye, tip located anterior to the upper part of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils about twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, not or hardly higher


Fig. 121. Rasbora borneënsis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XIV, Fig. 2. TL figure 70 mm .
posteriorly than anteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone much broader than $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones, rather far removed from the posterior margin of the preoperculum, about twice as thin as the eye diameter; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw not longer than lower jaw, slightly forward protrusable, at the symphysis moderately emarginate, ending below the anterior margin of the eye, contained about 3 times in the length of the head; lower jaw lightly emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with a soft tubercle entering the intermaxillary incision, branches obliquely compressed, lower part generally without visible pores; lips thin, without conspicuous transverse stripes on the oral surface; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained about $11 / 2$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below posterior suborbital bones. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a oblong, superficial, little visible small fossa, scapular bone triangular, acutely rounded; dorsal line of the body convex, not or slightly lower than convex ventral line; belly nearly flat anterior to ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, stripes well visible; scales on the middle of the flanks larger than those on the rest of the body, about 32 scales in the lateral line, $9\left(8^{1 / 2}\right)$ in a transverse row of which $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 12 or 13 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line strongly curved, more than twice as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly, ending on the lower part of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube more or less reaching the centre of the scale; dorsal fin much closer to anal fin than to ventral fins, scaleless at the base, acute, convex, not or hardly lower than the body, about twice as deep as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, hardly shorter than the head; pectoral fin scaleless at the base, acute, considerably longer than ventral fins, contained $53 / 4$ to 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, contained $71 / 3$ to 8 times in the length of the body, not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin, much higher than base length, but much less than twice as high, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $4^{1 / 3}$ to $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part pearly-silver, silver peritoneum visible below the transparent skin; iris silver or yellow, head-tail band mainly visible when scales have been removed, covered or traversed by a blue-violet stripe; fins yellowish-hyaline, dorsal and caudal fin just like the scales on back and flanks more or less speckled with dark.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 13$. P. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

451 Hab. Borneo (Bandjermasin), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $64^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $74^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. I have preserved both my specimens of the species in question for a long time, without having recognized them, among my very numerous specimens of Rasbora dusonensis and I have only noticed them during the present investigation of my Cyprinoids.

The species is most closely related to Rasbora leptosoma, but easily distinguishable from it as the dorsal fin is closer to the anal than to the pelvic fins and moreover the fins in general are less developed, just like the lower jaw knob and incision. Moreover, the pelvic fins have one ray less, while also the violet stripe over the silver coloured headtail band can facilitate the recognition.

## Rasbora Buchanani Blkr. Buchanan's Paraai. Atl. Cypr. tab. L fig. 3.

A Rasbora with an oblong-elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained about $41 / 2$ times in its length, width contained about $2 \frac{1}{3}$ times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained slightly over 5


Fig. 122. Rasbora Buchanani Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XIV, Fig. 4. TL figure 74 mm .
times in length of body with caudal fin, slightly over 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless, depth of head contained about $12 / 3$ times, width contained slightly more than twice in its length; eyes posterior, eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ to $12 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, shorter than the eye, tip placed anterior to the upper part of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly obtusely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone oblong-quadrangular, not or hardly higher posteriorly than anteriorly, length less than twice as great as depth, about twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone much broader than $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, not reaching the posterior margin of the preoperculum, much narrower than eye diameter, but much less than twice as thin; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw not shorter than lower jaw, moderately forward protrusable, at the symphysis hardly emarginate, ending below the anterior margin of the eye, contained hardly more than 3 times in the length of the head; lower jaw lightly emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with a medium-sized tubercle, entering the intermaxillary incision, branches obliquely compressed, lower part with some little conspicuous pores arranged in one longitudinal row; lips thin, without visible transverse stripes; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained about $12 / 5$ times in its height, lower margin slightly concave; gill opening ending below $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, $2.4 .5 / 5.4 .2$, each below the hook with a oblong, superficial, little visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body convex, hardly or not lower than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins rounded, not ridged; scales nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, scales on the middle of the flanks larger than those on the rest of the body, 27 or 28 scales in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row (lowest ventral scales included) of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral 452 line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly; lateral line strongly curved, three times or more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly and ending on the middle part of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone short, slightly acutely rounded; dorsal fin much closer to the base of the ventral fins than to the anal fin, acute, slightly convex, depth contained slightly more than once in the depth of the body, about twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {rd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, slightly shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, considerably longer than ventral fins, contained about $53 / 4$
times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled, low sheath, acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin, but much less than twice as low, considerably higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe slightly longer than upper lobe, contained about $4 \frac{1}{4}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part pearly; iris silver or yellowish; head-tail band diffuse, silver; fins pink-hyaline or yellowish-hyaline, caudal fin with a rather wide black border posteriorly.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 14$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Cyprinus rasbora Buch. Gang. Fish. p. 329, 391 tab. 2 fig. 90?
Leuciscus rasobora McCl., Indian Cyprin. Asiat. Research. XIX p. 292?
Leuciscus rasbora McCl., Ind. Cyprin. Asiat. Res. XIX p. 407? Val., Poiss. XVI p. 335? Cant., Catal. Mal. Fish. p. 268?; Blkr, Verh. B.G. XXV Nalez. ichth. Beng. p. 140.
Opsarius rasbora Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 53?
Cyprin rasbora Val., Poiss. XVI p. 335?
Hab. Calcutta, in the river Hooghly.
Length of sole specimen $76^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. Very closely related to Rasbora agyrotaenia Blkr, the species in question distinguishes itself thereof mainly by a lower, more slender head, two or three scales less in the lateral line and a remarkably more anterior position of the dorsal fin.

My description is made after a specimen from Calcutta. I do not possess the species from the Indian archipelago.

Rasbora Buchanani is first described and depicted by Buchanan in his work on the fishes of the Ganges. The description however is little distinguishing, and would also entirely fit on various other species of the genus. The illustration of Buchanan is rather good and makes the species rather well recognizable. However, it shows a few scales too little in a longitudinal series.

Mr MacClelland mentioned the species also as inhabitant of Assam and gave a new description of it. He mentions the number of scales in a longitudinal row as only 25, however there are certainly 27 or 28 in the lateral line. He found in the stomach fragments of insects.

Mr Valenciennes has mentioned Rasbora Buchanani after the descriptions and illustrations of Buchanan and Mr MacClelland, but does not seem to have known the species from nature.

Mr Cantor was the first to make the species known as an inhabitant of the island Pinang. 153 He mentions only 23 scales between the gill cover and caudal fin, which number answers rather well to that observed by me if one, following my present method of counting of the scales, adds the anterior lateral line scales and the caudal fin scales.

In 1853 I received the abovementioned specimen of Calcutta from Mr Th. Cantor, and described it in my "Nalezingen op de ichthyologie van Bengalen en Hindostan." In that description a few inaccuracies have slipped which have been improved in the one given above.

In my opinion however, it is not yet entirely certain that this description concerns Cyprinus rasbora Buch., basing my doubt regarding this primarily on the short and slender head of my specimen, which according to the illustration of Buchanan and the description of Mr Cantor would only fit $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body, whereas in my specimen it fits amply 5 times in that length.

When it would appear later that my specimen differs specifically from Buchanan's species, a new specific name will have to be given to it.

> Rasbora sumatrana Blkr. Sumatran Paraai. Atl. Cypr. Tab. L fig. 2.

A Rasbora with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 5 to about $51 / 3$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained about 5 times in length of body with caudal fin, $33 / 4$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless, depth of head contained about $12 / 3$ times in its length, width about $13 / 4$ times; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 2$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained $11 / 3$ to $1^{2 / 5}$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 3$ to $12 / 5$ times their diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight, on nape and back convex; snout acute, not convex, shorter than the eye, tip placed anterior to the upper part of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hardly more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone pentagonal, lower margin angular, much higher posteriorly than anteriorly, length not or hardly greater than depth, not or only slightly lower than $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bone very broad, more than twice as broad as $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bone, nearly reaching the posterior margin of the preoperculum, not much thinner than the eye diameter; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw not shorter than lower jaw, moderately forward protrusable, at the symphysis conspicuously emarginate and slightly behind the symphysis strongly emarginate, from there bilobed anteriorly, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the lower margin of the eye, contained about $23 / 4$ to $24 / 5$ times in the length of the head; lower jaw emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with a very conspicuous hook entering the intermaxillary incision, branches obliquely compressed, lower part with some conspicuous pores arranged in one longitudinal row; lips thin, oral surface with transverse stripes; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained $13 / 5$ to $13 / 4$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly


Fig. 123. Rasbora sumatrana Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XX, Fig. 3. TL figure 102 mm .
straight or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the posterior suborbital bones. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously ${ }^{454}$ hooked, $2.4 .5 / 5.4 .2$, each below the hook with an oval, well visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body convex, slightly to not lower than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, scales on the middle of the flanks conspicuously larger than those on the rest of the body, 25 or 26 scales in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5\left(4^{1 / 2}\right)$ above the lateral line, 10 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin, lowest ventral scales in medial row not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line strongly curved, more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending posteriorly and ending on the middle of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, slightly acutely or slightly obtusely rounded; dorsal fin placed about halfway between ventral fins and anal fin, scaleless at the base, not shorter to slightly shorter than the body, about twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, slightly to hardly shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, contained 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ times in the length of the body, reaching or nearly reaching ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, contained 6 to $63 / 4$ times in the length of the body, reaching or nearly reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled, low sheath, acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin, considerably higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained $33 / 4$ to about 4 times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green or olive, lower part silver; iris yellow or pink; no visible silver head-tail band; fins pink or pink-hyaline.

> B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 13$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.
> Syn. Leuciscus sumatranus Blkr, Diagn. nieuw. vischs. Sumatra Tient. I-IV, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 601 .

Hab. Sumatra (Solok), in rivers.
Length of 3 specimens $85^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $115^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. In the species in question the pharyngeal teeth are relatively well developed, which it also has in common with Rasbora kallosoma. Among its relatives it is easy recognizable by its low number of scales, the absence of all band and spot markings, the strong lower jaw hook, the lobe-shape tip of the intramaxillary bones, its high and angular second suborbital bone, very broad third suborbital bone with simultaneously a little developed fourth posterior suborbital bone, etc.

Rasbora bankanensis Blkr. Bankasche Paraai [Bankanese Paraai]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLIX fig. 4.

