Barriopsis iraniana and Phaeobotryon cupressi: two new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from trees in Iran
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INTRODUCTION

Species of the Botryosphaeriaceae are cosmopolitan and occur on a wide range of plant hosts (von Arx & Müller 1954, Barr 1987). They can be primary pathogens, opportunists, endophytes or saprobes (Denman et al. 2000, Slippers & Wingfield 2007). While the family is well circumscribed, segregation of genera within the Botryosphaeriaceae has proven to be problematic. Von Arx & Müller (1954) made extensive synonymies under Botryosphaeria and included several genera known to have pigmented ascospores. In this way they effectively broadened the concept of Botryosphaeria to include species with hyaline ascospores, brown, aseptate ascospores, and brown, 1-septate ascospores. At least 18 anamorph genera have been associated with Botryosphaeria, including Diplodia, Lasiodiplodia, Fusicoccum and Sphaeropsis. Of these, only Fusicoccum and Diplodia were recognised by Denman et al. (2000), and this was supported by several studies (Jacobs & Rehner 1999, Zhou & Stanosz 2001, Alves et al. 2004). Pavlic et al. (2004) employed morphological and phylogenetic data to separate Lasiodiplodia from Diplodia. Later, Phillips et al. (2005) further broadened the concept by including Dothiorella within Botryosphaeria.

In a phylogenetic study based on 28S rDNA sequence data, Crous et al. (2006) recognised 10 lineages within Botryo­sphaeria corresponding to individual genera. A further lineage representing Aplosporella was subsequently added (Damm et al. 2007), and Phillips et al. (2008) recognised a further five genera bringing the total to 16. The Botryosphaeriaceae has been the subject of numerous critical studies on the species associated with different hosts including grapevines (van Niekerk et al. 2004), Eucalyptus (Slippers et al. 2004), Olea (Lazzizera et al. 2008), Prunus (Slippers et al. 2007, Damm et al. 2007) and Protea (Denman et al. 2003, Marincowitz et al. 2008). Such studies have yielded several new species, thus revealing the diversity within this family. Furthermore, intensive sampling in different regions of the world has also revealed many new species (Pavicic et al. 2008, Taylor et al. 2009). Despite the importance attributed to the species in this family, there have been no studies on the Botryosphaeriaceae in Iran.

In the course of a survey of Botryosphaeriaceae in Iran during 2005–2007, besides some 14 known species, two new species with diplodia-like conidia were encountered. The aim of the present study was to characterise the species and to describe them based on DNA sequence data and morphology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates and isolation

Infected branches, fruits and leaves with various disease symptoms, including dieback, canker, rot and necrosis, were collected from Cupressus sempervirens, Mangifera indica, Citrus sp. and Olea sp. in northern and southern provinces of Iran. Isolations were made by transferring conidia to potato-dextrose agar (PDA; Difco Laboratories). After incubating at 25 °C for 12 h, single germinating conidia were transferred to fresh PDA plates. Some isolates were obtained by plating pieces of tissue taken from the junction of the diseased and healthy areas of the samples, after surface sterilisation (1–4 min in 70 % ethanol), on PDA supplemented with 100 mg chloramphenicol. Representative isolates were deposited at the Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection (IRAN, Tehran, Iran) and the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS, Utrecht, The Netherlands).

