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Abstract

Various records of A. simplex Carter, 1879 from the Atlantic are assigned to three new species of the sponge genus Asteropus Sollas, 1888, viz.: A. brasiliensis sp. n., A. vasiformis sp. n., and A. niger sp. n., whereas A. simplex s.s. is restricted to the Indo-Pacific. A worldwide study of Asteropus specimens resulted in the conclusion that two species groups exist, namely "simplex"-like species (with true sandasters), and "sarasinorum"-like species (with spiny microrabds), as previously observed by Bergquist (1965, 1968). A newly discovered microsclere complement of trichodragmata in the first group strengthens the need for generic distinction of both lineages, and accordingly the name Melophlus Thiele, 1899 is reinstated for the "sarasinorum" species group. A key to the West Atlantic species of Asteropus is provided. The family allocation of Asteropus and associated genera in the Coppatiidae Topsent, 1898 is discussed, with the conclusion that the family is undoubtedly a polyphyletic assemblage related to various astrophorid groups (Hooper, 1986; Van Soest, 1991).

Introduction

Examination of several western Atlantic specimens recorded as Asteropus simplex Carter, 1879 (cf. Boury-Esnault, 1973; Van Soest & Stentoft, 1988; Muricy et al., 1991), as well as undescribed material, revealed the existence of several distinct species which all showed differences with A. simplex sensu Carter (1879). It is the purpose of this paper to describe and compare these new species.

As revealed by the present study, many Asteropus specimens share a previously unknown complement of trichodragmata, linking them to the genus Holoxea Topsent, 1892 and focussing our attention on distinction among genera in the family to which Asteropus is usually attributed. A further purpose of this paper is to contribute to the discussion of the classification of this group. A brief historical survey is necessary to outline the problem.

Topsent (1898) erected the family Coppatiidae (replacing Epipolasidae Sollas, 1888, which does not fulfill the requirement for family names; the name Epipolasidae was never fixed by application of a type genus name – Article 35, ICZN), for sponges belonging to rather distinct lineages: Topsentia Berg, 1899 (as Anisoxyla Topsent, 1898) and...
Spongosorites Topsent, 1896 (both Halichondrida); Hemiasterella Carter, 1879 and Tethya Lamarck, 1814 (as Magog Sollas, 1888) (possibly Hadromerida); Jaspis Gray, 1867 (as Coppatias Sollas, 1888) and Asteropus Sollas, 1888 (probably Astrophorida). He stressed the possession of eustasters and diactinal megascleres, and absence of triaenes, as the shared derived characters for this group. Later, Hentschel (1923) added the genera Cryptotethya Dendy, 1905 (= Tethya), Diastra Row, 1911 (a possible synonym of Stelletta Schmidt, 1862), and Paracordyla Hallmann, 1912 (= Scolopes Sollas, 1888). This assemblage has not been accepted as one of monophyletic origin by modern authors due to major differences in skeletal arrangement, as well as distinct spicule types, habit, and chemical constituents.

However, there is a group of genera currently accepted by some authors (e.g. Bergquist, 1968) as comprising the Coppatidiidae. They share a spicular complement of diactinal megascleres arranged in a confused/radial choanosomal pattern and asterose microscleres. The ectosomal skeleton is a tangential layer of megascleres (as opposed to the tangential microscleres found in certain astrophorid genera like Penares Gray, 1867 and Erylus Gray, 1867). This group includes the genera Jaspis Gray, 1867, Asteropus Sollas, 1888, Holoxea Topsent, 1892, Melophilus Thiele, 1899, and Lamellomorpha Bergquist, 1968. In this group, Neamphius De Laubenfels, 1953 also seems to fit, although this is unconfirmed due to its possession of amphistasters. The Coppatidiidae are here considered to be close to the Astrophorida, based on the observation that reduced radiality, rarity/absence of triaenes, and presence of tangential microxea is also found in some Astrophorida of the family Ancorinidae. A similar point of view, though much reduced in scope, was expressed by Burton & Rao (1932), Bergquist (1968) and Bergquist & Hartman (1969).

The family allocation of Asteropus in the Coppatidiidae was formally challenged by Dendy (1916a) and, more recently, also by Van Soest & Stentoft (1988). Bergquist (pers. comm.) also expressed doubts over it. These authors suggest that Asteropus and possibly also other coppatidi genera are simply Ancorinidae without triaenes, related to various ancorinid genera possessing triaenes. In the course of this paper various genera of Coppatidiidae are compared to various Ancorinidae in order to arrive at the proper family allocation of Asteropus.

Material and methods

The Brazilian Asteropus specimens are deposited in the collection of Porifera in the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ POR). They were collected during an ecological survey of the sponge fauna of Arraial do Cabo, southeastern Brazil (Muricy, 1989; Muricy et al., 1991, recorded as A. simplex). Data about specimens quoted in “Material examined” are as complete as possible.