A Rasbora with an oblong-slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained about $41 / 2$ times in its length, width contained about 2 times in its depth. Head acute, not convex, contained about $41 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, about $31 / 3$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained about $12 / 5$ times in its length, width nearly twice; eyes slightly posterior, eye diameter contained about $22 / 3$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, nearly straight, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not convex, shorter than the eye, tip placed approximately anterior to the middle of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hardly more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone nearly 455 triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides much longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone


Fig. 124. Rasbora bankanensis Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XIV, Fig. 3. TL figure 61 mm .
oblong-quadrangular, slightly deeper posteriorly than anteriorly, length about twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones rather thin, rather far removed from the posterior margin of the preoperculum, twice or more than twice as thin as the eye diameter; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw slightly longer than lower jaw, hardly forward protrusable, at the symphysis lightly emarginate, ending below the anterior margin of the eye, contained about $2^{3 / 4}$ times in the length of the head; lower jaw lightly emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself provided with a medium-sized tubercle, entering the intermaxillary incision, branches obliquely compressed, lower part without visible pores; lips thin, without visible transverse stripes; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained about $13 / 4$ times in its depth; gill opening ending below the posterior suborbital bones; Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked, 3.5/5.3, each below the hook with an oblong, hardly visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body convex, not or only slightly lower than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins; scales nearly vertical, scales on the middle of the flanks larger than those on the rest of the body, scales on the free half and the basal half with slightly ray-like longitudinal stripes, 22 to 24 scales in the lateral line, $9(81 / 2)$ in a transverse row of which $5(41 / 2)$ above the lateral line, 10 or 11 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; lateral line strongly curved, three times or more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the lateral line, gradually ascending posteriorly and ending on the lower part of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, obtusely rounded; dorsal fin placed about halfway between ventral fins and anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, convex, not or hardly lower than the body, slightly more than twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, slightly shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, contained about 6 times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins, the simple ray thin; ventral fins inserted in the lowest part of the belly, acute, contained about 8 times in the length of the body; not reaching anal fin; anal fin acute, emarginate, not much lower than dorsal fin, not much higher than base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about 4 ? times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green (or darkish?), lower part silver (or golden?); iris yellow or silver; fins pink, dorsal fin towards the tip and caudal fin on the membrane violetish-dark; anal fin at the tip with a large, black spot.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 13$. V. $2 / 8$. A. $3 / 5$ or $3 / 6$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leucuscus bankanensis Blkr, Nalez. Ichth. Faun. Banka, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 192.
Hab. Banka (Marawang), in rivers.
Length of sole specimen $64^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Notwithstanding this species in habitus and fin shape entirely agrees with the remaining archipelagic species of Rasbora, it has a remarkably different dentition
and therefore provides another example, that, no matter how important the dentition in Cyprinids is for the determination of some groups and genera, this character must be used with caution, if one does not wish to relapse in the erection of genera that are not acceptable. For the rest the species, apart from the dentition, can be distinguished from its relatives, by its short body, its ${ }^{156}$ relatively large head and short lower jaw, the low number of scales in a longitudinal row, the large black spot on the tip of the anal fin, low $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ suborbital bones, etc.

## Rasborichthys Blkr. Membrane eye carp.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized scales, back low. Jaws enclosed in thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout acute, short, not protruding anterior to the mouth, slightly depressed. Anterior suborbital bone triangular, tip acute, pointing downward. Mouth anterior, gape strongly oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaws at the symphysis not emarginate, slightly protrusable. Lower jaw at the symphysis with a well visible tubercle, branches nearly horizontally compressed. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel to the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Eyes slightly superior, largely covered by palpebral membrane. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, scaleless at the base, posterior simple ray totally cartilaginous. Anal fin with many rays, much longer than dorsal fin. Lateral line hardly curved. Gill opening ending below the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth knife-like 1.3.5/5.3.1 or 3.5/5.3.

Remark. The genus Rasborichthys is based on the species, which I have made known in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Volume of the "Acta Societas Scientarum Indo-Neerlandicae" under the name Leuciscus Helfrichii. This genus in relationship stands between Rasbora and Opsarius, but cannot be united with none of these. It can at once be distinguished from both by the broad eye membrane which covers the eye almost completely leaving in the middle only a small opening, as well as by the not being concave of the upper jaw. From Rasbora it differs moreover yet by the relatively very long anal fin and the triangular, with the anterior directed tip, suborbital bone; and from Opsarius by the small mouth slit which ends before the eye. As far as the present knowledge reaches, the genus Rasborichthys is proper to Borneo.

> Rasborichthys Helfrichii Blkr. -
> Helfrich's Vliesoogkarper [Helfrich's Membrane eye Carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. XLIX fig. 6.

A Rasborichthys with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $52 / 3$ to 6 times in its length, width contained about 2 times 457 in its depth. Head acute, hardly convex, contained about $52 / 3$ to $61 / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $41 / 2$ to $43 / 5$ times in length of body without caudal fin, crown scaleless; depth of head contained $11 / 2$ to $12 / 5$ times in its length, width about twice; eyes slightly superior, eye diameter contained $2 \frac{2}{3}$ to $2^{3 / 4}$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to $11 / 4$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $3 / 4$ times to nearly once the eye diameter; palpebral membrane very broad, covering the complete iris anteriorly and posteriorly, the opening oblong, vertical; rostro-dorsal profile on the head sloping, slightly convex, on nape and back convex; interorbital line convex; snout acute, not or hardly convex, considerably shorter than the eye, tip placed anterior to the upper part of the eye; nostrils hardly closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils much larger than anterior nostrils;


Fig. 125. Rasborichthys Helfrichii Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XXII, Fig. 3. TL figure 111 mm .
anterior suborbital bone triangular, base shorter, pointing upward, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into an acutely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed by a longitudinal, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ suborbital bones low (thin), far removed from the posterior margin of the preoperculum, three times as thin as the eye diameter; jaws nearly equal, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw slightly forward protrusable, ending hardly anterior to the eye or below the anterior margin of the eye, at the symphysis not emarginate, contained $3^{1 / 3}$ to $3^{11 / 2}$ times in the length of the head; lower jaw emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself with a well visible tubercle, branches nearly horizontally compressed, lower part without visible pores; lips thin, no visible transverse stripes; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary symphysis; gape strongly oblique; width of gill cover contained nearly 2 times in its depth; gill opening ending below the preoperculum; Pharyngeal teeth knife-like 1.3.5/5.3.1 or 3.5/5.3, each with a strongly acute tip, chewing surface oblique, concave; dorsal line of the body convex, not or hardly higher than convex ventral line; belly flat anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins ridged; scales nearly vertical, without visible, longitudinal stripes, caudal scales slightly smaller than those on the rest of the body, 55 to 60 scales in the lateral line, 16 or 17 in a transverse row (without the lowest ventral scales) of which 10 above the lateral line, about 26 in a longitudinal row between occiput and dorsal fin; the lowest ventral scales in three longitudinal rows, scales in medial row gradually increasing in size posteriorly, not or hardly larger than those in flanking rows; lateral line sloping anteriorly up to the tip of the pectoral fins, next nearly straight, considerably closer but much less than twice as close to the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone triangular, obtusely rounded; dorsal fin placed about halfway between ventral fins and anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, not or hardly emarginate, slightly lower than the body, about twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous, slightly shorter than the head; pectoral fins acute, contained nearly 7 times in the length of the body, not reaching ventral fins, scaleless at the base, the simple ray thin; ventral fins acute, contained $71 / 2$ to 8 times in the length of the body, not reaching anal fin; anal fin scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, much lower than dorsal fin but much less than twice as low, length much greater than depth, at the base contained 6 to $61 / 4$ times in the length of the body, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, contained about $4 / 5$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body yellowish-green, lower part silver-hyaline; iris silver or yellow; diffuse, silver pleuro-caudal band; back with dark speckles, fins yellowish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 14$. V. 2/8. A. $3 / 18$ or $3 / 19$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $8 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus Helfrichii Blkr, Act. Soc. Reg. Scient., Ind. Neerl. II Tiende bijdrage ichthyol. Borneo p. 15.
Hab. Borneo (Kahajan), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $94^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $117^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

458 Remark. The species in question seems to be very rare and till now was only sent to me from the Kahajan river. It has a gracious, slender appearance and for the rest is remarkable because of its large eye and slender suborbital bones. I have named it in honour of Mr C. Helfrich, to whom science owes the knowledge of various fish species from Borneo.

Abramis Cuv.,<br>Regn. anim. ed. $1^{\text {st }}$ II p. 194; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 42;<br>Heck. Kner, Fisch. oestr. Mon. p. $104=$ Blicca Heck. $=$ Ballerus Heck. $=$ Blicopsis Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 42, 43. -<br>White bream.

Body oblong, strongly compressed, covered with large scales, back elevated, angular. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout obtuse, convex, not to hardly sticking out anterior to the mouth. Mouth anterior, gape oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Lower jaw shorter than upper jaw, not hooked at the symphysis. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line lightly curved. Belly not keeled anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins with a scaleless ridge. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin or above the anterior part of the anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal fin with many rays, much longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth grinding 5/5, or prehensile or predatory $2.5 / 5.2$ or $3.5 / 5.3$.

Remark. Cuvier restricted his diagnosis of the genus to the few words "ni spines ni barbillions; dorsale courte, placée en arrière des ventrales; anale longue". Neither spines nor barbels dorsal fin short, placed behind the ventral fins, anal fin long.

Mr Valenciennes rejected the genus Abramus Cuv., and united it with his genus Leuciscus, which comprises all different genera in which after Cuvier the old genus Leuciscus was split. Surely the assertion of Mr Valenciennes is well-founded, that the characterization of Abramis by Cuvier is too indefinite to split it on the basis of that from Leuciscus Cuv., however, most of the recent ichthyologists have not joined him in his opinion that the very numerous species which he placed under the generic name Leuciscus, would produce no characters constant, definite or important enough to justify the splitting of Leuciscus in various new genera.

After a new detailed study of the species which I posses myself and which have been made known by others from the various regions of the old and new world, 459 I have equally come to the conviction that the species of Leuciscus Val. indeed can be placed in various natural genera. However, one must keep in mind, that nature with regard to the Cyprinoids, notwithstanding they represent about one eights part of all known fish species of the present creation, do not show the lavishness in characters, which for instance in the Silurids attracts the attention, - that it even has used only a relatively small number of characters for the more than 1000 species of carp-like fishes that are now known, and that those characters therefore obtain a higher weight than they would posses in families of a larger diversity in organization.

In the mean time I also nurse the conviction that one has gone too far in the erection
of new genera and that especially abuse has been made of the dentition to create new genera only on that basis. It seems to me that this has also been the case with regard to the species of Abramis Cuv.

Thus Heckel has based four different genera on Cyprinus brama L., Cyprinus blicca Bl., Cyprinus Buggenhagii Bl. and Cyprinus ballerus L., which he named Abramis, Blicca, Blicopsis and Ballerus. Later he rejected his genus Ballerus, but he could, in my opinion, with no less ground, also have rejected the genera Blicca and Blicopsis, which differ from Abramus in nothing unless some differences in the dentition of Abramis (according to his opinion) are distinguished.

I consider all species summed up under the four mentioned generic names to belong to a single genus, for which the name Abramis, as first proposed, should be retained.

Luxilus Raf.,<br>Ichth. Ohiens.; Gir., Cypr. Fish. Unit Stat., Proc. Ac. Nat. Sc. Philad. VIII p. 202 = Stilbe De Kay, Zool. N. York Fish. p. 204 = Richardsonius Gir., l.c. p. 201. Stilbe.

Body oblong, strongly compressed, covered with large scales, back elevated, angular. Jaws equal, covered by thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth terminal, gape small, oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Eyes slightly superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line strongly curved. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending above or hardly anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal fin with many rays, much longer than dorsal fin. Isthmus narrow. Pharyngeal teeth compressed $5 / 5$, on the chewing surface crenate at the angles, 160 or predatory teeth $2.4 / 4.3$ or $2.5 / 5.2$ without a chewing surface, but a noncrenate ridge instead.