Morphology

Sporulation was induced by culturing the isolates on 2 % tap water agar bearing pieces of double-autoclaved, halved poplar twigs or pine needles under near-ultraviolet light in a 12 h light-dark regime for 2–6 wk at 25 °C. Vertical sections through...
Table 1 Isolates included in the phylogenetic study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Culture no.</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Collector</th>
<th>GenBank</th>
<th>ITS</th>
<th>EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barriopsis fusca</td>
<td>CBS 174.26</td>
<td>Citrus sp</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>N.E. Stevens</td>
<td>EU673330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barriopsis iraniana</td>
<td>IRAN 1448C</td>
<td>Mangifera indica</td>
<td>Minab, Iran</td>
<td>J. Abdollahzadeh &amp; A. Javadi</td>
<td>FJ919663</td>
<td>FJ919652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botryosphaeria corticis</td>
<td>CBS 119047</td>
<td>Vaccinium corambosum</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>P.V. Oudemans</td>
<td>DQ299245</td>
<td>EU017539</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botryosphaeria dothisis</td>
<td>ATCC 29297.1</td>
<td>Vaccinium sp</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>R.D. Millhand</td>
<td>DQ299247</td>
<td>EU017539</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplodia corticola</td>
<td>CMW 8000</td>
<td>Prunus sp</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>B. Slippers</td>
<td>AY236949</td>
<td>AY236989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasiodiplodia gurubiensis</td>
<td>CBS 115812</td>
<td>Syzygium cordatum</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>D. Pavlic</td>
<td>DQ458892</td>
<td>DQ458877</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasiodiplodia parva</td>
<td>CBS 12548</td>
<td>Cassave-field soil</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>O. Rangel</td>
<td>EF622064</td>
<td>EF622064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae</td>
<td>CBS 116459</td>
<td>Gmelina arborea</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>J. Carranza-Velasquez</td>
<td>EF622077</td>
<td>EF622057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neodeightonia phoenicum</td>
<td>CBS 110494</td>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>L. Mignani</td>
<td>DQ458889</td>
<td>DQ458874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neofusicoccum luteum</td>
<td>CBS 110299</td>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>A.J.L. Phillips</td>
<td>AY259094</td>
<td>AY573220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neofusicoccum parvum</td>
<td>CBS 110497</td>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>A.J.L. Phillips</td>
<td>AY259094</td>
<td>AY573220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaeobotryosphaeria citrigena</td>
<td>CPC 12440</td>
<td>Sophora chrysophylla</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>W. Gams</td>
<td>EU673332</td>
<td>EU673298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaeobotryosphaeria porosa</td>
<td>CPC 12442</td>
<td>Sophora chrysophylla</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>W. Gams</td>
<td>EU673333</td>
<td>EU673299</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencermartinsia viticola</td>
<td>CBS 111009</td>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>J. Luque &amp; S. Martos</td>
<td>AY905554</td>
<td>AY905559</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencermartinsia viticola</td>
<td>CBS 111006</td>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>J. Luque &amp; S. Martos</td>
<td>AY905554</td>
<td>AY905559</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2 ITS: Internal transcribed spacers 1 and 2 together with 5.8S nrDNA; EF: Translation elongation factor 1-α partial sequence.
conidiomata were made with a Leica CM1100 cryostat microtome. The conidiogenous layer was dissected from conidiomata formed in culture. Structures were mounted in 100 % lactic acid and digital images were recorded with a Leica DFC320 camera on a Leica DMR HC microscope. Measurements were made with the Leica IM500 measurement module. For each isolate the mean, standard deviation and 95 % confidence interval were calculated from measurements of at least 50 conidia. Dimensions are presented as a range with extremes in parentheses. Dimensions of other fungal structures are given as the range of at least 20 measurements. Colony morphology, colour (Rayner 1970), and growth rates between 5 and 35 °C in 5 °C intervals, were determined on 2 % malt extract agar (MEA; Difco Laboratories) in the dark.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Isolates were grown on 2 % malt extract broth (MEB) and incubated at room temperature for 4–7 d. Mycelium was collected by filtration. Mycelial mats were washed with sterile distilled water and freeze-dried with an Edward MicroModulyo 1.5K System (England) freeze drier. Genomic DNA was obtained by a modification of the method described by Reader & Broda (1985). The mycelium was ground in liquid nitrogen in 1.5 mL microtubes. Five hundred microlitres of extraction buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1 % SDS) was added, the mixture thoroughly vortexed, and incubated at 65 °C for 1 h. Subsequently 500 μL of chloroform was added. The mixture was shaken gently and centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 1 h at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred to a new microtube and template DNA was precipitated overnight at -20 °C with 0.54 volume of ice-cold isopropanol and 3 M sodium acetate (0.1 volume). The DNA was pelleted at 13 000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The resulting pellets were washed with 100 μL of cold 70 % ethanol and dried at room temperature. The dried pellets of template DNA were re-suspended in 100 μL of distilled water and incubated at 85 °C for 1 h. DNA concentrations were determined with a NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer and DNA was stored at -80 °C.

