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SUMMARY
A revision has been made for the species of Eriachne R. Br. (Gramineae) occurring in Asia and Malesia and including Massia Balansa. Four taxa may be recognized: E. burkittii Jansen var. burkittii, E. pallescens R. Br., E. squarrosa R. Br., and E. triseta Nees ex Steud. (=Massia triseta Balansa). E. squarrosa is proposed as the generic lectotype. The australian E. laidlawii Jansen is reduced to a variety of E. burkittii. Pheidochloa S. T. Blake is the only clear relative, but seems derived from Eriachne. A tribe Eriachneae (Ohwi) stat. nov. is proposed for them, provisionally placed near the Danthonieae.

## INTRODUCTION

Eriachne R. Br. (Gramineae) at latest count is estimated to consist of $\mathbf{3 5}$ species (W. V. Brown, 1977) and has its greatest development in Australia. Only four taxa may be found beyond its borders: two are restricted to a few localities in eastern Malesia 'E. burkittii Jansen var. burkittii and E. squarrosa), two others range to continental southeast Asia (E. pallescens R. Br. and E. triseta Nees ex Steud.). Two other species have been mentioned for New Guinea, viz. E. rara R. Br. (Ridsdale, 1968) and E. armittii F. Muell. ex Benth. (Bentham, 1878; Hitchcock, 1936; Henty, 1969), but the records turned out to belong to E. burkittii and E. squarrosa, respectively.

## TYPIFICATION OF THE GENUS

As far as could be ascertained Eriachne has never been typified. R. Brown (1810) described ten new species simultaneously, of which the wide-spread E. squarrosa fits the generic description well; it is therefore here proposed as the lectotype.

## TAXONOMIC POSITION

The taxonomic position of Eriachne is not quite clear. It has generally been included in the Aveneae (Bentham \& Hooker f., 1883; Hackel, 1888; Pilger, 1954; Hubbard, 1973). Ohwi (1942), regarded it as a separate subtribe of the Aveneae, thereby indicating its exceptional position there. Other suggestions have been the Isachneae (Bentham, 1881, who soon joined it with the Aveneae: Bentham \& Hooker f., 1883), the Festuceae (Gardner, 1952), and the Danthonieae (Bor, 1960; Watson \& Clifford, 1976), or close to the latter and the Aristideae (W. V. Brown, 1977).

Contrary to the general opinion, Eriachne does not belong to the Aveneae; the latter are festucoid in their leaf-anatomy and embryo-type, while Eriachne has panicoid leaves (see also Metcalfe, 1960) and, as could be ascertained from personal
research, the embryo of E. pallescens, E. triseta, and the related Pheidochloa vulpioides, is panicoid ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{PP}$ in Reeder's terminology, 1957). These characters also rule out the Festuceae and the Isachneae, but point at panicoid affinities. Within the Paniceae, however there seems to be no satisfactory position; Eriachne is immediately different from all by the two fertile florets. Two fertile florets are present in some Andropogoneae, but there the awns are not terminal, the branching system of the inflorescence is quite different, as is the consistency of glumes and lemmas, etc., so that tribe seems unlikely too.

There is one tribe left, the Danthonieae, a rather mixed group of apparently relictgenera, and possibly not as related as the inclusion in one tribe would suggest. The leaves are generally non-Kranz, while those with a panicoid anatomy are of doubtful position (e.g. Alloeochaeta, Astenatherum). The embryo, as far as known, is P-PF. Nearly all species of Eriachne are restricted to Australia proper, so it would seem a reasonable assumption that the genus originated there. All representatives off the Danthonieae there (Chionochloa, Erythranthera, Monachater, Notodanthonia, Plunthanthesis, Pyrrhanthera) are quite different, however, in the leaf-anatomy (festucoid), insertion of the awn between two lobes, indefinite number of florets per spikelet with a developed rachilla-process, etc., and are obviously derived from the north-temperate Danthonia. Notodanthonia, for instance, is a name used in Australia and New Zealand for what is called Rytidosperma in S. America, and pertains to a genus which differs from Danthonia s.s. in only a few characters. It seems unlikely that Eriachne is derived from these genera. W. V. Brown's remark, after a study of material and a survey of the literature, that 'Eriachne is derived from a xeric offshoot of the Danthonieae close to, but separate from, the origin of the Aristideae' is a valuable suggestion, but not necessarily correct. It is curious to note that he included Eriachne's only obvious relative, Pheidochloa, in the Danthonieae (1.c. 64), and under Eriachne (l.c. 72) stated that it has 'silica cells... undulate-rectangular, quite different from those of the Aristideae or most Eriachne.' (spacing mine). A separate tribe, Eriachneae (Ohwi) stat. nov. (Eriachninae Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11, 1942, 183), seems warranted, with as type Eriachne R. Br., and provisionally placed in the neighbourhood of the Danthonieae.