Remark. The genus Luxilus has the habitus of Abramis. - Stilbe De Kay does not differ essentially from it and Richardsonius Gir. cannot be distinguished from it unless by a somewhat different shape and formula of the pharyngeal teeth. The relationship with Abramis Cuv. is so large, that a generic differentiation of it seems hardly justifiable.

## Alburnus Rond.;

Heck., Fisch Syr. p. 46; Heck. Kner, Fisch oestr. Mon. p. 131;
Girard, Cypr. Fish. N. Amer. Proc. Ac. Nat. Sc. Phil. VIII 1856 p. 193 =
Alburnellus Gir., = Leucaspius Heck. Kner l.c. p. 145. White minnow.

Body oblong-elongate or elongate, compressed, covered with large deciduous scales, back not higher than belly, low. Jaws covered by thin lips, upper lip slightly protrusable, emarginate at the symphysis, lower jaw prominent, at the symphysis with a tubercle entering the intermaxillary incision. No barbels. Snout short, acute, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth superior, strongly oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Eyes posterior or superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line strongly curved. Belly not keeled anterior to ventral fins, behind ventral fins keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending above or hardly anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal fin with many rays, much longer to considerably longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, smooth, $2.5 / 5.2$ or $2.4 / 4.2$, or grinding, crenulate on the chewing surface $5 / 5$.

Remark. The genus Alburnus, as described above, in relationship stands between Abramis and Scardinius and also shows already the relationship between Abramis and

Pelecus, examplifying one of the intermediates of these genera. Moreover, it constantly distinguishes itself from Scardinius as the anal fin is remarkably longer than the dorsal fin. Concerning this it has a large resemblance with Abramis, but distinguishes itself again from it, apart from its more slender body, lower back and snout, by the very oblique mouth slit, which is directed upwards. The incision in the intermaxillaries with the therein fitting lower jaw knob I see also mentioned in some species of Abramis. The characters $\sqrt{661}$ of Alburnus thus cannot be defined very sharply, which makes the value of this genus very doubtful. Moreover one of the species, Cyprinus bipunctatus L., placed by Heckel in Alburnus, rather should be classified under Abramis, which is also the case for Alburnus coeruleus Heck.

Heckel and Kner recently have proposed a new genus after a species discovered by them in Lembang, which they have named Leucaspius abruptus. However, the species as far as habitus, squamation and fin shape are concerned, fits entirely within the borders of Alburnus. It differs only by its one rowed notched teeth from the remaining species of Alburnus and has externally the peculiarity, just like Alburnus delineatus (Squalius delineatus Heck.) that the lateral line, although strongly declining just like in Alburnus, is only visible on the anterior part of the body, a peculiarity that is also found in species of Systomus. I have placed this species on the list occurring at the head of this group under the genus Alburnus.

> Hybopsis Ag.,
> Fish tenness. River, Amer. Journ. Sc. Arts. 2nd Ser. Vol. XVII 1854.;
> Gir., Cypr. Fish. Unit. Stat., Proc. Ac. Nat. Ac. Philad. VIII p. 210 - Hudsonius Gir. Blunt minnow.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips. Barbels 2 , upper jaw barbels or no barbels. Head slightly conical, snout short, rounded or truncate, protruding anterior to the mouth. Upper jaw protrusable, ending below the anterior margin of the eye. Mouth inferior, gape ending hardly anterior to the eye. Lateral line not or hardly curved. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above ventral fins, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, shorter than dorsal fin to hardly longer. Isthmus narrow. Pharyngeal teeth compressed, hooked 1.4/4.1, or $0.4 / 4.1$ or $2.4 / 4.2$ or 2.4/4.1 or 0.4/4.2, chewing surface thin.

Subg. Hybopsis Ag. - Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels.
" Hudsonius Gir., No barbels.

Remark. Mr Agassiz in his diagnosis does not mention barbels, which however are present according to Mr Girard. Hudsonius Gir. would only differ from Hybopsis by the absence of barbels therefore it is only introduced here as subgenus.

52 Leucosomus Heck.,
Fish. Syr. p. 52; Gir., Cypr. Fish. Un. St. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sc. Philad. VIII p. $189=$ Cheilonemus Baird = Pogonichthys Gir., Descr. New. Fish. Un. Stat., ib. VIII p. $187=$ Nocomis Gir., ib. VIII p. 190. Thread minnow.

Body elongate or slightly elongate, fusiform-compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws enclosed in terete, simple lips. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Snout slightly or not protruding anterior to the mouth.

Mouth anterior or slightly inferior, gape large. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line curved. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above or behind ventral fins and ending slightly anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, not longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, compressed 2.4/4.2 or 2.5/4.3 or 2.5/4.2 or 1.4/4.2 or 1.4/4.1.

Remark. According to the diagnosis of the genus Pogonichthys given by Mr Girard himself, it would mainly differ from Leucosomus by the presence of a chewing pad on the pharyngeal teeth, which for the rest are of the same type and similarly placed, so that there seems to be no sufficient basis to separate it from Leucosomus. The differences between Leucosomus and Nocomus Gir. are, at least according to the diagnosis of Mr Girard, of no higher weight, so that maybe also Nocomis should be resolved in Leucosomus. The type of Leucosomus is Leucosomus Storeri Val. (Leuciscus pulchellus Stor.), but apart from this species one now knows still a number of others.

Leucosomus by its upper jaw barbels and dentition reminds one of the genus Gobio, however it has more the habitus of Leuciscus or Alburnus.

Ceratichthys Baird Gir.,<br>Cypr. Fish. unit Stat., proc. Acad. Nat. Sc. Philad. VIII p. 212. Beard minnow.

Body elongate, slightly fusiform-compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws covered by simple lips. Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels. Head depressed at the top, snout convex, protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth nearly terminal, gape medium-sized, horizontal. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line hardly curved. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray 463 cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, hardly or not longer than dorsal fin. Isthmus broad. Pharyngeal teeth prehensile 4/4.

Remark. Ceratichthys seems to be most closely related to Leucosomus Heck. and distinguishes itself mainly from it by a smaller mouth slit, a next to straight back line and one rowed pharyngeal teeth.

Semotilus Raf.,<br>Ichth. Ohiens.; Gir., Cypr. Fish. un. Stat., Proc. Acad. Nat. Scienc. Philad. VIII p. 203. False Minnow.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back elevated. Jaws equal, enclosed in terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth terminal, gape oblique, rather large. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line slightly curved. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above ventral fins and ending above or hardly anterior to anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, not or hardly longer than dorsal fin. Isthmus narrow. Pharyngeal teeth predatory $2.5 / 5.2$ or 2.4/5.2, no chewing surface.

Remark. The genus Semotilus in my opion seems to be closely related to the twobarbeled genus Leucosomus Heck.

Scardinius Bp.,<br>Faun. Ital. III; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 47; Heck. Kner, Fisch. oestr. Mon. p. $153=$ Idus Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 47. Roach.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales, back angular. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout short, hardly or not convex, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth anterior, gape oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Lower jaw hardly shorter than upper jaw. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line moderately curved. Belly not keeled anterior to ventral fins. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to anal fin or above the beginning of the anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal 464 fin with few rays, shorter to hardly longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, on the chewing surface compressed, crenulate or serrated or smooth 3.5/5.3.

Remark. Scardinius is an intermediate between Leuciscus and Abramis. It has the short anal fin of Leuciscus, however the dorsal implanted behind the pelvic fins just like Abramis, from which nominal genus it externally only differs by the short anal fin and the not or hardly convex snout and the relatively very oblique mouth slit. The serrated or notched pharyngeal teeth one finds neither in Abramis nor in Leuciscus. Scardinius therefore can be sharply distinguished from both mentioned genera. However, it remains a question whether a generic value can be attached to those characters, which I doubt very much.

The genus Idus differs in nothing from Scardinius, unless by the smoothness of the pharyngeal teeth pads and thus ought to be united with Scardinius.

## Leuciscus Rond.;

Klein.; Cuv., Regne anim. ed. $1^{\text {a }}$ II p. 194; Heck., fisch. Syr. p. 49; Heck. Kner, Fisch. oestreich. Mon. p. 169 = Leucos Heck., Fisch Syr p. $48=$ Squalius Bp., Faun. Ital., = Telestes Bp., F. Ital. -White-fish.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed or slightly terete, covered with large or medium-sized scales, back elevated to low. Jaws covered by thin, terete, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout obtuse or slightly obtusely convex, short, not to hardly anterior to the mouth. Mouth anterior, gape more or less oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Eyes superior or slightly posterior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line moderately to strongly curved. Belly not keeled anterior to ventral fins. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above or hardly behind ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, hardly longer to no longer than dorsal fin. Pharyngeal teeth grinding $6 / 5$ or $5 / 5$, or predatory $2.5 / 5.2$ or 2.5/4.2.

Remark. The relationship of Leuciscus, as it is described above, with Abramis is so large that the assertion of Mr Valenciennes concerning the not generic differences between Abramis and Leuciscus, if only applied on the species of Leuciscus and Leucos, as this genus is understood by the more recent $\sqrt{665}$ ichthyologists, in my opinion would be very acceptable. Leuciscus then would stand in the same relationship to Abramis, as Rohtichthys to Rothee, or, if one would reverse the relationship of the lengths of the dorsal fin and the anal fin, as Cyclocheilichthys (Siaja) microlepis to the remaining species of Cyclocheilichthys. Abramis obtains then even hardly the value of a subgenus in
relation to Leuciscus, and still other genera of the Leusicini erected by the more recent ichthyologists with regard to their generic value stand in an approximately similar relationship.

Without accepting the genera in this way, I have only mentioned them here with the characters attached to them by the ichthyologists, to keep a clear view at least in the chaos of related species of the large genus Leuciscus. Leucos Heck. differs in nothing from Leuciscus Heck., unless by a tooth less in the left pharyngeal bone, and therefore is here united with Leuciscus. I was also of the opinion that the genus Squalius Bp. is not essentially different from Leuciscus. Indeed it would not differ in anything essentially from Leuciscus unless by a change in the dentition (dentes raptatorii $2.5 / 5.2$ ), a change that is not represented externally by any character. Moreover Heckel has placed some species in his genus Squalinus, like Squalinus berag and Squalinus lepidus, which show entirely the habitus of Aspius, and also, at least Squalius lepidus, have the shape of the jaws entirely corresponding to that of Aspius, from which genus they were separated by Heckel only on the basis of peculiarities in the dentition.

Telestes Bp. can be separated with just as little reason from Squalinus and Leuciscus. To the blackish longitudinal band on the body, which would narrows down to be the character of the genus, in any case cannot be attached more than specific value.

Leuciscus, accepted in the above described sense as a nominal genus, in essence only differs from Abramis, as the dorsal fin starts above or hardly behind the origin of the pelvic fins and is not or only a little shorter than the anal fin.

Alburnus Gir., Cyprin. Fish. Unit. Stat., Proc., Ac. Nat. Sc. Phil. VIII p. 194. Blunt white fish.

Body oblong or elongate, compressed, covered with large scales. Jaws covered by terete lips. No barbels. Snout thickened, protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth inferior, gape medium-sized. Lateral line hardly curved. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting above ventral fins, 466 posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays. Isthmus narrow. Pharyngeal teeth prehensile, smooth 2.4/4.2 or 1.4/4.2, chewing surface thin (sometimes twisted).