The PCR reactions were carried out with Taq DNA polymerase, nucleotides and buffers supplied by MBI Fermentas (Vilnius, Lithuania), and PCR reaction mixtures were prepared according to Alves et al. (2004), with the addition of 5 % DMSO to improve the amplification of some difficult DNA templates. All primers used were synthesised by STAB Vida Lda. (Portugal). The ITS plus D1/D2 region of the LSU and the translation elongation factor 1-α (EF-1α) were amplified using the primer pairs ITS1/ITS4 (White et al. 1990) /NL4 (O’Donnell 1993) and EF1-688F/EF1-1251R, respectively, as described by Alves et al. (2008). Nucleotide sequences of the ITS and EF-1α regions were determined using the primers ITS1/ITS4 (White et al. 1990) and EF1-688F/EF1-1251R (Alves et al. 2008). Both strands of the PCR products were sequenced by STAB Vida Lda (Portugal). Sequences of both DNA regions of additional isolates were deposited in GenBank (Table 1). New sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table 1) and the alignment and trees in TreeBase (study accession number S2392, matrix accession number M4535).

Phylogenetic analyses

The nucleotide sequences were aligned with ClustalX v1.83 (Thompson et al. 1997), using the following parameters: pairwise alignment parameters (gap opening = 10, gap extension = 0.1) and multiple alignment parameters (gap opening = 10, gap extension = 0.2, transition weight = 0.5, delay divergent sequences = 25 %). Alignments were checked and manual adjustments were made where necessary. Phylogenetic analyses were carried out using PAUP v4.0b10 (Swofford 2003) for maximum-parsimony (MP) analysis and MrBayes v 3.0b4 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003) for the Bayesian analysis. Trees were visualised with TreeView (Page 1996). Maximum-parsimony analysis was performed using the heuristic search option with 1 000 random taxon additions and tree bisection and reconnection (TBR) as the branch-swapping algorithm. All characters were unordered and of equal weight and gaps were treated as fifth character. Branches of zero length were collapsed and all multiple, equally parsimonious trees were saved. The robustness of the most parsimonious trees was evaluated by 1 000 bootstrap replications (Hillis & Bull 1993). Other measures used were consistency index (CI), retention index (RI) and homoplasy index (HI). A partition homogeneity test was done to determine the possibility of combining the ITS and EF1-α datasets (Farris et al. 1995, Huelsenbeck et al. 1996).

Bayesian analyses employing a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method were performed. The general time-reversible model of evolution (Rodriguez et al. 1990), including estimation of invariable sites and assuming a discrete gamma distribution with six rate categories (GTR+I+Γ) was used. Four MCMC chains were run simultaneously, starting from random trees, for 106 generations. Trees were sampled every 100th generation for a total of 104 trees. The first 104 trees were discarded as the burn-in phase of each analysis. Posterior probabilities (Rannala & Yang 1996) were determined from a majority-rule consensus tree generated from the remaining 9 000 trees. The analysis was repeated three times starting from different random trees to ensure trees from the same tree space were being sampled during each analysis.

RESULTS

DNA phylogeny

The ITS and EF1-α sequences for the 11 isolates studied were combined and aligned with 41 sequences of 22 taxa retrieved from GenBank, representing a selection of genera and species in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Incomplete portions at the ends of the sequences were excluded from the analyses. The combined dataset after alignment consisted of 941 characters including alignment gaps. A partition homogeneity test in PAUP was not significant (P = 0.52) indicating that the individual datasets were congruent and produced trees with the same topology. Therefore the two datasets were combined in a single analysis. Of the 941 characters, 446 were constant, while 10 were variable and parsimony-uninformative. Maximum parsimony analysis of the remaining 885 parsimony-informative characters resulted in a single tree of 1 340 steps (HI = 0.343). The overall topology of the 50 % majority rule consensus tree of 9 000 trees sampled in the Bayesian analysis had a similar topology as the MP tree (TreeBase S2392), which is presented in Fig. 1.

Ten clades were identified, each corresponding to a separate genus. Isolates obtained in this study clustered in clades 1 and 2, corresponding to Phaeobotryon and Barriopsis. The five isolates from C. sempervirens clustered in a subclade of clade 1, separate from P. mamane. The remaining six isolates from this study formed a subclade within the Barriopsis clade (clade 2) separate from B. fusca. In both cases bootstrap support for the subclades was high.