A fragment of the holotype of A. brasiliensis sp. n. is deposited in the collections of the Zoologisch Museum Amsterdam (ZMA), number POR 9761. Additional material from the collections of ZMA, the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (MNHN), the National History Museum, London (BMNH), and the United States National Museum, Washington (USNM) was studied for comparison. Section and spicule mounts for light microscopic study were made according to methods described by Rützler (1978). SEM preparations of spicules were made as described by Buizer & Van Soest (1977). “In toto” SEM preparations were treated with 20% HNO₃ for 3–12 h, after which they were coated with a thin layer of gold.

Systematic descriptions

Genus Asteropus Sollas, 1888

Type species: Stellettinopsis simplex Carter, 1879, by monotypy (Article 68d, ICZN).

Diagnosis. – Ancorinidae without triaenes, with a tangential ectosomal skeleton of megascleres; with oxyasters and sandasters, to which trichodragmata may be added.

Asteropus brasiliensis sp. n.

(Fig. 1 a–d; Pl. I A–F)


Material examined. – Holotype: UFRJ POR 3022, Pedra Vermelha (22°59.17'S 41°59.57'W; Arraial do Cabo, southeastern Brazil), 3 m depth.

Additional material: UFRJ POR 3371 (fragment from MNHN NBE 1025), Pernambuco State coast (08°19'S 34°39'W), 75 m depth, “Calypso” 1961/62 (Boury-Esnault, 1973; recorded as A. simplex).

Description. – The sponge is cushion-shaped to subglobose. Specimens attain a size of 25 cm$^2$ by
Plate I. Asteropus brasiensis sp. n.: A, sanidaster; B, sanidaster; C, oxyaster and sanidasters; D, oxyaster; E, trichodragmata; F, trichodrama. Scales are all 5 µm long.
4 cm high. They are firm, hardly compressible. Their colour alive is dark brown where exposed to the light, gradually becoming clearer towards the base of attachment of the sponge, which is frequently dirty-white. The choanosome is white. There is an easily discernible cortex, about 1 mm deep, characterized by large amounts of subspherical pigmented cells (18 × 25 μm). Both the holotype and Boury-Esnault’s specimen show in spirit a brown choanosome and a whitish cortex.

The surface is minutely hispid, sometimes irregular, due to the presence of embedded sediment. Oscula, approximately 2 mm wide, are grouped on the apical darker part of the sponge. No firmly attached epibionts were seen at any of the specimens collected.

Skeleton: The cortical skeleton is characterized by an upper ectsosomal crust of sandasters, reinforced by huge amounts of small trichodragmata (up to more than 100 per 1000 μm²). This layer is usually closely adhered to an intermediary one (approximately 200 μm wide), consisting of tangentially arranged oxeas, sometimes difficult to distinguish from the underlying choanosomal skeleton. These oxeas are in the same size range as those in the choanosome, as demonstrated by the unimodal size-frequency distribution in the holotype (n = 150), and by the absence of any size distinction between dissociated spicules from the ectsosome and the choanosome. The inner layer is composed mainly of radially disposed bundles of oxeas, having a lower spicular density than the choanosome, and being traversed by abundant aquiferous channels.

The choanosome consists of a dense skeleton of radially disposed oxeas. Large amounts of scattered oxeas may make parts of the skeleton very confused. Oxyasters are rare and seem to show no localization. Small trichodragnetata are abundant, scattered throughout the sponge.

Spicules (see Fig. 1 a–d and Pl. I A–F; measurements in Table 1; s = standard deviation, n = number of spicules measured):

Megascleres: Oxeas (Fig. 1 a) variable in size (unimodal distribution with mode at 700 μm), length 322–910.8–1984 (s = 325.8), width 6.3–26.8–60 μm (s = 2.1) (n = 150); slender or robust, fusiform, tapering gradually, slightly curved at mid-length.

Microscleres: Sanidasters (Fig. 1 c; Pl. I A–B) spined all over. Spines usually larger at end of rays. Rays up to 15, showing no tendency for a concentric arrangement. Irregular shape. Some rare reduced forms, sometimes smooth, may be present (perhaps developmental stages, never microrhabdlike); length 11.3–17.5 μm (n = 70). Oxyasters (Fig. 1 b; Pl. I C–D) spined all over, sometimes with smooth centrum. Largest spines at distal third of rays. Rays (6–8), normally fusiform. Tapering, gradual or sudden; length 13.8–30.6–42.5 μm (s = 5.8) (n = 43). Trichodragnetata (Fig. 1 d; Pl. I E–F) irregularly cylindrical (capsule-like), slightly flattened shape; length 12.6–22.7–28 μm (n = 20).

Ecology. — Relatively common at the Cabo Frio region. The species is normally found under the zoanthid *Palythoa* sp. (which is not attached to the sponge), with only the darker apical part of the sponge apparent. The species has a considerable bathymetrical range (3 to 75 m depth).

Distribution. — Tropical southwest Atlantic.

Etymology. — The name refers to the distribution of the species, which is restricted to the Brazilian coast.