Remark. The genus Alburnops seems to be closely related to Leuciscus and only differs from it by deciduous scales and a thick snout. A sharper delimitation is very desirable.

Cyprinella Gir., Cyprin. Fish. Unit. Stat., Proc., Ac. Nat. Sc. Phil. VIII p. 166. = Moniana Gir., ibid p. 199 -<br>Carp minnow.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with large or medium-sized, high, short scales. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips. No barbels. Snout convex, more or less protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth slightly anterior, gape oblique, short. Eyes superior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Lateral line moderately curved. Belly not keeled. Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind ventral fins, with few rays, posterior simple ray cartilaginous. Anal fin with few rays, not or hardly longer than
dorsal fin. Isthmus narrow. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, thin, compressed 4/4 or 1.4/4.1, no chewing surface, but a lightly crenulate crest instead.

Remark. I see no sufficient basis, why Moniana is considered by Mr Girard as a genus differing from his genus Cyprinella, as according to the diagnosis given by Mr Girard himself the genera differ from each other only by a minor change in the formula of the pharyngeal teeth. I therefore provisionally consider all species placed in Moniana as belonging to Cyprinella, which value as a genus according to me similarly is to be doubted.

Codoma Gir.,<br>Cyprin. Fish. unit. Stat., Proc., Ac. Nat. Sc. Phil. VIII p. 194. Codoma minnow.

Body oblong or slightly elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized, scales. Jaws equal, covered by terete lips. No barbels. Head short. Snout rounded, not protruding anterior to the mouth. Mouth terminal, gape ending anterior to the eye. Lateral line slightly curved. Dorsal fin with few rays, starting slightly behind ventral fins, posterior simple ray cartilaginous, without teeth. Anal fin with few rays. 467 Isthmus rather broad. Pharyngeal teeth predatory $4 / 4$, no chewing surface.

Remark. Mr Girard in the referred article places this genus between Alburnops and Plargyrus. For Codoma holds the same, which is already noted regarding Cyprinella and Alburnops.

## Smilogaster Blkr. - <br> Acute-belly Carp.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with small, not radial scales, back elevated. Jaws equal, covered by terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout angular. Belly keeled, strongly convex. Lateral line running along the middle of the flanks. Dorsal fin with few rays, provided with a serrated spine. Pectoral fins mediumsized, anal fin with many rays, elongate. Anal sheath without larger scales. Pharyngeal teeth compressed 2.2.4/4.2.2, chewing surface oblique, truncate, periphery with many tubercles.

Remark. The species made known by Mr Valenciennes under the name of Leuciscus Belangeri, because of its knife-like keeled belly, belongs to the group of Chela and is therein, because of its dorsal spines, related to Culter Bas. but easy to recognize by its deep body, the teeth on the dorsal spine, not superior mouth opening, not or little bend lateral line, etc.

Culter Basil.,<br>Ichth. Chin. Bor., Nouv. Mém. Sociét. Impér. Natural. Moscou X 1855 p. 236. -Spine-knife fish.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with medium-sized or small scales, very unequal in size, back very low, much lower than convex belly. Jaws covered by terete, simple lips. No barbels. Snout short, not prominent. Mouth superior, gape nearly vertical. Lower jaw protruding anterior to the upper jaw, elevated, narrow. Gill opening wide. Belly keeled. Dorsal fin starting behind ventral fins and ending anterior to the anal fin, posterior simple ray bony, without teeth. Anal fin with many rays, longer than dorsal fin. Lateral line strongly curved. Nuchal scales starting above the preoperculum. No anal sheath covered with larger scales. Swimbladder trilobed.

468 Remark. The genus Culter in habitus has much of Pellona and belongs in the large series of Cheilognathines in the proximity of Chela, on account of the general shape of the body. However, it is easily distinguishable from it by the remarkably more anterior implanted dorsal fin and the strongly developed dorsal spine. Mr Basilewski places in his genus Culter 6 species from Northern China, which he has named Culter alburnus, Culter erythropterus, Culter mongolicus, Culter pekinensis, Culter exiguous and Culter leucisculus. The first three of these species rather certainly belong to this genus, as it is describe above, and have with Chela the sharply keeled belly in common, but it seems to me that the remaining three species cannot remain in this genus.

Leuciscus recurviceps Richds. is also a species of Culter, just like probably also Leuciscus acutus Richds. and Leuciscus machaeroides Richds., at least, if these have after the illustrations of the known species of Reeves the posteriormost undivided dorsal fin ray bony.

## Laubuca Blkr. - <br> Laubuka.

Body oblong, strongly compressed, covered with large scales, back lower than elevated belly. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout short, low, not protruding anterior to the mouth, tip placed above or anterior to the upper margin of the eye. Anterior suborbital bone quadrangular. Eyes posterior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior-superior, gape strongly oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw prominent at the symphysis, not emarginate. Lower jaw not or hardly shorter than upper jaw, without tubercle or hook at the symphysis. Scales on the body nearly equal in size, nuchal scales starting far behind the eye. Belly keeled from gula up to vent, with a thin ridge. Gulo-ventral line convex in a regular manner. Ventral fins inserted laterally, above the ridge of the belly. Lateral line strongly curved, much closer to ventral line than to dorsal line. Dorsal fin with few rays, totally or largely located above anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins longer than the head. Anal fin with many rays, much longer than dorsal fin to twice as long, at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath. Gill opening ending below the eye. Pharyngeal teeth hooked, predatory 2.4.5/5.4.2.

469 Remark. I accept the name given by Buchanan for the typical species of this genus as the name for the genus itself. I restrict it to those species, which, in general habitus of body and fins answer to Chela, however differ from it by a different shape of the jaws, by the absence of the hook-like processus on the lower jaw, equally by the absence of a concavity of the symphysis of the upper jaw, by the usual way far behind the eye starting neck scales, the more regular squamation, and a larger gill slit which extends till under the eye. I was able to determine these characters in detail in the only species that I possess of this genus and which is the same as Perilampus guttatus McCl . or Cyprinus laubuca Buch.

Chela. Buch.,<br>Account Fish. Gang. p. 285; Swains, Nat. Hist. Fish. II p. 285; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. $44=$ Oxygaster V. Hass., Alg. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p. $133=$<br>Pelecus Ag., Mem. Neuch. I 1836; Heck., Fisch. Syr. p. 45; Heck. Kner, Fisch. oestr. Mon. p. 126 = Salmophasia Swains., Nat. Hist. Fish. II p. 285. -<br>Knife-carp.

Body oblong or elongate, strongly compressed, covered with large or small scales, back lower than elevated belly. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout short, low, not
protruding anterior to the mouth, tip placed above or anterior to the upper margin of the eye. Anterior suborbital bone triangular or quadrangular, thinner part pointing downward. Eyes posterior or inferior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth anterior-superior, gape strongly oblique, ending anterior to the eye. Upper jaw emarginate at the symphysis. Lower jaw not shorter than upper jaw, emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself hooked with a bony tubercle, hook entering the intermaxillary incision. Postlabial groove on both sides parallel with the free margin of the jaw, not united with the groove on the opposite side. Scales on the body generally very unequal in size, nuchal scales starting above the eye. Belly keeled from gula up to vent keeled, with a thin ridge. Gulo-ventral line convex in a regular way. Ventral fins inserted laterally, above the ridge of the belly. Lateral line strongly curved, much closer to ventral line than to dorsal line. Dorsal fin with few rays, totally or largely located above anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. 470 Pectoral fins longer than the head. Anal fin with many rays, much longer to more as five times as long as dorsal fin, at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath. Gill opening ending below the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth predatory teeth $2.4 .5 / 5.4 .2$ or 2.5/5.2 or 4.4/4.4.

Remark. The genus Chela is one of the most natural and best definable of the family. In relationship it stands between Laubuca and Macrochirichthys. From Laubuca it distinguishes itself by a different structure of the jaws, as the upper jaw in Laubuca is not concave and at the symphysis even sharply protruding, while the lower jaw has no hook or knob. Moreover, in Laubuca the entire head is scaleless, so that the neck scales start only far behind the eye just as in the common Leusicini. The genus Pelecus Ag. differs in nothing essential from Chela, however the European species, on which Mr Agassiz based this genus, has only two rows of pharyngeal teeth and the lateral line more irregularly bend. Salmo phasia Swains. is equally of the same importance as Chela. I possess 4 species of Chela, one from Bengal (Chela bacaila Blkr. = Cyprinus bacaila Buch.) and three from the Indian Archipelago. South Asia however still produces about twenty other species. The archipelagic species can be separated from those ones and from each other according to the following scheme.
I. Anal fin with rays $3 / 27$ or $3 / 32$. Lateral line curved in a regular manner. Teeth 2.4.5/5.4.2. Anterior suborbital bone triangular, tip pointing downward. Pectoral fins reaching or surpassing the base of the ventral fins, ventral fins inserted far behind pectoral fins, not reaching anal fin. A. Depth of body contained $33 / 4$ to $41 / 2$ times in its length.
a. 60 to about 63 scales in the lateral line, about 13 above the lateral line. Eyes inferior. Pectoral fins nearly reaching anal fin, caudal fin on each lobe with a blackish, longitudinal band, anal fin contained $3^{1 / 4}$ to $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the body.

## Chela hypophthalmus Blkr.

b. 40 to about 45 scales in the lateral line, about 7 above the lateral line. Eyes posterior. Pectoral fins slightly surpassing the base of the ventral fin, caudal fin without bands, anal fin contained $33 / 5$ to 4 times in the length of the body.

## Chela oxygastroides Blkr.

B. Depth of body contained 5 to 6 times in its length.
a. 50 to 60 scales in the lateral line, 11 or 12 above the lateral line. 471 Eyes posterior. Pectoral fins hardly or not surpassing the base of the ventral fins, caudal fin on both lobes with a blackish, longitudinal band, anal fin contained $41 / 4$ to $42 / 3$ times in the length of the body.

# Chela hypophthalmus Blkr. Laagoogige Meskarper [Low-eyed Knife-carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. LII fig. 3. 