Morphology

All isolates studied here produced pycnidia on pine needles and Populus twigs on WA within 2–3 wk. No ascomata were seen either on the host or in culture. Based on morphology and phylogenetic positions, these isolates were separated into two species, one in Barriopsis and the other in Phaeobotryon. On account of their unique morphology and phylogeny they are described here as two new species.
**Taxonomy**

**Barriopsis iraniana** Abdollahzadeh, Zare & A.J.L. Phillips, *sp. nov.* — MycoBank MB513235; Fig. 2

**Teleomorph.** Unknown.

Conidiomata brunnea vel nigra, uni- vel multilocularia, globosa. Cellulae conidiogenae hyalinae, cylindraceae, conidio primo holoblastico, posteriora phialidica, proliferatione in eodem plano periclinaliter incrassatae. Conidia (22.7–27–27.4–37.7) × (12.8–16.2–16.6–21.5) μm, ovoidea, utrinque rotundata, primum hyalina, longitudinaliter striata, maturitate brunnea et 1–3 septa formantia.

**Typus.** IRAN 13939F.

**Etymology.** The name refers to Iran where the fungus was discovered.

**Fig. 1** Single most parsimonious tree resulting from maximum parsimony analysis of combined ITS and EF1-α sequence data. MP bootstrap values are given at the nodes. The tree was rooted to two isolates of *Pseudofusicoccum stromaticum*. The new species are in **bold** face.

Conidiomata stromatic, pycnidial, superficial, dark brown to black, covered with dense mycelium, on pine needles mainly unilocular and up to 600 μm diam; on *Populus* twigs mostly multilocular, individual or aggregated, thick-walled, ostiolate. Ostiole central, circular, non-papillate. Paraphyses arising from the conidiogenous layer, extending above the level of developing conidia, up to 70 μm long, 3.5 μm wide, thin-walled, hyaline, usually aspetate, sometimes becoming up to 2–3-septate, not constricted at the septa, tip rounded, occasionally branched. **Conidiomata** thin-walled, initially hyaline, aspetate with longitudinal striations, striations visible on hyaline conidia even while attached to

---

**CBS 117448** *Pseudofusicoccum stromaticum*  
**CBS 117449** *Pseudofusicoccum stromaticum*
conidiogenous cells; oval, both ends broadly rounded, becoming brown, aseptate or 1–3-septate, with prominent longitudinal striations, wall smooth, (22.7–)24–30 × (12.8–)14–18 (–21.5) μm, 95 % confidence limits = 27–27.4 × 16.2–16.6 μm (av. ± S.D. = 27.2 ± 1.8 × 16.4 ± 1.3 μm, l/w ratio = 1.7 ± 0.16). Chlamydospores catenate, intercalary, brown, smooth, thick-walled, formed within the agar medium.

Culture characteristics — Colonies with appressed mycelial mat and fluffy aerial mycelium in the middle, becoming dull green to olivaceous-black at the surface, and dull green to grey-olivaceous at the reverse after 2 wk in the dark at 25 °C. Colonies reaching 45–50 mm diam on MEA after 4 d in the dark at 25 °C. Cardinal temperatures for growth: min 5 °C, max > 35 °C, opt 25–30 °C.

Substrates — Endophytic in stems of Citrus sp., Mangifera indica, Olea sp.

Known distribution — Hormozgan Province, Iran.

Specimens examined. Iran, Hormozgan Province, Minab, Hajikhademi, on twigs of Mangifera indica, 27 Feb. 2007, J. Abdollahzadeh & A. Javadi, holotype IRAN 13939F, culture ex-type IRAN 1448C = CBS 124698. Other isolates are listed in Table 1.

Notes — Conidia of Barriopsis iraniana are significantly larger than those of B. fusca, the only other species known in this genus. The only available culture of B. fusca (CBS 174.26, ex-type) has lost its ability to sporulate. According to Stevens (1926) the anamorph is lasiodiplodia-like with hyaline conidia that become dark-brown and septate with irregular longitudinal striations. These characters of the anamorphs of Barriopsis are confirmed in the present study. Furthermore, we have shown that, in contrast to Lasiodiplodia, the conidia of Barriopsis are striate at a very early stage of development and the striations are clearly visible in young, hyaline conidia (Fig. 1d–i). This is an unusual character not found in any other genus of the Botryosphaeriaceae. We did not encounter the teleomorph of B. iraniana and it did not form in culture.
Phaeobotryon cupressi Abdollahzadeh, Zare & A.J.L. Phillips, sp. nov. — MycoBank MB513236; Fig. 3

Teleomorph: Unknown


Typus: IRAN 13940F.