Remarks. — Of all western Atlantic *Asteropus* species, *A. brasiliensis* sp. n. seems closest to *A. simplex* (Carter, 1879) from the Indo West Pacific. A re-examination of a type slide from Carter’s collection (BMNH, no registration number) revealed the unexpected occurrence of two categories of oxyasters (20–32 μm and 40–67 μm). In other respects it conforms precisely to spicular micrometries of *A. brasiliensis* sp. n. Trichodragnetata are in the same size range (17–25 μm), although less abundant in *A. simplex*.

Re-examination of Boury-Esnault’s (1973) specimen, collected by the “Calypso” Expedition along the northeastern Brazilian coast and identified by her as *A. simplex*, revealed a slight tendency for bimodality in the size-frequency of the oxeas (n = 150). A similar pattern was noted in a paratype
Table I. Individual spicule dimensions for specimens of Asteropus brasiliensis sp. n. Means are in italics; other values are ranges; expressed as spicule length/width. The number of oxeas measured for both the holotype and Boury-Esnault's (1973) specimen was 150. In paratype UFRJ POR 2814, 100 oxeas were measured. For other measurements, n = 20, except if otherwise stated. Values are in µm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Oxeas</th>
<th>Sanidasters</th>
<th>Oxyasters</th>
<th>Trichodragmata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UFRJ POR 3022</td>
<td>242–1099/1984/12.5–35.3–60</td>
<td>12.5–16.3</td>
<td>22.5–29.4–38.8</td>
<td>15.4–22.7–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holotype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFRJ POR 2814</td>
<td>322–781/1782/6.3–31.5–41.3</td>
<td>12.5–17.5</td>
<td>13.8–26.3 (n = 3)</td>
<td>18.2–25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFRJ POR 2889</td>
<td>570–990/1736/15.6–26–35</td>
<td>11.3–15</td>
<td>not found</td>
<td>16.8–23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFRJ POR 2908</td>
<td>645–935/1314/10–34–45</td>
<td>11.3–16.3</td>
<td>30–33.5–38</td>
<td>18.2–22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFRJ POR 2913</td>
<td>570–1029/1786/11.3–24–45</td>
<td>12.5–15</td>
<td>25–30.4–35</td>
<td>18.2–22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFRJ POR 2915a</td>
<td>446–950/1686/8.8–20.9–37.5</td>
<td>12.5–13.8</td>
<td>23.8–32.1–42.5</td>
<td>12.6–16.8–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFRJ POR 3027</td>
<td>434–787/1215/10–15.9–20</td>
<td>11.3–15</td>
<td>not found</td>
<td>16.8–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(retrieved)</td>
<td>570–1026/9–20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>405–923/1620/7.5–22.3–47.5</td>
<td>12.5–16.3</td>
<td>27.5–35.5–41.3</td>
<td>14–17.6–19.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of Asteropus brasiliensis sp. n. (UFRJ POR 2814), although not as pronounced as in the previous specimen. As intermediate-sized oxeas are quite common in both specimens and no shape distinction can be found between smaller and larger oxeas, we considered this to be merely a case of intraspecific variation, and consequently Boury-Esnault's specimen was assigned to Asteropus brasiliensis sp. n. It is considered distinct from Asteropus simplex (sensu Carter) on the basis of possession of only one category of oxyasters.

The similarity between Asteropus brasiliensis sp. n. and Asteropus ketostea (De Laubenfels, 1950) (see below, Table II), as revealed by the tendency towards bimodality, is strongly contrasted by the smaller oxyasters and absence of trichodragmata in the latter species.

Asteropus syringiferus Van Soest & Stentoft, 1988 (see below) is also close to the present species, differing in its peculiar habit (with fistules), slightly larger oxyasters and more slender sanidasters.

Asteropus brasiliensis sp. n. is distinguished from other western Atlantic records of Asteropus by the possession of only one variable category of oxeas (300–2000 µm), small and rare oxyasters (14–43 µm) and relatively large and abundant trichodragmata (15–28 µm) (Table II).

Asteropus ketostea (De Laubenfels, 1950)


Material examined. – Two slides (thick-sections and spicules), from the type specimen from Bermuda (USNM, no reference number). De Laubenfels (1950) mentions a syntype in the BMNH collection (reg. no. 1948:8:6:47), but this could not be found by Miss S.M. Stone and is assumed to be lost.

Description (emended from De Laubenfels, 1950). – Shape encrusting to massive (1 cm thick), diameter of 2 cm. Consistency firm, hard, slightly compressible. Surface minutely verrucose. Pores abundant over the entire surface, each about 60 µm in diameter. Oscules not seen (perhaps some of the pores may be exhalant apertures). Colour black externally and grey in the interior. In alcohol it fades slightly.