As stated above, the only genus obviously related to Eriachne is Pheidochloa. Massia has been distinguished by the excessively elongated awns of the palea, but this feature is only of specific value. The palea in Eriachne is a variable organ and no generic or even infra-generic value can be attributed to the length of its teeth. As there seem to be no other morphological or anatomical characters to distinguish $M$. triseta from Eriachne it is here included in the latter.

Pheidochloa differs from Eriachne by the presence of very unequal glumes, separated by a conspicuous internode, a cylindric, not longitudinally furrowed caryops, while the undulate-rectangular silica cells are only found in 'some' Eriachne. There are two rather local species, one in New Guinea, and one in Queensland. The genus may be considered as derived from Eriachne.

## INFRA-GENERIC RELATIONSHIPS

R. Brown (1810) divided the genus into two subordinate taxa without indication of rank, the 'Aristatae' and the 'Muticae', apparently basing himself on the presence or absence of a well-developed awn on the lemma.

Beauvois (1812) considered that species with awned and muticous lemmas should not be included in one single genus, and he found it therefore necessary to segragate these two groups. The 'Muticae' he included in Eriachne s.s. and the 'Aristatae' were placed in Achneria.

Nees (1841) used Achneria as a sub-generic name under Eriachne. Munro (1868) erroneously referred seven S. African species to Achneria. Bentham \& Hooker $f$. (1883) reduced Achneria again to Eriachne; they treated the S. African species as an independent genus, for which they illegitimately retained the name Achneria. These species are now considered to belong either to Pentaschistis or to Afrachneria.

As only the Malesian species were extensively studied, it was beyond the scope of this partial revision to study a possible subdivision along other lines more thoroughly, but it seems that none can be made; as stated above even Massia cannot be recognized above the specific level.

## MORPHOLOGY

Indument. The indument of the vegetative parts is very variable. Young parts are densely hairy, while old parts shed their hairs. In general the hairs are bulbous-based, the tubercles persisting, which can be observed easily under some magnification.

The glumes may also be glabrescent, which has sometimes not been realized, leading to wrong delimitations of the taxa.

Such tubercle-based hairs have been called bb-hairs here.
Flowers. The flowers may be either cleistogamous or chasmogamous. A flower has been considered to be cleistogamous when the anthers were still present, enclosed between the chaffs, in the fruiting stage. They are then entangled with the also persistent stigmas, apparently yellow, rarely somewhat purplish in life, and smaller than the always purple anthers of the chasmogamous flowers. As far as could be observed, an entire plant is either one form or the other. The species of Eriachne in Malesia are both cleistogamous and chasmogamous with the possible exception of $E$. burkittii, of which only a few, all chasmogamous, collections were seen.

Palea. The presence or absence of two teeth at the apex of the palea was a delimitative feature in previous descriptions and keys. From the material studied it appeared that this very variable character is to be used with great caution. The teeth may vary between inconspicuously small projections and awns, the latter sometimes up to 14 mm long.
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## ERIACHNE

Eriachne R. Br., Prod. (1810) 183; Benth., Fl. Austr. 7 (1878) 626; Hack. in E. \& P., Nat. Pfl. Fam. II, 2 (1888) 53; Nachtr. (1897) 43; Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1898) 269; Camus, Fl. Gén. I.-C. 7 (1923) 529; Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 212; Pilg., Bot. Jb. 76 (1954) 167, 317; Schmid, l'Agron. Trop. 13 (1958) 488, 490; Bor, Grasses (1960) 479; Lazarides, Grasses C. Austr. (1970) 149; Gill., Rev. Fl. Mal. 3 (1971) 92. - Lectotype: E. squarrosa R. Br. (See introduction).

