

## ULMUS POLLEN AT SIBISA SWAMP, NORTH SUMATRA (ULMACEAE)

B. K. MALONEY

Department of Geography and The Palaeoecology Centre, Queen's University, Belfast,  
Northern Ireland

*Ulmus* pollen characterised by a four-pored morphology was reported from samples of various ages taken from the radiocarbon dated pollen sites of Pea Sim-sim and Tao Sipinggan and in one sample from the undated Pea Sijajap record (Maloney, 1984). All these sites are located on the Toba Plateau, south of Lake Toba, over 50 km away from the nearest existing sources (Touw & Van Steenis, 1968) of *Ulmus lanceaefolia* Roxb. ex Wall. Sibisa Swamp is situated east of Lake Toba, at 98°58'E, 2°33'N, near the tourist resort of Prapat and much closer to the Karo Highlands source. It is in an area of fresh-looking volcanic topography at an altitude of c. 1300 m.

The site was discovered and cored by Flenley and Morley in 1972 (cf. Morley et al., 1973). They described the vegetation of the swamp as somewhat disturbed and including a variety of species characteristic of swamps in central Sumatra, of which three: *Eleocharis* sp., *Xyris* cf. *capensis* and *Blechnum orientale* were listed. The writer made additional borings in 1973 but the longest was only 1.50 m deep. It can be added that the centre of the swamp was dominated by *Eleocharis ochrostachys* Steud. with some *Xyris capensis* Thunb. and that the *Blechnum orientale* L. formed an outer vegetation ring. The deepest boring was made at the centre of the site. Samples were taken at 5 cm intervals but complete cores sections were not sent back to the laboratory and larger samples selected for possible <sup>14</sup>C dating have, unfortunately, been mislaid over time.

A number of samples were chemically prepared using the 'exotic' spore method of Stockmarr (1971) in August 1984, well outside the normal period when elm flowers in Northern Ireland. So far only one sample, that from 1.45 m depth, has been analysed but as time is unlikely to be available for a complete analysis of all the samples from the site for a number of years due to other commitments, it was thought best to report upon it now. Two pollen grains of elm were encountered in a total pollen and spore count of 2901 (0.16% of total pollen). Pollen and spores were extremely abundant both in numbers (c. 1.9 million grains/g dry weight) and types (87 different pollen taxa and 34 spore types), see table 1. Myrtaceae and Fagaceae pollen dominated but *Ilex* was also important. Lower montane forest (Fago-Laura-

Table 1. Pollen and spore percentages and concentrations.