Skeleton: The ectosomal skeleton is composed of large amounts of sanidasters interspersed with a skeleton of paratangential oxeas. A concentration of pigmented cells (24 × 11 µm), that may extend 160–320 µm into the sponge, makes the ectosome
Table II. Comparative data on spicule dimensions for all western Atlantic Asteropus species. Means are in italics; other values are ranges; expressed as spicule length/width. The number of oxeas measured was 150 in A. brasiliensis sp. n. and 100 in all the others. For other spicules, n = 20. Values are in µm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Oxeas 1</th>
<th>Oxeas 2</th>
<th>Sanidasters</th>
<th>Oxyasters</th>
<th>Trichodragmata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asteropus brasiliensis sp. n.</td>
<td>322–911–1984/</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>11.3–17.5</td>
<td>13.8–30.6–42.5</td>
<td>12.6–22.7–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteropus ketostea (De Laubenfels, 1950)</td>
<td>216–426–551/</td>
<td>1.5–3.5</td>
<td>17–20</td>
<td>42–57.6–98</td>
<td>12.6–19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2–5.6</td>
<td>520–1010–1900/</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>11.2–19.6</td>
<td>45–63–101</td>
<td>18.2–23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteropus syringiferus</td>
<td>620–1161–1650/</td>
<td>1750–2225–2805/</td>
<td>7.5–22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteropus vasiformis sp. n.</td>
<td>632–1161–1650/</td>
<td>19.6–39.2</td>
<td>51.8–86.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

much darker than the rest of the sponge in mounted sections. The pigmented cells are also found 1 mm deep in the sections, though here they are more sparse. Trichodragmata were not found.

The choanosomal skeleton has some loose ascending radial tracts of large oxeas. Many oxeas are scattered in confusion. The subectosomal region shows a reduced spicule content. The thinner oxeas appear to be restricted to the choanosome, where they are strewn at random; they are absent from both ectosomal and subectosomal regions. Oxyasters are restricted to the choanosome.

Spicules (see Table II for measurements):

Megascleres: Oxeas 1 slender, fusiform, tapering gradually; straight, slightly curved or sinuous; length 216–426–551 (s = 88), width 1.5–3.5 µm (n = 40). Oxeas 2 fusiform, tapering gradually, slightly curved; length 616–978–1404 (s = 205), width 6.4–25 µm (n = 80).

Microscleres: Sanidasters of variable shape; rays up to 15, bifurcating or not, irregularly distributed over the shaft length; length 11–14.7 µm. Oxyasters slender, rays 6–10, completely microspined, with very small centrum; length 17–27 µm.

Distribution. – Collected at 1 m depth, under a rock. Known only from Whalebone Bay, north shore of Bermuda, St. George's Parish.

Remarks. – The species can be distinguished from all other Atlantic Asteropus in having two categories of oxeas, very small oxyasters, and lacking trichodragmata.

Re-examination of the type preparations of A. ketostea revealed the presence of two categories of oxeas (Table II), instead of only a single category as reported in the original description (De Laubenfels, 1950). Desqueyroux-Faúndez (1990) reported A. ketostea from Easter Island (Pacific), also with two categories of oxeas. Nevertheless, we consider this record to be highly dubious, because the sanidasters reported by her are almost three times as large (38 µm) as in the type specimen (11–15 µm); her specimen possibly represents a separate species.

The closest western Atlantic relative of A. ketostea seems to be A. brasiliensis sp. n., which differs by the possession of only a single category of oxeas, slightly larger oxyasters, and the abundance of trichodragmata.

Asteropus kaena (De Laubenfels, 1957), from Hawaii, studied on the basis of a holotype fragment (USNM 23540), is similar to A. ketostea in the absence of trichodragmata. The huge oxeas (2000–2400 µm long) reported by De Laubenfels in the original description were not found, thus strengthening its similarity to A. ketostea. Nevertheless, both species are considered to be valid on the basis that oxeas smaller than 800 µm are rare in A. kaena, whereas oxeas only 400 µm long are abundant in A. ketostea. A. kaena has also slightly smaller oxyasters (16–20 µm).
Asteropus niger sp. n.
(Fig. 2 a–e; Pl. II A–D)

Material examined. — Holotype: ZMA POR 5715, Blauwbaai, Curaçao (68°59' W 12°08' N), 55 m depth, coll. W. Hoppe & M. Reichert, 20/X/1984.

Description. — One fragment, 2 cm long, 1.2 cm wide, 2 cm high. Consistency tough, incompressible. Colour alive black. In ethanol the specimen is deep brown, almost black. The surface is hispid and completely overgrown by haplosclerid sponges. No oscules are apparent.

Skeleton: The ectosomal skeleton is characterized by large numbers of scattered oxeas, some of them tangential to the surface. This arrangement of the spicules can extend more than 5 mm deep into the sponge. The oxeas may protrude beyond the surface making it hispid. Masses of pigmented cells (14 × 11 µm) are visible near the surface, becoming
Fig. 2. Asteropus niger sp. n., spicules: a, oxea 2; b, oxea 1; c, oxyaster; d, sanidaster; e, trichodragma. Scales are in \( \mu \text{m} \).
less abundant below 1 mm deep. Some of the protruding spicules core spongin fibres of an epibiont, *Callyspongia strongylata* Hartman, 1955.

The choanosome has a more or less radially oriented skeleton of oxeas, with some very loose tracts. Spicule density is high. Colour is darker in the choanosome (deep reddish brown after mounting) than in the ectsosome (dirty yellow to light brown). Oxyasters and trichodragmata are scarce and seem to be restricted to the choanosome. Sanidasters are concentrated near the surface, though less abundant than in other species.