Achneria Beauv., Agrost. (1812) 72, 146; Nees, Fl. Afr. Austr. 1 (1841) 273; Niles \& Chase, Contr. U. S. Nat. Hb. 24, 6 (1925) 181, 205. - Lectotype:A.obtusa (R. Br.) Beauv. ( $=$ E. obtusa R. Br.) (Niles \& Chase, 1925).
Massia Balansa in Morot, J. Bot. 4 (1890) 165; Hack. in E. \& P., Nachtr. Nat. Pfl. Fam. (1897) 43; Pilg., Bot. Jb. 76 (1954) 166, 317; Bor, Grasses (1960) 479, 481; Gill., Rev. Fl. Mal. 3 (1971) 94. - T у pe: Massia triseta (Steud.) Balansa ( = E. triseta Steud.).

Erect, tufted perennials; cataphylls ovate to ovate-oblong, appressedly pubescent. Leaves variously b.b.-pubescent, glabrescent with persistent tubercles, to glabrous; usually at least the margins involute; all nerves similar; collar setose; ligule a rim of short hairs. Panicles effuse to rather dense; spikelets laterally compressed, 2-flowered, chasmogamous or cleistogamous. Glumes persistent, subequal, boatshaped, ovate-oblong to linear-lanceolate, rounded on the back, apex acuminate, (7-)9-11(-14)-nerved, often separated by a short internode. Lower glume with a straight base, margin not membranous. Upper glume with an amplexicaul base, margins distinctly membranous. Lemmas subequal, boat-shaped, rounded on the back, 5-9-nerved; margins involute and tightly clasping the keels of the palea, cartilagineous, with b.b.-hairs; callus villous; awn apical, false, antrorsely scabrous, neither twisted nor geniculate. Paleas obovate-linear, 2-keeled, $\pm$ flat between the
keels; the incurving margins embracing the caryops; apex notched to bidentate to 2awned, b.b.-pubescent. Lodicules 2, narrowly obconical, few nerved, membraneous, glabrous; upper margin wavy. Stamens 2 or 3. Styles 2, distinct; stigmas feathery, purple. Caryops obovate-oblong, concave-convex in transverse section; hilum subbasal, punctiform, dark to red-brown; embryo $0.2-0.3$ times as long as the caryops.

Distribution. 40 species from India to S. China, through Malesia to Australia.

Ecology. Dry exposed rocky or sandy areas, waste places, open savannah forests.

## KEY TO THE ASIAN AND MALESIAN TAXA

1a. Apex of the palea with two $6.8-14.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long awns. . . . 4. E. triseta
b. Apex of the palea notched or with two up to 4.4 mm long teeth. . . 2

2 a . Lemma and palea hairy in the lower $0.5-0.75$ th. Callus hemi-globose. ( $E$. burkittii)
b. Lemma and palea hairy all over. Callus obconical . . . . . . . 5

3a. Glumes densely b.b.-setose except for the lower $0.1-0.15$ th. Lemma and palea hairy in the lower 0.75th . 1c. E. burkittii var. laidlawii
b. Glumes glabrous, margin sometimes with a few b.b.-hairs. Lemma and palea hairy in the lower 0.5th
4a. Sheaths, blades, and internodia glabrous to sparsely b.b.-pubescent
1a. E. burkittii var. burkittii
b. Sheaths, blades, and internodia densely pubescent.

1b. E. burkittii var hirsutissima
5a. Panicle effuse. Glumes 3-5.5 mm long. Awn of the lemma $1.9-5.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Stamens 2.
2. E. pallescens
b. Panicle contracted. Glumes $6.6-10.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Awn of the lemma 7-26 mm long. Stamens 3.
3. E. squarrosa

## 1. Eriachne burkittii Jansen. - Fig. 1a

Eriachne burkittii Jansen, Meded. Rijksherb. Leiden 59 (1930) 1. - Lect otype:Burkitt 12 (holo in L ; iso in MELB, n.v.), here proposed.
[Eriachne rara auct., non R. Br.: Ridsdale, Trans. P. N. G. Sc. Soc. 9 (1968) 13, 21.]

