

## NOTES AND BRIEF ARTICLES

### NOTULAE AD FLORAM AGARICINAM NEERLANDICAM XIV A NOMENCLATURAL NOTE ON RHODOCYBE TRUNCATA

MACHIEL E. NOORDELOOS\* and THOMAS W. KUYPER\*\*

*Agaricus truncatus* Schaeff. (*Fungi Bavariae*, pl. 251. 1763) is variously interpreted as a species of *Hebeloma* and *Rhodocybe*. Although the type-plate is not so easy to interpret, the adnate, sinuate lamellae, sordid spore print, and red-brown, truncate pileus give way to the idea that Fries (1838) was right in placing *Agaricus truncatus* in tribus *Hebeloma*. This opinion was followed by e.g. J. Lange (1938) and Moser (1978, 1984). Quélet (1880) had another opinion on *Agaricus truncatus* and placed it in the genus *Tricholoma*. This concept is known by modern mycologists as *Rhodocybe truncata* (Schaeff.) Singer. Still *Tricholoma truncatum* (Schaeff.) Quélet., *Rhodopaxillus truncatus* (Schaeff.) Maire, and *Rhodocybe truncata* (Schaeff.) Singer all have the same type, viz. Schaeffer's plate, and must be considered as synonyms of *Hebeloma truncatus* (Schaeff.) Kumm.

It is clear that the binomial *Rhodocybe truncata* cannot be used for Quélet's fungus. Therefore Bon (1985) was wrong in creating the so-called new combination *Rhodocybe truncata* (Quélet.) Bon. The epithet *truncata* cannot be ascribed to Quélet, as is pointed out above. Even if Bon had been right, the name *Rhodocybe truncata* (Quélet.) Bon would have been a heterotypical homonym of *Rhodocybe truncata* (Schaeff.) Singer and could not have been used for that reason.

Fries (1836) described *Agaricus geminus* as a new species referring to Paulet (1800) who described the same fungus under the name *Fungus cinnamomeus*. This species clearly represents *Rhodocybe truncata* sensu Quélet, and has been depicted by J. Lange (1938) as *Tricholoma geminum*. For this reason the epithet *geminus* is accepted here for *Rhodocybe truncata* sensu Quélet, and the new combination is proposed.

#### *Rhodocybe gemina* (Fr.) Kuyp. & Noordel., comb. nov.

*Agaricus geminus* Fr., Epicr. 38. 1838 (basionym). — *Tricholoma geminum* (Fr.) S. Petersen, Danske Agar. 61.1907.

Misapplied name.—*Tricholoma truncatum* sensu Quélet in Bull. Soc. Amis Sci. nat. Rouen, Sér. II, 15: 153. 1890 (Champ. Jura Vosges, Suppl. 9); *Rhodophyllus truncatus* sensu Maire in Annls mycol. 11: 338. 1913; *Rhodocybe truncata* sensu Singer in Mycologia 38: 687. 1946; sensu Baroni in Beih. Nova Hedwigia 67: 79. 1981; *Clitopilus truncatus* sensu Kühner & Romagnesi, Fl. anal. Champ. sup. 172. 1953.

\* Rijksherbarium, Leiden.

\*\* Biological Station, Kampsweg 27, 9418 PD Wijster, Netherlands.

## REFERENCES

- BON, M. (1985). Validations de taxons. In *Docum. mycol.* 15(59): 51–52.
- FRIES, E. (1838). *Epicris systematis mycologici seu synopsis hymenomycetorum.* Upsaliae.
- LANGE, J. (1938). *Flora agaricina danica*, vol. 3. Köbenhavn.
- MOSER, M. (1978). Die Röhrlinge und Blätterpilze. In Gams, Kl. KryptogFl. 4. Aufl. 2(b/2). Stuttgart.
- (1984). Die Röhrlinge und Blätterpilze. In Gams, Kl. KryptogFl. 5. Aufl. 2(b/2). Stuttgart.
- PAULET, L. (1800). *Traité des Champignons I.* Paris.
- QUÉLET, L. (1880). Champignons récemment observés en Normandie, aux environs de Paris et de La Rochelle, en Alsace, en Suisse et dans les montagnes du Jura et des Vosges (= Champ. Jura Vosges, Suppl. 9). In *Bull. Soc. Amis Sci. nat. Rouen, Sér. II*, 15: 153. ('1879') 1880.