Spicules (see Fig. 2 a–e and Pl. II A–D; measurements in Table II):

Megascleres: Oxeas 1 (Fig. 2 b) slender, almost raphidiform, slightly curved; length 182–215–235, width 2.2–5.6 μm (n = 20). Oxeas 2 (Fig. 2 a) slender and slightly curved, fusiform, tapering gradually; length 1045–1966–2503 (s = 314.9), width 8–25–34 μm (n = 100).

Microscleres: sanidasters (Fig. 2 d; Pl. II A–B), spines concentrated on rays, largest spines at end of rays, up to 30 rays in one spicule; length 17–20 μm (n = 20). Oxyasters (Fig. 2 c; Pl. II C–D) extremely slender, rare, spined all over, but spines becoming larger and more abundant closer to end of rays; rays 6–10; length 42–57.6–98 μm (n = 20). Trichodragmata (Fig. 2 e) of variable shape, somewhat capsule-like; length 12.6–19.6 μm (n = 20).

Distribution. — Collected on the reef slope at 55 m depth, at Blauwbaai, Curaçao.

Etymology. — The name refers to the black live colour reported for the specimen, but more especially to the dark, almost black choanosome, a feature not usual in *Asteropus*.

Remarks. — *Asteropus niger* sp. n. is distinguished from other Atlantic species of *Asteropus* by the possession of two distinct categories of oxeas, one very small (180–230 μm) and one very large (1000–2500 μm). Its sanidasters are more abundantly rayed than in other *Asteropus* species.

*Asteropus vasiformis* sp. n. (see below; Table II) is closest to *A. niger* sp. n. on account of its two categories of oxeas — the larger one attaining a huge size — large oxyasters, and deep-water habitat. Both species are considered to be distinct on the basis of the much smaller size of the smaller category of oxeas in the latter, its densely rayed sanidasters, and its massive shape (as opposed to cup shape in *A. vasiformis* sp. n.).

*Asteropus syringiferus* Van Soest & Stentoft, 1988


Material examined. — Holotype: ZMA POR 5307, off Holetown, Barbados, 100 m. Paratype: ZMA POR 5308, off Holetown, Barbados, 100 m.

Description (emended from Van Soest & Stentoft, 1988). — The holotype is a round mass, 2.5 cm in diameter, incrusted with dead shells, bearing two conspicuous hollow fistules; the larger fistule, 2 cm in length, 4 mm in diameter, is closed at the apex; the shorter one bears an apical oscule. The paratype is a smaller sphere, 1 cm in diameter. Both specimens have a rough surface; the consistency is hard, almost incompressible. The colour in spirit is grey in the main body and dirty-white at the fistules.

Skeleton: The ectsosomal skeleton is composed of paratangentially oriented oxeas carrying numerous sanidasters. The choanosomal skeleton is (para-)tangential to the surface in the fistule walls, and confusedly radiate in the main body. Trichodragmata (not mentioned by Van Soest & Stentoft, 1988) are abundant throughout the sponge.

Spicules (see Table II for measurements):

Megascleres: Oxeas fusiform, tapering gradually, slightly bent; length 520–1010–1900 (s = 244), width 6.5–19.1–53 μm (n = 100).

Microscleres: Sanidasters of variable shape, shaft mostly thin; rays up to 15, bifurcating or not, irregularly distributed over the shaft length; small spines concentrated on the rays; length 11.2–19.6 μm (n = 20). Oxyasters slender, with a very small centrum; spines concentrated on distal half of rays; rays 8–12; length 34–60 μm (n = 20). Trichodragmata variable in shape, slightly capsule-like; length 18.2–25.2 μm (n = 20).
Distribution. — Rare, on shelly substrate at 100 m depth, off Barbados.

Remarks. — The spicule sizes of this species are close to those of *A. brasiiliensis* sp. n. However, the remarkably different habit and habitat, the irregular shape of the trichodragmata, and the spines confined to the distal half of the rays of the oxyasters are here considered strong evidence for differentiating these species.

*Asteropus vasiformis* sp. n.
(Fig. 3 a–e; Pl. II E–G)

*Asteropus simplex* sensu Van Soest & Stentoft, 1988: 31–32, text-fig. 13, Pl. IV-fig. 6 (non *Stelletinopsis simplex* Carter, 1879).


Description (emended from Van Soest & Stentoft, 1988). — Cup-shaped, with one side not quite closed; 10 cm high, 7 cm in diameter at the top, with steep walls. Six oscules, one facing four others on opposing inner sides of the break in the cup wall, one outside the cup wall. Rim and inner surface smooth, outer side hispid. Consistency tough, incompressible, crumbly. Colour in the dry state is light brown at the rim and inner side, and dark purple at the outer side but this is probably not the proper live colouration.

Skeleton: The ectosomal skeleton is made up of a loose layer of tangential oxeas carrying abundant sanidasters and some trichodragmata. These oxeas are smaller than the choanosomal ones, as demonstrated by a bimodal size frequency distribution. The choanosomal skeleton has a radial architecture of huge oxeas which often protrude beyond the surface. Oxyasters become abruptly abundant 3 mm beneath the surface.