## a. var. burkittii.

Perennial forming small tufts, (35-) $60-140 \mathrm{~cm}$ high. Culms smooth, glabrous, rarely branched, usually with 2-4 dark brown, constricted (s.s.), $\pm$ glabrous to b.b.-hairy nodes; hairs l-4 mm long. Cataphylls $8.2-10.6$ by $2.9-4.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, shortly ciliate, 14-19-nerved. Sheaths smooth, glabrous to sparsely b.b.-pubescent, glabrescent; collar with hairs up to 4.4 mm long; ligules $0.5-0.75 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; blades flat, ( $7-$ ) $18-36 \mathrm{~cm}$ by ( $1.5-$ ) $2-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, smooth, glabrous, rarely with a few b.b.hairs. Peduncle glabrous to sparsely strigulose; panicle effuse, $7-19$ by $1.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$; lower branches $3-9.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, sparsely pubescent. Spikelets chasmogamous, $5.6-8.1$ by $2.5-6.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ (excl. awns). Glumes oblong-ovate, $5-7(-8.4$ ) by $1.5-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, glabrous, margins sometimes with a few b.b.-hairs, cartilagineous.


Fig. 1.- a. Eriachne burkittii Jansen (after Burkitt 9, paratype). - b. Eriachne pallescens R. Br. (after Brass 25972). - c. Eriachne squarrosa R. Br. (after Carr 12488). - d. Eriachne triseta Steud. (after Khiem 83). - the bar drawn in the figures represents a length of 1 mm . The drawings are made by Dr. J. F. Veldkamp.

Lower glume 8-14-nerved at base; upper glume 7-12-nerved at base; internode virtually absent. Lemmas $3.9-6.8$ by $0.6-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 7$-nerved, hairy in the lower half, hairs up to 2 mm long; callus hemi-globose, $0.3-0.65 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; awns $1-3.3$ cm long with a distinctly callose base. Palea longer than the body of the lemma, $5.6-7.6$ by $0.6-2 \mathrm{~mm}$, gradually acuminate; apex inconspicuously retuse; teeth ciliolate; hairy in the lower half; hairs up to 1.7 mm long. Lodicules $0.45-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Stamens 3; anthers 2.2-3.7 mm long, purplish. Caryops not seen.

Distribution. Malesia: Papua New Guinea, W. Distr.; Australia: N. Terr.
Ecology. Grey to black silty, clayey soil, savannahs with Melaleuca, Acacia and Eucalyptus.

Notes. Jansen (1930) erroneously described the 'paleas and lemmas with hairs in the upper half'.

NGF 38728 (Henty \& Katik) differs slightly by having glumes with sometimes a few hairs along the margins, and by the apex of the palea, which has two small teeth.

## b. var. hirsutissima Jansen

Eriachne burkittii Jansen var. hirsutissima Jansen, Meded. Rijksherb. Leiden 59 (1930) 1. - T y pe: Burkitt 8 (holo in L; iso in MELB, n.v.)

Internodes, sheaths, and blades densely pubescent. Glumes glabrous. Lemmas and paleas hairy in the lower half.

Distribution. Australia: N. Terr., Port Darwin. Only known from the type.

Ecology. Not recorded, probably as the typical variety.
Note. This variety is tentatively maintained. Jansen (1930) distinguished it from var. burkittii also by the size of the panicle and the length of the culms. All these characters do set the specimen apart from the others seen, but it can not be ruled out that it is just an exceptionally hairy, large individual.
c. var. laidlawii (Jansen) v. Eck, comb. \& stat. nov.

Eriachne laidlawii Jansen, Meded. Rijksherb. Leiden 59 (1930) I. - T y pe:Burkitt 11 (holo in L: iso in MELB, n.v.).

Nodes glabrous to retrorsely bearded, collar and upper surface of the blades at base sparsely b.b.-pilose. Glumes densely b.b.-setose except for the lower $0.1-0.15$ th. Lemmas and paleas hairy in the lower 0.75 th.

Distribution. Australia: N. Terr., Port Darwin. Only known from the type.

Ecology. Not recorded, probably as the typical variety.
N ote. Without intermediary specimens this collection is here maintained as a variety.