A Chela with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained 4 to $33 / 4$ times in its length, width contained about 3 times in its depth. Head acute, contained about $61 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, nearly 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, upper part scaled up to the anterior part of the eye, depth of head contained hardly more than once, width contained slightly more than twice in its length; eyes posterior-inferior, eye diameter contained slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained about $1 \frac{1}{3}$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes about once the eye diameter, palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the eye only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile concave only on the forehead, on nape and back convex; interorbital line strongly convex; snout acute, lightly convex, shorter than the eye, tip placed higher than the upper margin of the eye; nostrils hardly closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils hardly twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone triangular, base shorter, nearly horizontal, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed in the middle by a slightly vertical crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, not or hardly deeper posteriorly than anteriorly, length about twice as great as depth, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw hardly shorter than lower jaw, slightly forward protrusable, rather profoundly emarginate at the symphysis, strongly descending, ending anterior to the eye, contained slightly more than 3 to $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the head; lower jaw strongly ascending, emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis itself with a medium-sized hook entering the intermaxillary incision, branches nearly vertically compressed, lower part with several conspicuous pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, simple; groove of upper lip short, ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape nearly vertical; width of gill cover contained about $11 / 3$ times in its height; lower margin slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a thin, oblong, hardly visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body lightly convex, much lower than ventral line; belly strongly compressed, on the total length ending in an acute keel; ventral line from gula to vent convex in a regular manner, nowhere concave; scales generally without visible longitudinal stripes, extremely different in size, nuchal, dorsal and upper caudal scales small, thoracic and lower caudal scales medium-sized, all scales much smaller than those on the middle of the flanks, about 60 to 63 scales in the lateral line, about 20 in a transverse row (close to the base of the ventral fins) of which about 12 above the lateral


Fig. 126. Chela hypophthalmus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLII, Fig. 3. TL figure 162 mm .
line; lateral line strongly curved, anteriorly curved with a moderate concavity, pointing downward, descending up to a point behind the ventral fins, more than twice as close to the base of the ventral fins than to the dorsal line, above the anal fin gradually ascending, ending on the middle of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube generally surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone with a broad, longitudinal groove, triangular, at the tip slightly acutely rounded; dorsal fin placed completely opposite to the anterior part of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, not emarginate, about twice as low as the body, slightly more than twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous; pectoral fins scaled at the base, acute, much longer than the head, contained $31 / 4$ to $31 / 3$ times in the length of the body, reaching or nearly reaching the vent, the simple ray 472 rather robust; ventral fins inserted above the keel of the belly, nearly three times as short as the pectoral fins, nearly reaching the vent; anal fin short, enclosed in a scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, depth contained slightly more than twice in the depth of the body, length slightly more than twice as great as depth, length contained $41 / 3$ to $41 / 4$ times in the length of the body, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained about $41 / 2$ (?) times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver-hyaline or pearly; head-tail band shining-silver, much broader in the middle than anteriorly and posteriorly, visible especially when the scales have been removed; iris yellow or silver; fins yellowish-hyaline, caudal fin darkish on the basal half of both lobes.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 11$ or $1 / 12$. V. 2/6. A. $3 / 29$ or $3 / 30$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Length of 2 specimens $155^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $165^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I am not entirely certain that both my specimens of this species originate from Palembang. I have preserved them for a long time in the same bottle in which I kept my specimens of Chela oxygastroides and from which species I earlier by inattention did not distinguish them. However, it is easily distinguishable from it by its remarkably smaller scales, lower pectoral fins, a blunter head, lower placed eyes through which the interorbital profile is much more convex than in Chela oxygastroides, etc.

> Chela oxygastroides Blkr. -
> Korte Meskarper [Short Knife-carp]. Atl. Cypr. tab. LII fig. 2.

A Chela with an oblong, compressed body, depth of body contained $41 / 2$ to nearly 4 times in its length, width contained nearly 3 to 3 times in its depth. Head acute, contained $51 / 2$ to 6 times in length of body with caudal fin, $41 / 3$ to 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, upper part scaled up to the anterior part above the eye, depth of head $11 / 4$ to $11 / 5$ times in its length, width about $21 / 4$ times; eyes posterior, eye diameter contained $2 / 3$ to 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly more than once to $11 / 3$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $2 / 3$ times to once their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the eye only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile concave or slightly concave only on forehead, on nape and back convex; interorbital line moderately convex; snout acute, not or hardly convex, in younger animals and adults considerably shorter than the eye, tip placed higher than the upper margin of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils about twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone triangular, base shorter, nearly horizontal, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded downward pointing angle, traversed in the middle by a slightly vertical crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, generally higher posteriorly than anteriorly, length generally more than twice as great as height, more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw hardly shorter than
lower jaw, slightly forward protrusable, at the symphysis rather profoundly emarginate, strongly descending, ending anterior to the eye, contained 3 to $31 / 6$ times in the length of the head; lower jaw strongly ascending, emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis provided with a medium-sized hook, fitting in the intermaxillary incision, branches nearly vertically compressed, lower part with several conspicuous pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, simple; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape nearly vertical; width of gill cover contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its depth, lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; branchial opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a thin, oblong, hardly visible small fossa; dorsal line 473 of the body lightly convex, much lower than ventral line; belly strongly compressed, on the total length ending in an acute ridge; ventral line from gula to vent convex in a regular manner, nowhere concave; scales generally without visible longitudinal stripes, extremely different in size, nuchal scales small, thoracic and caudal scales medium-sized, all scales much smaller than those on the middle of the flanks, 40 to about 43 scales in a longitudinal row, about 12 to about 14 in a transverse row (close to the base of the ventral fins) of which about 7 above the lateral line; lateral line strongly curved, anteriorly curved with a moderate concavity, pointing downward, descending up to a point behind the ventral fins, less than twice as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, gradually ascending above the anal fin, ending on the middle of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube generally surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone with a broad, longitudinal groove, triangular, tip slightly acutely rounded; dorsal fin completely opposite to the anterior part of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, not emarginate, depth contained nearly twice to twice in the depth of the body, more than twice as high as base length, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray thin, cartilaginous; pectoral fins scaled at the base, acute, much longer than the head, contained $33 / 5$ to 4 times in the length of the body, considerably surpassing the base of the ventral fins; the simple ray rather robust; ventral fins inserted above the keel of the belly, acute, more than twice as short as pectoral fins, not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, depth contained nearly twice to twice in the depth of the body, length nearly twice to more than twice as great as depth, length contained $41 / 3$ to 4 times in the length of the body, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained $41 / 3$ to $45 / 6$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver-hyaline or pearly; head-tail band shining-silver, much broader in the middle than anteriorly and posteriorly, visible especially when the scales have been removed; iris yellow or silver; fins yellowish-hyaline, more or less speckled with dark, caudal fin frequently with a longitudinal, diffuse, darkish band on the middle on both lobes.


Fig. 127. Chela oxygastroides Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLII, Fig. 4. TL figure 145 mm .
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 11$ to $1 / 13$. V.2/6. A. $3 / 28$ to $3 / 32$. C. $6 / 17 / 6$ or $7 / 17 / 7$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus oxygastroides Blkr. Zesde bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. III p. 432.
Hab. Java (Batavia), in rivers.
Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Prabukarta, Sambas), in rivers.
Length of 6 specimens $90^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $148^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Chela oxygastroides in relationship stands between Chela anomalurus and Chela hypophthalmus, but distinguishes itself from both by larger scales of the entire body, especially however of the neck and back, as well as by the absence of longitudinal caudal fin bands. On the three large Sunda Islands it seems to be little rarer than Chela anomalurus, however on Java I only received it of the western part of the island.

Chela anomalurus Blkr. Slanke Meskarper [Slender Knife-carp]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. LII fig. 1.

A Chela with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained 5 to 6 times in its length, width contained 3 to $2^{1} / 2$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained 6 to $61 / 2$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $44 / 3$ [ $3 / 4]$ to 5 times in length of body without caudal fin, upper part scaled up to a point above the posterior margin of the eye, depth of head contained $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $13 / 5$ times in its length, width $2^{1} / 3$ to $2^{1 / 5}$ times; eyes posterior, eye diameter contained 3 times to slightly over 3 times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained once to slightly more than once in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $2 / 3$ to $3 / 5$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the eye only, the opening nearly circular; 474 rostro-dorsal profile concave on the head and on the anterior part of the nape, convex on the back; interorbital line lightly convex; snout acute, not or hardly convex, in younger animals and adults considerably shorter than the eye, tip placed higher than the upper margin of the eye; nostrils closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils more than twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone triangular, base shorter, nearly horizontal, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into a slightly acutely rounded, downward pointing angle, traversed in the middle by a slightly vertical crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone elongate-quadrangular, hardly higher anteriorly than posteriorly, length more than twice as great as depth, more than three times as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone; jaws, oral margins acute especially anteriorly; upper jaw shorter than lower jaw, slightly forward protrusable, profoundly emarginate at the symphysis, strongly descending, ending anterior to the eye, contained slightly over 3 to nearly 3 times in the length of the head; lower jaw strongly ascending, emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis provided with a medium-sized hook, fitting in the intermaxillary incision, branches nearly vertically compressed, lower part with numerous conspicuous pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, simple; groove of upper lip ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth, groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the inframaxillary incision; gape nearly vertical; width of gill cover contained about $1 \frac{1}{4}$ times in its depth; lower margin nearly straight or slightly concave; gill opening ending below the posterior margin of the preoperculum or below the posterior suborbital bone. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, conspicuously hooked 2.4.5/5.4.2, each below the hook with a thin, oblong, hardly visible small fossa; dorsal line of the body lightly convex, much lower than ventral line; belly strongly compressed, over the total length ending in an acute, slightly membranous keel; ventral line from gula to vent convex in a regular manner, nowhere concave; scales generally without visible longitudinal stripes, extremely different in size, nuchal, dorsal, upper caudal and thoracic scales small, much smaller than the other scales but especially than those on the middle of the flanks, 50 to 60 scales in the longitudinal line, 17 to 20 in a transverse row (close to the base of the


Fig. 128. Chela anomalurus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLII, Fig. 2. TL figure 163 mm .
ventral fins) of which 11 or 12 above the lateral line; lateral line strongly curved, anteriorly curve of a moderate concavity, pointing downward, descending up to a point above the base of the ventral fins and there much more than more than two times to more than three times as close to the base of the ventral fins as to the dorsal line, above the anal fin gradually ascending, ending on the middle of the base of the caudal fin, each scale marked by a simple tube reaching or surpassing the centre of the scale; scapular bone with a broad, longitudinal groove, deeply bipartite, triangular, at the tip slightly acutely rounded; dorsal fin completely or nearly completely placed opposite to the anterior part of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, not emarginate, depth contained $11 / 2$ times to nearly twice in the depth of the body, more than twice as high as base length, the simple second ray thin, cartilaginous; pectoral fins scaleless at the base, acute, much longer than the head, contained $45 / 6$ to $42 / 3$ times in the length of the body, reaching or slightly surpassing the base of the ventral fins, the simple ray rather robust; ventral fins inserted above the keel of the belly, acute, much shorter than pectoral fins but less than twice as short, not reaching anal fin; anal fin at the base enclosed in a scaled sheath, acute, emarginate, depth contained $12 / 3$ times to nearly twice in the depth of the body, length twice to nearly twice as great as depth, length contained $41 / 4$ to $4^{3 / 5}$ times in the length of the body, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled only at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained $41 / 4$ to $43 / 4$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, lower part silver-hyaline or pearly; head-tail band shining-silver, broader in the middle than anteriorly and posteriorly, visible especially when the scales have been removed, partly covered by a head-tail violet-blue band, frequently complete anteriorly, more rarely not visible, broader on the tail than anteriorly; iris yellowish or silver; fins yellowish-hyaline; both lobes of caudal fin with a longitudinal, intramarginal blue-violet band, band on upper lobe united with violet-blue head-tail band.
B. 3. D. $2 / 7$ or $2 / 8$. P. $1 / 13$ or $1 / 14$. V. $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 27$ to $3 / 31$. C. $7 / 17 / 7$ or $7 / 17 / 8$, short flanking ones included.