Spicules (see Fig. 3 a–e and Pl. II E–G; measurements in Table II):

Megascleres: Oxeas 1 (Fig. 3 b) fusiform, slender or robust, tapering gradually; slightly curved; length 632–1161–1650 (s = 258), width 19.6–39.2 μm (n = 45). Oxeas 2 (Fig. 3 a) fusiform, slender or robust, tapering gradually; slightly curved; length 1750–2225–2805 (s = 229), width 51.8–86.8 μm (n = 55).

Microscleres: sanidasters (Fig. 3 d; Pl. II E–F) spined all over; largest spines at end of rays; rays up to 14; length 7.5–22 μm (n = 20). Oxyasters (Fig. 3 c; Pl. II G) large; extremely slender and abundant; spined all over, largest spines at end of rays; rays 6–10; length 45–63–101 μm (n = 20). Trichodragmata (Fig. 3 e) irregularly cylindrical (capsule-like), slightly flattened shape; length 18.2–23.8 μm (n = 20).

Distribution. — Apparently quite rare at 153 m depth, off Barbados.

Etymology. — The name refers to the cup shape.

Remarks. — Important differences between *A. vasiformis* sp. n. and *A. simplex* (examined from a type slide) are the occurrence of two oxyaster categories (20–32 and 40–67 μm) and only one category of oxeas (780–1500 μm long) in the latter.

*Asteropus vasiformis* sp. n. can be distinguished from other Atlantic species of *Asteropus* by its two categories of oxeas, both with means above 1000 μm, large oxyasters (up to 100 μm), abundance of microscleres, its cup shape, and deep-water habitat. Its closest relative seems to be *A. niger* sp. n., which differs in having a much smaller category of small oxeas, massive habit, and less abundantly rayed sanidasters.

**Key to the western Atlantic *Asteropus***

1. Oxyasters 50–100 μm ........................................ 2
   — Oxyasters < 50 μm ........................................ 3
2. Two distinct categories of oxeas (one < 500 μm long), sanidasters with up to 30 rays, shape massive. *A. niger* sp. n.
   — Two categories of oxeas with mean lengths both above 1000 μm, sanidasters with at most 15 rays, cup-shaped ........................................... *A. vasiformis* sp. n.
3. Trichodragmata abundant ................................ 4
   — No trichodragmata ... *A. ketostea* (De Laubenfels, 1950)
4. Shape massive-sprawling, oxyasters 14–43 μm .............. *A. brasiiliensis* sp. n.
   — Shape globular, with fistules, oxyasters 34–60 μm ............... *A. syringiferus* Van Soest & Stentoft, 1988
Fig. 3. *Asteropus vasiformis* sp. n., spicules: a, oxea 2; b, oxea 1; c, oxyaster; d, sanidaster; e, trichodragma. Scales are in μm.
Discussion

Indo-Pacific records of Asteropus

A study of Carter's type slide of *A. simplex* (BMNH, no reference number) revealed the occurrence of two categories of oxyasters, which renders the specimen unique among other records of the species. No Atlantic *Asteropus* is known to have two categories of oxyasters, which is here considered as strong evidence to restrict the use of the name *A. simplex* to Indo-Pacific records.

Data from the literature on *Asteropus* are barely informative as most authors give only representative measurements, without ranges and/or means. The larger oxeas presently found in both the type specimen and in Hong Kong specimens (the latter reported by Van Soest, 1981) were in the 1400–1600 µm range, which is much smaller than Dendy’s (1924) 2100 µm, Wilson’s (1925) 3100 µm and Bergquist’s (1968) 2900 µm.

The live colour of *A. simplex* has been reported in the literature only by Bergquist (1968: light yellow; 1969: white), and by Desqueyroux-Fauández (1990: greyish to black). The latter record of *A. simplex* is considered highly dubious on account of the possession of much smaller oxyasters (13 µm; in the same size range as in *A. kaena* from the Hawaii Islands) and a second, also much smaller category of oxeas (130 µm). Many specimens were reported as being dark-coloured in spirit (e.g. Dendy, 1916a; Lévi, 1967) but it is not possible to ascertain whether or not this is the live colour of the specimen.

Remarkably, all the *Asteropus* species studied, except for *A. ketostea* and *A. kaena*, possess more or less abundant trichodragmata. These structures have not previously been reported in the genus, and this discovery has a bearing on systematics, as will be established below. This recent discovery of trichodragmata widespread in *Asteropus* specimens, as well as a second category of oxyasters in the type specimen of *A. simplex*, shows how easily spicule categories have probably been overlooked in previous descriptions of *Asteropus*. It is clear that a thorough revision of Carter’s (1879, 1886), Dendy’s (1905, 1916a, 1916b, 1924), Hentschel’s (1909), Dendy & Frederick’s (1924), Wilson’s (1925), Lévi’s (1967), Bergquist’s (1968, 1969), Tanita’s (1970), Vacelet et al.’s (1976), Desqueyroux-Fauández’s (1990), and Tanita & Hoshino’s (1990) specimens is needed in order to ascertain what the real variability in *A. simplex* is. It is predicted that this will reveal the occurrence of several discrete Indo-Pacific species.