## 2. Eriachne pallescens R. Br. - Fig. 1b.

262; Vickery, Contr. N. S. W. Nat. Herb. 2 (1953) 81; Schmid, l'Agron. Trop. 13 (1958) 488, 490, fig. 95b; Bor, Grasses (1960) 479; Metcalfe, Anat. Monoc. 1 (1960) 200; Henty, Bot. Bull. Lae 1 (1969) 100 , fig. 35b; Gill., Rev. Fl. Mal. 3 (1971) 94, pl. 10d, fig. 15. - Aira effusa Spreng., Syst. Veg. 1 (1824) 278, non A. pallescens R. \& S. (1817). - T y pe:Banks \& Solander s.n. ('Endeavor River, Queensland') (BM, holo, see note).
Aira chinensis Retz., Obs. Bot. 3 (1783) 10, non Eriachne chinensis Hance (1861). - Tricholaena chinensis Domin, Bibl. Bot. 85 (1915) 327, comb. inval. (§34.2). - T y pe: Bladh s.n. ('China') (LD, holo).
Eriachne gracilis Brongn. in Duperr., Voy. Coquille, Bot. (1829) 25; Kunth, Enum. PI. 1 (1833) 310; Miq., Fl. Ind. Bat. 3 (1857) 428. - T y pe:Dumont dUrville s.n. ('Bourou’) (P, holo).
Eriachne chinensis Hance, Ann. Sc. Nat. Bot. IV, 15 (1861) 228; J. Linn. Soc. London 13 (1873) 136. Lectotype: Hance 7424 ('Whampoa, Oct. 1861') (W, iso), here proposed.
[Eriachne hookeri Munro, J. Linn. Soc. London, Bot. 6 (1861) 42, nomen. - Hb. Hooker s.n. (K), Osbeck s.n. (LINN, n.v.].

Tufted perennial, ( $40-$ ) $50-90(-105$ ) cm high; culms smooth, glabrous, rarely branched; nodes $(2-) 3-7(-10)$, glabrous. Cataphylls ovate, ( $0.8-) 1.5-3(-4)$ by ( $0.7-$ ) $1.2-2.4(-3) \mathrm{mm}$, appressedly ciliate, glabrescent. Sheaths smooth, glabrous to b.b.-pubescent, hairs up to 0.5 mm long; margins sparsely b.b.pubescent, hairs up to $0.4(-0.5) \mathrm{mm}$ long; collar with hairs up to $2.5(-3.7) \mathrm{mm}$ long; ligules $0.4-0.6(-1) \mathrm{mm}$ long; blades erect, $\pm$ flat with involute margins to involute, $2.3-16.6(-21) \mathrm{cm}$ by ( $0.4-) 0.8-3(-4) \mathrm{mm}$ (when expanded); margins at base with a few b.b.-hairs up to $1.5(3.5) \mathrm{mm}$ long, the latter becoming smaller and appressed; apex gradually acuminate. Peduncle glabrous. Panicle effuse, very lax, $(2.6-) 4-15.4$ by $(0.5-) 1-7(-19) \mathrm{cm}$, lower branches $(1.7-) 3-10.5(-12.2) \mathrm{cm}$ long with ( $1-$ )2-8(-14) spikelets, glabrous; pedicels $1.5-39 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, glabrous. Spikelets cleistogamous or chasmogamous, $5.2-10.3(-11.4)$ by $1.4-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ (excl. awns). Glumes oblong-ovate, $(8-) 9(-14)$-nerved at base; lower glume $3-5.5(-6.5)$ by $1.2-2.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, glabrous to sparsely b.b.-pubescent, hairs up to 0,5 mm long; internode ( $0.25-$ ) $0.35-0.55 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, glabrous; upper glume (3.6-)4-4.9(-5.5) by $1.5-2.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, rarely short-hairy; hairs then up to 0.35 mm long. Lemmas ( $1.2-$ ) $3-4.6(-5.5)$ by $0.7-1.2(-1.4) \mathrm{mm}$ (excl. awns), densely b.b.-hairy all over, hairs up to 1.5 mm long; nerves $1-5(-7)$; callus obconical, $0.2-0.55 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, hairs up to 1.1 mm long; awn (1-)1.9-5.6(-6.6) mm long. Palea $\pm$ subequal to the body of the lemma, 3-4.4(-5.5) by $0.6-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, hairy all over, hairs up to 0.8 mm long; apex notched with a fascicle of hairs or with 2 teeth up to 0.9 mm long. Lodicules $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, shortly adnate to the palea at base. Stamens 2; anthers either $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, yellowish (cleistogamous), or $1.7-3.2$ mm long, purple (chasmogamous). Caryops $2-2.75$ by $0.6-1.1 \mathrm{~mm}$; embryo ca. 0.2 times as long.