Taxon	% of total pollen	Concentration*	Taxon	% of total pollen	Concentration*
<b>Pollen types</b>			<i>Labiateae</i>	0.25	2,002
<i>Acalypha</i>	0.16	1,335	<i>Lagerstroemia</i>	0.25	2,002
<i>Adinandra</i> comp.	0.66	5,340	<i>Ligustrum</i>	0.08	667
<i>Altingia excelsa</i>	4.05	32,705	<i>Limnophila</i> sim.	0.74	6,007
<i>Amaranthaceae/</i>			<i>Lindernia</i> sim.	1.24	10,012
<i>Chenopodiaceae</i>	0.08	667	<i>Loranthaceae</i>	0.16	1,335
<i>Ampelocissus</i>	0.08	667	<i>Macaranga</i> small	2.90	23,361
<i>Antidesma</i>	0.33	2,670	<i>Macaranga</i> large	0.66	5,340
<i>Ardisia</i>	0.16	1,335	<i>Maesa</i>	0.33	2,670
<i>Arisaema</i> comp.	0.08	667	<i>Magnolia</i>	0.08	667
<i>Burmannia</i> comp.	0.33	2,670	<i>Malpighiaceae</i>	0.16	1,335
<i>Canarium</i>	1.24	10,012	<i>Mastixia</i>	0.16	1,335
<i>Caryota</i>	0.08	667	<i>Medinilla</i>	0.16	1,335
<i>Castanopsis/Lithocarpus</i>	8.02	64,742	<i>Melastoma</i>	0.58	4,672
<i>Celtis</i> id. <i>wightii</i> comp.	0.58	4,672	<i>Mussaenda</i>	0.08	667
<i>Croton</i> type	0.08	667	<i>Myrsine</i>	1.40	11,347
<i>Cyperaceae</i> undiff.	0.08	667	<i>Neonauclea</i> type	0.66	5,340
<i>Cyperus</i> comp.	0.08	667	<i>Picrasma</i> comp.	0.16	1,335
<i>Dacrycarpus imbricatus</i>	0.16	1,335	<i>Podocarpus</i> id. <i>amarus</i>		
<i>Dacrydium elatum</i>	1.98	16,019	comp.	0.25	2,002
<i>Daemonorops</i> comp.	0.08	667	<i>Podocarpus</i> id. <i>neriifolius</i>		
<i>Decaspermum</i> comp.	0.25	2,002	comp.	0.08	667
<i>Elaeocarpus</i>	0.33	2,670	<i>Polyalthia</i>	0.16	1,335
<i>Eleocharis</i>	1.40	11,347	<i>Polygonum</i> id. <i>chinensis</i>		
<i>Endospermum</i> sim.	0.08	667	comp.	0.16	1,335
<i>Engelhardia</i>	0.41	3,337	<i>Prunus</i> comp.	0.50	4,005
<i>Eugenia</i> comp.	23.72	191,557	<i>Psychotria</i> id. <i>sarmentosa</i>		
<i>Evodia</i>	0.50	4,005	sim.	0.16	1,335
<i>Ficus</i>	0.16	1,335	<i>Quercus</i>	5.62	45,386
<i>Fimbristylis</i> comp.	0.25	2,002	<i>Rhododendron</i> type	0.16	1,335
<i>Garcinia</i>	0.25	2,002	<i>Rubus</i>	0.16	1,335
<i>Gramineae</i>	9.67	78,091	<i>Santiria</i> comp.	0.16	1,335
<i>Grewia</i>	0.16	1,335	<i>Saurauia</i>	1.73	14,016
<i>Haloragis/Laurembergia</i>	0.66	5,340	<i>Schima wallichii</i>	0.16	1,335
<i>Haloragis</i> id. <i>micrantha</i>			<i>Scirpus</i> comp.	7.77	62,740
comp.	0.16	1,335	<i>Styrax</i>	0.16	1,335
<i>Hedyotis</i>	0.41	3,337	<i>Symingtonia populnea</i>	0.25	2,002
<i>Hydrocotyle</i>	0.16	1,335	<i>Symplocos pendula</i> type	0.33	2,670
<i>Hymenodictyon</i> comp.	0.08	667	<i>Trema</i>	0.41	3,337
<i>Ilex</i> id. <i>cyrosa</i> comp.	1.32	10,679	<i>Typha</i> id. <i>angustifolia</i>		
<i>Ilex</i> undiff.	8.92	72,084	comp.	0.58	4,672
<i>Impatiens</i> id. <i>platypetala</i>			<i>Ulmus</i>	0.16	1,335
comp.	0.83	6,674	<i>Urticaceae/Moraceae</i>		
<i>Iodes</i> sim.	0.08	667	spherical, psilate	0.58	4,572
<i>Korthalsia</i> comp.	0.16	1,335	<i>Urticaceae/Moraceae</i>		
			spherical, scabrate	0.08	667

(Table 1 continued)