Phylogenetic affinities of Asteropus

Bergquist (1965) observed that two groups of species could be recognized within *Asteropus*. The first one had true sanidasters and included *A. simplex*, *A. ketostea*, and *A. kaena* (the “simplex” group). To this assemblage we can add *A. syringiferus*, *A. brasiliensis* sp. n., *A. niger* sp. n., and *A. vasiformis* sp. n. The second group was characterized by having spiny microrhabds and included *A. sarasinorum* (Thiele, 1899) and *A. cherbonnieri* (Lévi, 1961) (the “sarasinorum” group). Re-examination of Lévi’s (1965) *Stellettinopsis solida*, also associated with the latter group, revealed that triaenes were relatively common and a proper tangential euctosomal skeleton was absent, so that the species is here assigned to *Ecionemia* Bowerbank, 1862.

Bergquist (1965) considered that the difference between the two above-mentioned *Asteropus* species groups was similar to that found between *Ancorina* (with sanidasters) and *Ecionemia* (with spiny microrhabds). This had also previously been pointed out by Sollas (1888) and Topsent (1928). As both genus groups were considered synonyms by most authors, Bergquist (1965) decided to keep the *Asteropus* lineages under the same generic assemblage.

The present discovery of abundant small trichodragmata in many species of *Asteropus* assigned to the “simplex” group, but not in *A. sarasinorum* (e.g. ZMA POR 8049, from Indonesia, identified by the second author) is here considered strong evidence for generic distinction between both lineages. Lévi (1961) did not mention trichodragmata for *A. cherbonnieri* either. The name *Melophlus* Thiele (1899) is available for the
“sarasinorum” group, and is presently considered valid. *A. sarasinorum* is considered distinct from true *Ancorina/Ecionemia* on the basis of its possession of a very thick tangential skeleton of oxeas, and can be defined as follows:

**Melophlus** Thiele, 1899  
Type species: *Melophlus sarasinorum* Thiele, 1899, by monotypy.

Diagnosis. – Ancorinidae without triaenes, with a thick tangential ektopsomal skeleton of megascleres, and a microsclere complement of oxyasters and spiny microrhabds.  
Species included: *M. sarasinorum* Thiele, 1899 (type species) and *M. cherbonnieri* (Lévi, 1961).

**Family allocation of Asteropus**

Various authors (Sollas, 1888; Topsent, 1900; Bergquist, 1968) have pointed out that generic differences within the Coppatiidae paralleled those of certain genera of Ancorinidae, but this apparently did not motivate these authors to abandon the first family. Still, if characters such as the possession of euasters, and/or microrhabds, and/or trichodragnata are considered primitive (and there can be little doubt of this in view of the wide distribution of these characters over the tetractinomorphs), there are only two coppatiid synapomorphies of dubious value remaining, viz. the absence of triaenes and the occurrence (in most coppatiids) of a complement of tangential megascleres.

The first synapomorphy, the absence of triaenes, is a suspect character, since “lipotriaene” *Stelletta* and *Geodia* species have been reported frequently, e.g. *S. distincta* (Thiele, 1900, as *Rhabdastrella*), *S. sternastrea* (Row, 1911, as *Diastra*), and *S. ecribriporosa* (Dendy, 1916a, as *Aurora*); quite a few are disguised as “*Jaspis*” or “*Dorypleres*” Sollas, 1888. Relationships of *Stelletta* Schmidt, 1862, *Rhabdastrella* Thiele, 1900, and *Diastra* Row, 1911, still need to be re-examined. In fact there is little ground for the assumption that loss of triaenes did not happen frequently and independently in various ancorinid groups.

The second synapomorphy could be genuine if the various tangential conditions were homologous. However, the condition in *Jaspis* s.s. – i.e. conforming to that of the type species, *J. johnstoni* (Schmidt, 1862) – seems to be widely different from that found in *Asteropus-Melophlus-Holoxea* s.s.: *Jaspis* s.s. has small oxeas forming a tightly arranged fine-grained surface, leaving characteristic round pore fields free of spicules, while the other taxa have an almost paratangential, confused arrangement of oxeas of intermediate or large size. *Holoxea violacea* Boury-Esnault, 1973 has no tangential skeleton, but this is interpreted as a subsequent loss.