Distribution. India (Assam), Bangla Desh, Nicobars, Burma (Tenasserim), Thailand (Peninsular: Surat Thani, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Pattani), Vietnam (Cao Lang, Vinh Phu, Hai Phong, Binh Tri Thiên, De Nang, Kiên Giang), China (Kwangtung, Hong Kong, Fukien), Malaya (Perlis, Kelantan, Trengganu, Pahang, Selangor, Malacca, Johore, Penang, Singapore), Sumatra (W. Coast, Palambang, Banka, Riouw Arch.), Lesser Sunda Is. (Sumbawa), Borneo (Sarawak, West Kalimantan, Sabah, Labuan, Balambangan I.), Philippines (Palawan, Culion I., Harmana Major I.), Celebes (Kendari), Moluccas (Buru, Ceram), N. Guinea (Vogelkop, Sentani, Merauke, West Sepik, Western Dist., Rossel I., Fergusson I.), Australia (N. Territory: Pine Creek; Queensland), Micronesisa (Caroline I.)

Ecology. Locally common and vegetation-forming on dry, exposed rocky or
sandy areas, coastal dunes, waste places, open savannah forests with Eucalyptus; fire resistant; up to 300 m alt.

Anatomy. Leaf (Metcalfe, 1960).
Use. Inferior fodder-plants (Backer, 1950).
Vernacular name. Ungak rupit (Biliton).
Notes. Three quarters of the specimens studied were cleistogamous, but the rate is not evenly distributed over the area as is shown by the following survey: Asia ( 25 cleistogamous and 31 chasmogamous plants), Malaya (19/2), Sumatra (5/0), Borneo (8/0), Philippines (2/4), Celebes (1/0), Sumbawa (1/0), Moluccas (2/0), New Guinea (10/6), Micronesia (1/0), Australia (18/2).
R. Brown (1810) cited as the type of (6). E. pallescens '( $T$ ) v.v.', i.e. Northern Australia around the Bay of Carpentaria and collected by himself. There are no Brown-collections known, however, but in the BM there are instead several made by Banks \& Solander at the Endeavor River, Queensland, of which one has been annotated on the reverse side of the field-label by R. Brown ' 6 . Eriachne pallescens', and another label has been added by J. Lewis, noting that 'the v.v. of Prodromus is and error'.

## 3. Eriachne squarrosa R. Br. - Fig. 1c.

> Eriachne squarrosa R. Br., Prod. (1810) 183; Brongn. in Duperr., Voy. Coquille, Bot. (1829) 24, t. 3; Benth., Fl. Austr. 7 (1878) 628; Hartley, J. Linn. Soc. London 52 (1942) 342; Lazarides, J. Roy. Soc. W. Austr. 44 (1961) 78; Henty, Bot. Bull. Lae l (1969) 100. - T y pe:Banks \& Solander s.n. ('Bustard Bay, Bay of Inlets \& Endeavor River, Queensland') (BM, holo).
> Eriachne armittii auct., non Benth.: Hitchc., Brittonia 2 (1936) 114; Ridsdale, Trans. P. N. G. Sc. Soc. 9 (1968) 13, 21; Henty, Bot. Bull. Lae 1 (1969) 97, fig. 35a.