Taxon	% of total pollen	Concentration*	Taxon	% of total pollen	Concentration*
Vaccinium type	0.08	667	Leucostegia type	1.24	10,012
Vernonia arborea	0.08	667	Lindsaea id. orbiculata comp.	0.74	6,007
Vernonia undiff.	0.50	4,005	Lindsaea comp.	0.25	2,002
Xyris	0.82	6,674	Lycopodium cernuum	3.72	30,035
Zanthoxylum	0.41	3,337	Lycopodium phlegmaria type	0.33	2,670
Unknown (2 types)	0.16	1,335	Microlepia comp.	0.74	6,007
<b>Spore types</b>			Nephrolepis	3.55	28,700
Filices monoete psilate small	83.4	673,453	Ophioglossum id. pendulum comp.	0.16	1,335
Filices monoete psilate large	6.20	50,058	Polypodium type small areolae	5.37	43,384
Filices monoete scabrate small	0.08	667	Polypodium type large areolae	2.97	24,028
Ampelopteris prolifera comp.	0.08	667	Polypodium type subverrucate-areolate	4.13	33,372
Asplenium nidus	0.50	4,005	Pteridium	0.99	8,009
Asplenium id. longissimum comp.	0.08	667	Pteris vittata	0.16	1,335
Asplenium id. normale comp.	0.66	5,340	Pteris id. biaurita comp.	0.08	667
Blechnum comp.	0.66	5,340	Pteris undiff.	0.33	2,670
Crypsinus	3.88	31,370	Selaginella id. involvens comp.	0.08	667
Cyathea sect. Alsophila	0.08	667	Selaginella undiff.	0.08	667
Cyathea sect. Sphaopteris	0.08	667	Sphaerostephanos unitus comp.	0.16	1,335
Cyatheaceae undiff.	6.86	55,398	<i>Undeterminable</i> (all pollen grains)		
Davallia comp.	0.41	3,337	Corroded	0.50	4,005
Dicranopteris id. linearis var. linearis comp.	7.68	62,072	Crumpled	0.33	2,670
Dicranopteris comp. linearis var. subjectinata	2.31	18,688	Obscured	0.08	667
Hypolepis	1.07	8,677	<i>Others</i>		
			Sphagnum	2.97	24,028
			Rhizopoda testae	0.08	667

\* grains/g dry weight.

Note: Where a taxon carries a suffix, id. (idem) stresses that the determination is certain, comp. (comparatus) that it compares well with the stated taxon but could be from another genus or species, sim. (similis) that there is a similarity with the taxon named but more uncertainty than where comp. is used, and type where it is known that different species or genera have a similar pollen or spore morphology, e.g. *Rhododendron* type includes *Gaultheria*. Undiff. = undifferentiated.

Table 2. Diameters of grass pollen grains.

Diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Count size	Percentage of total
32	1	0.85
30	1	0.85
28	8	6.80
27	3	2.60
26	20	17.10
24	10	8.50
23	28	23.90
21	20	17.10
20	21	17.90
18	2	1.70
17	3	2.60

ceous forest) seems to have been present but there are indications that it was somewhat disturbed, e.g. the abundance of *Macaranga*. Most of the grass pollen (see table 2) has probably derived from swamp vegetation, however. The modal value of the 117 pollen grains measured was 23  $\mu\text{m}$ , the same as that of *Leersia hexandra* Sw. (see Maloney, 1985). *Leersia* is a common occupant of the drier margins of swamps in the Toba Highlands and has a pollen size ranging from 19–24  $\mu\text{m}$ . A number of other grasses found locally including *Arundinella setosa* Trin., which can grow on swamp, and *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Beauv., a dry land species, have modal values of 26–28  $\mu\text{m}$ .

What is clear from the data presented here is that *Ulmus* probably only formed a minor component of the mountain forest vegetation. Unfortunately no depositional basins with long sedimentary sequences were found north or west of Lake Toba during 1973 so additional areal comparisons cannot be made, and comparison with the dated Pea Sim-sim and Tao Sipinggan pollen diagrams suggests that if the disturbance was originated by man, the sample may be no more than 2,000 years old. If the disturbance is of natural origin, and the presence of fresh-looking volcanic topography nearby indicates that it might be, the sample could date to any time in the last 12,000 years. Analysis of the other samples from Sibisa Swamp may throw additional light on the history of elm in Sumatra but on the basis of evidence to hand, it would not be surprising to find that it is always a sporadic contributor to the pollen record.

REFERENCES

- MALONEY, B.K. 1984. Pollen of *Ulmus* (Ulmaceae) in the subfossil record from North Sumatra: A note. *Blumea* 30: 69–70.
- 1985. Grass pollen and the origins of rice agriculture in North Sumatra. *Modern Quatern. Res. S.E. Asia* 9 (in press).
- MORLEY, R.J., J.R. FLENLEY & M.K. KARDIN. 1973. Preliminary notes on the stratigraphy of the swamps and small lakes of the Central Sumatran Highlands. *Sumatra Res. Bull.* 2: 50–60.
- STOCKMAR, J. 1971. Tablets with spores used in absolute pollen analysis. *Pollen et Spores* 13: 615–621.
- TOUW, A. & C.G.G.J. VAN STEENIS. 1968. Note on *Ulmus* in Malesia (Ulmaceae). *Blumea* 16: 84.