Etymology. Name refers to Cupressus, the host genus on which the fungus was discovered.

Conidiomata stromatic, pycnidial, superficial, dark-brown to black, mostly unicellular on pine needles and up to 650 μm diam, mostly multilocular on Cupressus twigs, individual or aggregated, thick-walled, ostiolate. Ostiole central, circular, non-papillate. Paraphyses hyaline, thin-walled, arising from the conidiogenous layer, extending above the level of developing conidia, up to 42 μm long, 4.8 μm wide, usually aseptate, sometimes becoming up to 2-septate, tip rounded, occasionally branched. Conidiophores absent. Conidiogenous cells hyaline, smooth, thin-walled, cylindrical, 7–14 × 2–5 μm, holoblastic, phialidic, proliferating internally with visible periclinal thickening. Conidia thick-walled, initially hyaline, oval, both ends broadly rounded, 12.4 ± 1.3 μm, l/w ratio = 2 ± 0.3, forming a single septum at germination, rarely becoming brown and 1-septate, internally verrucose when aged. Microconidiomata globose, dark-brown to black, superficial, occasionally immersed in pine needle or Cupressus tissue. Microconidiophores cylindrical, 7–13 × 1.5–2.5 μm, hyaline, aseptate becoming 1–2-septate, branched. Microconidiogenous cells hyaline, thin-walled, phialidic, proliferating internally, giving rise to periclinal thickening, 6–10 × 1–2 μm. Microconidia oval, thin-walled, hyaline, aseptate 2–4 × 1–2. Chlamydospores intercalary, brown, smooth, thick-walled, formed within the agar medium.

Cultural characteristics — Colonies with abundant aerial mycelium towards periphery, appressed in the centre, becoming grey-olivaceous to olivaceous-grey at the surface, and grey-olivaceous in reverse after 2 wk in the dark at 25 °C. Colonies on MEA reaching 46–53 mm diam after 4 d in the dark at 25 °C. Cardinal temperatures for growth; min 5 °C, max > 35 °C, opt 29 °C ± 1.9 °C; ± 1.9 μm, forming a single ascus at germination, rarely becoming brown and 1-septate, internally verrucose when aged.

Microconidiomata globose, dark-brown to black, superficial, occasionally immersed in pine needle or Cupressus tissue. Microconidiophores cylindric, 7–13 × 1.5–2.5 μm, hyaline, aseptate becoming 1–2-septate, branched. Microconidiogenous cells hyaline, thin-walled, phialidic, proliferating internally, giving rise to periclinal thickening, 6–10 × 1–2 μm. Microconidia oval, thin-walled, hyaline, aseptate 2–4 × 1–2. Chlamydospores intercalary, brown, smooth, thick-walled, formed within the agar medium.

Cultural characteristics — Colonies with abundant aerial mycelium towards periphery, appressed in the centre, becoming grey-olivaceous to olivaceous-grey at the surface, and grey-olivaceous in reverse after 2 wk in the dark at 25 °C. Colonies on MEA reaching 46–53 mm diam after 4 d in the dark at 25 °C. Cardinal temperatures for growth; min 5 °C, max > 35 °C, opt 25 °C.

Substrate — Endophytic in stems of Cupressus sempervirens.

Known distribution — Golestan Province, Iran.

Specimens examined. Iran, Golestan Province, Gorgan, City Park, on twigs of Cupressus sempervirens, 15 Aug. 2006, M.A. Aghajani, holotype IRAN 13940F, culture ex-type IRAN 1455C = CBS 124700. Other isolates are listed in Table 1.

Notes — This species differs from P. quericola and P. mamane in its smaller conidia, and has thus far only been collected from Cupressus species. The hyaline, aseptate conidia of P. cupressi are superficially similar to those of other Diplodia species with hyaline conidia. Furthermore, conidial dimensions of P. cupressi are similar to those of Diplodia cupressi (21.5–30.5 × 12–16) as reported by Alves et al. (2006). Microconidia have been reported for P. quericola (Phillips et al. 2005), P. mamane (Phillips et al. 2008) and P. cupressi (this paper). They have also been reported in D. cupressi (Alves et al. 2006), but not in other Diplodia species (Alves et al. 2004, Damm et al. 2007, Phillips et al. 2007, Lazzizzera et al. 2008). Thus it is possible that P. cupressi has been mistaken for D. cupressi in the past. Pycnidial paraphyses in Phaeobotryon clearly distinguish this genus from Diplodia.