Syn. Clypea anomalura V. Hass., Mss.
Oxygaster anomalurus V. Hass., Alg. Konst- en Letterb. 1823 II p.133, Bull. Féruss. 1824
Zoöl.
Cyprinus oxygater Cuv.
475 Leuciscus oxygaster Val., Poiss., XVII p. 360; Blkr, Zevende bijdr. ichth. Borneo, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. V p. 453.
Able à ventre aigu Val., Poiss. XVII p. 360.
Bulu-manat Lampong.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Surakarta, Kediri, Surabaya, Gempol), in rivers.
Sumatra (Pangabuang provinc. Lampong), in rivers.
Borneo (Pengaron), in rivers.
Length of 8 specimens $95^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $174^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. Van Hasselt discovered this species on Java and at first took it for a herring. Later he brought it to a genus of its own, which he named Oxygaster, which name, as it was proposed later than Chela can be kept no more as that of Pelecus. The first somewhat more detailed description of the species science owes to Mr Valenciennes, but it is too short and does not reflect the colours correctly. The species is not rare on Java and occurs both on the east as on the west side of the island.

Macrochirichthys Blkr. -<br>Timatima.

Body elongate, strongly compressed, covered with small scales, back very low, lower than elevated belly. Jaws covered by thin, simple lips, upper lip slightly protrusable. No barbels. Snout short, low, not protruding anterior to the mouth, tip placed above the upper margin of the eye. Anterior suborbital bone triangular, tip pointing downward. Eyes posterior, not covered by palpebral membrane. Mouth superior, gape broad, nearly vertical, strongly descending below the eye. Upper jaw emarginate at the symphysis. Lower jaw longer than upper jaw, at the symphysis with a hook very conspicuously entering the intermaxillary incision. Postlabial groove very short, on both upper and lower jaw ending slightly anterior to the angle of the mouth. Scales on the body unequal in size, nuchal scales starting at the eye. Belly from gula up to vent keeled, with a thin ridge. Gulo-ventral line very convex anteriorly, strongly concave behind axilla. Ventral fins inserted laterally, above the ridge of the belly. Lateral line slightly curved, closer to ventral line than to dorsal line of the body. Dorsal fin with few rays, completely or largely placed above anal fin, posterior simple ray completely cartilaginous. Pectoral fins longer than the head, $1^{\text {st }}$ ray robust, broad. Anal fin with many rays, much longer than dorsal fin. Gill opening ending 476 below the eye. Pharyngeal teeth predatory, slightly spoon-shaped 4.4/4.4.

Remark. The genus Macrochirichthys is most closely related to Chela and differs from it mainly by a very large mouth slit, a strongly developed lower jaw hook, a very wide and till under the eye extending gill opening, a little bend lateral line, a very short posterior lip groove, a very concave belly line behind the axil and a strongly bony first pectoral fin ray. By its slender habitus, the profile of the belly and the very wide mouth slit it differs in habitus still rather remarkably from Chela and it can be considered as a genus of the Cyprinoids that in its shapes is most closely related to the Clupeoids and Chirocentroids.

I possess only one single species of this genus, however maybe Leuciscus macrochirus Val. from Java can also be placed in it, a species which I till now have not seen and in any case differs from my Macrochirichthys uranoscopus by its elongated pectoral fin ray, remarkably less numerous scales, etc.

> Macrochirichthys uranoscopus Blkr. Sterrenkijkende Timatima [Stargazing Timatima]. Atl. Cypr. Tab. LIII fig. 1.

A Macrochirichthys with an elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained $7 \frac{1}{2}$ to 5 times in its length, width contained $2^{1 / 2}$ to $31 / 2$ times in its depth. Head acute, contained $51 / 2$ to $6^{2} / 3$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $4^{4} 5$ to $5^{2 / 3}$ times in length of body without caudal fin, upper part scaled up to a point above the anterior margin of the eye, depth of head contained $11 / 2$ to $11 / 4$ times in its length, width $33 / 4$ to $23 / 4$ times; eye diameter contained 4 to nearly 5 times in the length of the head, eye diam-
eter contained $13 / 4$ times to slightly more than twice in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $2 / 3$ times to once their diameter, removed from the rostro-dorsal line; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the eye only, the opening nearly circular; rostro-dorsal profile concave on the head and on the front part of the nape, convex on the back; snout acute, not or hardly convex, without the upper jaw in younger animals shorter than the eye and in adults not longer than the eye, tip placed higher than the upper margin of the eye; nostrils much closer to the orbit than to the tip of the snout, posterior nostrils about twice as large as anterior nostrils; anterior suborbital bone triangular, base shorter, nearly horizontal, sides longer, descending, united inferiorly into an acute, more or less rounded, downward pointing angle, traversed in the middle by a ramose, obliquely backward descending crest; $2^{\text {nd }}$ suborbital bone obliquely quadrangular, higher posteriorly than anteriorly, length greater than depth, in juveniles much more than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone, in adults less than twice as low as $1^{\text {st }}$ suborbital bone, sometimes vertically bipartite; jaws, oral margins acute; upper jaw shorter than lower jaw, slightly forwards protrusable, profoundly emarginate at the symphysis, strongly descending, descending below the anterior margin of the eye or slightly anterior to the eye, contained nearly twice to twice in the length of the head; lower jaw strongly ascending, emarginate towards the symphysis, symphysis prolonged into a large hook, fitting in the intermaxillary incision, branches slightly vertically compressed, lower part with numerous well visible pores in one longitudinal row; lips thin, simple; groove of upper lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to the tip of the snout; groove of lower lip extending from the angle of the mouth up to a point only slightly anterior to the angle; gape nearly vertical; width of gill cover contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $13 / 5$ times in its depth; lower margin nearly straight, slightly concave or slightly convex; gill opening ending below the eye. Pharyngeal teeth thin, predatory, lightly 477 hooked, 4.4/4.4, each below the tip generally with a small, spoon-shaped small fossa, internal tooth in anterior row closer to the pharyngeal symphysis cthan to the internal tooth in the posterior row; back lightly convex, much lower than the belly; belly strongly compressed, on the total length ending in an acute slightly membranous keel/ridge; ventral line strongly concave behind the base of the pectoral fins; scales small, extremely different in size, scales on the middle of the flanks very conspicuously larger than the others, on the posterior margin of extreme concavity, pointing downward, largely not striped, the others on the free half with longitudinal, but generally sparse stripes, 150 to 175 in the lateral line (in the largest specimen), 32 to 40 (in the largest specimen) in a transverse row (close to the base of the ventral fins) of which about 22 above the lateral line; lateral line moderately curved, considerably closer to the ventral line than to the dorsal line, in very young animals about the eye diameter removed from the base of the ventral fins, in older animals much more than twice to twice the eye diameter, each scale obliquely marked by a simple tube; scapular bone elongate, thin, acutely rounded; dorsal fin completely or nearly completely opposite to the anterior part of the anal fin, scaleless at the base, acute, not emarginate, depth contained


Fig. 129. Macrochirichthys uranoscopus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLIII, Fig. 1. TL figure 331 mm .
$11 / 2$ times to twice in the depth of the body, twice to more than twice as high as base length, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; pectoral fins acute, much longer than the head, contained nearly 4 to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body, the simple $2^{\text {nd }}$ ray robust; ventral fins inserted above the keel of the belly, acute, more than twice as short as the pectoral fins, anal fin scaleless at the base, acute, emarginate, slightly to much lower than the body, length considerably greater than depth, the simple third ray thin, cartilaginous; caudal fin scaled at the base, with a deep incision, lobes acute, lower lobe longer than upper lobe, contained about $61 / 2$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body slightly olive, lower part silver; in juveniles colours hyaline, head-tail band broad, diffuse, shiny silver; iris yellow; tail with a roundish black spot in the lateral line close to the base of the caudal fin; fins yellowish-hyaline.
B. 3. D. $3 / 7$ or $3 / 8$. P. $1 / 15$ or $1 / 16$. V. $2 / 7$. A. $3 / 22$ or $3 / 23$ to $3 / 24$ or $3 / 25$. C. $6 / 17 / 8$ or $5 / 17 / 7$, flanking short ones included.

Syn. Leuciscus uranoscopus Blkr, Bijdr. ichthyol. Borneo, Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. Ind. I p. 14. Timatima Palemb.
Hab. Sumatra (Palembang), in rivers.
Borneo (Bandjermasin, Kahajan, Pengaron, Pontianak, Sintang), in rivers.
Length of 16 specimens $115^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $518^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. I discovered this species in the year 1850 and described it for the first time at the above mentioned place after a specimen from Borneo, the only one I then possessed. Leuciscus recurviceps Richds., which I there mentioned as related to the species in question, does belong to the same group of the family but can be placed in the genus Culter Basil.

Macrochirichthys uranoscopus does not seem to be rare in the large rivers of Borneo and Sumatra. As appears from a sketch drawing in the album of Siamese fishes of Count Fr. De Castelnau it also inhabits the rivers of Siam.

> Macrochirichthys ?? = Leuciscus macrochirus Val., Poiss XVII p. 259. Twijfelachtige Timatima [Dubious Timatima].

Description according to Valenciennes [translated from French]:
"This fish has an elongate body like the razor (Cyprinus cultratus), the height of the body is about $1 / 6$ 478 of the total length; the head is of the same proportion; the mouth is very widely split; lower jaw much longer than the upper jaw; eye is of medium size; dorsal fin small, originating behind the first rays of the anal which is long; the caudal fin is forked; the ventral fins small and short; the pectoral fin on the contrary is very long and a ending in a thread; almost a quarter of the total length. D. 8. A. 25. C. 19. P. 14. v. 7. - The lateral line is straight and situated at the middle of the height; the scales very small, numbering 90 between the gill cover and the caudal fin. The colour is silvery, with a gray spot below the pectoral fin. The specimen is almost a foot long.

Syn. Clupea macrochiura K. v. H. acc. Val. (op cit.) Able macrochire Val. Poiss. XVII p. 259.
Hab. Java
Remark. It is difficult to conclude from the short description of Mr Valenciennes to which genus Leuciscus macrochirus belongs. Is it a Machrochirichthys or a Chela? The large mouth slit and the strongly protruding lower jaw, as well as the very much elongated pectoral fins make me inclined to consider it as a Macrochirichthys, however on the contrary I see nothing mentioned of the peculiarly bend belly line of Macrochirichthys.

Till now I have not observed any species, which I might bring back to Leuciscus machrochir. An elongated pectoral fin ray is also found in Luciosoma trinema, but one can hardly say of this species that it has a compressed belly just like Cyprinus cultratus.

## 479 FAMILY CYPRINODONTOIDEI. TOOTHCARPS

Cyprini with tooth bearing jaws; body and head scaled, upper as well as lower pharyngeal bones with small, multi-rowed teeth; no pseudobranchia; gill membrane with 4 to 6 rays.

Remark. The Cyprinodotoids are sharply separated from the Cyprinoids by the presence of teeth in the jaws, a 4- to 6-rayed gill membrane, and upper pharyngeal jaws armed with teeth. None of these characters is found in the Cyprinoids.

The Cyprinodontoids usually are live bearing fishes. In various genera this character is externally translated in a special structure of the anal and its insertion closer to the pectoral fins, an organization which apparently stands in connection to the copulation, necessary for the fertilisation of the eggs inside the body of the mother. In these genera the males differ from the females in such a way that one, unconscious of this, would certainly not consider them as belonging to one species.

