

BOOKS RECEIVED BY THE RIJKSHERBARIUM LIBRARY

G. GUZMÁN, *Identificación de los hongos comestibles, venenosos, alucinantes y destructores de la madera*. (Editorial Limusa, Mexico City, 1979). Pp. 452 including 218 Plates. Price: \$ 9.- (excl. postage).

This is the first flora of macromycetes published for Mexico. The first part of it contains rather elaborate keys to nearly 600 species; the second part illustrations of all species treated, partly as line-drawings, partly as black-and-white photographs (often more than one per species). The variation of fungi represented reflects the great ecological diversity of Mexico. A list of Mexican names of fungi and lists of edible, poisonous, hallucinogeneous and wood-destroying fungi are added, as well as a Spanish glossary. The disadvantage of the lack of microscopical characters in the keys is partly compensated by the presence of numerous illustrations.

G. GUZMÁN, *Hongos*. (Editorial Limusa, Mexico City, 1978). Pp. 194 including 186 coloured photographs. Price: \$ 7.- (excl. postage).

In this book, meant for amateurs, the most common mushrooms of Mexico are illustrated by coloured photographs of rather varying quality.

M. A. A. SCHIPPER, (1) *On certain species of Mucor with a key to all accepted species*, (2) *On the genera Rhizomucor and Parasitella*. (Studies in Mycology 17, C.B.S., Baarn, 1978). Pp. respect. 52 and 19, 34 Text-figs. Price: Hfl. 20.-.

In the first paper 21 species and varieties of *Mucor* (4 of which are new) not earlier treated by the author, are described and illustrated. A key is given to all 49 species of *Mucor* accepted. — In the second paper the genus *Rhizomucor* is reintroduced for 3 thermophilic species and *Parasitella* accepted for one parasitic species of *Mucor*.

R. A. SAMSON, *A compilation of the Aspergilli described since 1965*. (Studies in Mycology 18, C.B.S., Baarn, 1979). Pp. 38, 7 Text-figs. Price: Hfl. 10.-.

Of the 99 taxa of *Aspergillus* described after the publication of the monograph of Raper & Fennell (1965), 34 are accepted, summarily described and taxonomically discussed. The remaining names are considered to be synonymous or doubtful.