Tufted perennial, (13-)40-85(-100) cm high, culms smooth, glabrous to sparsely b.b.-pubescent, especially distally and just above the nodes; nodes $1-5(-6)$, antrorsely b.b.-barbate; hairs up to 4.5 mm long. Cataphylls $2.6-9.8$ by ( $1.5-$ )1.8-2.9 mm, 6-19-nerved, shortly ciliate. Sheaths smooth, glabrous to b.b.-pubescent, sometimes along the margins only, glabrescent; collar with hairs up to 4 mm long; ligules $0.3-1(-1.7) \mathrm{mm}$ long; blades with flat margins, $8-29(-32.5) \mathrm{cm}$ by $2-4.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, smooth, sparsely or more densely b.b.-pubescent, especially near the base, glabrescent. Peduncle patently b.b.-hairy, sometimes only below the panicle. Panicle densely to interruptedly contracted to spike-like, 3-10 by $1.5-6(-7) \mathrm{cm}$; lower branches $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ long with $2-13[-22]$ spikelets, patently b.b.-hairy; pedicels $\pm$ absent to 6 mm long, patently b.b.-hairy. Spikelets rarely cleistogamous ( $6.1-$ ) $7.3-13.7(-15.7$ ) by ( $1.9-$ ) $2.2-5.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (excl. awns). Glumes ovate-oblong to linear-lanceolate, $6.6-10.3[-15.7]$ by $1.8-3(-3.5) \mathrm{mm}$, the lower somewhat larger than the upper, densely long-b.b.-hairy, glabrescent; hairs up to 2.8 mm long; lower glume $6-11$-nerved; internode $0.1-0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; upper glume usually $7(-10)$-nerved. Lemmas $2.4-4[-4.9]$ by $1-2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ (excl. awns), 3-5-nerved, densely long-b.b.-hairy, hairs up to 3.6 mm long; callus obconical, $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, hairs up to 2.7 mm long; awns $7-26[-32] \mathrm{mm}$ long, $\pm$ retrorsely circinnate to falcate to nearly straight. Palea longer than the body of the lemma ( $3.9-$ ) $4.1-9.8[-12.7]$ by $0.8-1.6(-2) \mathrm{mm}$ (incl. the 2 teeth or awns), densely b.b.-pubescent all over; apex with two teeth or awns $0.5-4.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Lodicules $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Stamens 3; anthers $4.6-5.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, purplish (chasmogamous) or $0.7-1.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, yellowish (cleistogamous). Caryops $1.5-2.4$ by $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$; embryo $0.25-0.3$ times as long.

Distribution. Moluccas (Buru), New Guinea (Vogelkop, Merauke; Western, Central, and Milne Bay Distr., Sudest I., Aru I.), Australia (N. Territory, Queensland).

Ecology.Savannah woodland with Acacia, Grevillea, Melaleuca and Eucalyptus, in grassland on clayey soil, on sandy granite ridges; up to 600 m alt.

Use . Probably grazed by cattle (Lazarides, 1970).
Notes. Two collections made by Lazarides (Lazarides 7103, Lazarides \& Adams 230) differ from the other specimens in several characters: the larger blades have cartilagneous, white, crenate margins and shortly aculeate apices; the larger number of spikelets on the lower branches of the panicle ( $16-22$ ); the larger spikelets ( $12.3-15.7$ by $2-5.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and their parts. The dimensions and numbers have been given in the description between square brackets. A separate status for these specimens does not seem warranted.
E. armittii F. Muell. ex Benth. is a close relative. It differs by the presence of numerous very short culms at the base between the longer ones, all bearing terminal inflorescences, which habit suggests a semi-annuality. The glumes are sparsely b.b.hairy, but the hairs occur only along the margins and in the middle, and then appear to form a transverse band; the latter hairs are often fugacious, but their bases remain. E. armittii is known from the Northern Territory and Queensland.

## 4. Eriachne triseta Nees ex Steud. - Fig. 1d.

Eriachne triseta Nees ex Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 237; Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 269; Ridl., Mat. Fl. Mal. Pen. 3 (1907) 172; Camus, Fl. Gén. I.-C. 7 (1923) 529; Ridl., Fl. Mal. Pen. 5 (1925) 240; Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 212; Back. in Heyne, Nutt. Pl. Ned. Ind., ed. 3 (1950) 263; Schmid, 1’Argron. Trop. 13 (1958) 490, fig. 95c, d; Henty, Bot. Bull. Lae l (1969) 100, fig. 35c. - Massia triseta Balansa in Morot. J. Bot. 4 (1890) 165; Bor, Grasses (1960) 479.-T y pe:Walker s.n. ('Ceylon') (Holo in P, n.v. iso in K). [Aristida biflora Moon, Cat. Pl. Ceylon (1824) 9, nomen. -] Megalachne zeylanica Thw., Enum. Pl. Zeyl. (1864) 372, 444, nom. inval. (§34.1) - Thwaites CP 3247 (holo in PER, n.v., iso in K, NY, P, US, W) (see note).