Already at the start of this century one has gained some detailed knowledge about these small but graceful fishes that belong to this family and the peculiar genus Anableps was already erected by Artedi in the first part of the last century.

Schneider pointed in 1801 to the genus Poecilia and Lacepède in 1803 to the genera Cyprinodon, Fundulus and Hydragyra.

Lesueur recognized the genus Mollienesia.
Cuvier in his genus Lebias gave the genus Cyprinodon of Lacepède only a different name.

Mr MacClelland discovered the genus Aplocheilus in 1838.
All those genera however were insufficiently described, just like the species belonging to them.

Mr Valenciennes detangled the history of the American, European 480 and westAsiatic Cyprinodotoids and in the large Histoire naturelle des Poisons gave sharp definitions to the generic types known to him and at the same time enriching the family with the genera Grundulus, Orestias and Panchax.

Since then important discoveries have been made in the same family.
Heckel in 1848 gave his genus Xiphophorus, Mr Poey some years later his genera Girardinus and Limia, Mr Gervais his genus Tellia, Mr Agassiz, the founder of the family, the genera Heterandria and Zygonectes and Mr Girard the genera Lucania and Adinia.

However, the genera that were proposed after Mr Valenciennes probably cannot all be retained. For instance Limia Poey can be united with Gambusia and maybe Heterandria and Zygonectes, whose characters are not sufficiently known to me, fall together with some or other genus erected by Heckel and Poey. All that is known of the characters of Heterandria is related to the anal fin, which in males is slender and high, and more anterior inserted than in the females, characters which are recovered in many other genera.

Heckel's genus Xiphophorus on the contrary comprises no less than three genera. His Xiphophorus Helleri is the type of that genus, however Xiphophorus gracilis and Xiphophorus bimaculatus are proper generic types, as Xiphophorus gracilis belongs to my genus Hemixiphophorus, which since then appeared to me not to differ essentially from the earlier by Mr Poey erected genus Gambusia, while I have placed Xiphophorus bimaculatus in my genus Pseudoxiphophorus.

I am also of the opinion that a Japanese toothcarp, which was placed in Fundulus by Mr Schlegel, can be considered to belong to a proper generic type, which I have named Fundulichthys.

Of the ca 100 known Cyprinodontoids by far the most inhabit the New World. The Anablepini and Orestiasini seem to be entirely restricted to South America. The Cyprinodontini are numerous both in North and South America as well as on the large Antilles.

With the exception of Tellia, Fundulichthys and Cyprinodon all Cyprinodont genera are American. Cyprinodon is as numerous in species in the Old as in the New World, although in the Old World it goes hardly further than Mosambique, the countries bordering the Mediterranean and the Red Sea and Persia. The genus Tellia seems to be restricted to North Africa, just like the genus Fundulichthys is restricted to Japan. The Aplocheilines entirely belong to Asia and the Asiatic Islands of Japan and Netherlands India. - In total the number of species of the Old World amounts to only one fourth of that of the New World.

In the Indian Archipelago the whole family is represented by only ${ }^{4811}$ two species of Aplocheilines, of which one is even the same as that, which is known in Bengal under the name of Pantjak or Panga.

The genera and groups of the Cyprinodontoids can be reviewed as follows. I only remark here, that in the means which were to my disposition, I did not find the generic characters of Heterandria and Zygonectes sufficiently clearly indicated, reason why I am unable to mention them here.

## Key to the genera of Cyprinodontoidei

Cyprinodontini. Anal fin not elongate, with few to several rays. Scales large.
A. No ventral fins. Teeth in jaws, in one row, tricuspidate.

## Tellia Gerv.

B. Ventral fins.
I. Teeth in one row, tricuspidate. Dorsal fin short, nearly opposite anal fin. B. 5. Jaws depressed.

$$
\text { Cyprinodon Lac. }=\text { Lebias Cuv. }
$$

II. Teeth in one row, acute. Lower jaw short. Gape oblique.

1. Dorsal fin short, in males starting behind, in female above short anal fin. Anal fin in males with the anterior rays clawed at the tip, not bow-shaped, serrated anterior to the tip, caudal fin without prolonged rays. B. 5 .

## Girardinus Poey (or Heterandia Ag. in its place?)

2. Anal fin inserted behind the beginning of the dorsal fin. Head slightly convex, slightly obtuse.

## Lucania Gir.

3. Dorsal fin and anal fin with many rays.

## Girardinichthys Blkr.

III. Teeth multiple-rowed, rows uniform.

1. Middle teeth longer than other teeth. Dorsal fin short, placed between ventral fins and short anal fin. B. 4. Caudal fin bilobed.

## Fundulichthys Blkr.

2. Teeth in external row longer than those in other rows.
a. Dorsal fin elongate, more than twice to many times longer than anal fin.

Ventral fins and anal fin in males very close. B. 5.
$\dagger$ Lower jaw low. Gape oblique. Caudal fin in males without prolonged rays. $\hat{O}$ Dorsal fin starting above the base of the pectoral fins and ending far behind the short anal fin. Upper jaw angular, depressed, protrusable. Mouth quadrangular.

Molliensia Les.
$\hat{O}^{\prime}$ Dorsal fin starting behind the tip of the ventral fins and ending far behind the short anal fin. Anal fin in males with much prolonged anterior rays, not serrated or hooked.

## Pseudoxiphophorus Blkr.

$\dagger^{\prime}$ Lower jaw elevated, angular. Gape vertical. Caudal fin in males prolonged on lower rays.
$\hat{O}^{\prime}$ Dorsal fin starting above or hardly behind the tip of the pectoral fins and ending behind the short anal fin. Anterior rays of anal fin in males prolonged at the tip, serrated and sagittiform.

Xiphophorus Heck.
b. Dorsal fin back-folded? Far behind pectoral fins, short. Gape oblique.
$\dagger$ Lower jaw elevated. Mouth superior. Ventral fins back-folded far behind the tip of the pectoral fins; anal fin slightly elongate; dorsal fin slightly short, shorter than anal fin, ending above anal fin; caudal fin bilobed. B.5. Swimbladder bipartite.

## Grundulus Val.

$\dagger^{\prime}$ Lower jaw low. Gape oblique. Dorsal fin and anal fin opposite to each other or nearly opposite.
Ô B. 6 .

O Dorsal fin with few rays, anal fin in males very close to ventral fins, anterior rays prolonged, at the top simply hooked or clawed.

Gambusia Poey $=$ Limia Poey $=$ Hemixiphophorus Blkr. $=$ Heterandria Ag. partly and strongly related to Zygonectes Ag. = Adinia Gir.?

483 O' Dorsal fin with several rays, not or hardly shorter than anal fin. Upper jaw protrusable. Anterior anal rays not prolonged in males?

Hydrargyra Lac.
Ô B. 5. Anal fin not much shorter to not much longer than dorsal fin.
O Upper jaw angular, depressed, protrusable. Mouth quadrangular. Teeth in external row curved, mobile.

Poecilia Bl. Schn.
$\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Upper jaw rounded. Mouth nearly round.

## Fundulus Lac.

Aplocheilini. Dorsal fin short, opposite to posterior part of elongate anal fin. Scales large. Ventral fins.
A. No vomerine teeth. Teeth in jaws multiple-rowed, those in external row larger. Upper jaw depressed, strongly protrusable. B. 5 or 6 .

## Panchax Val.

B. No vomerine teeth. Teeth in jaws multiple-rowed. Upper jaw not protrusable. Scales deciduous. B. 5.

## Aplocheilus McCl .

Orestiasini. No ventral fins. Scales large, on head and nape slightly scutiform. Belly scaleless. B. 5. Jaws not depressed.
A. Dorsal and anal fin with many rays, opposite each other. Teeth in jaws multiple-rowed, simple.

Orestias Val.

Anablepini. Dorsal fin short, implanted completely behind anal fin. Pupil with a quasi double frenulum of the cornea. Body elongate, cylindrical. B. 5.
A. Scales large or medium-sized. Teeth in jaws multiple-rowed, those in external row larger, mobile. Ovary double.

## 484 Cyprinodontoidei species known up till now.





## Fossil Cyprinodontoidei (All from the molasse.).



488 Panchax Val., Hist. nat. Poiss. XVIII p. $282=$ Homalopsis Van Hass. Tjupang.

Body elongate, compressed, covered with large scales, back very low, belly higher and thinner than back. Head and broad snout depressed. Upper jaw strongly protrusable, depressed. Gape terminal. Intermaxillary and inframaxillary teeth multiple-rowed, those in external row larger than those in other rows. Vomerine teeth small. Dorsal fin with few rays, opposite posterior part of anal fin. Ventral fins inserted far behind the base of the pectoral fins. Anal fin with many rays, elongate. Gill opening ample. Membrane brachiostegal with 5 or 6 rays.

Remark. The genus Panchaxis is the only genus of the Cyprinodontoids in which the vomer is armed with teeth and it is therefore already identifiable by that. The upper margin of the mouth opening is still entirely formed by the interjaw bones [premaxillaries], and as it is build for the rest after the type of the Cyprinidontoids, and answers to that in gill structure, the depressed head and upper jaw, dentition, squamation and fin shape, I have not hesitated to insert it in this family, in which it forms a separate group with the genus Aplocheilus.

The reasons, which have compelled Mr Valenciennes to place Panchax in his Esoces, seem to be little acceptable. That the vomer has teeth, is not sufficient for the separation from the Cyprinidontoids, and that it has the snout broad and flat, the gill opening wide and the dorsal fin short and inserted till behind the anal fin, neither justifies to remove it from the Cyprinidontoids, as totaly the same characters are found in many Cyprinidontoids.

Panchax Buchanani Val.<br>Poiss. XVIII p. 284; Blkr. Verh. Bat. Gen. DL. XXV Nalez. ichth. Bengal. P. 144 Buchanan's Tjupang. -<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. LIII fig. 3.

A Panchax with and elongate body, slightly cylindrical anteriorly, compressed posteriorly, depth contained about 6 to about 7 times in its length. Head prism-shaped, upper part flat, contained 4 to $41 / 2$ times in the length of the body; eyes posterior, eye diameter contained about 3 times in the length of the head; upper jaw protrusable; gape ending anterior to the eye; teeth in jaws multiple-rowed, curved, those in external row larger than those in other rows; vomerine teeth small; scales on flanks cycloid, about 32 in the lateral line; lateral line inconspicuous; dorsal fin placed partly behind anal fin posteriorly in the second third of the body, short, rounded, lower than the body; pectoral and ventral fins acute, pectoral fins longer than ventral fins, ventral fins without prolonged ray; anal fin obtuse, convex, at the base contained about 5 times in the length of the body, caudal fin rounded, contained about $41 / 2$ times 489 in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body green, deeper on the margins of the scales; crown


Fig. 130. Panchax Buchanani Val. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLIII, Fig. 3. TL figure 57 mm .
and back at the base with a golden or shiny white spot; dark oculo-maxillary band; dorsal fin orange or pink-hyaline, lower part dark or black; other fins greenish or pink-hyaline; caudal fin completely and anal fin partly bordered with black, caudal fin variegated with green-dark points.
B. 5 or 6 . D. $1 / 7$ or $1 / 8$. P. $1 / 12$ to $1 / 14$. V. $1 / 5$. A. $2 / 13$ or $1 / 14$. C. 13 or 15 plus short flanking ones.