On the other hand, *Asteropus* microscleres are closely similar to those of *Strypnhus* Gray, 1867, as already suggested by Sollas (1888) and Van Soest & Stentoft (1988), and the somewhat irregular, confused/radial arrangement of choanosomal megascleres is also comparable. It is not difficult to consider both genera as closely related, differing in the absence of triaenes and possession of trichodragnata in *Asteropus. Holoxea* Topsent, 1892, may be associated with this group on account of its trichodragnata. Assigned species are *H. furtiva* Topsent, 1892 (specimen studied: ZMA POR 9760, Cape Verde, identified by the second author), *H. collectrix* Thiele, 1903 (specimen studied: ZMA POR 3053, Siboga Expedition, det. M. Burton; the type specimen could not be found in the Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt), and *H. violacea* Boury-Esnault, 1973 (specimen studied: fragment from the type specimen, Brazilian coast). The genus is considered valid on the basis of the loss of oxyasters. *Melophlus* shows important similarities with *Ecionemia*: the acanthomicrorhabds of both are similar in shape (centrotylote) and size.

Bergquist (1968) erected the genus *Lamellomorpha* for *L. strongylata*, a species having sanidasters in combination with a loose skeleton of flexuous strongyles. The affinities of this species remain obscure. She also assigned *Coppatias baculifer* Kirkpatrick, 1903, to *Lamellomorpha*, but it lacks the “streptaster” category present in the type species, *L. strongylata* Bergquist, 1968. Its megascleres are also true oxeas, which makes it closer to true *Ancorina/Ecionemia*, and especially to *Hezekia* De
Laubenfels, 1934, which was erected for an *Ankorina*-like species (*H. demera*) lacking euasters.

*Jaspis* is similarly problematic, where many alleged *Jaspis* do not conform to *J. johnstoni* but are like *Stelletta*, although they lack triaenes. For the latter group the name *Dorypleres* Sollas, 1888 is available; if the loss of triaenes is considered to be a synapomorphy of a related group of *Stelletta*-like species, then re-erecting *Dorypleres* could be considered. The phylogenetic relationships of *Jaspis* s.s. remain obscure. If the ecosomal small oxeas are homologous to the microxeas of *Penares* Gray, 1867 (Burton & Rao, 1932; Bergquist, 1968), these two could be related. However, certain *Jaspis* such as *J. serpentina* Wilson, 1925 share similarities in their microscleres with *Hemiasterella*.

The genus *Jaspis* is badly in need of a revision. Previous efforts to split the genus (i.e. Lindgren, 1898; Thiele, 1900, 1903; Topsent, 1904) were all considered impractical by Dendy (1916a), who was followed by Wilson (1925). The question that arises is whether or not all *Jaspis* form a monophyletic assemblage. Its type species, *J. johnstoni* (Schmidt, 1862) – hypothesized to be of pachastrellid origin by Dendy (1916a) and Bergquist (1968) – has much smaller oxeas than those normally found in Astrophyllida and the remaining coppatiids. Boury-Esnault (1973) mentioned that two species groups were recognizable within *Jaspis*: the first, with microxeas (e.g. *J. johnstoni* and *J. salvadori* Boury-Esnault, 1973) and the second, without microxeas (e.g. *J. reptans* [Dendy, 1905], *J. topsenti* Thiele, 1900 and *J. novaezelandiae* Dendy, 1924). Boury-Esnault (1973) mistakenly assigned *J. coriacea* (Carter, 1886) to the second group. Carter (1886) clearly mentions the presence of a small category of oxeas (100 \(\mu\)m long), restricted to the surface of his specimen. *Jaspis reptans* was described without a tangential skeleton of oxeas, which makes it like Burton & Rao’s (1932) “lipotri- aenose *Stelletta*” (or “reduced stellettid”) [= ancorinids] sensu Bergquist, 1968). The relationships between reduced ancorinids and “coppatiids” seem particularly close when certain genera (characterized by a slightly reduced radiality) are considered. In this way, *Penares* Gray, 1867 is comparable to *Jaspis* (at least those with small ecosomal oxeas);

*Strypnus* Sollas, 1888 and *Ancorina* are comparable to *Asteropus* (sanidasters), and *Ecionemia* is comparable to *Melophlus* and *Holoxea* (spiny microrhabds).

Based on the above rationale, which follows the collective ideas of Row (1911), Dendy (1916a), De Laubenfels (1936), Bergquist (1968 and pers. comm.), and Van Soest & Stenfoit (1988), the polyphyletic origin of the Coppatiidae cannot be denied. We postulate that the loss of triaenes occurred in several ancorinid lineages and that the presence of a complementary, variously developed, tangential ecosomal skeleton cannot be used as a synapomorphic character for a group bearing such a diverse asterose microsclere complement. The occurrence of a tangential ecosomal skeleton in *Jaspis*, *Asteropus*, *Holoxea*, *Melophlus*, *Neamphius*, and *Lamellomorpha* is here interpreted as an adaptive answer, as a way of strengthening a skeleton that has lost the “umbrella” formed by the cladodes of the ecosomal triaenes.

These conclusions show that the family Coppatiidae, as presently understood, is polyphyletic. The genera *Asteropus*, *Melophlus*, and *Holoxea* are transferred to the Ancorinidae. The family Coppatiidae is provisionally retained to accommodate *Jaspis*, *Neamphius*, and *Lamellomorpha* until a more complete revision of Ancorinidae, Pachastrellidae, Coppatiidae, and related “lithistid” forms has been undertaken. The affinities of the restricted Coppatiidae must remain undecided until then.
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