DISCUSSION

This paper forms part of a larger study of the Botryosphaeriaceae from Iran, and is the first attempt to characterise the species present in this country. Two new species are described, one in Barriopsis and another in Phaeobotryon. These species could be distinguished based on their DNA sequence data and unique morphological characteristics. Only a few species are thus far known from these genera, and confirmed reports have been infrequent.

Barriopsis was introduced when Phillips et al. (2008) transferred Physalospora fusca to Barriopsis fusca. Stevens (1926) originally placed this species in Physalospora, but was obviously hesitant to do so on account of its brown ascospores. Petrak & Deighton (1952) then transferred it to Phaeobotryosphaeria as Phaeobotryosphaeria fusca. Although von Arx & Müller (1954) considered Phaeobotryosphaeria a synonym of Botryosphaeria, Phillips et al. (2008) showed that it is morphologically and phylogenetically distinct from other genera in the Botryosphaeriaceae. However, the fungus considered by Stevens (1926) and Petrak & Deighton (1952) does not have apiculi on its ascospores, and thus does not fall within the concept of Phaeobotryosphaeria, which has small, hyaline apiculi on the ascospores. It was for this reason that Phillips et al. (2008) introduced the genus Barriopsis. Barriopsis irani­ana is only the second species to be described in this genus.

The new data on morphology of the anamorph, as reported in this paper, reveal further distinctions from other genera in the Botryosphaeriaceae, namely the striations visible on conidia at an early stage of development.

Phaeobotryon was introduced by Theissen & Sydow (1915) to accommodate Dothidea cercidis. In the original description of D. cercidis the ascospores were reported to be hyaline. However, Theissen & Sydow (1914) observed them to become brown with age and subsequently (Theissen & Sydow 1915) introduced the genus Phaeobotryon. Von Arx & Müller (1954, 1975) placed Phaeobotryon in synonymy with Botryosphaeria in their broader concept of this genus. However, Phillips et al. (2008) considered Phaeobotryon as morphologically and phylogenetically distinct from other genera in the Botryosphaeriaceae and thus reinstated the name. The genus at present consists of four species (P. cercidis, P. cupressi, P. mamane and P. quer­icola), while the status of P. disruptum and P. euganeum remains uncertain. Cultures are available for only two of these, P. mamane and P. cupressi, and therefore these were the only two for which DNA sequence data are available. Phillips et al. (2008) did not observe conidia of P. cercidis, but they reported the conidia of P. mamane as brown and 1–2-septate. Phillips et al. (2005) found hyaline, aseptate conidia associated with P. quericola, and considered these to be the anamorph. Phaeo­botryon cupressi has smaller conidia than both P. mamane and P. quericola, and the three species can be distinguished easily on the basis of conidial dimensions. No information is available regarding conidial characters of the remaining Phaeobotryon species, namely P. cercidis, P. disruptum and P. euganeum, since they were described based on features of the teleomorphs only and no anamorphic characters were considered.

Barriopsis appears to be confined to regions with tropical or subtropical climates. The type species, B. fusca, was originally collected from Citrus and an unknown woody host in Cuba (Stevens 1926). A search of the Systematic Mycology and Microbiology Laboratory Fungal Database (April 2009; http://nt.ars-grin.gov/fungaldata) revealed that the majority of reports of this species are from warmer climates. In the present study, B. iraniana was found only in the subtropical southern part of Iran. In contrast to Barriopsis, Phaeobotryon appears
to have a wider distribution, and species have been reported from Germany, USA (Carolina and Hawaii) and the northern, temperate regions of Iran.

Both *B. iraniana* and *P. cupressi* were isolated from diseased plants. However, pathogenicity was not tested for either species and their role as causal agents of plant diseases is not known. Furthermore, as far as we are aware, pathogenicity of none of the other species in *Barriopsis* and *Phaeobotryon* is known.
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