Syn. Esox panchax Buch., Gang. Fish. p. 211, 380 tab. 3 fig. 69.
Homalopsis javanicus V. Hass., unpublished drawing. Aplocheilus panchax Mc Cl., Ind. Cypr. Asiat. Res. XIX, II p. 301, 426, tab. 42 fig. 2.
Pancha de Buchanan Val., Poiss. XVIII p. 284.
Panchax Kuhlii Val., ibid. p. 285.
Pancha de Kuhl Val., ibid. p. 285.
Panchax panchax Cant., Cat. Mal. Fish. p. 252.
Panchax melanopterus Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIV Snoek. p. 22.
Pangchak Bengal.
Tjupang Mal. Batav.
Hab. Java (Batavia, Tjandjong-oost, Tjampea, Buitenzorg, Pandjallu, Surabaya, Pasuruan, Lesti), in rivers and lakes.
Sumatra, in rivers.
Borneo (Bandjermasin), in rivers.
Bengala (Calcutta), in rivers.
Length of more than 100 specimens $25^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $57^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Remark. A detailed comparison of my specimens from the Indian Archipelago with those from Bengal, has convinced me, that they do not differ specifically. I only do not observe in the Bengal specimens the longitudinal band on the anal fin, however this is also not present in all my archipelagic specimens.

Apart from the abovementioned places, the species is also known from Pinang.
Mr Valenciennes has described four species of Panchax, i. e. Panchax lineatum Val. from Bombay, Panchax Buchanani Val. from Bengal and Panchax Kuhlii Val. and Panchax pictum Val. from Java. - Panchax pictum Val. belongs to a totally different genus and is made known in more detail by myself under the name Betta trifasciata. Earlier I did not know the similar identity of Betta trifasciata with Panchax pictum, but it became apparent, after I came in the possession of a drawing, left by Van Hasselt and provided with the name Anastoma pictum. Van Hasselt noticed very well the generic difference of both species and placed Panchax Buchanani in his genus Homalopsis, whereas he placed Betta picta (Betta trifasciata Blkr) in his genus Anastoma.

Of the four species of Mr Valenciennes thus only two remain, i.e. Panchax Buchanani and Panchax lineatum.

> 490 Aplocheilus McCl.,
> Ind. Cyprin. As. Res. XIX p. 301, 426;
> Blkr, Ichth. warn. Bantam, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. VII p. 322. Impun.

Body oblong, compressed, covered with large scales that easily fall off, back very low, belly more or less ridged, higher than back. Head and broad snout depressed. Upper jaw not protrusable. Gape terminal. Intermaxillary [upper jaw] and inframaxillary [lower jaw] teeth in one row, simple: no vomerine teeth. Supramaxillary bone placed behind intermaxillary bone. Scales deciduous. Dorsal fin with few rays, opposite posterior part of anal fin. Ventral fins inserted far behind the base of the pectoral fins. Anal fin with many rays, elongate. Gill opening ample. Brachiostegal membrane with 5 rays.

Remark. Aplocheilus was described first by Mr MacClelland. He has indicated the characters correctly, however mistakenly placed Esox panchax Buch. in the same genus. To this it can be blamed that Mr Cantor in his Catalogue of Malayan Fishes (p. 252) considers the diagnosis of Mr MacClelland as very incorrect and rejects the genus Aplocheilus.

Aplocheilus by its flat upper jaw is related to Panchax Val., but differs from it, apart from a different habitus, by a not protrusable upper jaw, only a single row of teeth in the jaws and the absence of vomerine teeth. It is easily distinguishable from the other genera of the Cyprinodontoids by the flat upper jaw, the single rowed undivided teeth, the long anal fin, the short, above the posterior part of the anal fin inserted dorsal fin, the presence of pelvic fins and the absence of vomerine teeth.

Aplocheilus javanicus Blkr.<br>Ichthyol. Waarn. Bantam, Nat. T. Ned. Ind. VII p. 233. -<br>Javasche Impoen [Javanese Impun].<br>Atl. Cypr. Tab. LIII fig. 2.

An Aplocheilos with an oblong, compressed body, depth contained 4 to $41 / 2$ times in its length, width contained about twice in its depth. Head prism-shaped, acute, upper part flat, contained $41 / 2$ to nearly 5 times in the length of the body; eyes posterior, eye diameter contained $2^{1 / 4}$ to $2^{1 / 2}$ times in the length of the head; jaws very short, upper jaw not protrusable; gape small, more than twice as short as the eye; teeth in jaws one-rowed, conical, those placed towards the angle of the mouth larger than the rest; scales cycloid, about 30 in a longitudinal row; lateral line inconspicuous; dorsal fin acute, convex, placed opposite the posterior part of the anal fin, lower than the body; pectoral fins acute, about twice as long as angular, ventral fins, contained $32 / 3$ to $33 / 4$ times in the length of the body; caudal fin obtuse, rounded, contained about 5 times in the length of the body, anal fin slightly emarginate, lower than dorsal fin at the base contained about $3^{1 / 3}$ times in the length of the body. Colour: upper part of the body yellowishgreen, on the belly transparent, head-tail band very thin, violet; fins yellowish-hyaline.
B. 5. D. $1 / 6$. P. $1 / 10$ or $1 / 11$. V. 1/15. A. $1 / 24$. C. 13 or 15 plus short flanking ones.

491 Syn. Impun Sundan.
Hab. Java (Perdana), in river Panimbang.
Length of 14 specimens $24^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $34^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Remark. The species in question closely resembles Aplocheilus MacClelandi Blkr, a species illustrated after a drawing from the collection Buchanan Hamilton (plate 55 fig. 4) but not described in the above mentioned work of Mr MacClelland. The figure however shows only 20 anal fin rays and the height of the body is only fits $33 / 4$ times in its length. In my opinion it will have to be examined in more detail whether Aplocheilus chrysostigmus McCl . and Aplocheilus melastigmus McCl . belong to this genus. They are more slender species than both above mentioned ones. The habitus of the body and the length of the anal fin indicate that they indeed belong to Aplocheilus and not to Panchax. However, Aplocheilus chrysostigma would only possess 17, but on the con-


Fig. 131. Aplocheilus javanicus Blkr. Atl. Ichth. Cypr. Tab. XLIII, Fig. 2. TL figure 28 mm .
trary Aplocheilos melastigma 22 anal fin rays. In my opinion Poecilia latipes T. Schl. from Japan can be placed in Aplocheilus, notwithstanding that it is said to possess only 3 gill rays, a point which however deserves to be confirmed in more detail. It has entirely the habitus of Aplocheilus and also a very long anal fin with 50 rays.

I wrote this in Batavia from the first of June to October 1859.

## Postscript.

By far the largest part of this work had already been finished, when I came in the possession of the Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 1859, in which Mr Girard adds still numerous new Cyprines to the already known ones. The earliest discoveries of Mr Girard however mainly concern the Cyprionodontoids. I was able to include Mr Girard's new species of this family in the list of known species of Cyprionodontoids on pp 484-487, but not in the geographical synopsis of the family on p. 43. The numbers in this synopsis therefore are not longer correct 192 and the there mentioned number of 76 should be increased to 116.

Of Mr Girard's new genera Adinia and Lucania, only Lucania seems to be acceptable, whereas on the contrary one of the species of Luciana is the type of a new genus that I have named Girardinichthys. I would be very desirable that the Cyprionodontoids would become subject of a monograph in which it would be investigated and elucidated in detail also with regard to its generic relationships.

The Barbus species from Mosambique, summed up on p. 281, I recently found described in the Monatbericht der Köningl. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1952 (p. 683). Mr Peters has indicated two species as species of Dangila, i.e. Barbus (Dangila) trimaculata and Barbus (Dangila) inermis, and these therefore have to be transferred from the list of the Barbines to that of the Labeonines. In the list of Barbines, on the contrary, must be included Leuciscus zambezensis, the true genus of which still has to be determined, unless with Opsaridium zambezensis the same species is meant. The descriptions of the remaining Cyprinoids from Mosambique by Mr Peters because of their briefness, do not allow to getting an idea concerning their generic relationships.

Batavia, June 1860


[^0]:    * page numbers refer to original text

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Among the many difficulties that I encountered in the extension and preservation of my collections, those that resulted from numerous movements are not the minor ones. Since I started my collection, I occupied no less than 19 houses in Batavia, Samarang, Soerabaja and Willem I (one of the discomforts of the officer's rank, to whom in the main cities on Java government houses are allotted for occupation). One can imagine to what extend a 19 times move of my cabinet, for which one is forced to have recourse to coelies (native porters), has damaged my collections. Numerous stoppered jars with natural products have gone lost in that way, as the coelies, breaking something accidentally, prefer to let the broken material vanish without a trace, above showing the corpora delicti. Moreover, many species have been lost because of theft by my native servants. Of course they were not interested in those species that they tossed away, but in the stoppered jars for which they always found eager buyers among the Chinese.

[^2]:    A Homaloptera with an elongate, depressed body, only the tail compressed, depth of body contained $71 / 2$ to 8 times in its length, width greater than depth; head depressed, convex, frontal line slightly acutely rounded or rounded in crescent-shape, contained 5 to $51 / 2$ times in the length of the body; width of

[^3]:    + Body oblong. Snout convex. Teeth 5/5.
    $268 \wedge$ Lateral line ending at the base of the caudal fin. Scales small or medium-sized. Teeth with a rod-like neck.

[^4]:    $t^{\prime}$ Barbels 2, upper jaw barbels only. Gape medium-sized, oblique. Lateral line nearly straight. Teeth?

[^5]:    $\dagger^{\prime}$ Pharyngeal teeth predatory, in three rows 2.3.5/5.3.2 or 2.3.4/4.3.2. Belly convex, not lower than back. Barbels 4, or 2, or none. Scales large or medium-sized. Lateral line strongly curved.

[^6]:    B. 3. D. $4 / 8$ or $4 / 9$. P. $1 / 14$. V. 2/8. A. 3/5 or 3/6. C. 7/17/7 or $6 / 17 / 6$, short flanking ones included.

    Syn. Capoëta brevis Blkr, Verh. Bat. Gen. XXIII Ichth. Midd. Oost-Java p. 21. Lukas, Wader Javan
    Hab. Java (Surabaya, Gombong), in rivers.
    Length of 3 specimens $58^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $75^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

[^7]:    386 I. Dorsal fin scaled at the base, spine robust, without teeth. Gill cover ray-like rugose. Snout acute or slightly acute.

[^8]:    A Leptobarbus with a slightly elongate, compressed body, depth of body contained slightly over 5 to $42 / 5$ times in its length, width contained $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to 2 times in its depth. Head depressed, acute, contained $41 / 4$ to $51 / 4$ times in length of body with caudal fin, $3^{1 / 3}$ to 4 times in length of body without caudal fin; depth of head contained $13 / 5$ to $11 / 2$ times, width contained nearly 2 to $13 / 4$ times in its length; eye diameter contained 3 to $31 / 4$ times in the length of the head, eye diameter contained slightly over once to $12 / 5$ times in the postocular part of the head, distance between the eyes $11 / 4$ to $12 / 3$ times their diameter; palpebral membrane covering the external margin of the iris, broader anteriorly than posteriorly, the opening nearly circular; snout acute, sloping, nearly straight or slightly convex, in younger animals shorter than