Tufted perennial, ( $25-$ ) $35-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ high, culms smooth, glabrous to sparsely b.b.pubescent, glabrescent; nodes 2-7, glabrous. Cataphylls ovate to ovate-oblong, ( $2.4-$ ) $3.5-9$ by ( $1.4-$ )1.7-4 mm, 7-17-nerved, appressedly ciliate. Sheaths smooth, glabrous to spartsely b.b.-pubescent, glabrescent; collar with hairs up to 1.6 mm long, glabrescent, sometimes shortly setose; ligules $0.25-0.65 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; blades erecto-patent, $\pm$ curved, slightly pungent, $\pm$ filiform with involute margins, $6.3-18.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ by $0.7-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (when expanded), smooth, glabrous to sparsely b.b.pubescent. Panicle effuse, 4-14(-18) by $1-4(-7) \mathrm{cm}$; lower branches $4-8.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long with (3-)6-8 spikelets, glabrous; pedicels absent to 19 mm long, glabrous. Spikelets usually cleistogamous, $14.2-24.9$ by ( $0.75-$ )2-4.9 mm (incl. the paleatic awns, excl. the lemmatic ones). Glumes ovate-oblong to lanceolate, 7.4-12 by $1.7-2.6(-3.6) \mathrm{mm}$, the upper one usually somewhat larger and broader than the lower, $9-13$ nerved, glabrous to sparsely b.b.-pubescent, usually purplish; internode ( $0.25-) 0.5-0.7(-0.8) \mathrm{mm}$ long. Lemmas ( $3.7-) 3.9-5(-5.4$ ) by $(0.6-) 1-1.2(-1.5) \mathrm{mm},(5-) 7(-14)$ nerved, densely short-b.b.-hairy all over, hairs up to $0.8(-1) \mathrm{mm}$ long; callus obconical, $0.8-1.2(-1.4) \mathrm{mm}$ long, hairs up to 0.6 mm long; awn $7-19 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Palea usually somewhat smaller than the body of the lemma, 3.4-4.8(-5.2) by ( $0.5-) 0.8-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, b.b.-hairy, hairs up to $0.3(-0.5) \mathrm{mm}$ long; apex with two (5.9-)6.8-14.5 mm long awns. Lodicules $0.4-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, sometimes shortly adnate at base with the palea. Stamens 2 ;
anthers either ( $2.2-$ ) $2.6-3.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, purple (chasmogamous), or $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, yellowish (cleistogamous). Caryops $2.3-3.8$ by $0.5-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$; embryo $0.2-0.25$ times as long.

Distribution. Ceylon, India (?: Griffith KD 6651), Thailand (Peninsular: Songkhla, Satun; Eastern: Chantaburi, Trat), Cambodia (Svay Rieng), Vietnam (Nghia Binh, Saigon), Malaya (Trengganu, Pahang, Negri Sembilan, Malacca), Sumatra (unlocalized: v. d. Voort 2), Borneo (Sarawak, Labuan), Philippines (Palawan, Culion, Luzon), New Guinea (Merauke, Western Distr.), Australia (N. Territory, Queensland).

Ecology. Sandy heaths, dry exposed rocky places, savannah forests and wet depressions on river flats; up to 100 m alt.

Use. Inferior fodderplants (Backer, 1950).
Vernacular name. Pini tuttiri (Sinhalese).
Notes. Only 8 of the 62 plants studied were chasmogamous, the rough distribution is as follows: Asia ( 18 cleistogamous and 2 chasmogamous plants), Malaya (8/2), Borneo (3/1), Philippines (6/0), New Guinea (9/0), Australia (10/3).

The size of the spikelets is very variable, in particular in Asia. The spikelets of Malayan plants are larger than those from Borneo, the Philippines and New Guinea: Asia 12-17.6 mm, Malaya 19.1-22.3 mm, Borneo $15.3-17.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, Philippines $12-17.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, New Guinea $12-18.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The pubescence of the lemmas and paleas of the Bornean plants is denser than that from elsewhere.

Aristida biflora Moon is a nomen nudum; a possible isotype is in US, annotated 'Collector A. Moon? Nov. 1820? C.P. 3247, Cinnamon Gardens, Colombo?'. The number and locality are the same as those given for Megalachne zelanica Thw., a name retracted by Thwaites (1864) in his Addenda, and therefore invalid (Art. 34.1.a).
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